

Water

chedet.co.cc

November 13, 2009


By Dr. Mahathir Mohamad

1. Pahang will soon be selling water to Selangor/KL. I don't think Pahang would be happy to receive 3 sen per thousand gallons.
2. Melaka has been paying Johore 30 sen per thousand gallons and is still doing so and will continue to do so I suppose. Would Johore be asking for more than 30 sen in the future? God knows.
3. In 2011 the first agreement with Singapore to sell 350 million gallons of raw water daily at 3 sen per thousand gallons will end. What would we do then? I suspect despite Singapore's famous Newater, it would still need the 350 million gallons daily.
4. The other treaty would end by 2060 in which the price is also 3 sen per thousand gallons. But without the 350 million gallons daily according to the first treaty, Singapore's rapidly increasing population of new immigrants would not have enough water.
5. So, the amount supplied according to the first treaty would be even more crucial despite Newater and desalination.
6. The Government of Tun Abdullah had very cleverly decided not to raise any of the issues outstanding with Singapore after the bridges were jettisoned. I suppose this charitable act would help cement friendship with that city-state with a per capita income of US\$36,000 (Malaysia's per capita incidentally is US\$7,000, i.e one-fifth of Singapore).
7. 2011 is not too far away. Have we thought about extending the 2011 treaty or not extending it or negotiating a new water supply agreement? Are we going to be charitable again and sell raw water at 3 sen per thousand gallons to our rich neighbour?
8. Being charitable and not raising prickly issues is a good way to make friends. But what is the cost to the people of Malaysia.

113 Comments

By akhbar  on November 19, 2009 10:54 PM

bekalan air ke Singapura bagaikan membuat amal jariah kepada orang kaya sedangkan yang sepatutnya menerima, jiran2 Johor kering kehausan.

By jericho  on November 19, 2009 4:15 PM

Singapore already say they will not renew the 1961 agreement which expires in 2011. Still want to bring this up when people no longer interested to have a new agreement.

Can't take it that they refused to acknowledge your "ketuanan bullshit", is it?

Read here for the true picture of what a boo boo Dr M did in his bungled negotiation.

http://www.dfw-singapore.com/water_talks_final.pdf

By anakbudu3  on November 19, 2009 12:29 PM

Assalamualaikum Ayahnda Tun n Bonda.

please allow me

to 'singalong' n the like,

you people are so rude, ungrateful & never know how to thank others who are so good to you or appreciate what God has given to you. Malaysia has been giving you singalongs cheap clean water n yet you said its longkang water. how dare you! really2 ungrateful. i dont totally blame it on you n your like in the way you people react to your neighbours' difficulties or hardships because it's in your roots the 'kiasu', selfish, arrogance inherited from your ancestors n always think that you people are superior than others around you...if the wrath of God falls upon you people, there's no where to hide, not even in the deepest bunker that your government has prepared for you (wonder whether you n your like will be taken into the bunker when the space is so limited! there will be inspections after inspections of your intelligence, health n capability to withstand things in critical situation...can you imagine that? scary...). tsunami, earthquakes n landslides in the neighbouring countries have proven bad consequences for ungrateful people, the worst has yet to come. before that comes, enjoy the previledge that you have never bothered to check where they come from or know how they come into existence because your government has twisted the true history of your 'newborn' country...

yes, we may be stupid, as you said, weak n always 'give in' to our neighbours though at many times our neighbour has always been ungrateful, has always been taking from us without feeling any regret or try to think n feel from our perspective as people who are been opressed, twisted and taken advantage at... you people are really opportunists. you can laugh a lot now but wait, the weak will never stay weak forever. Most of us have strong faith in God n believe God is Great. the time will come when disaster strikes on a small island like yours...anything can happen, rising sea level, tsunami, earthquake, plague n what not...you can pay your price at that time. we will help you more, dont you worry..but this time you may have to bend down n think hard how you are going to thank your good neighbour SINCERELY...

Islam is now growing all over the world. People who are once atheists, christians, freethinkers, buddhists, hindus n what not are now embracing Islam after they have researched n found the truth in Al Quran n Hadiths. Good Muslims brothers n sisters are every where...the more the west try to twist about Islam world, the more their people learn about the truth n beauty of Islam as the way of life...please do not equate Islam to terrorism. terrorism occurs in every race, every country n not seasonal...watch western movies where the authorities, police, army or special forces are sometimes potrayed as terrorists, they are above the laws.

Enough said. we are blessed with free will, a sound mind, and a heart. We Malaysians, are always good, actually...

May Allah The AlMighty bless both of you Ayahnda n Bonda. Thank you, forever...

Wassalam.

just my brain wave...

By Zulkiflee Bin Arip  on November 19, 2009 11:36 AM

Asslamu'alaikum Tun,

saya rasa orang Melayu belum cukup matang untuk buat apa-apa perjanjian dgn s'pura.kita masih ditahap jujur-politik berbanding dgn LKY dan kaum nya yg tipu-helah mereka telah begitu maju dan bertamadun lebih 5000 tahun.kita buat perjanjian dgn niat ikhlas untuk mematuhi nya.tapi mereka buat perjanjian dgn niat serong untuk mentafsir kembali perjanjian itu menurut kekuatan (ketenteraan) mereka dimasa depan,atau dgn jalan membantu musuh kita untuk menjatuhkan

kita, yg dgn cara demikian, apapun perjanjian yg kita ada dgn mereka, akan terpaksa kita terima menurut tafsiran mereka.

Tunku, sebelum memerdekakan s'pura, telah menyiapkan segala-galanya untuk orang Melayu disana, supaya orang Melayu tidak terpinggir. Tapi hari ini LKY dgn begitu cekapnya dapat meminggirkan orang Melayu tanpa diskriminasi yg jelas dalam semua bidang. Orang Melayu ada 13% pengundi, tapi tak ada satu pun pemimpin Melayu republik itu yg dipilih sendiri oleh orang Melayu. Semua nya dipilih oleh LKY. Sebab tak ada lagi kawasan undi dimana Melayu jadi majoriti yg membolehkan mereka memilih pemimpin sendiri, sepertimana orang Cina dan India Malaysia boleh dgn bebas memilih pemimpin mereka. Ini meritokrasi dan demokrasi s'pura. Sebab itu Melayu s'pura berada pada kedudukan nya yg lemah dan tak berpengaruh. Penutupan sekolah-sekolah Melayu atau sekolah-sekolah yg ada nama Melayu tak dapat dikekang, madrasah yg ada hari ini pun adalah atas belas ihsan pemerintah mengizinkan kewujudan nya. Semua nya gara-gara orang Melayu s'pura percaya pada janji LKY untuk kehidupan yg lebih baik dan samarata dan orang Melayu akan dibantu untuk setara dgn orang-orang asing. Hari ini memanglah keperluan asas Melayu s'pura boleh dikata baik, tapi mereka ibarat menumpang di China atau Taiwan. Pasukan tentera s'pura, terutama tentera udara dan tentera laut, tak ubah ibarat PLA, People's Liberation Army of China. Langsung tak ada Melayu. Tentera darat, adalah sikit-sikit untuk setakat gambar poster tapi tak lah sampai boleh menggugat tidur malam mereka. Kemudian, pertambahan penduduk Cina s'pura meningkat amat pesat dgn pengambilan rakyat China menjadi warga s'pura semakinlah lagi menghakis kedudukan orang Melayu. Dan tak ada negara barat yg buat bising tentang perkauman atau penindasan hak bumiputra atau pencabulan hak-asasi manusia atau tidak demokratik atau peminggiran orang Melayu dari arus perdana s'pura. Jika ada perkara yg mereka buat yg merugikan Melayu, maka mereka akan berulang-alik antara tiga alasan iaitu 1) meritokrasi 2) business-decision 3) quota. Ketiga-tiga nya dipakai berselang-seli menurut kepentingan mereka untuk memberi mereka keuntungan maksimum. Tapi siapa kah yg boleh bersuara membela kepentingan orang Melayu s'pura? Tun, sekiranya kita tak mampu berbuat apa-apa sekalipun, tetap lah kita ada tanggungjawab untuk sedar dan belajar. Kerajaan perlu disedarkan untuk tidak berurusan dgn s'pura. Umno perlu di ingatkan kpd kesilapan nya besar nya memberi kerakyatan kpd orang-orang asing yg membawa kpd keluar nya s'pura dari Malaysia dan Kesultanan Johor. Masa'alah air hanya lah soal kecil saja. Masa'alah sebenar adalah soal kedaulatan bangsa Melayu dan agama Islam. Apapun perjanjian, kemaslahatan dua perkara ini lah yg perlu diambil kira. Kuasa Perdana Menteri perlu dibataskan agar tidak membuat perjanjian-perjanjian yg merugikan bangsa dalam tempoh yg panjang. PAS dan PKR perlu dididik tentang politik yg diluar batas yg boleh meruntuhkan Melayu dan Islam.

Kedua-dua anak Tuan Ibrahim, Anuar dan Zahid dan yg ketiga, Yaakob yg di s'pura harus di ingatkan tentang sejarah kelemahan Melayu yg selalu gagal mempertahankan diri dan supaya kasih dan sayang pada anak bangsa nya dan jadi Pelindung, bukan jadi Pelingkup Melayu. Terikasih Tun.

By thinktok  on November 19, 2009 11:21 AM

From the 103 postings No one bothered to give an accurate snapshot of the problem including Tun himself. Why concentrate on just the inaccuracies. It occurs to me the motive is to stir up the people and to make sure that the present Govt cannot have meaningful negotiations.

The cost is 3 sen. That is correct

The first agreement expires in 2011. Singapore has got intelligence that the Malaysian Govt then


is not going to renew it just to bring Singapore to it's knees. It is not about money but about jealousy and personal animosity.

In fact Tun and LKY negotiated and agreed to up the price to 45 sen but Tun raised it later to a much much higher price UNILATERALLY just to scupper the deal.

Try to understand the issue in totality. Singapore paid for the dams and infrastructure and sold treated water to Johore way above the agreed amount at greatly subsidised price. That is money. Tun knows it and that is why the revision of prices around 1987 was not done as decided by Malaysia.

Why make our heart so sad over wrong information.

Malaysia should decide whether to enter into another contract to sell to Singapore raw water at a new and fair price starting 2011. Johore will get more money.

[By peter511](#)  [on](#) November 18, 2009 4:35 PM

Dear Tun,

All the water agreement

http://infopedia.nl.sg/articles/SIP_1533_2009-06-23.html

<http://www.iseas.edu.sg/ef12003.pdf>

[By suffianngabdullah](#)  [on](#) November 18, 2009 3:01 PM

salam ayah det and mama,

i am surprised by the comment of singalong...he must be singaporean and a selfish person not thinking right..i do not really comment on the comments by others, but i think singalong is ridiculous and knows not what he is commenting...you can do a poll and see the results..at rm0.03 cannot even buy you a sweet...whtmore a thousand gallons of raw water????? if singalong's salary remains the same from the time he embarks in the working world, then his comments will be relevant..costs is ever escalating and it is only fair for an increase in the pricing for the treaty...malaysians are not asking for the moon but just a reasonable price for raw water supplied to singapore...wht more the singaporean government is selling raw water at SGD20 per thousand gallons to the ships...this information can be checked for its' genuinity..thus, wouldn't it be better for us to sell to the ships in ptp instead of getting rm0.03 per thousand gallon from singapore!!! doesn't make sense as we had been tolerant for far too long with singapore..so pls, singalong, do not harp on this issue if you had an increase in your salary from day one you started work to meet the high cost of living nowadays..basic rules of thumb applies...

we had been on this issue of water and i wld suggest that we put our stand firmly and not be hard pressed by singapore anymore..then again, we can just turn off the tap after the expiry of the treaty..do not put humanitarian factors into this as singapore has a per capita of more than 5times of wht we have..you have to factor everything in and not just the negativities ...so, i hope the singaporean government will learn to grow up and be resonable...and not be a thorn in the flesh..that is all ...i hope to get a reply from singalong..

wishing u and mama the best of health..take care and wassalam..suffian

[By 6 Jahanam](#)  [on](#) November 18, 2009 2:07 PM

Menteri Kesitaran Singapura,

Menteri bertanggung jawab sumber air Dr Yaacob Ibrahim,
bukan Dr Muthu, atau DR Tan Ah Kow.

Singapura bagus eh, ada

Menteri hal ehwal Masyarakat Melayu/Islam Singapura,

TAK pernah Dengar Pula

ada Menteri Hal ehwal Cina, Menteri Hal Ehwal India,
atau Menteri Hal Ehwal Christian, Buddist ke, ke apa apa ke.
Sedangkan penduduk Cina, Kafir lebih ramai eh,
Mustahil bin Ajaib,

ini mesti ada Undang disebalik Mee Maggi

[By](#) Singalong  [on](#) November 18, 2009 12:11 PM

Dr,

Sand? Sin gomen dont need malaysian sand anymore lah. They already sourced and started to import sand from vietnam, myamar , cambodia, laos even though its more expensive its better than dealing with you where contract signed can be null & void. Mana ada system? They also started to research on artificial sand which are called M-SAND already used for some of the construction activity in Sin lah.

Whatever you want to do, LKY already pre-empt it, you dont have a chance lah. You want to skin a lion? Beware you end up in the Singa stomach aarh!

[By](#) Singalong  [on](#) November 18, 2009 11:55 AM

Dear M

You knew Johore gomen buy cheap and subsidised treated water from Sin and sell it to johoreans for high profit. johore gomen also make money by selling raw water to Sin even if it 3 sen/1000 gallon because they dont have to do anything eg daming, extraction from river, piping all paid by Sin gomen. furthurmore if Sin gomen dont buy the 3 sen water it will just flow to the sea and johore gomen will get nothing. 1malaysia people you understand all these if not please go google.

[By](#) afk  [on](#) November 18, 2009 11:39 AM

Kerajaan Malaysia..

dari ape yg sy lihat..kita xperlu sambung perjanjian air tu..cube kita imbas kembali...adakah kita dpt untung dgn jual air dgn harga 3 sen...x berbaloi..spore amik dgn kita murah..dia jual blk berkali2 ganda...cadangan sy..

nk jual air, tp dgn harga yg tinggi dan berpatutan, tp utk smab perjanjian selesaikan tentang jambatan..dan jgn sambung perjanjian lama2.. 2thn sekali perjanjian...every 2 years, samb with new rate


[By](#) Singalong  [on](#) November 17, 2009 3:39 PM

1Malaysia People

you guys must be illiterate and buah bodoh. Sin gomen already announced they will let the 2011 water agreement lapse once it comes along so why harping, yelping you want more than 3 sen for those polluted lokang water if not for them buying will ultimately flow to the sea.

Dont be hoodwinked by the spin doctor again. Go google and see what the actual details for the water agreement really are. Sin gomen will never ever let anyone threaten them again and be self sufficient after the doctor said potong potong and many ways to skin a cat. He got no chance not in his lifetime anyway. So at the mean time will enjoy the 3 sen for the next 50 years or so. Grow up and wake up lah.

Malaysia bodoh oops sory malaysia boleh lah

[By](#) HBT  [on](#) November 17, 2009 9:08 AM

Ayahanda Tun,


I agreed with Teik Chun..... Jangan main2 dengan Kuan Yew.... You want water, pay for the right price.... Don't want to pay current market rate, then build The Scenic Brige to contra off the water price increment deal... period. Sand, sorry No Sand Lah because Singapore is a country

and it's independent from Malaysia, and DAP Rokat is inconsiderate and cannot differentiate the relationship between Taiwan ROC and Hong Kong to mainland China.... because they only know Reject and Korek.... they know nothing about government. And TS Koh Tsu Khoo has to work harder, and please stop playing the China's communist sentiment in Malaysia to win the Chinese votes lah..

Tengku Razaleigh and YB Sharir Samad would be able to assist.... if PM Najib wants to see KPI's Idris Jala could walk its talk.. If PM Najib does not allow, then PM Najib will be "ngap" by Anwar's campaign for RM1 by trumpeting corruptions and wrongdoings due to Mei 13 & OOps Lalang 1987 to win over Chinese votes !!!

Chinese and other races of today do not believe in marriage by convenience for the sake of Nama saja.. they want is long term and secured accomodation for the future, and they are the rightful citizens of Malaysia of the country.. they are not the Permanent Status Residence.. be considerate lah BN, no more BUMI here NON-BUMI there.. to sustain growth.. if BN want to be relevant in next PRU...

Good day Ayahanda Tun.

[By](#) MISS TAN  [on](#) November 17, 2009 7:18 AM

Agreed

Humanitarian reasons is NOT a price factor for water.

Water is also our natural resources, likewise rubber, timber, etc.

It is our rice bowl.

It is a commercial item where price is determine by demand, supply.

Water are more precious than oil yet we sell at no value.

We did not sell them by barrels, nor inhumanly restrict their usage, as to meet a higher price.

Several BIG pipes that deliver to their doorsteps.

We even allow them use our land in Johor to secure their water.

Yet again they want our KTM land in Singapore.

Water is a commercial value product.

Humanitarian comes to picture IF only Singapore has disaster, likewise when we help Indonesia disaster, Not just water, medical,

food or whatever of our means. We will do it for Free out of humanitarian, sincerity and a good neighbor.

They spent billions on their military capabilities,

Water their basic needs for their own people,

they need our humanitarians, compassionate grounds.

What are their weapons meant for.

[By](#) Teik Chun  [on](#) November 17, 2009 12:55 AM

Jangan sambung water agreement expired on 2011.

UNLESS:


Able to pay for RM(reasonable rate for treated or untreated water)/gellon for the new contact and revise for the same tarif for the one expired on 2060. The price for both agreement must be reviewed within (reasonable period)year.

Otherwise...

Jangan Sambung.....

This issue...rayat Malaysia tunggu...as one of the KPI for DS Najib and existing government.

Jangan main-main dengan itu LKY....

[By](#) Wallace  [on](#) November 17, 2009 12:30 AM

Should stop selling water to Singapore if loss. This is for benefit of Malaysian.

[By bunga melur](#)  [on](#) November 16, 2009 11:45 PM

I love this neighborhood, but this one neighbor really really elling fish.

Selfish.

Stink.

Thank you.

[By adik adik](#)  [on](#) November 16, 2009 9:43 PM

To sell water cheap as to of no value, for humanitarian reasons,

is NOT a reason, it is a lame, stupid reasons.

We are not mean as not to give them water.

We are no evils. There are price for oil, price for everything.

World Globalize in commercial transactions, as in \$\$\$ and make sense.

Middle East is oil rich, water poor, and water is more valuable than oil, yet we sell cheap.

We buy Western Technology in exchange with our natural resources like rubber, palm oil, etc. Water is also our valued resources. It is not meant for free. It should be a Commercial Valued product.

Humanitarian grounds to determine the price of water is unacceptable to now economy, it is void. Unless if they face natural disaster, then it is our duty as human and good neighbor, to help with sincerity.

We are dealings with a proud, rich neighbor. Most wealthy nation.

No debts like us, undeclared reserves \$\$\$\$.

.

[By adik adik](#)  [on](#) November 16, 2009 9:39 PM

To sell water cheap as to of no value, for humanitarian reasons,

is NOT a reason, it is a lame, stupid reasons.

We are not mean as not to give them water.

We are no evils. There are price for oil, price for everything.

World Globalize in commercial transactions, as in \$\$\$ and make sense.

Middle East is oil rich, but water poor, and water is more valuable than oil.

We buy Western Technology in exchange with our natural resources like rubber, palm oil, etc. Water is also our valued resources. It is not meant for free. It should be a Commercial Valued product.

Humanitarian grounds to determine the price of water is unacceptable to now economy, it is void. Unless if they face natural disaster, then it is our duty as human and good neighbor, to help with sincerity.

We are dealings with a proud, rich neighbor. Most wealthy nation.

No debts like us, undeclared reserves \$\$\$\$.

.

[By adik adik](#)  [on](#) November 16, 2009 5:35 PM

Oi Bang,

Lupa ke saudara kita kat Lebenon.

DI sana di Timur Tengah, disini Asia

Layanan dia kat Yahudi lebih mulia dari orang Melayu/Islam sendiri.

Amerika bagaikan perlindung mereka.

Itu sebab pemimpin mereka hendakkan kewujudan AS di Asia.

Tengok saja,nanti perjanjian air tamat

dia akan berbaik baik dengan kita,silap hari bulan ada saja

Menteri kita yg kena jual.

Sudahlah Tun Mahathir yg kita muliakan tak dihormati mereka,


dicaci Johor sebagai negara banyak perompak,nak juga air Johor,dan nak murah lagi,BETUL macam Lanun

Masa itu Emas


Air itu Berlian

By vit  on November 16, 2009 4:09 PM

they singapore just kiasu..."sudah kaya pun nak murah lagi..kedekut" just give them the water.."kesian mereka tu".. "kononnya"..and just build only the bridge..they just feel scared to malaysia...

By vit  on November 16, 2009 3:58 PM

dey singapore just kiasu...after lee kuan yew step down...no demokrasi there anymore...and water just give them..."kesian singapore...dah bsar and kaya pun nak murah lg ka..kedekut"..and just build the bridge..dey singapore just feel scared of malaysia...it is their doom time...

By SabahBoy  on November 16, 2009 12:24 PM

Dear Tun,

Saya telah terbaca komen Tun baru-baru ini ketika Tun melawat Sabah. Tun mengatakan bahawa SABAH TELAH MENYELAMATKAN BN dan saya bersetuju dengan itu. Sebenarnya kredit harus diberikan untuk Sarawak juga, kerana mereka juga telah melakukan sama dengan Sabah dalam PRU12.

Tetapi, mungkin BN Sabah tidak akan dapat melakukannya dalam PRU13 kerana hal-hal yang seperti demikian :-

1) Sepertimana Kerajaan Berjaya tumbang apabila menyerahkan Labuan kepada Wilayah Persekutuan. Kali ini sekiranya isu Gas Asli Kimanis tidak yang akan disalurkan ke Bintulu Sarawak tidak dihentikan, saya yakin 99.9% rakyat akan menghukum kerajaan BN dan UMNO di Sabah. Sekiranya Pahang dibayar 3 sen untuk air ke Selangor/KL Sabah hanya dibayar 5 sen (5%) untuk mengalirkan Gas Asli ke Sarawak. Jadi Saya mohon Tun gunakan pengaruh untuk meyakinkan PM sekarang untuk membatalkan projek ini.

2) Semasa Tun masih PM, Tun pernah berjanji kepada rakyat Sabah pada Tahun 2004 bahawa Kerusi UMNO di Sabah tidak akan melebihi 50% tetapi apa yang terjadi kini UMNO mempunyai lebih 50% ADUN dan lebih 50% Parliment. Difahamkan dalam persepadaanan yang baru, UMNO Sabah sudah mencatur strategi untuk mempunyai kerusi di semua Parliment kelak. Jadi mohon agar Tun berbincang dengan PM sekarang untuk ini pula.

3) Projek-projek di Sabah nampaknya belum lahir sudah dimiliki oleh golongan tertentu yang pastinya dari kawan kita di UMNO sabah. projek bernilai BILION, RATUS JUTA, PULOH JUTA, JUTA, dan RATUS RIBU RIBU pun sudah mereka miliki kecuali peruntukan khas ADUN dan Parliment. Apakah tujuan mereka ini? adakah untuk memastikan komponen kalah dalam PRU13.


4) Beberapa parti Komponen BN iaitu LDP dan UPKO telah menyuarakan supaya Jawatan Ketua Menteri Sabah dipendekkan kepada 2 tempoh (maksimum 10 tahun sahaja). Saya percaya ini ada persamaan dengan pengiliran jawatan KM Sabah yang Tun pernah lakukan dahulu.

Sekiranya ini dilakukan maka kuranglah kronorisem dan mungkin sokongan BN akan tetap baik nanti. Jangan pula kerana engan beralih sehingga Rakyat yang akan menggantikan bukan sahaja KM tetapi BN di Sabah.

Ini adalah keluhan penduduk Sabah yang perlu tindakan tuntas kerajaan Pusat.

bagi Tun pula, saya berdoa agar Tun dipanjangkan usia dan terus menjaga kesihatan supaya ada Negarawan yang mampu menegur kerajaan.


P/S semalam saya menonton Biografi Mahadthir part I di Astro akan menunggu Part II Ahad ini.

[By Sakura_Sakuragi](#)  [on](#) November 16, 2009 9:46 AM

I propose Malaysia should not continue the 1st agreement with S'pore because:

1. Malaysian population is growing 4-5 times greater than S'pore.
2. Singaporean are living or overstaying in Malaysia.
3. They have 2nd agreement till 2060, so we are not that cruel if we stop supplying 1st agreement.
4. Malaysia need more water to cater industrialization demand and improve quality of people's life.
5. Clean water is expensive nowadays, so if Singapore is willing to continue, sell at more than S\$5 per gallon (considering their per capita income is 5 times greater than M'sia).
6. Malaysia is low income economy as compared to Singapore, so they should use their income to purchase water from other countries, or process sea water.
7. Singapore do not want to build the scenic bridge and allow Malaysian ports in peninsular to prosper.
8. Because Singapore is so kiasu.
9. Because Singapore is not grateful to Malaysia all these years, and keep on winning on everything by hook or by crook. Even asked their citizen to litter in Malaysia!
10. and many more other reasons, be it logical or not.....

Singapore should be grateful, because without Malaysia - they were not able to prosper as what they have achieved now.

[By HBT](#)  [on](#) November 16, 2009 9:31 AM

Ayahanda Tun,

Just a piece of news from The Star Online regarding Obama's visit to Shanghai meeting Mr Hu JinTao. Shanghai so far is the only city which opens to U.S.A. The people in Shanghai are more western and open-minded than those of Beijing and State levels. According to my husband in Hong Kong, bloggers who criticised the State governments are caught and may be charged for their strong critics in courts. Authocratic controls in Freedom of speech and human rights are preferred by China's war mongers, and Chinese in China are not religious. The main stream news are pretty tight controlled by Mao Zedong's team, that is Hu JinTao.

From Hu's and his teams's reaction,I guess China's politics, economics and social landscapes are still in vulnerable "Budak" stage, after this economic crisis.

Shanghai, Singapore and Hongkong would be the watchdogs for USA and Asia relationship in many years to come.

//Sunday November 15, 2009

Obama in China faces tensions on trade and Tibet

By Caren Bohan

SHANGHAI (Reuters) - U.S. President Barack Obama faces tensions with China over trade and Tibet on his first visit to the emerging superpower for a summit that will grapple with economic imbalances and the future of the yuan.

U.S. President Barack Obama steps off Air Force One as he arrives at Pudong International Airport in Shanghai November 15, 2009. The Chinese characters read: "China Eastern Airlines". (REUTERS/Jim Young)

Obama arrived in Shanghai, China's commercial hub, late on Sunday to torrential rain and is due to meet city officials and hold a town hall-style meeting with young people before heading to Beijing later on Monday.

Chinese state-run Internet sites have asked the public for suggested questions to quiz Obama with at the youth meeting, and many urged him to explain any plans to meet the Dalai Lama, the exiled Tibetan leader whom Beijing scorns as a "separatist."

These events will be a warm-up for Obama's summit with President Hu Jintao in the national capital on Tuesday that will cover trouble-spots such as North Korea and Iran, and efforts to forge a new climate pact.

Obama has said he will also raise the sensitive subjects of human rights, and sometimes tense trade ties and China's yuan currency, seen by U.S. industry as significantly undervalued and stoking unsustainable global economic imbalances.

"The president will be talking about balanced, strong sustainable growth and the policies that go into making that happen," a U.S. official told Reuters, though added they did not expect any tension over recent trade spats.

"We've had very good meetings with them around a variety of issues. We have a deep and broad economic relationship with them."

At a gathering of Asia-Pacific leaders in Singapore over the weekend, Hu pointedly ignored international calls for his government to help ease those imbalances by raising the value of the yuan, making Chinese exports relatively more expensive.

He and other senior Chinese officials have instead accused other countries -- implicitly including the United States -- of embracing damaging trade protectionism aimed at Chinese goods.

Obama's meetings with China's leaders are unlikely to yield any big policy shifts on the diplomatic and economic problems facing the two big powers, said Drew Thompson, an expert on China at the Nixon Center in Washington, D.C.

"This isn't a trip about deliverables," Thompson told reporters in Beijing, where he was visiting.

"It's a trip about staying the course, keeping the two ships on the same course and not letting them bump into one another."

SHARP JABS OR SOFT STROKES

Having already made their gripes clear before the summit, Obama and Hu may avoid sharp public jabs as they focus on building goodwill.

Obama has cast his visit as an effort to win trust from a government and a public often wary of U.S. intentions towards the rising Asian superpower and world's third biggest economy.

The United States welcomed Beijing's growing global role and "does not seek to contain" it, Obama said in a tone-setting speech on Asian policy in Tokyo on Saturday.

But nearly 80 percent of Chinese respondents who answered an online survey said the United States did not want to see their country rise, the Chinese magazine, *Globe*, reported last week.


Ben Rhodes, a White House communications official, told reporters with Obama that the Shanghai meeting would be streamed over the White House website (www.WhiteHouse.gov).

It appeared China would not fulfil U.S. hopes for the session to be broadcast nationwide on Chinese television. Officials wanted to show it only on a local Shanghai service, said Richard

Buangan, a spokesman for the U.S. Embassy in Beijing, who was in Shanghai for the President's arrival.

(Reporting by Patricia Zengerle and Caren Bohan; Writing by Chris Buckley; Editing by Benjamin Kang Lim and Charles Dick)

Copyright © 2008 Reuters//

[By](#) Duyam1968  [on](#) November 16, 2009 9:29 AM

Dear YB Tun,

We should/must highlight this matter to present Government (Ours truly PM) so that all the negotiations to be held on the first agreement which to be expired on 2011 should/must to be made public/transparent. All the terms to be proposed by our "generous and respected" neighbour also should be made public. Rakyat consensus should be obtained before any decision to be made by our government.

Jangan pula ada "barua" yang menanggung di air yang keroh. We do not want any hidden agenda by any person. If we continue to fail or at disadvantageous position, I do not know what to say. We have time to make preparation or to carry out research (financial/operational). Jangan jadi macam Batu Putih, preparation sambil lewa (Of which the government official had the guts to admit it).

Regards,

[By](#) Danyaen  [on](#) November 16, 2009 7:44 AM

Greetings again Tun,

I hope this finds you and your family in good health.


I agree with points 1 and 2. Pahang would be VERY reluctant to sell to Selangor at that price. Irrespective of what Johore will ask from Singapore I think having Selangor buy it at a reasonable price will allow for easier renegotiation on water prices with our neighbor.

Being charitable? Yes. But not raising prickly issues? That has always been a major no-no in my book. If things have to be done, they have to be done. Or said. A lot of these "prickly issues" fester because no one just comes out and say "Yes, we did this. we pissed you off. We're sorry. Can we move on?" Instead they hide behind fake courtesies and smile at each other.

We should maintain as best we can what good relationship we have with our immediate neighbors. Some of us live there and some of them live here. The common man does not care for the spat between their governments. He just tries his best to cari makan.

On our former premier...he just wasn't the right man for the job. I shall speak no ill of him. He was a sapling compared to your oak.

Sell water to Singapore but revise the prices. I'm sure with their PCI they can afford to pay a reasonable price for water. Pahang will sell to Selangor at a reasonable price and that will be a good guideline for how much it should cost.

[By](#) azlan  [on](#) November 16, 2009 4:18 AM

Water like petroleum is our national resource and ought to be protected, not to mention well taken care off. The quantities are not unlimited and I believe at one stage in the future, water can and will be scarce - I saw from my own eyes how the villagers in Sudan were drinking muddy water since they had not received clean water from the government for more than two weeks then. We have to protect these resources for the benefit of the future generations of Malaysians.

We did a good job to ensure that our petroleum extracted by foreign entities within our boundaries, a huge chunk of them gets rooted back to the government and to the people with the advent of PSCs. Can't we exercise the same careful jurisprudence on our water issue as well?

I am sure we have some talented and educated lawyers that can represent Malaysia terms well when negotiating with Singapore. If no one can do it, I would volunteer even though I am just a dumb roughneck on the rig!!

Salam hormat.

Azlan

Caspian Sea

[By](#) huzanna  [on](#) November 15, 2009 9:31 PM

Assalamualaikum Tun Yang Amat Dihormati,

1. Kerajaan Malaysia, harus tegas dalam perkara ini. Kita boleh bertolak ansur sekali sekala, tetapi bukan selama-lamanya.
2. Bukan mudah 'dealing' dengan negara jiran terdekat ni, lebih-lebih lagi Lim Kuan Yew, masih di 'sebalik tadbir'.
3. Saya bersyukur, kerana PM ke 5, sudah bersara. Saya berdoa semoga kepimpinan sekarang bijak membuat keputusan supaya setiap keputusan yang dibuat tidak akan mendatangkan masalah dan kerugian besar kepada negara dalam jangka masa panjang, sebagaimana yang telah banyak dilakukan oleh PM ke 5.

Rasa bersemangat menonton rancangan Biografi tentang Tun (part 1). Kepada pelawat Tun di sini jangan lupa menonton Biografi Tun di History Channel (555) bah. ke 2, hari Ahad ini jam 8.00 malam. Tun, you're the best leader in Malaysia. Thank you, Sir. Semoga Tun dan Tun Siti Hasmah sentiasa dilindungi dan dirahmati Allah.

BERSATU RAKYAT UNTUK KESEJAHTERAAN NEGARA TERCINTA, MALAYSIA.

[By](#) formule1  [on](#) November 15, 2009 9:22 PM

1. Kerajaan mesti betul2 pertimbangkan isu air dengan singapura-pura ni..
2. Tahukah Tun bahawa sebuah stesen televisyen swasta yang sentiasa memburuk-burukkan imej malaysia di indonesia, dimiliki oleh syarikat yang berpangkalan di singapura?
3. pemilik stesen tv ni adalah seorang warga indonesia yang beristerikan warga singapura, tetapi isteri & anaknya sendiri menetap di singapura dan tidak pernah kelihatan di indonesia.
4. bak kata Tun lah, something fishy... Hopefully Dato Najib take wise action.

[By](#) Prof Ribut  [on](#) November 15, 2009 6:06 PM

Salam Tun,

Pada pandangan saya kita patut menaikkan harga air pada tahun 2011 apabila perjanjian yang pertama itu tamat. Harga baru tersebut sepatutnya cukup tinggi bagi menampung kerugian perjanjian kedua yang berakhir pada tahun 2060. Sebagai contohnya kalau kita inginkan harga air bagi kedua-dua perjanjian tersebut berharga 30 sen untuk setiap seribu galen air, maka kita kena letak sekurang-kurangnya 60 sen untuk setiap seribu galen air bagi perjanjian baru yang bakal diadakan pada tahun 2011 bagi menampung harga air yang tidak dapat kita naikkan untuk perjanjian kedua tersebut. Apa dia nak kata? Kalau tak setuju suruh orang singapura minum air kumbahan tu saja la.. dan kita tak perlu jual air lagi untuk perjanjian yang pertama itu.


[By](#) rakyat_biasa  [on](#) November 15, 2009 2:50 PM

salam Tun,

just curious at your point no 4..

"4. The other treaty would end by 2060 in which the price is also 3 sen per thousand gallons. But without the 350 million gallons daily according to the first treaty, Singapore's rapidly increasing population of new immigrants would not have enough water."

Does that mean after 2011, there is not commitment how much we need to supply? Then, technically... i would suggest we supply 1 gallon a month!
as a rakyat i don't mind subsidizing Singapore until 2060 @ 1 gallon/month

[By adik adik](#)  [on](#) November 15, 2009 1:28 PM

WIN WIN

opposite meaning of Win is Lose

When one win the other lose

So if one win win, the other will definitely, lose lose

Common phrase used by S,pore Govt

So Malaysia it seems you always lose

Na....nanana...neeh!

[By MISS TAN](#)  [on](#) November 15, 2009 12:54 PM

Singapore

1.No water but the Govt earn more than us the supplier as they charge much higher even to their own people.

2.No Oil but they earn more than oil producer,only with taxing the user.

3.No Car manufacturing industries they earn much more by the COE, GST,road usage,etc,than we the car producer.JUST a piece of COE paper they earn 1 unit of our car,perhaps 2 unit.

4.No agriculture, they earn from our soil, doing nothing.

Our perishables product before reach Singapore,

we pay 7 percent GST.Our Govt did not get anything,they give free levy for perishables products and helps our farmers.

8.They have GST Refund Counter at their airport.

They did not provide any GST Refund Counter for us, at Tuas nor Woodland.Our right for refund is forfeited

9.Our cars enters Singapore with Vehicle Entry Permit tax,their cars enters our land its free,besides their everywhere parking charges,the ERP,etc and above all no rooms for human error.

You have to pay heavier fines even you are ignorant.

No human error in Singapore,except for their Govt.

Lots and lots of facts that we ought to look upon.

We cannot be Mr.Niceguy at the advantage of Mr.Richguy

So Malaysians and Malaysia GOVT

Bang.....un,Ban...gun asik tidur aja.


Nanti dah kena jelajah padan muka

Jangan asik cakap ,menang sorak aja, macam tin kosong

Aah...deh, poodah

[By srizals](#)  [on](#) November 15, 2009 12:51 PM

I hope the banana plant won't bear fruits twice again Tun. The Lost of Pulau Batu Putih which had made us 'berputih mata' still haunts me. I hope there are some improvements on Banana Island or Pulau Pisang status. I hope it won't end up like Pulau Batu Putih, since the Singaporean do have their light house over there. Governing them of course. Tolerance has a limit. Don't break it for the Malays.

[By rahim](#)  [on](#) November 15, 2009 8:58 AM

Y.A.Bhg Tun,

Cukoplah Tun. Tak usah dok sebut nama Pak Lah lagi. Dia pun dah bersara. Jasa dia bagi pegawai kerajaan berpencen dapat lebih sikit sangat dihargai. Dia memang kurang berani sebagai PM. Dia takut nak bergaduh dengan jiran. Sebab dia tahu kita tidak ada kekuatan ketenteraan untuk lawan dengan Singapura. Kalau kita roboh tambak Johor, mungkin Singapura jadikan alasan untuk menyerang dan membina zon keselamatan sehingga kesempadan Sungai Muar. Kita tak boleh nak lawan kerana dia ada banyak kapal terbang. Itulah mungkin yang difikirkan oleh Pak Lah. Lagi pun dia tak suka nak consult orang lain. Dia hanya dok bersembang dengan anak beranak dia sahaja. Walaubagaimana pun bagilah dia rihat dan jangan dok ungkit lagi nama dia. Mungkin Najib boleh jadikan ini sebagai pengajaran nak buat sesuatu yang menyentuh kepentingan bangsa dan negara maka runding dulu dengan bijak pandai yang lain. Elakan memerintah secara eksklusif sangat dengan beberapa kerat penasihat. Pepatah Melayu kata elakan dari sokong membawa rebah.

2. Bila kita ada kuasa maka ramailah beberapa kerat tertentu nak rapat dan himpit. Bila mereka dah dapat, mereka akan bina tembok supaya orang lain tak boleh rapat. Contoh terbaik ialah orang tingkat 4 dizaman Pak Lah. Minta maaf kalau tak betul. Bagitulah hakikat orang-orang yang berkuasa. Ketua jabatan Kerajaan pun sama juga. Bila berkuasa, hanya dihimpit oleh beberapa tukang kaki ampu dan akhirnya Ketua Jabatan berkenaan gagal untuk melihat gambar yang lebih luas dan gagal mendapat maklumat yang tepat. Bila dah pencen maka lari puak berkenaan sampai open house hari raya pun dah tak nampak muka.

3. Singapura akan terus bermegah dan kuat selagi kita tidak berupaya membangunkan ekonomi kita segera menjadi negara berpendapatan tinggi. Tun telah tetapkan wawasan 2020 untuk mencapai tahap negara maju menjelang 2020. Masaalahnya wawasan ini tidak diberi sokongan berterusan. Pak Lah bubuh gear kebelakang kononnya nak tumpu kepada koridor dan nak jadi sektor pertanian sebagai penjana ekonomi negara. Berbilion wang negara dah habis. Najib akan ambil masa untuk perbetulkan semula struktur ekonomi negara supaya Wawasan memodenkan ekonomi negara melalui perindustrian, knowledge-based economy dapat digiatkan semula. Negara tidak ada pilihan melainkan menggunakan model ekonomi yang berjaya seperti Korea Selatan atau Jepun. Kita berjaya dalam sektor perladangan komoditi tetapi sektor agro food yang telah menerima pelaburan Kerajaan yang besar gagal mencapai matlamatnya. Negara masih import beras seperti ditakok lama walaupun ada cerita boleh capai tahap keperluan sendiri sepenuhnya menjelang 2010. Lebih baik wang yang dibelanjakan berbilion ringgit itu digunakan untuk membawa kesejahteraan hidup penanam padi melalui sistem subsidi secara tunai seperti Kerajaan memberi bayaran tunai kepada nelayan. Sekarang ini banyak subsidi dalam bentuk input tidak berkesan. Baja tidak diguna. Parit tali air yang digali tidak sempurna. Kontraktor dan pembekal yang untung tetapi petani kekal miskin. Akhirnya wang negara rugi.

4. Malaysia hanya boleh jadi kuat melalui pengstruktur semula ekonomi negara supaya rakyat boleh berpendapatan tinggi. Petani diluar bandar yang mengerjakan tanah yang bersaiz terlalu kecil perlu dikeluarkan dari sektor pertanian dan diberi peluang dalam bidang lain yang lebih berupaya menjana pendapatan tinggi. Sampai bila pun penanam padi atas tanah serelong dua relong tidak boleh keluar dari lingkaran kemiskinan. Kerajaan hanya menjalankan dasar memanjangkan hayat kemiskinan mereka melalui sistem bantuan sekarang.

5. Bila kita dah kaya dan kuat maka Singapura tak akan berani nak tunjuk kuat dengan kita. Sekarang kita dah hilang Pulau Batu Putih kerana kita silap bawa perkara tersebut ke Mahkamah Antarabangsa. Sepatutnya kita tak perlu bawa. Kita tunggu sampai kita dah cukup kuat dari segi

ketenteraan maka kita runding dengan Singapura untuk ambil alih jaga rumah api tersebut. Sekarang nasi dah jadi bubur.

6. Bagitu juga dengan kes air. Kita tidak ada kuasa sebab perjanjian dah ikat kita selagi ada bulan dan bintang. Kita terpaksa reserve suatu kawasan yang luas di Johor sebagai catchment area untuk membolehkan air dapat dibekalkan secara berterusan bagi kesejahteraan orang kaya di Singapura. Sepatutnya orang tinggal di Pulau kena bayar kos air tinggi sebab bekalan air terhad di Pulau berkenaan. Kita tidak boleh menyesal kerana sejarah tidak berpihak kepada kita. Sewaktu orang putih datang untuk berniaga di kepulauan Melayu, mereka sudah memiliki tamadun dan kekuatan tentera diluar keupayaan kita. Bila berunding maka dirunding apa yang mereka kehendaki mengikut syarat mereka dan apa yang kita mesti berikan. Maka kita pun terpaksa berikan apa yang mereka nak walaupun kita sayang. Kita hilang Pulau Pinang pun bagitu juga keadaannya.

7. Sekarang ini kalau kita berunding dengan Singapura maka kita akan kecewa dan sedih sebab kita hanya kena melepaskan lebih banyak hak-hak kita. Mungkin sebab itu Pak Lah ambil pendekatan tak paya dok bising fasal air atau tak payah nak robohkan tambak Johor walaupun air di Selat Tebarau dak terlalu kotor. Agak pelik juga walaupun Malaysia negara bedaulat tetapi nak buka semula pintu air di Tambak Johor boleh. Kita kena berlutut dengan Singapura. Bila kita nak berlutut dia pula nak minta benda-benda lain lagi seperti hak ruangan udara untuk kapal terbang udaranya dan dia nak ambil tanah milik KTM. Pak Lah mungkin telah ambil pendekatan yang betul. Kita tangguh dulu. Buat apa pening kepala sekarang. Bila kita kuat nanti maka kita cuba bincang semula.

8. Akhir kata saya cuma nak tanya Tun mengapa Tun bersara sebelum diselesaikan isu yang tertunggak dengan Singapura. Sepatutnya Tun tunggu sampai Tambak Johor dah dirobohkan dan kemudian bersara. Keadaan hari ini amat berbeda kalau Tambak Johor dah dirobohkan. Kapal boleh belayar melintasi Selat Tebrau. Keselamatan negara lebih terjamin. Singapura tidak merasakan dirinya masih berupaya membina zon keselamatan menggunakan sempadan Johor sebagai asasnya. Saya juga terfikir bila Tambak Johor sudah dirobohkan maka kita akan ada kekuatan untuk merundingkan harga air yang lebih munasabah dengan Singapura.

Yang Iklas,
Abd Rahim

[By adik adik on](#) November 15, 2009 6:26 AM

Hmmmm.....mmm,

Selain dari isu air banyak lagi isu yang amat lebih penting perihal Singapore dan Malaysia mesti di perhatikan.

1. Isu perlaburan Sin di Malaysia. Upama mengundang penjelajahan ke bumi Malaysia. Dah banyak tanah Malaysia tergadai dan kelihatan penduduk Malaysia dah banyak pun Cina Singa mahu pun Cina CHINA

yg di import dari Singapura. Lambat laun mereka masuk arena politik habislah UMNO. Di BATAM sudah kelihatan ciri ciri mereka masuk arena politik yg bermula dari economy.

2. Meritocracy yg dinamakan Singapura membawa masuk ramai orang Cina dari China sudah menyempitkan nasib orang melayu disana.

Sudahlah sempit, terhempit lagi. Kesian...an. Adakah kita akan membiarkan perkara ini berlaku di sini. JANGAN harapkan kerajaan saja, orang melayu pun mesti menyumbang sebagai satu anggota mempertahankan perkara ini berlarutan.

3. Dek mengejarkan Globalization, perlukah kita bertarung tanah kita.

Globalization bagus pada yg sudah lengkap dan yg tak punya seperti Singapura. Yg tak bersedia relax sua, nanti anda kerugian sebab anda belum bersedia, nanti banyak lagi yg bakal tergadai, Tak payah ikut ikutan.

4. Alangkah baik kita bawa masuk orang Islam dari Indonesia, Bangladesh, Iran yg saya rasa lebih abdal

untuk umah Islam yg tertindas sekarang

By RROOSSLLAANN  on November 15, 2009 1:18 AM

Dear Tun Dr. Mahathir,

I am RROOSSLLAANN, I understand your meaning and I agree with you also. Friend is friend but Business is business. I have many friends but when go to business, 10 sen also calculated. I have many friends that willing to "BELANJA" water (drinks), but not all the time can "BELANJA". May I suggest a polls or EGM (Example: Do Malaysian agree to sell water with current market and revised every 2 years) for "Water issue". In my opinion, we can not lost again, and we have to re-collect the long time losses with a new price. Their new generation and next generation have to pay our previous losses if they want it. If they do not want, they can buy bottled RO at Johor Bahru.

I hereby advise coming GOVERNMENT 2011, pls handle "Water issue" carefully and properly. We are watching you.

Thank you.

Regards

RROOSSLLAANN

By S..Tan  on November 14, 2009 10:53 PM

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat sejahtera. Kiriman saya dgn izin....

.....CHARITY OR BUSINESS

I sell you bamboo in the jungle (which you must extract yourself) at 3 sen and buy back rattan (includes delivery) at 50sen is called charity! So is selling rubber and buying tyres?

.....WEALTH OR WEAPON

Water that will otherwise flow to the sea should be an economic resource or economic weapon? Blessed source of income or source of sanction?

We should treat it as a natural resource like rubber which we sell, or a weapon to cow others?

Palestine cannot be forced to submit with a full blockade of power, water, medicine and other goods. 350mil gal of water can twist the arm of a sovereign govt?

Even oil (non renewable resource) cannot be used to intimidate, what of water which can recycle, renew and replenish? Jgn fikir air masin tanda berada di laut besar.

.....MOTHER OF INVENTION

Necessity is the mother of invention. I fear our eagerness to secure 'payback' will push S'pore to other countries (Thailand, Indon) or other sources (water catchment projects).

M'sia is the only country in the world blessed enough that somebody wants to buy its water.

Hope we don't shoot our own feet with arrogance.

We have benefitted Thailand and Indonesia with Proton, are we doing the same with water?

By Mohd Peter Davis  on November 14, 2009 10:32 PM

WATER, WATER EVERYWHERE BUT NOT A DROP TO DRINK


Like a 19th century sailing ship wallowing helplessly without wind in mid ocean, Singapore is surrounded by water yet dying of thirst. For a nation as rich and as technologically advanced as Singapore it is shameful to be so dependant on Malaysia for such a basic need as fresh water. It resorts to legally but shamelessly stealing water from Malaysia at 3 cents per thousand gallons. Even more degrading is watching Singapore reprocess human urine and trying to convince its population to drink the NEWater, as if the nation were a spacecraft, forced to recover every last drop of water.

It is long past time for Singapore to GO NUCLEAR to cheaply desalinate ocean water and at the same time generate its electricity without depending on imported oil, gas and coal. Singapore scientists have accepted that solar and wind power is a joke for running an industrial nation. Now its scientists are exploring an underground nuclear reactor. Clearly, it is time for Singapore to listen to its scientists and make the political decision and join its ASEAN partners, Malaysia, Indonesia, Thailand, Vietnam and Myanmar, who are taking their first steps to go nuclear. Asean nations with nearly 10% of the world's population need about 18 times more electricity to reach the healthy per capita electricity consumption of Australia. Cambodia, stuck in the Stone Age, needs an incredible 900 times more electricity.

Let Singapore become a good neighbour and show the nuclear way forward. To kick start the process and convince ASEAN of its nuclear sincerity, Singapore could order a floating nuclear power plant from Russia, whilst it planned a more long term solution.

Last year Lee Kuan Yew suggested that ASEAN, including Singapore, establish a nuclear powered common electricity grid. Singapore will then become a secure and dignified nation by producing its own plentiful supply of fresh water and electricity.

Biosphere Technology website: www.mohdpeterdavis.com

By Afandi  on November 14, 2009 10:03 PM

The Culture Of Compromise.

When we embarked to do anything normally, we tend to be anxious and ambitious. It is normal to do it overboard and excessive. We got so overwhelmed that we did not compromise or making too much compromises.

Our country is multiracial. At the beginning compromises were made to set things up correctly. Compromises have to be made to make the ball rolling. Compromises at the beginning is definitely the right thing to do.

Of course compromises make a lot people happy. When people are happy they can focus on something else which is important, like working and working to develop country. Definately, compromises induces some degree of peace.

The Idea is

The problem with this country is that ever since, excessive compromising has become the solution and culture. There were and are too many compromises being made. When you make too many compromises, important agenda is very difficult to roll off, difficult to do and some time has to be abandoned. When there are too many compromises, we lose the sight of the right thing that has to be done. We are so swap to entertain a lot of parties and the kind of compromises have to be made that we do not quite enough argue what is the right thing to do. The correct way is that to figure out the right thing to do first then figure out what is the compromises that need to be made to ensure that the agenda goes through accordingly but not at the expense of abandoning and ourselves losing too much.

So it the case of water, we should question what is the right thing to do. We are to come up with

the answer and only then figure out the compromises that we need to make, without compromising or abandoning the right thing to do.

The thing is if you make too many compromises over a long period of time environment will always and eventually deteriorate. The time will come that we have to make a harsh call or else we will lose it all.

Since we have developed and having the culture of making too many compromises, I like to draw our attention to situation of the country. The reason I bring out the issues is just to trigger everyone to think.

The first scenario, the government has the obligation to drive the country forward in term of economics, moral, thinking and many other aspects and disciplines. If they do not drive all these things forward they will lose the confident of the people.

The second scenario, the government or the ruling party has to really revamp the political parties that it becomes relevant, stable and produces quality leaders that will lead the country forward. Most of the leaders may not be relevant anymore and should be replaced. They are there not focusing on the people or the country anymore, they are there to take care of their legacy and position only. If they are not replaced, the government will also lose the confident of the people. But the thing is if they are replaced there will definitely be havoc and instability in political parties. The coming election will definitely will be in jeopardy.

The fact that we have to face is that we are running out of time and we are at the cross road. We have to make a turning soon. The government is to make the decision and cannot be so hopeful that things are going to be ok. If they do not realise this fact and decide to ignore it, one day they will regret and found out that they have lose it all.

It is difficult issue but unfortunately the time has come to make the harsh decisions and it is so difficult to make the right compromise now, for we have arrived at the crossroad. May God have mercy on us.

[By wydwy](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 9:58 PM

Ask Singapore to call for open tender. Even if we don't get it, let them pay.

[By rarunasalam](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 7:51 PM

Tun

this is quite funny as Tun Abdullah was Foreign Minister during your administration and he did your bidding, which would mean if there was something to do about the water business, it would have been done in your time. Pls agree you had 22 years to put the whole water issue to bed ...Tun Abdullah had 5, and for most part he was evaluating all your extended decisions...

You keep bringing up this water contract but never one to say where your administration failed to renegotiate? Why harp on Tun Abdullah? Tell us, what is your actual bone of contention? Its ok to admit Tun if you felt Tun Abdullah offended you when he took over as PM, but to pin the water and this and that on someone who had less than 25% of your time in the power seat looks a to be a cheap shot...

Ravi


[By Hajar](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 7:37 PM

Dearest YAB Tun,

Review the water price so that it is more REALISTIC and REASONABLE. The price must be right.

Tell Singapore, "**Take It Or Leave It**".

*** May Allah SWT bless Tun & family ***

[By nahar](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 5:41 PM

Salam Tun;

*****KENAPAKAH KITA SERING MENGALAH DENGAN SINGAPURA. BUKAN SOAL AIR SAJA TETAPI BANYAK PERKARA*****

Tun;

Air boleh dianggap sebagai bahan KOMODITI semula jadi kurniaan Allah yang amat berharga kepada kita.(semua makhluk termasuk manusia di bumi).

Tanpa air; bumi akan kering dan mati seperti mana planet-planet lain. Malahan di dalam Al-Quran berkali-kali disebut bahawa pentingnya air yang menjadikan bumi hidup.

Maaf Tun. Seheinggakan didalam Syurga pun keperluan air itu tetap ada; sepertimana yang diceritakan dalam Al-Quran tentang nikmat-nikmat yang terdapat didalam syurga, iaitu didalamnya terdapat mata air dan sungai-sungai yang mengalir tidak putus-putus untuk dinikmati penghuninya.

Sebagai bahan komoditi seperti minyak, air sebenarnya boleh menjana pendapatan kepada negara bagi kegunaan domestik dan eksport(sama ada yang belum atau yang sudah dirawat), kepada negara jiran yang memerlukanya.

Negara Arab sanggup mengeluarkan kos bagi menarik bongkah ICEBERG yang terapung di lautan ke pantai untuk diproses sebagai sumber bekalan air mereka.

Dalam perkembangan industri global hari ini, komoditi air yg amat berharga ini; selain bagi kegunaan rumahtangga; yang paling penting ialah bagi kegunaan industri, (sprt. industri makanan, minuman dan sebagainya).

**Ada negara sanggup berperang kerana berebut punca air yang datang daripada satu sumber aliran sungai seperti sungai Nil di Afrika, Tigris-Furat di Timur Tengah (Iran/Iraq) dan beberapa negara lain lagi.

MENYENTUH TENTANG BEKALAN AIR KE SINGAPURA, KITA SEOLAH-OLAH MEMBERI SUBSIDI KEPADA NEGARA KAYA SEPERTI SINGAPURA. SEDANGKAN MEREKA MEMPEROLEHI KEUNTUNGAN BESAR BERLIPAT KALI GANDA DARIPADA HASIL AIR YANG DIIMPORT MURAH DARIPADA JOHOR SEJAK SEKIAN LAMA. (Manakala keperluan air di negara kita seperti Kelantan masih daif.)

Perkembangan pesat industri perkilangan, perkhidmatan pelabuhan dan pembangunan pesat prasarana Singapura amat bergantung kepada bekalan sumber air daripada Johor ini. Walaupun air laut boleh dirawat, tetapi kos rawatan adalah mahal dan tidak dapat menampung permintaan tinggi akibat perkembangan pesat industri Singapura.

Singapura juga mendapat untung besar daripada penjualan air yg dibekal kepada kapal-kapal dagang besar yang singgah dipelabuhan Singapura untuk mengambil bekalan bagi keperluan dalam pelayaran dan bekalan kepada kapal terbang.

Selain dari itu air juga diguna sebagai bahan penyejuk bagi sistem aircond berpusat yang dipasang pada bangunan-bangunan besar dan pencakar langitnya, juga bagi kegunaan industri pertanian dan yang berkaitan denganya.

Adalah tidak adil jika difikir-fikirkan kembali senario tentang perbekalan air ke Singapura ini, tanpa kita boleh berbuat apa kerana telah termaktub dalam perjanjian Kontrak Hadapan - yang telah dipersetujui oleh pertalian sejarah silam yang tidak dijangkakan.

Tetapi sebagai sebuah negara adakah kita tidak mempunyai hak untuk menetapkan harga komoditi kita sendiri,?.

Kita terlalu banyak bertolak ansur dengan Singapura sebagai jiran. Apakah Singapura tidak boleh bertolak ansur dengan kita.?.

*****Berkenaan dengan status Pulau Batu Puteh, kenapakah harus dirujuk ke ICJ.
Bukankah status pulau itu dari segi sejarah dan jarak adalah sah milik Johor. Apakah kita tidak boleh berkeras kerananya atau membiarkan dalam keadaan seadanya(status sebelum keputusan ICJ). Paling tidak sempadan dan laluan laut antara Pulau Singapura dengan PB Puteh tidak dipertikaikan.
Kerana jika pengadilan telah diputuskan itu hak Singapura, maka akibatnya nelayan di Johor tidak dibenarkan lagi secara bebas melintasi garis sempadan yang telah ditetapkan sepertimana sebelumnya.

*****Apakah Malaysia terlalu yakin bahawa ICJ akan memihak kepada kita sepertimana kes Sipadan & Ligitan.
***Kita harus ingat bahawa Singapura bukan lah Indonesia. Singapura adalah Ayam Tambatan dan berstatus istimewa sama seperti Israel kepada negara Barat. Jadi tidak hairanlah jika keputusan ada unsur biased & double standard walaupun di ICJ.

[By oscar](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 4:20 PM

What a waste of resources we have....

Government shud act now....

RM 0.30 cent rather than Sing 0.30

Humanitarian feeling is good but need to have win2 situation.

increase to RM 0.30 and reduce the amount of tax payers. It will sounds good to Government

We lose 10 times if we still remain 3cents. We should not lose anymore

[By Bukit Kuching Tengah](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 3:03 PM

Salam Tun.

3 sen till 2060?. you might as well give it FOC and take out all mathematics subject from all school and university. Susah2 belajar math benda mudah macamni pun tak tau kira....

Soalannya, masa zaman Tun dulu.. (22 tahun memerintah), apa Tun buat?

Wassalam.

[By skywalker](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 2:36 PM

Salam Tun,

Tolong maklum perkara ni pada PM sekarang dan MB Johor tu. Jangan nanti dah sampai 2011 baru nak gelabah fikir apa nak buat. I'm positive Singaporean had figured out what to do or how to do to get another cheap water from us..

To PM Najib and MB Ghani,

Hopefully you two have read this and you better come up with a brilliant plan. Starting now...tu, apa citer kes rumah api di Pulau Pisang tu, itu hari bukan main berdentam-berdentum bila Pulau Batu Putih dapat kat Singapore, sekarang nie..senyap jer...kita nie jangan la action base on sentiment, because we will becoming weak during crisis..no action, talk only...itu pun bila dah terkena...

To Tun,

Take care of yourself well, cause I care about you..

Wasalam

[By Phua Chua Kang](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 11:09 AM

Ahyah,you Malaysia Govt so lembek one lah,

Everytime with S,pore Govt kena main.

Next agreement you want to charge,charge lah

S,pore Govt want something from you,of course,

they baik baik with you,lah.

After they get what they get, you buat bodoh lah.

Their newater is just an experiment and a study,using their own people as guinea pig,once they got the technology they will sell this technology to middle east,make more money lah.

Also they are not stupid like you,newater is their bargaining instrument for them to tell you that without your water they can survive.It is just a bargaining instrument to fool you again.Ahyah,you so bodoh.You are not rich,again you want to help,rich nation like Singapore.You yaya like papaya.Now everything must count even the use of your land for their water usage or what also must count.How to feed your own people if everything you give face to people,that,s why your UMNO is weak.

You got to be mean to be good,Bro...

Don,t play play.This time must serious one,ah

Ok Bro, assalamualaikum.

[By Jamal !\[\]\(66568c3ce22862f5aa9927d764d3a113_img.jpg\) on](#) November 14, 2009 10:37 AM

Water is life. Water is Allah's gift to us. Should we trade it?

Yes and no! If the lack of water is going to cause lost of lifes we must not sell it. However, if it is used for pursuing wealth it must be traded. If it is used to subjugate we must not sell it.

In the instance of Singapore's purchase of water from Johor, it can be classisified in the second catagory, it can be traded.

In any trading situation - supply and demand forces plays a very important role. In the equation are the reselling of treated water and other factors.

The people preparing for the negotiations of the First Agreement, they MUST think like businessman as I am sure their Singapore counterpart will be.

What is the goal of a businessman when approaching such negotiaions?

Keep emotions out of it. Keep national interest as reference but you always want to BEST deal from it.

Get the Best Lawyers and advisors for this job, Tuns name must figure amongst them.

All the Best to the negotiating team.

[By JJJ !\[\]\(80c6bed9eea3405d65d99e3946f11e9c_img.jpg\) on](#) November 14, 2009 9:05 AM

salam Tun,

Prosper thy neighbour but at what expense?Make good friends?

We must never forget that Singapore was 'not a good friend of ours'* during the 97 Asian financial crisis.

I say we must be smart negotiators when dealing with our neighbours as they are always poised to take advantage of any situation at any time.

Oh and by the way,revive back the Jambatan Indah project!And the government should concentrate to help PTP become a worthy competitor to PSA.PTP certainly has the potentials and had given PSA a mighty fright before.

We must strive to better our economic standing and we actually can be a better neighbour by being a serious competitor.

Wassallam


Jeng3

*former Indonesian president BJ Habibie

[By nase2 !\[\]\(168c6248f7cd2459c7c41fdf6a66a331_img.jpg\) on](#) November 14, 2009 7:54 AM

Salam buat Ayahanda..

1. Seronoknya duduk kat Singapura, air hampir percuma dari sumbernya. Cukai kereta murah sebab jual air sahaja, kerajaan untung ratusan juta. Dapat beli kereta berprestasi tinggi dengan harga murah dan lenjan cukup-cukup kat lebuhraya di negara kita sampaikan kita sendiri mengalah.
2. Air pada kadar 3 sen sehingga kini tu adalah memadai kerana menggantikan tanah keretapi milik KTM yang tak dapat dibangunkan oleh Kerajaan Singapura. Dia dah hilang potensi pendapatan dari tanah tu berapa banyak..? Jadi, kita kena tanggung la sikit beban dia. Kita ni kan jiran yang baik hati lagi bodoh.
3. Orang Selangor/K.L lagi untung - air mentah kena beli dari Pahang dan saluran paip pindahkan air pun kerajaan kena bayar (pinjam duit dari Jepun) tapi air dapat free. (Nak guna banyak, cari jalan pecahkan meter dua tiga dan gabung balik.. Agaknya la. Kalau marah, nanti tak nak undi lagi..)
4. Kalau proses Newater tu pun, dia tetap kena ambil air gantian kerana susutan sebelum tuaian-semulanya tinggi. Loji nyah-garamnya, kos pengeluaran tinggi. Tapi tak apa, lepas tu dia cukaikan rakyatnya lagi sikit - mungkin atas cukai kereta, macam kita buat kat sini (untuk tampung belanja pembangunan).

[By Mazlan Taat](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 6:21 AM

Salam TUN,

Setiap kali perkara-perkara yang berbangkit dengan Singapura mesti menarik minat ramai, tetapi apakah rancangan kita terhadap pulau kecil tersebut. Saya rasa eloklah kita ambil balik dan menjadi hak kita semula kerana memang dari sejarah mula-mula dulu pun ia tetap milik kita dan bagaimana caranya untuk mendapatkan semula.

Itu diselatan dan diutara pulak, wilayah 2 5 selatan Siam itu memang dari dulu pun milik kita dan ada cara tak bagaimana kita boleh mendapatkannya semula.

Saya berharap TUN dapat respon dengan pertanyaan ini dan saya menanti penjelasan dari TUN. Sekian wassalam

[By Redhuan D. Oon](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 3:31 AM

Tun seems very capable of keeping in touch with many important issues. The present government should retain Tun as a senior minister to give further and continuous positive impact to our future as a nation. Tun possess the right spirit and attitude as well as an uncanny far sighted vision that is rare to find among us.

Redhuan D. Oon

[By Mohd Azwan Abu Bakar](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 2:52 AM

Salam Tun,

Agaknya kalau Tun tak utarakan topik ini mungkin ramai (atau mungkin hampir semua) rakyat Malaysia tidak tahu perjanjian ini akan tamat pada tahun 2011.

Tau-tau sahaja nanti perjanjian sudah diperbaharui.

Haih.

[By shafinazh](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 1:32 AM

Tun,

Saya sebenarnya dah letih mengenai isu air dengan Singapura ini, cuma ingin secara ringkas mengulas mengenai betapa perlunya kita terutama para pemimpin negara ini belajar daripada kesilapan-kesilapan lalu dalam hubungan kita dengan negara-negara jiran tetangga.

Pengajaran penting dengan Singapura ialah kita mesti lebih cerdik daripada Singapura apabila membuat sebarang perjanjian dengan republik itu pada masa-masa akan datang.

Contohnya, perjanjian yang berat sebelah sebelum ini termasuk dalam tempoh yang lama selama 100 tahun telah memakan diri kita sendiri dan negara serta rakyat kita secara umumnya menerima padahnya hari ini.

Perkara ini terjadi kerana kejahilan kita tentang undang-undang antarabangsa dan sikap murah hati kita dengan jiran-jiran tetangga.

Kalau kita tidak suka kepada seseorang pemimpin itu dulu kenapa kita beri dia sebuah pulau kita?

Apa pemimpin kita ketika itu dilaporkan berkata, pemimpin negara itu macam kanser dan cara mudah mengatasi kanser ialah dengan membuang bahagian (pulau Singapura) yang dicemari kanser itu.

Alangkah dangkalnya pendapat ini, cuba bayangkan jika sebahagian daripada tubuh badan kita dipotong, tidak kah jadi cacat kita?

Silap-silap kalau kaki kita dipotong, kita boleh jadi lumpuh tidak boleh bergerak.

Kalau bermurah hati sangat kepada jiran tetangga pun, perlu kah kita memberi semua kepulauan kecil kita yang berhampiran kepada Singapura?

Pada ketika itu, angkatan tentera kita kuat berbanding Singapura, tidak kah boleh kita mengambil semua kepulauan kecil berhampiran Singapura termasuk Batu Putih?

Setelah terantuk dibuat Singapura, kita masih tidak lagi belajar daripada kesilapan lalu, dan Pulau Pisang dibiarkan berterusan ditadbir oleh Singapura sehinggalah satu hati kita juga akan kehilangan pulau tersebut dan kalah dalam pertikaian undang-undang antarabangsa.

Tun, bagi saya senang sahaja, untuk mengatasi seteru kita yang licik, kita juga harus belajar menjadi licik seperti musuh kita.

Beljarlah sedikit bagaimana musuh kita berfikir dalam setiap rundingan mereka dengan kita.

Saya tidak nampak sebarang jalan penyelesaian berhubung isu air dengan republik itu buat masa ini dan masa akan datang, cuma yang saya nampak dan perlu kita lakukan ialah memperkasakan kekuatan angkatan tentera kita supaya kita tidak diugut dan diancam oleh jiran yang lebih kecil daripada kita berhubung isu air pada masa akan datang.

Akhir sekali kita juga harus bersedia berperang dengan Singapura jika perlu apabila diugut oleh republik itu supaya terus memberikan bekalan air murah 3 sen kepada Singapura selepas tempoh perjanjian bekalan air tamat.

Mungkin dengan berperang dengan Singapura ada hikmahnya, duri dalam daging akan dapat kita atasi buat selama-lamanya dan mungkin kita akan dapat semula pulau mutiara yang kita hilang sekarang.

Salam Tun.

[By helmykl on](#) November 14, 2009 1:05 AM

Dear Tun,

The most sensible thing to do is to sell them treated water at market price after 2011. Just take over their dams and water treatment plants in Johor now operated by Singapore's PUB (Public Utilities Board) upon the expiry (2011) of the 1st water agreement. Singapore has been living off our misery for far too long. Hope Najib will be firm on this issue. Otherwise, he is the same as AAB. Behaving just like a guru besar sekolah rendah - standing in front of his officers under the sun every 1st Monday of the month at Putrajaya for a few hours talking nonsense...

[By Ponce de Leon on](#) November 14, 2009 1:05 AM


Salam YBhg Tun

It's difficult to judge how well we have negotiated the agreement with singapore without knowing the underlying factors surrounding the issue.


Whatever are the factors, apparently we have been bullied again and again on numerous occasions and other issues - this is difficult to comprehend. Are we poor negotiators, or are we at a poor bargaining position or we are simply too charitable and been taken advantaged of. Or there may be other reasons.

It's time we come clean and truly protect our own interests. No more being bullied and no more trade-offs or whatever. If we can't negotiate then don't negotiate. If we look at the law of supply and demand, then we should be getting a much better price. I hope we really get serious and start thinking of getting a better deal.

Wishing you and Tun Dr Hasmah in the best of health always

[By fawz](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 12:57 AM

Tun Tidoq hadri hadri takut S'pore serang kalau Malaysia tak bagi ayak! buat apa charge 3 sen kalau nak buat baik, bagi free lagi bagoi.

[By S..Tan](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 12:21 AM

Dear Tun, salam bahagia moga sejahtera. Kiriman saya untuk tajuk ini.....

.....UNHOLY INTENTIONS

While others avoid prickly issues to make friends, some exploit thorny issues to make enemies. We wont know which.

.....CORDIAL OR HOSTILE

While others seek to make friends at M'sian's expense, some seek to make enemies to become hero and relevant. We cant prove which.

Whether cordial or hostile will get a better deal, we wont know. Hostile negotiation e.g. N Korea and US, Iran and UN, Palestine and Israel!

.....ROBBERY! MONEY OR LIFE?

Some people are drum beating or anticipating gleefully the expiry of the agreement? The solution is simple. For M'sia if no proposal comes from S'pore, just turn of the tap in 2011. For S'pore if M'sia is not interested to renew, save water or seek alternative sources.

I don't think S'pore is anxious to buy (at least not in 2011) or M'sia desperate to sell. S'pore is not as fragile as Proton, you cut one artery it drops dead!

.....IMPOSE RATES OR RATIONING?

However has Johor got the capacity to treat 37mil gal water per day that will dry up together with 350mil gal raw water? I hope Johor is prepared. While S'pore has been busy with newwater, desalination plants, water projects what is Johor doing?

Instead of gleefully calculating how much to charge S'pore, we may have to plan how much water rationing to do in Johor! Maybe Melaka can sell treated water to Johor for 50sen!!

[By Mas Merah](#)  [on](#) November 14, 2009 12:17 AM

Salam Tun yg dikasihi,

1NASIB BAIK SATU PERJANJIAN AIR AKAN TAMAT PADA 2011, TETAPI YG 2060 TU AGAK BERAT SIKITLAH. MENGAPA BOLEH JADI BEGITU LAMA SESUATU PERJANJIAN ITU BERKUAT KUASA?

2SINGAPURA TELAH BANYAK MEMPERMAIN DAN MENCABAR KITA. KITA TAK PERLU BERSAHABAT DAN BERBAIK DENGAN MEREKA. MASIH INGATKAH KITA BAGAIMANA MEREKA MEMPERMAINKAN KITA MENGENAI TERMA PERJANJIAN AIR? -SEMAKAN HENDAKLAH DIBUAT PADA HARI PERTAMA BILA TIBA MASANYA- MEREKA PANDAI UNDANG-UNDANG, MACAM KAPAL SING.

3SAYA TAK PASTI BETUL ATAU TIDAK- BILA LORI-LORI KONTENA PENUH DENGAN BUAH DURIAN THAILAND, KULITNYA PERLU DIBUANG DI JOHOR, ISINYA SAHAJA YG BOLEH MASUK KE SINGAPURA. MEREKA MEMANG CERDIK.
4MASIHKAH PASIR DARI NEGARA KITA DIHANTAR KE SANA? HARAMKAN SAMA SEKALI. BIAR DIA IMPORT PASIR DARI AMERIKA LATIN, BARU DIA TAHU.
5BAHAN MAKANAN DARI MALAYSIA TAK PERLU DIHANTAR KE SINGAPURA, BIAR DIA DAPAT BEKALAN DARI USA, KITA TAK PERLUKAN TUKARAN WANG MEREKA.

5ORANG MEMBERI KITA MERASA, ORANG TAK BERI KITA TAK SAH KATA APA.

By [nahar on](#) November 13, 2009 10:03 PM

Salam Tun;

Air boleh dianggap sebagai bahan komoditi semula jadi kurniaan Tuhan yang amat berharga kepada kita.(semua makhluk termasuk manusia di bumi).

Tun, dalam bidang perubatan; air adalah sebagai bahan perawat awal, betul tak.?. Kerana itu lah apabila pesakit masuk wad; langkah pertama ialah masukkan air ke dlm tubuh(drip).

Tanpa air; bumi akan kering dan mati seperti mana planet-planet lain. Malahan di dalam Al-Quran berkali-kali disebut bahawa pentingnya air yang menjadikan bumi hidup.

Sehinggakan didalam Syurga pun keperluan air itu tetap ada; sepertimana yang diceritakan dalam Al-Quran tentang nikmat-nikmat yang terdapat didalam syurga, iaitu didalamnya terdapat mata air dan sungai-sungai yang mengalir tidak putus-putus untuk dinikmati penghuninya.

Sebagai bahan komoditi spt. minyak, air sebenarnya boleh menjana pendapatan kepada negara bagi kegunaan domestik dan eksport(sama ada yang belum atau yang sudah dirawat), kepada negara yang memerlukanya.

Negara Arab sanggup mengeluarkan kos bagi menarik bongkah ICEBERG yang terapung di lautan ke pantai untuk diproses sebagai sumber bekalan air mereka.

Dalam perkembangan industri global hari ini, komoditi air yg amat berharga ini; selain bagi tujuan kegunaan rumahtangga; yang paling penting ialah bagi kegunaan industri, (sprt. industri makanan, minuman dan sebagainya).

Ada negara sanggup berperang kerana berebut punca air yang datang daripada satu sumber aliran sungai seperti sungai Nil di Afrika, Tigris-Furat di Timur Tengah (Iran/Iraq) dan beberapa negara lain lagi.

MENYENTUH TENTANG BEKALAN AIR KE SINGAPURA, KITA SEOLAH-OLAH MEMBERI SUBSIDI KEPADA NEGARA KAYA. SINGAPURA MEMPEROLEHI KEUNTUNGAN BESAR BERLIPAT KALI GANDA DARIPADA HASIL AIR YANG DIIMPORT MURAH DARIPADA JOHOR SEJAK SEKIAN LAMA. (Sedangkan keperluan di negara kita seperti Kelantan masih daif.)

Perkembangan pesat industri perkilangan, perkhidmatan pelabuhan dan pembangunan pesat prasarana Singapura amat bergantung kepada bekalan sumber air daripada Johor ini.

Walaupun air laut boleh dirawat, tetapi kos rawatan adalah mahal dan tidak dapat menampung permintaan tinggi akibat perkembangan pesat industri Singapura.

Singapura juga mendapat untung besar daripada penjualan air yg dibekal kepada kapal-kapal dagang besar yang singgah dipelabuhan Singapura untuk mengambil bekalan bagi keperluan dalam pelayaran dan bekalan kepada kapal terbang.

Selain dari itu air juga diguna sebagai bahan penyejuk bagi sistem aircond berpusat yang dipasang pada bangunan-bangunan besar dan pencakar langitnya, juga bagi kegunaan industri pertanian dan yang berkaitan denganya.

Adalah tidak adil jika difikir-fikirkan kembali senario tentang pembekalan air ke Singapura ini, tanpa kita boleh berbuat apa kerana telah termaktub dalam perjanjian kontrak hadapan yang telah dipersetujui oleh pertalian sejarah silam yang tidak dijangkakan.

Tetapi sebagai sebuah negara adakah kita tidak mempunyai hak untuk menetapkan harga komoditi kita sendiri,?

Ini ialah hasil drp kesilapan lampau kita sendiri. Termasuk kehilangan Pulau Batu Puteh dan Pulau Singapura itu sendiri, yg sepatutnya menjadi iktibar dan pengajaran agar jadi lebih cerdik dan lebih berhati-hati pada masa depan.

End.

[By kamal ahmad](#)  on November 13, 2009 9:55 PM

Salam kasih dan salam hormat Ayahanda Tun. I think Singapore is well past rationalizing beyond 2011, I am pretty sure that Singapore is way ahead of us at the bargaining table already. What is Singapore? Gay parades? Gay joints? Topless car-wash? A state of oppressed and systematic elimination of Malays and Islam? Failed night time F1? Just what is Singapore? Singapore is simply Lee Kuan Yew. The master of shrewdness, the Chief Operating Officer of Kiasuism, The grand old kengkang himself: H.E Lee Kuan Yew IS Singapore - north to south and coast to coast and all in a stone throw away. Who is Lee Hsien Loong? Would the heavily subsidized golden boy last beyond LKY and 2011? What is next? I think it is going to be that bankrupted opposition leader and his ways after this. I think its going to be PAS & JI spill over into Singapore Muslimhood. I think LHL would be needing a good family size jet on standby at Changi just like the Duvaliers, just incase things gets nasty beyond PAP grasp after LKY heavenly exit. Iskandar – CPF – KTMB Land - Tambak issue – Selat Tebrau mess – Maxis - our own Petronas support industries - Temasik – Maybank – these are all the leverages that’s being created since today and yesterday Tun, even during your time. I think it is best we don’t make any agreement with them now, I think it is best we stop selling half of South Johor to them now, I think it is best we observe more and talk less on them, I think we best just lock all gates, doors and windows at our side and we just sit here and watch them feed turtle soup to LKY. Turtle lives to be several hundred years old, and the Chinese believe that by consuming turtle soup, it promotes longevity. Beats Red-Bull on any day and LKY don’t need no Tongkat Ali anymore. So see, I say from now on, all negotiations with Singapore; our kind and friendly southerly neighbor, we must send along some live turtles for the old goat back in the Republic, just like Gilligan’s. I say its time we pre-empt them. I heard that LKY may be sending his trusted concierge a Dr Syed Tiga Suku to negotiate for them. Well you know Tun; satu rumpun, satu rupa, bla, bla, bla... Well, we may have to just send home the half baked Dr with a few giant sized toilet rolls. A toast with New Water? Come on Tun! Everyone know its recycled piss and waste! Past LKY or 2011 (which ever comes first), Singapore would really be a zitt down bottom, no more no less and all our fighter jets would have problem downshifting for Singapore without overshooting half way to Borneo already. A pesky red noisy dot! Well, that’s the future. No more negotiations. It always turned out to be an Apache scalping session anyway. For now, I say “Apek! Kasi angkat satu sop kura-kura kiasu special sini meja! New Water satu sama ais kosong! Kulang masin punya ahh! Haiyia, sula tua-tua galam sama sodium mau kasi kuat-kuat jaga!”

Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtle, Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtles! Teenage in a half shell! Turtle power!!!

[By abdrahim1953](#)  on November 13, 2009 9:12 PM

Salam Tun.

Isu di atas begitu lucu bagi saya. Soalan saya mudah. Adakah kadar itu berpatutan pada tahun 2009? Saya rasa kita Malaysia ni yang salah....

Tun saya sedih.

By Rimba Emas  on November 13, 2009 9:03 PM

SALAM BUAT TUN MUGA DIRAHMATI ALLAH S.W.T

1) RAKYAT JOHOR HARUS BERTERIMA KASIH KEPADA NEGERI MELAKA KERANA SANGGUP MENERUSKAN PEMBELIAN AIR WALAUPUN TERDAPAT PERBEZAAN DENGAN HARGA AIR DIKENAKAN KEPADA NEGARA JIRAN SINGAPURA.

2) TIDAK TAHU PULA APA PERJANJIAN (SYARAT KONTRAK) ANTARA KEDUA NEGERI ITU. NAMPAK MACAM NEGERI MELAKA MEMBANTU NEGERI JOHOR MENDAPATKAN KEUNTUNGAN ATAS PENJUALAN AIR. ATAU PUN SEBAB KETIDAK PEDULI KERAJAAN NEGERI MELAKA INI MENYEBABKAN NEGERI JOHOR TIDAK PEDULI JUGA ATAS HARGA KEPADA SINGAPURA.


3) NEGERI MELAKA BAIK SUNGGUH BAGAIMANAKAH RIAKSI NEGERI JOHOR JIKA NEGERI MELAKA MENGHENTIKAN PEMBELIAN ? UNTUK SEDARKAN NEGERI JOHOR AGAR MENGURANGKAN KE 3 SEN.

4) TINDAKAN INI MEMUNGKINKAN NEGERI JOHOR MENINGKATKAN HARGA 30 SEN KEPADA SINGAPURA. JANGAN LUPA NEGERI MELAKA TIDAK PEDULI SEBAB MEREKA ADA ASAS BENDA LAIN IAITU HASIL DARI LOGI PENAPISAN PETROLEAM MENAMPONG KEWANGAN KEPADA RAKYATNYA.

5) NEGERI MELAKA MAMPU MENGAMBIL AIR DARI NEGERI YANG MENGENAKAN HARGA 3 SEN MELALUI SALURAN PAIP. INGAT SEJARAH BERMULA MELALUI SG. MELAKA. SINGAPURA TELAH MENYEBABKAN KEMUNDURAN PELABUHAN NYA. RAKYAT JOHOR KEHILANGAN SINGAPURA OLEH RAKYAT ASING.

6) INGATLAH SAUDARA SEAGAMA KU NEGERI JOHOR KEPADA KEBAIKAN JIRAN SENEGERI MU MELAKA DEMI SATU MALAYSIA. 3 SEN ADALAH KEBAIKAN KEPADA UMAT NEGARA MALAYSIA. ALLAH S.W.T MEMBERINYA SECARA PERCUMA. AIR MELAMBANGKAN KEHIDUPAN MALAH MEMBAHAGIAKAN IBARAT MEMBERI NYAWA YANG MENGHAMPIRI KEMATIAN.

7) HARGA AIR TIDAK SAMA DENGAN HARGA SEBUAH KERETA ATAU PUN SEBUAH RUMAH KERANA IA ADALAH SUMBER KEHIDUPAN DIDALAM DUNIA INI. JANGAN LAH MEMPERDAGANGKAN PEMBERIAN ALLAH S.W.T INI CUKUPLAH JANGAN DI BEBANI DEBGAN DITAMBAH PULA HARGA BARANGAN MAKANAN. WALLAHUA'ALAM

By HBT  on November 13, 2009 8:18 PM

Dearest Ayahanda Tun,

The Star Online reported ...

//Published: Friday November 13, 2009 MYT 7:50:00 PM

Sabah unveils RM3.3bil budget for 2010

By MUGUNTAN VANAR

KOTA KINABALU: Sabah unveiled an RM3.3bil budget for 2010 with a thrust towards eradication of poverty, conservation and people-centric infrastructure development projects.

Chief Minister Datuk Musa Aman in unveiling the budget at the state assembly Friday said that the budget was seriously committed to carrying out development and to bringing about progress to the State as well as to the people at large.

He said the overall thrust of the budget was to strengthen state finances, improve public sector delivery system and productivity, enhancing development and infrastructures as basic amenities and human capital development and improve rural development programmes to ensure quality of life and poverty eradication.

In tabling the deficit budget, he said that the budget proposed to spend RM3,304.38mil in 2010 though they expected a lower revenue of RM3,127.65mil for 2010.

He said the state revenue would see a drop to below RM100mil from forestry for the first time in 37 years but expected a revenue of RM962.48mil from state sales taxes on oil palm and lotteries among others while royalties from petroleum was estimated to be around RM724mil.

The state was also expecting RM544mil from proceeds of the issuance of Sabah bonds for its revenue among other sources of revenue including land premiums.

“The deficit is not a problem, most years my budget has always been in the deficit and it has not been problem in meeting our commitments,” Musa, who is state Finance Minister, later told reporters.

He said the government would spend RM1,109.83bill for new and continuation projects in the state followed by RM264mil.78 for social projects and RM79.49mil for general administration sector.

He said an allocation of RM248.42mil for human resource development including skills and spiritual training that would produce a knowledgeable, competitive and innovative generation.

Musa said that the state government would strive to establish two more sustainable forest units in Ulu Segama-Malua and Tangkulap-Pinagah reserves.

He said sum of RM83.14mil is allocated to Forestry Department in year 2010 for sustainable forestry management and conservation programmes.

The state government was also allocating a sum of RM524.25mil in the 2010 budget to boost the agriculture sector development that included fisheries, livestock and irrigation.

The state budget also allocated a special payment of RM500 for civil servants while also putting stress on the further development of tourism in the state.//

Comment:

Malaysian Mirror reported a Malay man from PKR has submitted the evidence of money laundering activities done by this Chief Minister of Sabah Datuk Musa Hassan few weeks ago to Hong Kong's ICAC (International Cooperation of Anti-Corruption), the news was deleted in less than 10 minutes, why ??

Is this what PM Najib meant LDP Japan in Borneo as reported by The Star ?? In MCA's Kota Kinabalu (if I am not mistaken) PM Najib stressed clearly that LDP Japan cannot pakai lah because the new PM of Japan told him personally that the rakyat of Japan voted out LDP because they are only interested in building infrastructures, not helping the rakyat.. No LDP Japan in Malaysia, LDP Japan in Sabah ??? I heard that TS Ong Ka Ting is very close to Sinchew paper, is this why PM Najib visited the HQ, the 3 rd Force in MCA headed by The Ong Brothers (Ka Ting & Ka Chuan) and Chan guy @ Ling Family.

And now TS Myuhiddin asked for the 2nd time " Adakah wajar untuk MCA to invite PM Najib to solve the crisis now??

I don't think MCA would like PM Najib to interfere because The China Press online headline has just reported that all the evidence for the purchase of RM300 millions KTM's 2nd hand 20 years old kepala keretapi were collected from MOT (Ministry of Transport) by MACC

The Chinese dah banyak2 takut kerana duit ini mungkin jugak akan dilenyapkan through some one named Jebel Ali in the mother scandal PK Free Zone, RM2 billion jadi RM4.6 billion .. Now PM Najib told the audience in APEC Singapore that he is looking for 5% growth, but he hope that he can achieve 3% with his cabinets not as what he has claimed in The Sun as PM: - 6% Growth.

[By Zulfadzli](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 8:14 PM

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Berhubung soal air ini, mengapa tidak kita bertanya pendapat DAP terutama Ngeh Koo Ham yang dalam dewan pada hari ini berasa kecewa kerana Malaysia tak jual pasir kepada Singapura. Bagaimana agaknya penjelasan Anwar Ibrahim menghadapi isu Singapura agaknya jika mereka berjaya memerintah Malaysia?

ikhlas,

<http://reformasimindaguru.blogspot.com>

[By nazrimalik](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 7:59 PM

Hello again Tun,

What to do Tun... Nak potong air to S'pore nanti sekutu depa yg besaq2 tu buat hal dgn kita plak. Nanti tak pasai2 masalah lain plak yg. mai. Klau ikut sejarah air, kita ni asyik mengalah je dgn S'pore. Mengalah sebab kesian. Satu dunia pun dah tau...

S'pore ni akai takdak, maruah pun takdak. Di Malaysia 3 sen senang2 bleh jumpa dlm parit.

Ish!!! sakit hati bila teringat masalah air Malaysia-Singapore! Konon depa nak tunjuk kat dunia depa terror! Piraah!


Tun, lupakanlah pasal hal air dgn S'pore ni. Memang takda kesudahan. Depa ni mcm budak2.

Depa nak air murah bagi pi lah. Kita bagi air byk2 sampai satu hari S'pore tu tenggelam. Kita bg air kat S'pore, Tuhan limpahkan minyak kat kita. Tuhan maha kaya, maha adil! Tapi besok2 ni jgn plak buat deal bg S'pore minyak 3 sen seliter plak...

Tun, bila Najib nak buat jambatan bengkok tu? Yg saya tau, byk makna tersirat berkenaan projek ni. Ya ka?... Klau ya, bengong sungguh org yang batalkan projek ni dulu. Buta perut!

Ok Tun. Hope 2 hear fr u soon. Take care!

nazrimalik

[By zaki_77](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 7:51 PM

What Malaysia needs are intelligent policy makers with foresight and wisdom. Unfortunately, there is not even one person in the government who can negotiate like a statemen with Singapore. It is insulting and offensive to me as a Malay to see Singapore getting off so lightly in terms of payment for our water and other Malaysian states are made to pay more for the same water!

There is no one within the Malaysian foreign office qualified enough to deal with Singapore.

[By Nothing but wind](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 7:45 PM


Dear Tun Dr M,

The financial blunders and abuse of power (came to lime light lately) by the politicians (both ruling and opposition) and the high ranking public servants gives a bad picture of the government and its machinery.

I have a hunch that the above are merely the tip of the iceberg. This need to be stopped immediately and the guilty should be severely punished. If other wise it would erode the public and international trust on Malaysia and its economy.

It is quite sad that there are some politicians and heads of government departments make decisions on political and selfish lines. We could sense that quite a number of them are not honest,accountable and god fearing.

Malaysia could sustain its economy only and only if it cleans up its mess in its closets as soon as possible. This is another task awaiting for our prime minister YAB Najib.

[By](#) mamacantik  [on](#) November 13, 2009 7:07 PM
salam,

Tun selalu dalam doa saya
saya peminat setia tun.

[By](#) weesg  [on](#) November 13, 2009 7:05 PM

I question why this is being brought up now. Singapore has said that they would let the 1st water agreement lapse in 2011. Since Malaysia feel that the water price is unfair, and Singapore is happy to let the agreement lapse, isn't this win-win? If Singapore does not have enough NEWater after 2011, that is Singapore's own doing, right? Seems like someone is trying to flog a dead horse, yet again.

Anyway, I am glad that Malaysia has refuse to extend the 2011 agreement. it is good for both countries. Singapore can also learn to be self sufficient.

It is important to remind people that an agreement is an agreement (is an agreement). Learn to accept it. Chose your leaders wisely.

[By](#) HOT ZOOM  [on](#) November 13, 2009 6:38 PM

Sayangi Malaysia,jagai Malaysia,hormati Malaysia.
Pertahankan Malaysia.

Adakah negara lain akan menerima anda jika Malaysia telah tergadai?

Hapuskan pengkhianat negara dan musuh dalam selimut.

Hidup Malaysia.

<http://www.zoompanas2.com>

[By](#) jeghui  [on](#) November 13, 2009 6:35 PM

The thing is, I am sure they are willing to negotiate, stop thinking the Singaporeans are bad people. It's just the way you want to negotiate that matters.

If I want to sell my product to someone, I have to be polite of course, if not who the heck wants to buy my product?

So, it's a waste to demonise the SIngaporeans, yes, we can be friends, I do not generalise like some people.

So come 2011, Malaysian gov and Singaporean gov should sit down and discuss about the new selling price. I'm sure they are willing to pay for the right price.

My question is though, when the contract was signed, which PM agreed to the price 3 sen per thousand gallons? I dont think it was TDM or Pak Lah (still angry with the scrap of the bridge eh?).

So enough talking about the same old damn thing. Look forward. Be professional. Singapore is not our enemy. Just because you hate Lee Kuan Yew, stop influencing others to join you hating them.

I don't hate people as a whole or community or a country. I will only hate someone as an individual, regardless of nationality, races, religions, color, etc.

I know you won't approve this comment coz you didn't approve mine for your last post.

[By shahrul](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 5:44 PM

well...singapore will continue buy from Malaysia at Rm0.03 per thousand gallons of water...sand...& air space which at the end..

we will be proud of becoming singaporean... well even our people like to praise singaporean more than the malaysian itself...:P

[By gubelin](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 4:13 PM

Tun Dr Mahatir yang teramat mulia lagi dikasihi

If Pak Dol & Co are on the negotiating table, very likely Singapore may get water from Malaysia for free, based on humanitarian grounds. After that, 'tahu sama tahulah!'.

Thank you.

[By sizzlestick](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 4:05 PM

Singapore's intransigence in paying higher price for water; is borne out from Singaporeans' characteristic to solidify the fluidity of any aggravating situations that are encountered. Fluids are pliable, flexible and unstable (turning gaseous easily). This obsession for hardness and definite shape precipitates the Singaporeans' anxiety for more rules/laws and sticking to the letter of the law. Here are some conceptions or excess baggage that Singaporeans bring to the water-world of Singapore-Malaysia negotiations:

1. A contract is a contract and the concerned parties should adhere to it. Notwithstanding that one side of the counterparts are grievously disadvantaged. Why? Because by paying less, the gains are twofold: pride in humiliating the dispossessed and further pride in possessing under-priced goods. Complete anti-thesis to public auction where there is overt pride in possession on paying more than others.

2. Since water is nature's "fee gift" and if not processed and sold, will simply flow into rivers and the sea. Malaysia will gain nothing if not sold to Singapore; therefore, sell to Singapore even for pittance. This is better than nothing!

3. Endangering human beings by depriving them of water is a human rights violation. Malaysia must show "kindness" by ensuring that Singapore has ample supply of water as per colonial practices. And cannot deprived Singapore of water. Or else the rest of the world will protest Malaysia's inhumanity.

Point 1 above can easily be overridden if the advantaged party realised that the gains are made on his pandering to baser human instincts.

Point 2 can be managed on a more intellectual level. There are enough contributions of Noble Laureates which can be applied to move away from this minimalist status quo.

Point 3 is essentially a no-brainer, if kindness flows only one way without reciprocation, then, it is just plain hypocrisy. Can not Singapore use its wealth by paying more? After all, water availability did and will help in her economic development.

I do hope Singaporeans read this. Because I do see human ugliness if not addressed. This is a form of "racism", where a group insists that they have rights to deprive fair-value compensation to the discriminated group. Like slaves are paid nothing for their labour. Everybody knows that Nigerians cannot eat, drink or bath with all the oil that they have, but everybody agrees that they should sell their surplus oil for fair compensation.

[By drjagento](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 3:57 PM

Salam Tun dan semua,

Belum terlambat lagi untuk memikirkan charge yang berpatutan, tapi mesti mengambilkira kerugian yang telah Malaysia alami selama ini, maksudnya, kena makeup baliklah apa yang dah

rugi. Dan... kalau Singapura tidak setuju dengan syarat baru tu, they should find other alternative le. Tapi issue ni tak boleh dibincangkan dengan Singapura sekarang. Apa-apa perbincangan mestilah diantara kita saja dan tertutup, tak boleh bocor kepada media. Kemudian..., lagi sebulan dua sebelum tamat kontrak tu baru releasekan syarat baru... supaya Singapura tidak bersedia. In any games only idiot tells his strategy to the opponent, and Malaysia shouldn't be that idiot...not any of Her citizens should be that idiot, in particulars those who involved in the discussion/meeting to decide on the new condition/provision of the renewal. Bear in mind Singapore has already set-up their strategy to negotiate this long ago, and if we are weak, we will be easily being bought by them. Only put tough negotiator for the negotiation. Identified any loopholes that can be used by Singapore(in particular those issues related to legal and human right)sebab issue sebegini easily manipulated by the receivers than the givers. Be highly objectives in the demands supported with strong justifications, bukan sekadar ada arguments saja. Nanti kalah lagi macam kes Batu Putih.

Method and modus operandi must be clear to everyone that involved, takdalah bercelaru masa negotiate nanti. In essence, whoever involved shouldn't take it easy, cepat rasa menang bila syarat-syarat yang zahir menyebelahi kita. Mesti tengok betul-betul bahawa syarat tersebut tidak boleh terbatal dengan perkara-perkara berbangkit yang tidak diberikan perhatian sewajarnya. Bagi orang yang sangat memerlukan, they will look into all avenues to get what they want and Singapore surely desperate on this issue, they won't leave a single stone unturned. We need to do the same and much more.

selamat berbincang.

Wasallam.


Dr. Jagento

[By irfaniffat](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 3:56 PM

Salam Tun,


Our present leader should think/do something about it...how can we tolerate with this kind of thing...enough is enough.

<http://penjagaananak.blogspot.com/>

[By Aku Pening](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 3:50 PM

Assalamualikum Tun,

1. Once the first agreement ended in 2011, we should not supply water to Singapore anymore. Let all the agreement ended then only we negotiate even if it means we have to wait until 2060.
2. If Malaysia / Singapore agrees to renew the first contract on "humanitarian" reason, then we need to set the price as follow: first 5 years at RM0.60 per thousand gallon, second 5 years at RM1.20 per thousand gallon and 3rd (final)5 years at RM1.80 per thousand gallon.
3. The recommended price above is reasonable consider we have lost billions of useful income to the country since we started supplying water to Singapore many years ago.
4. Should Singapore refuse to pay, then they can find an alternate source of fresh water.

[By Ongcp](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 3:22 PM

Dear Tun,

I think you are not updated on the latest progress in Singapore.

I had visited Singapore in August 2009. I was told by my singapore colleague that the Singapore Government had proposed to build a water pond at the downstream of Singapore River. The water in water pond will be treated for domestic usage when the project complete. When i visited that area, the construction work is in progress.

I believe this is their contingency plan if Malaysia Government do not extend the water agreement before 2011, which i hope too.

Regards,

Ongcp

Ong.c.p@hotmail.com

[By](#) Puteri Tanjung  November 13, 2009 3:22 PM

YABhg Tun

Judging from the very, very warm, grand and glorious receptions that were bestowed to Uncle Lee by the M'sian political governing and opposing biggies and kings during his visit here recently, guess the answer is written on the wall already where the sale of our H2O to them is concerned. Our negotiators will be outmanoevred and outclassed yet again.

[By](#) lampu basikal  November 13, 2009 3:20 PM

Assalamualaikum Tun,

The great nation of our not only subsidies water to singapore but fuel to singaporean car when entering Malaysia with a low toll rate too. I wonder how long can we go on like this? It is a different case when we, Malaysian enter singapore, we have to pay all sorts of craps in order for our cars to enter.

I hope that the government of our can do something in order to benefit our country revenues like reevaluate the current water costs and also the fuel price for foreign registration cars.

Anyhow Tun I wish you the best of luck and may Allah bless us all. Aminnn....

[By](#) P.A.M.P  November 13, 2009 3:11 PM

Thanks a lot for keep on publish my thinking to this blog, TUn. salam sejahtera.

Kita rakyat malaysia sememangnya terkenal dgn sikap pemurah, suka tolong-menolong sesama satu lain, keprihatinan dan menderma kepada mereka yg memerlukan. Impak ini nampak lebih jelas kepada org besar (kerajaan) di mana mereka menonjolkan ciri ciri kemurnian ini dalam hubungan antara negara-negara. Klu tak silap, era pemerintahan Tun, kedengaran perkataan, Tolong jiran kita macam tolong kita sendiri. Di mana kedua dua pihak mendapat kebaikan dari segi ekonomi. sememangnya kita terkenal dgn sikap pemurah apabila mendapat kebaikan bersama.

S'pore adalah negara yg kecil saje. dari fizikal, ia memang tak berupaya untuk beradu dengan negara sekelilingnya. namun ia mencipta kekuatan dalam ekonomi dan kerjasama dimana rumpun melayu sini tidak berani menyentuhnya. di sini letaknya kekuatan s'pore yg tidak boleh digugat. jadi malaysia pun kena berbaik baik dgnnya dgn menidakkan kedaulatan kita. apa tidak, di mana usaha kerajaan malaysia tidak satu pun yg menampakkan usaha yg positif. k'jaan malaysia menjadi macam lembu ditarik hidung setiap kali k'jaan s'pore berkeras dgn keputusan mereka. akhirnya yg menanggung akibat, rakyat juga. org atas. flip flop dan beremosi. apakah pembatalan jambatan bengkok bukan satu tingkah laku yg beremosi, sbb akhirnya yg mengganggu akibat rakyat terutama yg membayar cukai. apakah tindakan m'sia apabila s'pore buat penambakan pasir dekat pelabuhan pasir gudang. report dekat badan antarabangsa sahaje? apakah tindakan seterusnya dari k'jaan malaysia. hanya duduk dan tunggu saje...


Skrg isu air dtg meyengat kita lagi. apakah tindakan seterusnya dr k'jaan malaysia. terus menjadi org baik dgn menidakkan kepentingan rakyat?atau menjadikan sebagai senjata untuk menyelesaikan perkara ygbelum selesai lagi.

saya percaya, selagi m'sia terus menjadi org baik, selain itu kita akan berada dalam keadaan yg rugi. perkara yg terbaik adalah mecanangkan ke seluruh dunia dgn menyatakan perkara yg sebenar berlaku antara s'pore dan m'sia sebelum perjanjian luput. kemudian apabila kita hendak

berunding kita tdk takut dgn dakyah dakyah yg dilakukan oleh s'pore untuk provokasi kita. krn kita sudah menjelaskan keadaan yg sebenar. malah kita mempunyai kekuatan yg utuh untuk menyelesaikan usul lain.

tapi apa yg berlaku, kita tak pernah belajar dr sejarah walaupun sejarah diajar di sekolah. rakyat tak pernah diutamakan, pencapaian tak nampak sampai ke mana. klu betul betul slogan k'jaan rakyat didahulukan, pencapaian diutamakan, saya tak nampak di mana usaha k'jaan menyelesaikan masalah. saya hanya nampak MB MB membuat kenyataan yg menyakitkan hati dan tidak bernas, serta tidak mendatangkan hasil kepada rakyat. cthnya discount kepada touch and go. mengapa tidak dilupuskan tol itu? discount kat PLUS highway. mengapa dilakukan kat tengah malam.hanya minoriti sahaja yg bawa kereta masa itu. nyawa tidak berbaloi kepada discount 10% kat tengah malam.

jadi saya beranggapan, kali ini k'jaan juga tak ambil apa apa tindakan yg drastik unruk membantu rakyat. keutamaan menenyangkan perut mereka dan menambahkan simpanan mereka dgn duit rakyat merupakan keutamaan mereka. rakyat berkeras keras mencari sesuap makan. ini merupakan balasan yg diberikan oleh k'jaan be m. buang masa mengundi mereka di masa hadapan. mereka terlalu lama berkuasa. bagi mereka hilang kuasa bagus juga. sekurang kurangnya kita tahu angkara angkara yg dilakukan mereka sebelumnya seperti yg dinyatakan oleh k'jaan negeri seperti penang dan selangor. Perak boleh buang dalam sampah sbb k'jaan tak sah. dgn 3 org calon bebas mengumumkan sokongan kepada BN, apakah satu hari nanti, mereka ini memberi sokongan kpd PR. jadi adakah k'jaan akan bertukar lagi kat sini.sama sama kita nantikan apakah tindakan k'jaan dalam menangani masalah masalah yg sedang berlaku dan bakal berlaku..

[By Dess](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 2:57 PM

3 sen per thousand gallons, ladies and gentlemen... Even if it's 3 sen per gallon pun, dah murah dah...

Enough, enough, enough !!!! Let's play it simple. Like a layman. I have my product. I sell it at a price. Singapore wants to buy it, asking for a discount (just like semua orang kaya-kaya, always want everything cheap). I say "nope!!" . You want cheaper water? You get it from the moon... In fact, it's free... huh, huh, huh....

Enough is enough Singapore. Be reasonable. Look at yourself.. From your country itself which was given free by our 1st Prime Minister, you still want everything murah!!! Try getting water from Indonesia. I think they are selling water at 3 Rupiah per gallon!!!

[By capello08](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 2:25 PM

Leader of Malaysia Take Note:

You owe people like me and millions others for the consequent affect of your actions to the next generation.

For this water thing, 3sen per thousand gallons is rubbish. Even the decision the Singaporeans sought last time was made unethically - they let our leader(s) drunk so much he(they) couldn't think straight to pen off.

Anyway, may God be in our side.

Let's nego for (30sen x 5) per thousand gallons, using 'market' rate and relative per capita income as a benchmark. Even this is quite cheap. Should be RM3 at least.

Even if we are obligated for 3sen for 350 million gallons (for some legal reasons), lets ask for RM1,000 per 1,000 gallons above that. Let them pay or non at all.

Get back our money.

To the leaders: the future of Malaysia is your responsibility.

[By Capt.Jag2020](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 2:22 PM

Assalamu'alaikum Dear Tun,

Ok Sir, point noted and message taken.....By the way Sir, Do you think the young Malaysians should struggle to make into one of those Ivy League schools? Or should we come up

with our own and find the way to make it possible for those schools to open up their branches here


in Malaysia thus having some kind joint venture/ collaboration programs with the local universities. I

know we have done it (the twinning program) with with many foreign universities so far especially

the local private colleges but none of them are really considered as one of those Ivy Leagues. (Please

correct If I am wrong). Perhaps the government should really look into this matter if we were to really upgrade the standard of the local universities and finally enlisted as one of the world's best education centres. Wassalam

May Allah Bless you and grant us the great victory

[By donplaypuks](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 2:01 PM

Dear Tun

Let PM Najib's Govt deal with the water issue and then review their performance. After all, it's water under the bridge and you were the one who negotiated this 3 sen rate with the Singapore Govt!

But you wrote recently that Proton is finally giving you face as Advisor since Dato Nadzmi became Chairman. So, why not push for an open inquiry into the MV Augusta Euro 1 fraud? Rumour is that some ex-4th floor boys, their croneys and consultants made a killing in commissions and re-sale as others did in sale of Telekom shares to GLC S'pore and Maybank's purchase of Bank Bil Indon from, again, a S'pore party, for an exorbitant OTT valuation which even Bank Negara disputed, but was over-ruled by MoF led by your \$6 billion forex loss champ! 1% commission on the Indon bank deal is worth RM80 million!! The shadow of a certain Silhouette seems to be looming large in these affairs.

You have so much faith in UMNO/BN and its institutions. So, why haven't the Police and MACC taken the bull by its horns yet? Are they still too busy with the \$2,400 invoice in the Teoh Boon Hock case? Why can't you exert real severe pressure and get some results?

dpp

We are all of 1 race, the Human Race

[By Melon](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 1:57 PM

Our governments are well known for spending money lavishly, but when come to do business, they are rather stupid !!!

[By zaman](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 1:52 PM

Salam mesra kepada Tun dan Keluarga.

- 1) Saya rasa hanya Perdana Menteri yang berwibawa akan meneruskan perjuangan ini.
- 2) Kita sedia maklum di masa Pak Lah menjadi PM negara menjadi kucar kacir. Perkara perkara yang telah di ajukan oleh Tun menjadi terbengkalai. Dan kita sedia Maklum bukan Pak Lah

sahaja yang menerima akibat nya,malah seluruh negara hingga ke anak cucu kita merasa keperitan nya.

3)Kalau tidak kerana Tun mentadbir habis lah Tanah KTMB di Singapura.

dahulu kita mempunyai naval base di Woodland Singapura,kerana sewa nya di naikkan berlipat ganda kita terpaksa keluar dari situ.

4) Isu air ada lah hak kita!Isu Jembatan ada lah hak kita.apa perlukah kita membiarkan diri kita di perkotak katikan.

5)Kami menyarankan agar Tun menjadi perantara tetang isu ini.Sebab Tun adalah orang yang selayak layak nya mengetuai isu ini.

6)Dah lah Singapura terlepas,pulau batu putih terlepas, tak tau apa lagi nak di lepaskan.

7)Orang Melayu ni bukan di tindas malah mereka suka di tindas.Jangan lah kita menang sorak tetapi Kampong tergadai.

8)Kami yakin MALAYSIA BOLEH. Dah lama tak dengar laungan tu Tun.Rindu lah Tun. Kami berdoa agar Tun di kurniakan kesejahteraan dunia dan akhirat Amiin.

[By LadyG](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 1:45 PM

Salam Yg Bhg. Tun dan semua,

Harap media Malaysia dan Pemimpin Malaysia buka mata.

Senak perut dan perit melihat saban hari media kita memberi coverage yang besar terhadap nasib buruk yang menimpa amah Indonesia yang tak berapa kerat pun)tetapi sangat-sangat kurang nasib buruk yang ditelan oleh majikan di malaysia atau rakyat malaysia yang dirompak, dibunuh kejam, anak-anak kecil kita didera atau dilarikan, anak dara kita dilarikan ke Indonesia, makanan majikan dibubuh najis dsbnya. UTUSAN MALAYSIA tempoh hari siap memberi ruang (sebelum ini pun dah banyak kali) surat dari seorang amah Indonesia yang bekerja di Malaysia menangis hiba konon tentang nasib amah di Malaysia....Media bibik di Indonesia tak pernah bagi ruang besar pun pada kita....tak percaya? tanyalah artis tempatan...nak Ganyang Malaysia ada lah !!!

Sakit hati dan benci bila membaca tentang eksploitasi Singapura terhadap Malaysia melalui Pemimpin-pemimpin yang telus dan baik hati konon. Acapkali Singapura yang menang. Melayu terus layu dan tidur....lee kuan Yew datang pun semua Pembesar setiap negeri di Malaysia memberi ruang besar menerima kunjungan beliau...ada agenda dari Singapura lah tu tapi.....Pemimpin kita kan selalu sangka baik....dan Sultan pun turut serta....aduh.

Tun,


You are not alone. You have me crying deep inside with you.

[By Furydose](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 1:34 PM

"not raising prickly issues is a good way to make friends. "

heh...

are these friends replying our gesture of good will ...if not need make friends with them...

[By peter511](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 1:24 PM

Dear Tun

Malaysia's per capita incidentally is US\$7,000, i.e one-fifth of Singapore US\$36,000.

What is in your mind when you write the above statement ? The country under your rule for 22 years, is this the ultimate results ?

By increase the water price from 3 cent, say to 3 ringgit can the per capital increase to US\$10,000 ?

The real reason is corruption, this lead to all kind of problem and inderectly deny the development of the country. You are partly responsible for the system.

Thanks


[By Eleets](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 1:11 PM

Thanks Dr Mahatir!

For pushing Singapore to be self sufficient in water and in the process created a new niche business in water management and treatment.

When the two water agreement expired ,Singapore will be self sufficient as most of the water projects would come online by then.

It is better Malaysia not to renew or renegotiate any new agreement. This will automatically remove one of the thorny issue between the 2 countries.

[By HBT](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 1:06 PM

Good afternoon Ayahanda Tun,

The Government of Tun Abdullah is actually "over-smart" and China's Mr Hu JinTao would deliver a pair of panda bears for Singapore to do future research and development (remarks: please take note the Panda Bear came in "Pair", not 2 ekor as reported in Sinchew, jangan salah faham, nombor ini bukan nombor "ONG" for our No. 2). Beside for research purpose, Mr Hu presented the panda bears to thank Singapore for their support and participation in fighting for "terrorism" in China.

... your statement that:

//I suppose this charitable act would help cement friendship with that city-state with a per capita income of US\$36,000 (Malaysia's per capita incidentally is US\$7,000, i.e one-fifth of Singapore).//

No wonder The Sun reported ...

PM :-6% Growth is a must

.. to achieve US7,000 and it's incidentally also one-fifth of Singapore, through KL & Jakarta to forge new friendship which may not link directly to Singapore Government??

Good day Ayahanda Tun.

[By 72hazard](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 1:01 PM

Assalamualaikum Tun,


Minta maaf cakap la Tun, ini cerita lama Tun. Sedangkan Pulau Batu Putih yang jauh dari negara tersebut boleh dirampas...ini air yang siap dengan paip masuk ke negara tersebut.

Persoalannya apa kederat pemimpin negara dari zaman Tun sampai yang ada sekarang ni boleh buat? Nak potong bekalan, silap haribulan kena letup Johor Bahru. Nak runding semula harga air, sejak zaman Tun lagi diorang dah tolak. WPI terang-terang untuk rakyat Singapura.

Kepada pemimpin-pemimpin negara yang kononya patriotik, apa sumbangan yang anda boleh beri dalam ini...?????

72HAZARD

Seremban 131109 2:14pm

[By Lia](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 12:57 PM


Salam Tun,

Well, i totally agreed with you Tun.. previous PM mess up..i suppose he don't think ahead caused maybe he just narrow minded..what irritated me is that our government still treat s'pore kindly with not so called win-win MoU or whatever...


for me it doesn't matter whether Pahang want to sell it 3 sen or RM300/thousands gallons because at the end, the money will circulated in the country not outside..

my 2 cents.

<http://pendapatanmasuk.blogspot.com/>

By CK  on November 13, 2009 12:00 PM

From the information given, let say the price is RM3.00 per 1,000 gallons, the revenue per year is RM383.3 million (vs. RM3.8 million if at 3 sen per 1,000 gallons). This is about S\$160 million per annum. I am sure the Singapore Government can afford it. Maybe the Singapore Government should recipocate by allowing us to develop our railway land there which I think should have appreciated substantially.

By Bilis  on November 13, 2009 11:49 AM

Dear Tun,

I think I do not understand the complete story behind this topic.

I suppose the price of water Johore is selling to Melaka is more reflecting the actual value.

So, I do not see any single reason why Singapore with much higher "Purchasing Power Parity" should continue to enjoy the 90% discount?

Therefore, I'm adamant that a new contract should be negotiated with Singapore.

I do not think the income per capita for Melaka people is USD360,000 (90% more than the Singaporeans).

May be the government of Tun Abdullah had judged it base on the income of their cronies from Melaka?

How on earth could a Number 1 Man in the country failed mathematics and simple trading?

Heard rumours about the sand business involving the families and cronies in exchange for the super cheap water sale to Singapore. Is it true?

I do understand the process to have a more fair contract is not a straight forward thing to achieve. However, to surrender even before the battle starts is unacceptable by any standard for a leader for a country with sovereignty.

Salam Tun.

By Old Fart  on November 13, 2009 11:41 AM

Further to what I said, Tun, I also think any review of the agreement should also lay conditions about its use and misuse of the Malaysian water supplied. We supply it for humanitarian reasons. And not for Singapore to onward sell to ships for a huge profit. Whether it is further processed water or not I find it so offensive that water supplied for humanitarian reasons to Singapore is sold onwards to ships at humongous profits. If Singapore wants to do that than we know that that amount of water is really not needed by Singapore. The ships can always call at a Malaysian port for water if they really need it that desperately. And they can get it cheaper too!!

By azmany  on November 13, 2009 11:39 AM

It's a fact that the Malaysian Government needs additional money to balance the budget.


Should they get the extra money by (a) taxing the citizen 5% on RPGT? (b) taxing the citizen 7% on ALL goods being sold through GST? (c) asking the citizen to pay higher petrol prices by removing fuel subsidy OR (d) negotiate a fair price on water sold to Singapore?

Assuming this is a General Election poll vote next year, people.. what would your answer be?

By Old Fart  on November 13, 2009 11:34 AM

On the water issue I am with you Tun on this. Have been so from the beginning when you made an issue of it the first time around. That time, Tun, the Singaporeans put up on the net the agreements. Of course they took it down later. I read and re-read the agreement. It talked of a review 25 years after the 1963 agreement. Singapore maintained that that should have been done

on or about 1988 or thereabouts. They claimed that by about 199...something when you brought up the issue that Malaysia had forfeited its right to review as the 25 year dateline had expired. Tun, if I read the agreement posted by the Singaporean Government on the net correctly, it was 25 years "after" the date the agreement came into effect. That time 199...,when you called for the review, it was "after" 25 years. How and why did you all let them get away with it? Somehow, what irks me most is whenever Singapore says something then that is final. Like as if what Singapore says carries more weight as to its validity and its honesty and credibility. What is worse Malaysians too give more credit and always seem unable to dismiss what Singapore says as nonsense. Unfortunately Tun, even when you rebut Singapore, your rebut does not articulate what and how it needs to be communicated. I recall when they came up with the "Malaysian forfeiture" there was hardly anything said in response.

[By sudin](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 11:29 AM


Salam Tun

Fortunately ex-PM Pak Dol no quality not involve come 2011, else he'd most probably agree at 30 sen per 1000 gallons and then he'd as usual unashamedly lied to us 'big majority of Johoreans agreed'.


If the Barisan's/UMNO's no. 1 liability son-in-law still active in party and/or govt, sorry to DS Najib that I'll not vote BN.

[By chot](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 11:29 AM

dirt cheap for 3 sen. you might as well give it FOC

[By danial the fox](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 11:29 AM

I also agree with what had been said by fellow down there. As a citizen of Pahang state, i think most of us will not agree with 3-cent-agreement. This is totally ridiculous. This is also apply to the Malaysia-Singapore water agreement. If they don't agree with our decision, stop selling....

[By kubakoya](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 11:04 AM

Salam,

Bersyukur Malaysia ada byk air. Kalau tak jadilah kita mcm singapore, kena bina RO plants utk process air (air laut/air kumbahan). Bayangkan berapa peratus duit pembayar cukai akan dibazirkan oleh pemimpin2 yang korup nanti untuk bina satu RO plant kat Selangor?

Saya pernah mempelajari operasi di 'kilang air' mereka (singapore), dan mereka betul2 menakjubkan dari segi disiplin, cost management, dan teknikal.

Satu RO plant cuma dikawalselia oleh 30 orang (+jaga).

Satu plant elektrik TNB kita saja ada berapa orang?

[By ifanonline](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 11:03 AM

Dear Tun,

It is a simple common sense. Any layman would know that if there is any new agreements to sell water to Singapore, there should be new prices according to the current market. Malaysia and Singapore can discuss diplomatically on the water issue and come to an agreement about the new water prices. It's easy actually, if Singapore don't like the price, then Malaysia should not be a goody-goody and sell the water at such a horrible low price.

[By goldfiz](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 10:49 AM

this is my very 1st post in ur blog after following it since the 1st days it started.

So, as a citizen of pahang, i think it would be so stupid if the state government of pahang to sell our water at 3 sen per gallon,we are living in the year of 2009 and not 50 years back. Selling

water at 3 sen per gallon is like giving out our precious resource for free! So the price of our water (regardless of which state the water comes from) should be revalued accordingly!

[By Tahir Jumat](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 10:41 AM

Assalamualaikum Tun,

I Understand your frustration over this issue.

You Tun have every right to bring it up especially to remind present and future leaders of Malaysia of this particular issue.

Ignore any snide remark from anyone who thinks they know better about this matter.

May Allah Bless You Tun and your Family.

[By abang Din](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 10:41 AM

Assalamualaikum Tun,

1. It is really unreasonable to continue supplying raw water to Singapore if the return profit is totally unacceptable. We are doing business here, let it be a real business. Of course we must consider our friendship with Singapore, but to continue with the ridiculous deal is not an option.
2. I hope the Malaysia government will see this problem very seriously so as not to give bad reputations to the peoples of Malaysia. Raw water is owned by all Malaysian, so make sure that the decisions are fair and reasonable.

Thank you.

[By A.Alshukor](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 10:34 AM


Yes Tun,

Anything we do or decide, we must be very careful. They always takes advantage and most of the time we let them. Just like the Bridge you proposed, to me every time I looked at the "Artist Impression", I wished it was built.

My point is as much as we want to have and be a good neighbour, it should be a two way street affair, however when ever it is with this neighbour it doesn't seem to be so.


Anyway we can, if we can stop selling the water, we should, Malaysia needs are more important. If not sell it at market price.

Cinta Alam Malaysia.

[By hadzir](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 10:32 AM

Dear Tun,

Lets just talk reasonably by inflation of the the 3 sen value then and now. No additive yet. Any citizen of the world knows what real value is. Suffice to say that it exceeded 30 cent. Suffice too that payback is needed over those less settled previously.. ain't this friendly enough?

[By Gravity](#)  [on](#) November 13, 2009 10:29 AM

Salam YABhgTun'

Thank you v.v much for the information that frequently the people of Malaysia has been dumbed by the previous premier. His mistake is intolerable in this mellienium as we are harpooned again and again by the so-called 'friendly neighbour' over the water issues. I suggest that the water treaty is put to a tendem price or atleast the same as the present inter-states dealing. DS Najib has been doing well in his administrative issues since he took over and hope that Tun will add greater momentum to go beyond or atleast to 'QADO' what has been lacking during the 'DARK AGES' years !