

**CONTEMPT**  
**chedet.co.cc**  
**April 1, 2010**

1. One of the principles in law which is believed to ensure that justice is done is that disputes must be settled by a third party.
2. No one should be the prosecutor, the judge and the executioner. In fact the aggrieved party should never be the prosecutor and the judge. Obviously the aggrieved party would be biased in favour of himself.
3. Matthias Chang is now in jail. His crime, if we can call it a crime, is that of allegedly showing contempt of court i.e. not showing the right respect of the judge. Because the judge feels he or she has not been shown respect, then the judge has punished him by sentencing him to a fine or jail. Matthias has refused to pay the fine because it would amount to admission of guilt.
4. It would seem that what was regarded as contempt on the part of the court was Matthias' expression of lack of confidence in the judge who for some reason seemed to Matthias to be biased. Matthias had said he was going to appeal to a higher court over the behaviour of the judge towards him and his lawyers.
5. Is a person, feeling aggrieved over his treatment by a judge not allowed to say that he is not happy with the judge and wishes to appeal to a higher authority?
6. Is an aggrieved person not allowed to claim that a judge is biased? In several recent cases an accused person has demanded that the judge recuse himself for being biased. Indeed we hear of an accused person demanding that the prosecutors be changed because of allegedly being bias. And in fact the persons concerned, who were only doing the work they had officially been tasked with, were not allowed to carry out their usual work.
7. I write this with trepidation because I too can be charged with contempt. But I feel there is a miscarriage of justice here, even if the law seems to uphold the process.
8. If a judge feels that a litigant or an accused person has been in contempt of his court, then the judge should get a third party, and another court to determine whether indeed there is a case for contempt or not and to determine the punishment.

## **100 Comments**

By FauziAuthor Profile Page on April 14, 2010 3:33 PM

Salam Tun dan Isteri

Saya adalah pendatang baru dalam blog ini.

Segala perkembangan bersangkutan Tun saya ikuti.

hinggalah saya tercari-cari untuk turut serta dalam blog Tun.

Walaupun, beberapa kali hadiri majlis yang di hadiri dan majlis yang di adakan oleh Tun dan

isteri di Kedah semua saya ikuti.

Dari majlis pecah tanah masjid Al Bukhari di Jalan Langgar hingalah ke majlis di Titi gajah serta majlis di rumah Dato' Rahmat di jalan Pegawai sekarang Persiaran Sultan Abdul Hamid.

Dari rumah di Kilang Ais seberang Perak tidak di ambil alih sampailah dah elok di perindahkan.

Dari bangunan Md. Din Ais yang tak seberapa sampai jadi bangunan yang cantik. Itulah saya yang mungkin dari kalangan jutaan anak melayu yang mengenali Tun. Anak jati Kedah yang di besarkan di Lorong Melor Off Lorong Air Puteh at Jalan Kota Tanah, seberang Perak, Kedah.

Salam pengenalan, sebagai pembuka kata di masa akan datang.

Insyallah semoga panjang umur Tun dan isteri.

By wajaperakAuthor Profile Page on April 12, 2010 11:48 AM

Assallammualaikumwarahmatullahiwabarokatuh

Semoga mendapat izin dan perkenan dari Tun..

[[Idea

4. Nevertheless, I honor your good intention. For that reason, I would like to suggest that you form a Foundation that will help the Bumis to improve their English. I hope 'kamal ahmad' would not mind being the lead volunteer. I will strive to improve my English and be one of the volunteers, sincerely]]

I believe that you are a muslim.So..i am directing this through Muslim perspective.

First and foremost the title's posting.Contempt.Somebody had admonished me like always that we should stick to the issue.I am 'sticking' to it but alway's have the presence of mind of gratitude in thanking Tun of his benevolent act.I am indulging myself in 'sidetrack' issue.And pray tell..where else can you find this opportunity?..In Anwar's blog?..So..there..

Secondly.Definition and terminology.Tun mention contempt in full capacity of Civil Law..

Syariah court is more Civil.

Unfortunately.Majlis Peguam Islam have yet to turn them into reality.Will somebody ? Will Tun highlight's this matter?

And finally the foundation to help the bumis to improve their English.Dear Mr Idea.

English in themselves is just plain dead unlike Arabic.Their tool,use and function is their usage.It is a medium to convey message and very useful tool communication in Science and Technology. Simply said..

Kita yang buat dia..Bukan dia yang buat kita.Meaning

Most bumi's could not relate them in reality of their lives.

So, most bumi's hate English because they could not master them and could not relate English in their job and occupation like rubber tapper, padi planter, farming and etc..etc.

Most bumi's have missing the element and essence that knowing English is acquiring knowledge. Knowledge is power. With them you have a lot of leverage that uninformed does not have. Simply said, the older Malays generation loves silat. Knowing and being the exponent of silat one might easily overcome's Taekwando, Judo or any "imported" martial art. The superior skill against the inferior one. There is a vast discrepancy in superior skill.

Here in science and technology, English is superior than the Bahasa Melayu. So it is this realization that must be incorporated first among younger bumi's generation.

Knowing of what they are, how to relate's them in their daily lives and most importantly steadfastness in doing them...daily, weekly, monthly, year after year until it yield it's result.

This is the Malay Dillema that Tun want's us to overcome. Look at me. My English is pathetic, passable and error ridden grammatically and what's not. But it serve's the purpose of delivering the point and illustrate the idea to the point it look's like being articulate..:)

Consequently..one must brave the intimidation. To prosper one have to adventure. The ship is most safe in the harbour. But

That is not what ship are meant to be built..

Terima kasih Tun.

By Khairul-Dean Author Profile Page on April 11, 2010 12:07 AM

Che Det,  
Salaams

Matthais Chang v American Express  
(how much is it to you)

Brilliant strategy and Matthias Chang must thank you a whole good lot for your help to get at the goodies. It won't be easy. The Istana Negeri Sembilan got canned for a million dollars even when tuanku was on the right side of the law.

We wish you well: 'break a leg'.

I wish I have a friend in you, Tun, like Matthias.

Salaams  
By Idea Author Profile Page on April 9, 2010 9:46 PM

Dear Tun,

1. A loony mentioned about MITI. Yes, I am still disappointed. There, international relations and negotiations are headed by the non-Bumis. In addition, not that many Bumiputeras are assigned to those divisions.

2. I am disappointed with the performance of the Bumis. Can't they converse well in English? Why are many of them positioned in just the support divisions?

3. I recall the PPSMI initiated by you, Tun. The intention was good. Unfortunately, many teachers were weak in English at that time. The students failed not because they are not clever. There was a failure in communication. They could not digest the 'messages' delivered by the teachers who were also not good in constructing 'messages'. I would say those who drafted the policy have failed to take the Basic Model of Communication into account.

4. Nevertheless, I honor your good intention. For that reason, I would like to suggest that you form a Foundation that will help the Bumis to improve their English. I hope 'kamal ahmad' would not mind being the lead volunteer. I will strive to improve my English and be one of the volunteers, sincerely.

By baldcrowAuthor Profile Page on April 9, 2010 6:50 PM

Interesting Doc, that you are feeling the pinch.

Where were you when Sodomy 1 was in full swing and that Augustine (RIP) was behaving in the same way?

Because this is your buddy, you are affected. Have you heard of karma? Have you heard of "treat others as you want them to treat you"? I'm sure there's also a similar truism in Islam.

By ekomputeAuthor Profile Page on April 9, 2010 5:44 PM

I wonder why Tun censored my post that quoted Federal Justice Mohamed Suffian Mohamed Hashim as saying: "Even if the Enactment is contrary to the Constitution, the Enactment is not void."

Is it because the quotation is not true or is it that Tun does not want to admit that here in Malaysia, there are a lot of laws that contravene the Malaysian Constitution, although the original Constitution has been amended so many times that it no longer reflect the Social Contract.

I believe Islam is a wonderful religion, and I sincerely hope that instead of more divisive politics, Tun can start espousing Islamic values rather than perpetuate the politics of hatred among the

human race in the name of religion, race, whatever.  
By ogos31Author Profile Page on April 9, 2010 12:11 PM

salam tun,

so hows your trip to bosnia?

just wondering...if any normal malaysian like who happen have some xtra savings..do u think we can open up business in Bosnia? n what type of business to venture in?

TQ  
By syanAuthor Profile Page on April 9, 2010 7:12 AM

Legally its right,morally its wrong.

There must be certain extend judge/s to held contempt of court and in the view of mine,there should be such power to control the court room so that a trial would be smooth with out any interruptions from any party.

By wajaperakAuthor Profile Page on April 8, 2010 5:56 PM

Assallammualaikumwarahmatullahiwabarokatuh.

Semoga mendapat izin dan perkenan Tun..

[[7) No body can say for sure what Allah swt verdict for P Ramlee but many would agree that P Ramlee have contributed a lot to teaching Malaysians on many important issues in our life, either we like or not and yes as human being P Ramlee too cannot escape from human weaknesses but who are we to judge him.]]

Totally disagree.In syariah court he can be cited for contempt on this utterance.Witnessed by many.

"Mengapa Allah menjadikan kamu manusia? Kamu sepatutnya di jadikan binatang!!"

This is not a carte blanche matter of expression.It is blasphemy.

Ponder and discuss this with the respective Mufti.

Wassallam.

Check your e mail good Dr.Thank you.

Terima kasih Tun.  
By MamakGangAuthor Profile Page on April 8, 2010 5:40 PM

Dear Tun,

a lawyer or a litigant cannot be rude to a judge no matter what. from what I've read, the judge may have made a mistake in having Matthias arrested first when she should have given him notice as to why he should not be cited for contempt. only after giving him notice and finding his answer to the notice unsatisfactory should she have cited him for contempt and allowed him to defend himself.

Apparently when zainur came and assisted matthias and pointed out to the judge that the procedure was wrong she allowed matthias to defend himself and thereafter found him guilty.

Zainur should have asked the judge to recuse herself on the grounds that she had prejudged the issue by having Matthias arrested (ie. there might be apparent bias).

Whatever it is one cannot be rude to the Judge.

It is ironic that you think that there might be bias.

I distinctly remember one Tun Eusoff Chin talking to the press before the Anwar trial started saying "Don't worry. We will find him a fair judge" thereby insulting all judges as it implies that some of them are unfair. What kind of judge did we get in the end? BYW didn't he wrongly punish Zainur for contempt?

Be a good Doctor Tun, and tell Matthias to take his medicine. If not we'll have to administer to him the hypocritic oath.

By S..TanAuthor Profile Page on April 8, 2010 2:10 AM

Dear YAB Tun, salam sejahtera harap sihat. Kiriman saya untuk saji tajuk ini dgn izin.....

.....TASTE OF OWN MEDICINE

Tampering and being inconsistent with Judiciary will ultimately lead to Mathhias case. Most of the same people who cried foul now, were solidly 'behind' the judiciary when these happened...

Lim Guan Eng jailed for contempt for speaking up for a juvenile alleged rape victim.

Anwar's lawyers fined and (jailed?) for trying to introduce evidence.

A lawyer cited for contempt for accusing a Lord President of interference in defense of a client.

Public prosecutors bias cannot be questioned or its contempt

Permits given for demonstrations to protest a court judgement on Allah controversy.

The present judiciary had 22 golden yrs to evolve to its current state.

.....INTERFERENCE WITH JUSTICE

While the above was considered interfering with justice, the following..?

Judges having holidays with counsel in his court  
Judges having private meetings with litigant and counsel  
Judges being advised by superiors how to rule in cases  
Judges having counsel in a case write the ghost judgement  
Courts being open and closed at the pleasure of Lord President  
A foreign expert witness on suicide was threatened with police report without court protection.

Judges are above contempt, not above the law.

.....TREPIDATION

When 'attacking' the judiciary, Umno linked personalities need not worry. We saw proof of immunity in the demonstrations (permits and support) against court ruling (Allah). But Karpal Singh was in court for stating the law that Sultans can be sued.

.....TANTRUMS

If anybody deserves to throw tantrums in court, it should be Anwar. One minor case is fight of honour and principle against bias, lack of confidence in a judge. Anwar's fight with a repeat case and jail prospect is diverting, dilly dally etc?

.....BIAS

Some comments on bias and lack of confidence here have become racial. NTV 7 spelling competition was called bias (difficult questions for one race) and racial (lack of participants from one race), the race of a Socso head and too many other races in Miti were questioned.

It could be NTV7 viewer demographics (less Malays, smaller pool, lower interest) that led to it. Does race of the holders of public office matter? (other than should be M'sian). Other races accepted it when Malays monopolised these institutions for 40 yrs despite other races forming 40% of pop. Are these people in public service (such small number) threats and depriving some of a divine right?

PS: Sdr Wok, I have responded to your 'aggression'.  
By al-DinAuthor Profile Page on April 7, 2010 10:25 PM

WJK

The man who thinks he is a super-duper hero is KJ. Wanted to be the youngest PM - to leap-frog over Najib and Muhyiddin! Yellow belly eh when Brother Matthias challenged for public debate. Stop barking.

By Dr Syed Iskandar Syed Jaafar Al MahdzarAuthor Profile Page on April 7, 2010 9:40 PM

Assalamualaikum wbt Yg Bhg Tun, the beloved 4th Malaysian PM,

Today is 22nd Rabiul Akhir 1431 Hijrah (7/4/2010).

Yg Bhg Tun, seeking your permission to reply to wajaperak comment posted earlier in the present posting of yours.

Dear Wajaperak, first and foremost, it is a bit not quite a suitable forum to be discussing other issues that are not raised by Yg Bhg Tun here. Well, i dont have any objection for u to discuss with me any issues directly at isikcorpadv@gmail.com provided always u are transparent with your true identity ok dear Mr Wajaperak.

Briefly, Wajaperak since we are in Yg Bhg Tun Dr M blog, it is best for short and simple comment on what u raised.

1) Why P Ramlee in my blog? Answer: Specific scene of certain/many P Ramlee movies will be able to serve as a good lesson to many others.

2) Approach? Both approach i.e. blogging and regularly talking to the mass public example, just came back from Penang presenting a paper and becoming a forum panelist at a Konvensyen Kelestarian Islam on 20/03/2010. Few more programmes coming up till the end of the year that u may soon discover from time to time.

3) About Sisters In Islam-highlighted during the convention that since their presence might cause instability, confusion and threatened national security--it is best that Internal Security Act being applied to them. By analogy, no non medical practitioners can perform medical operations thus the same no non well accepted religious scholars can start to create own fatwas as they like such as questioning strict and clear Quranic injunctions. Imagine if a building engineer enter into an operating theatre in a private hospital in KL and argue with doctors in the midst of an operation that they know better than those medical specialists and insisted an operation to the patient stomach is best perform with the petrol powered saw meant for chopping trees --since it is their democratic rights to question it.

5) good cause--one cannot barter trade with what he did in Palestine with contempt offence in Malaysian court as doing so --many will then also argue about their good deeds in Iraq, Afghanistan, Mongostan, Go Ahead Gostan, Tanjung Rambutan etc etc (quoting Sadiq Segaraga in 3 Abdul).

6) Anti Hadith group is another important issue by itself and in Malaysia Kassim Ahmad used to be under ISA detention and all those that question Hadith Sahih (Authentic/Verified Hadith) should spend their holiday at Kamunting as well as it can create instability to the peaceful and harmony of our country.

7) No body can say for sure what Allah swt verdict for P Ramlee but many would agree that P Ramlee have contributed a lot to teaching Malaysians on many important issues in our life, either

we like or not and yes as human being P Ramlee too cannot escape from human weaknesses but who are we to judge him.

So dear Wajaperak, if u are interested to pursue the discussion please lift your veil and e mail me at isikcorpadv@gmail.com.

Yg Bhg Tun, kindly accept my apology for briefly responding to Wajaperak comments in your blog, sorry again Yg Bhg Tun. Res Ipsa Loquitor, Wallahualam.

22 Rabiul Akhir 1431 Hijrah (7/4/2010)

drsyediskandarislamicbankingfinance.blogspot.com or  
drsyediskandarshariahcoffeetalk.blogspot.com or  
drsyediskandarglobalpolitics.blogspot.com or  
isikcorpadv@gmail.com  
By checkerAuthor Profile Page on April 7, 2010 6:16 PM

Salam Tun & Fellow CheDet's Bloggers,

Before anybody can pass any judgement on anything, one MUST have access to the facts or the TRUTH. We need to hear the actual story from both sides ( note: directly should be the best ). If not, we can only comment based our assumption from what we hear or read but we should not pass judgement on the matter. Hearing or reading from others sources too may mislead you as it would depend on the integrity of that sources. If any person is concerned about the matter, then he/she should investigate further.

There are clear guidelines in Quran & hadith on such matters, if one study it. It is a sin even we create a situation that can give rise to "fitnah" or gossip. Of course, it a confirmed sin for people who like to gossip etc on matters that they don't really know the facts.

May Allah bless and protect us evil & injustice.

Dengan izin Tun,

This is out of topic but I am and believe others may also find that this guy "gunse007" must have nothing in his mind but complete hatred towards TUN. For you "gunse007" don't bother to go into this blog unless you have something positive or constructive comment to offer. Be a little bit civilised and give intellectual comment. If you have something you really dislike about TUN, then advise him about it in "eastern value" ways - Adap Orang Timur, or maybe you are totally submerged in western ways, always disrespect the elders. We may dislike something that they do or say but never dislike the person himself because nobody is perfect, that include you. So my advise to you is... behave yourself and be respected, otherwise you are making a complete fool of yourself. The choice is yours. I rest my case.

By perkasaAuthor Profile Page on April 7, 2010 2:56 PM

Salam sejahtera Tun,

Matthias sememangnya telah didapati bersalah oleh Mahkamah, dan mengikut undang-undang, (yang saya yakin Tun juga amat memahami dengan lebih baik) Hakim boleh menjatuhkan hukuman tersebut kepada beliau. Beliau sendiri yang memilih jalan tersebut dan tiada sebarang paksaan ataupun dikhianati oleh mana-mana pihak. Tetapi Tun tetap mempertahankan beliau, walaupun sebenarnya beliau yang memilih untuk dipenjarakan.

Untuk pengetahuan Tun (yang saya yakin juga bahawa Tun lebih memahami), ramai lagi rakyat Malaysia yang bernasib malang apabila berhadapan dengan pihak Mahkamah ataupun mana-mana agensi atau jabatan Kerajaan yang lain (samaada kerajaan persekutuan atau negeri). Tetapi tiada yang ambil peduli kerana kami hanyalah rakyat biasa dan suara kami hanya didengari sewaktu pilihanraya sahaja.

Saya ingin memberikan kes bekas Setiausaha Politik Tun , iaitu Dato' Johari yang sewaktu beliau menjadi Timbalan Menteri Dalam Negeri. Kes beliau menerima suapan RM5 juta telah menjadi cerita sensasi. Tetapi akhirnya, kes beliau ditutup. Malahan RPK melalui laman web MalaysiaToday telah beberapa kali mengeluarkan artikel, pengakuan bersumpah (termasuk pengakuan ADC IGP) dan surat-surat rahsia mengenai penganayaan terhadap Dato' Johari oleh IGP. Rakyat biasa seperti saya percaya dengan apa yang ditulis oleh RPK dan kami merasakan polis adalah badan yang diketuai oleh penjahat. Walaubagaimanapun, Tun masih mengutuk dan menyatakan Dato' Johari bersalah sedangkan beliau didapati tidak bersalah dan disokong oleh tulisan RPK.

Adakah ini satu double standard. Tun mempertahankan Matthias walaupun beliau sendiri memilih untuk ke penjara dan Tun mengutuk Dato' Johari, walaupun beliau hanya menjalankan tanggungjawab beliau.

Maaf jika menyinggung perasaan Tun.

By al-DinAuthor Profile Page on April 7, 2010 9:53 AM

The media can incite our feelings but up to a point when satiety numb our feelings. This is the case with atrocities committed by zionists against the Palestinians since 1948. Muslims everywhere Malaysia included have lost the inner battle of conscience and brotherhood in Islam.

Hulliburton, the defense company recruited mercenaries that killed many Iraqi civilians is amongst the first to operate in Sri Iskandar corridor!

We talk about boycotting zionist products. Yet we are proud to have the largest Coca Cola bottling company in the region at Nilai. We have Telco supermarket stores everywhere at the peril of homegrown smaller storest (Billion, The Store, TF, Giant etc), wholesale and retail shops that provide our essentials. In Japan the number of foreign-owned giant supermarkets are restricted.

Is APCO got anything to do with all these? Ask the 10 Million Ringgit man.

By ekomputeAuthor Profile Page on April 7, 2010 3:46 AM

"Even if the Enactment is contrary to the Constitution, the Enactment is not void." — Mohamed Suffian Mohamed Hashim

This is the Malaysian Constitution that Tun Suffian was talking about. So what kind of Constitution is Malaysia having which is subordinate to an enactment?

Looks like we have many laws that would have been illegal in many other countries. Complying with illegal laws, how about that?

By Khairul-DeanAuthor Profile Page on April 6, 2010 10:13 PM

YABHG Tun Dr Mahathir,  
Salaams

Rajulun Saa'ah  
(matthias chang is now in hospital)

My deep respect for you, Tun.

As for Senior Lawyer Matthias Chang, not too long, challenged Justice Ian Chin of the Sarawak High Courts to an open debate for his allegations of “thinly veiled threats” against former Prime Minister Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad.

A friend in need is a friend in deed. Matthias Chang is an honorable man and a noble man to have for a friend; and if YABhg Tun feels there is a miscarriage of justice here, even if the law seems to uphold the process, I will agree with you and it will be proven that you are right, Tun, for justice is blind.

Salaams

By Khairul-DeanAuthor Profile Page on April 6, 2010 5:30 PM

YABhg Tun Dr Mahathir,  
Salaams

CONTEMPT STINKS TO HIGH HEAVEN  
(so let the third party meditate on that)

For every contempt of court will require a review: justice be sweeter if not swift.

I would like to share with you these:

- i. Biofuels Bonanza: Exxon, Venter to Team up on Algae
- ii. U.S. Natural-Gas Data Overstated
- iii. Manure Raises New Stink

Tun Dr Mahathir has not to feel trepid when he has to say what needed to be said. Let the third party decide.

Terima Kasih.

By Bil Fageh Author Profile Page on April 6, 2010 4:05 PM

Tun,

First of all I ask forgiveness from Tun if my view is not in line with Tun. We should not follow the culture of Anwar Ibrahim in the decisions made by the judge to reflect our judicial system is questionable. If there is dissatisfaction, we should be in accordance with principles of law that protected the sanctity of the country's judicial system.

Each time the court makes a decision; there are those who are not satisfied. But if we dispute the decision in public, I fear it will impact negatively on some Malaysians who do not understand the law to see our judicial system is corrupt and not fair. If this happens, some people who are really guilty and sentenced by the court will take the advantage to confuse people by saying that they are not guilty but the court was influenced by the government.

By HBT Author Profile Page on April 6, 2010 12:28 PM

Ayahanda Tun,

May I...

//By rarunasalam on April 4, 2010 11:31 PM

... Perception is reality Tun - Mathias makes himself out to be a modern day 'Gandhi' by showing his worldly side, but what really transpired Tun that resulted in Justice Noor Azian to resort to such a punishment Tun?//

Well, (Ravi), don;t worry about Matthias, he is defintely not the modern 'Gandhi' type. You may be suprised to find that his hunger strike will awake the 'people' in the land of Dragon;s Feet that you as NATO Banana Tamil would never be able to understand... I am sure Mr Samy Vellu understands the strong message being carried out by Matthias as a Tamil Malaysian.

//Mathias should appeal to higher authorities if he believes he has been agrieved unfairly...going on a hunger strike is a bit too drastic in my view.//

To prove Democracy without "skilled and trained" justice, Matthias sure has his 'unique' way of showing his grievances to his 'Tung Zhi' (Chinese) that justice and power can be barbaric with highly paid manipulation ma.... and this is what the 'Freedom' of mankind in the name of One Yuan. Why worry (Ravi), unless you have something in your closet that you might not want us to know???

Have a nice day, Ayahanda Tun.

By AbdullahAuthor Profile Page on April 6, 2010 9:43 AM

Salam Tun,

Saya harap ini disiarkan walau pun tidak begitu sedap di telinga memandangnya. Di dalam kes rakyat tidak menghormati mahkamah, bagi saya Tun juga berperanan membentuk minda rakyat Malaysia supaya bersikap sebegitu. Tenung dan fikir semula apa yang Tun telah lakukan kepada badan kehakiman sehingga rakyat hilang kepercayaan kepada kebebasan hakim (tidak semuanya). Perkara ini diwarisi sehingga sekarang. Entah siapakah yang dapat memertabatkan semula badan kehakiman, Saya tak nampak.

By azlanAuthor Profile Page on April 6, 2010 9:31 AM

Salam Tun,

Minta Tun Baca dalam The New York Times dimana tentera US sesuka hati membunuh orang dengan perasaan gembira tajuk "Video Shows American Killing of Photographer"

<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/06/world/middleeast/06baghdad.html?hp>

By OrangLamaAuthor Profile Page on April 6, 2010 8:49 AM

Salam,

Ada banyak kelemahan dan kecacatan semasa negara kita hendak mencapai merdeka.

banyak masalah negara yang kita hadapi sekarang kerana pemimpin dahulu tidak nampak apa akan berlaku pada masa2 akan datang? Tetapi bukan salah pemimpin dahulu, kerana negara baru merdeka, pemimpin kita masa itu kurang berpengalaman.

Tak terbayang pada zaman dahulu, ada kerajaan BN pelbagai kaum di Malaysia , ada pula Pakatan Rakyat. Sejarah hanya tinggal sejarah, kecuali dibuat pembetulan kadar segera. Baru nak masuk 53 tahun negara merdeka,dah macam2 berubah2 . Keturunan zaman dahulu, kalau boleh patah balik mungkin depa lanyak kita habis2 kerana terlalu lembik. sama2 kita doakan rahmat dan termasuk golongan beriman khusus untuk arwah2 kita yang berjuang untuk kita zaman sekarang ini untuk hidup selesa. Ramai yang telah mati syahid memperjuangkan tanah air dari penjajah Portugis, Thai, Belanda ,Inggeris dan lain2.

Sekadar renungan dan pandangan peribadi.

By gunse007Author Profile Page on April 6, 2010 1:35 AM

mahathir,

we want you to comment on Outsiders getting involved in Malaysian PR -Gov Public Relationship as appointed by the BN government.

Your previous bash boys, Tingkat 4 boys of Badawi regime are puny compared to APCO ZIONIST JEWS.....

dont censor this and dont do Tai Chi....

By malaysiansearch.com Author Profile Page on April 6, 2010 12:56 AM

Salam Tun yang dihormati,

Sorry lari topik sikit

Minta tolong support sikit malaysian search engine buatan anak tempatan di <http://malaysiansearch.com> dan juga boleh iklan website anda secara percuma di malaysiansearch free ads network di <http://malaysiansearch.com/ads>

terima kasih

By Khairul-Dean Author Profile Page on April 5, 2010 10:56 PM

YABhg Tun Dr Mahathir Mohamad,  
Salaams

Semasa Kecil Kawan, Bila Besar Lawan  
(teka teki zaman pra-sekolah)

Chang claimed he was cited for contempt after he told Noor Azian that he would file a complaint to Chief Justice Tun Zaki Tun Azmi against her. He then walked out of the witness stand, saying that the court could do whatever it liked or even dismiss his suit.

The judge ordered Chang to apologise, but he refused which forced her to order the police to detain him.

Malay Mail

If, I am reading it wrong, and the report is inaccurate - why just sue the paper for libel, eh, Tun?

Kemarau setahun, hujan sehari: could you ask Matthias if it is not that he should be thankful the court granted him his wish?

Are we on the right wave length, Tun?

By Nostradame Author Profile Page on April 5, 2010 8:28 PM

Dear Tun,

Please view this facebook video (

<http://www.facebook.com/?ref=home#!/video/video.php?v=1087566686725&ref=mf> ) ..

Please ask somebody to do something about it ,put them under ISA or "something" , so damn

sakit mata tengok perangai mereka ni.

If something like this keep on happening i think the nightmare of Malaysia will becoming the next palestine will realize sooner than we expect.

Thank You.

Concern muslim,

Pahang.

By withmorechipsAuthor Profile Page on April 5, 2010 3:36 PM

Salam untuk Tun,

"May Matthias reconsider, pay the fined (under protest) and fight again his case. Pity to his wife and daughter. To be honest, Matthias should not be in jail."

Quote dari "jadi"

He should not be in jail. True. But I think I can relate to this man of principle. For me, it's not only about fighting the case, it's about the matter of principle. He was not get carried away. He was not impatience. Sometimes in life it's not about winning.

If I have a daughter I want to teach her how important to hold on to your principle...

Well. Maybe I was wrong about this Matthias after all. I don't even know him and never interested in political issues. But I regards very highly anyone who stand up for their principle and their beliefs. That make human a human...

By nazrimalikAuthor Profile Page on April 5, 2010 1:27 PM

I did not know matthias chang was involved in the palestinian viva convoy. Thank you to al-din and the few for the info. Salute him!

Also thank you to ceylonese lawyer for informing us here about what's happening in there.

Maybe matthias should control his emotions. Should pay the fine. Jail gives bad vibes.

Tun study medic, not law. But Tun did screw some judges at one point, yet he survived, until now. Why? How? Hehe! Tun is my man!

Allah lanjutkan usia Tun, amin..

By DadiAuthor Profile Page on April 5, 2010 12:58 PM

Only educated and confident person can take such action as what our Matthias have done. He is firm with his decision. I have read a book written by Matthias ( If i am not mistaken)" FUTURE FAST FORWARD" I am not surprised if this kind of action comes from the writer of this good book. So , this shows we malaysians have to be knowledgeable in every aspect in order to dispute the law or whatever hurdles that we may be facing.

By PraxisAuthor Profile Page on April 5, 2010 11:24 AM

From the facts here he does not seem to be in contempt.

Could he not have appealed the judge's decision?

Our laws seem to have been adopted wholesale from British colonial laws. Should they not be overhauled against universal, contemporary standards of justice and humanity?

By JJJAuthor Profile Page on April 5, 2010 10:31 AM

salam Tun,

to 'blinded with hate' Rarunasalam,

We, and by that I mean many of us here believe that you are a pompous self-regarding individual with devious intentions. Please enlighten us with your accusations. Don't just talk blindly. Better still why don't you file police reports.

As it is Tun remains the ONLY so-called dictator in the world who stepped down voluntarily and completely (unlike a certain leader down south).

Nobody with skeletons in their closet will step down voluntarily. They will prefer to die with their boots on.

Tun has issued a challenge for a thorough study to be conducted by an independent third party about allegations from people like you and S. Tan about the unfair policies of the NEP. You dare take up the challenge?

excerpts from a newspaper report;

"KUALA LUMPUR 27 Mac - Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad mencadangkan supaya satu bancian komprehensif dibuat bagi mendapatkan kebenaran berhubung pelbagai tuduhan kononnya orang Melayu membolot segala-galanya.

Beliau yang merupakan bekas Perdana Menteri berkata, bancian berkenaan amat diperlukan kerana sudah terlalu banyak tuduhan tanpa fakta dan hanya berdasarkan tanggapan dibuat pihak tidak bertanggungjawab.

Katanya, bancian itu lebih sesuai dilakukan oleh pihak yang bebas manakala fokus kajian itu perlulah mencakupi sektor swasta dan perkhidmatan awam.

"Ada banyak desakan yang bukan-bukan, kononnya semua biasiswa diberikan kepada bumiputera, semua ini betulkah? Kita kena tahulah (fakta), cakap sahaja tak boleh.

"Ini negara berbilang bangsa, kita perlu berkongsi dan hidup bersama-sama, tetapi jika kita mula menuduh satu sama lain tanpa fakta, ia akan menyebabkan ketidakstabilan yang akhirnya menjejaskan semua orang," katanya."

Tun wouldn't have issued the challenge if he doesn't know the facts. The question is DO YOU KNOW THE ACTUAL FACTS?

Sarang tebuan jangan dijolok.

Jeng3

By rarunasalamAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 11:31 PM

Tun

I wasn't there to 'witness' the exchange your former aide had with the Judge that led to the contempt charge be laid against him, but I find it humorous that your ardent supporters find Mathias 'innocent' on the basis of his humanitarian work in Palestine.

I guess it's easy to call someone a good person on the basis of their extra-curricular involvement.

Perception is reality Tun - Mathias makes himself out to be a modern day 'Gandhi' by showing his worldly side, but what really transpired Tun that resulted in Justice Noor Azian to resort to such a punishment Tun?

Is that not something you want to share. Is it just easy for you to write only what you want for your readers to consume...not all of the facts?

Mathias should appeal to higher authorities if he believes he has been aggrieved unfairly...going on a hunger strike is a bit too drastic in my view.

(Ravi)

By BlueGeneAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 8:31 PM

Assalam alaikum

YABhg Tun and all Chedets 'fellow'

About Mathias Chang issues I feel spin. To stand our rights in front of "Your Honour" is quite difficult even we convinced ourselves that Malaysia is a democracy country and practice democracy.

The term of contempt of court is *obiter dictum*. I don't know who is court actually. In this country, nobody is above the law even judges. I don't know whether to stand our right in front of "Your Honour" is similar to the action of contempt of court.

For judges are also human being like us. Not fully perfect and always make defect. In judging cases in court; even base on *obiter dictum* and *ratio discendi* still got influence of dubious sense of making judgement.

Wassalam

By musatoAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 7:46 PM

Assalamualaikum Tun.

Hai pun.d.it,

Orang kata Tun Mahathir guru nombor 1,tapi apa yang Tun ajar kat kita?

Tun cakap je tapi Anwar yang buat angkara.So,Anwar the best guru kejahatan.

Kita perlu belajar dari dia.

Anwar Ibrahim kata orang Melayu kuat.Memang orang Melayu kuat.

Tun Mahathir kata orang Melayu lemah.Saya tak setuju.

Kalu Anwar Ibrahim sanggup letak kepala dia,saya pasti orang Melayu berani pancung kepala dia.

Hidup Anwar Ibrahim.Anwar Ibrahim guru yang terbaik.

Terima kasih Tun.

By BubblesAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 7:21 PM

To mag58...

Thank you. You took the words right out of my mouth. People like Ranusalam, Ekompute and the likes of them see things with an eye closed or perhaps they just 'terencat akal'. To these people who make sweeping statements such as 'terrorism back home'..... 'skeletons in your cupboard' etc.... Kindly furnish facts to support your statements!

By 6 JahanamAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 6:48 PM

In any government if the law is behind the ruling govt  
this country is in BIG trouble.

Law should stand on its own,rational of making judgement,  
law an orders,rules and regulations.Seems Govt that are strong is usually back by the law.A very  
dangerous status of human justice.

United Nations that represent Human Rights if it is unable to stand  
on it,s own,being influence by strong Nations,the world will not have peace.All the best  
Mathias.God be with you.

By fzalAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 5:25 PM

We all make mistakes. even the most honourable judges can err in deciding cases tried before  
them.

I do think that he deserves to be heard. but he should never have acted in a very disrespectful manner towards the court.

The objective of having "contempt of court" is to prevent events such as this from happening. Even so, the holding of someone for contempt should be exercised with utmost care.

Hey, I'm not saying anything here. If I can just say that the judge's decision is wrong, it would be equivalent of me being the judge. The judge might have made a mistake. If he is not happy with the decision, take it on appeal. We have a system already in place. If you're not happy with the system, change it. Alter our existing legal framework via voting in parliament or amend the constitution if you have to. That's how politicians can take part in the development of the laws in this country.

There may be some hanky panky going on somewhere. But where in the world would you not find things like this?? the big question is how do we handle it?

By ekomputeAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 3:47 PM

Sra says: "It appears to be a case of 'double standard'. An accused, who is known to be a former DPM gets 'immunity' from being contempt of court as compared to an accused who is known as just a former political secretary of PM. Justice is not done!"

I don't know about the justice system or 'double standard' in Malaysia. All I know is that when I see who the prosecution is and who the defendant is, I can usually guess who is going to win the case without knowing the facts.

Matthias lost his case because the case came seven to eight years too late. If the case had been held during Tun's reign, I will bet with my last penny that he would have won, LOL. This is the reality of life and the difference between a mature democracy and a developing one.

By wajaperakAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 3:21 PM

Assallammualaikumwarahmatullahiwabarokatuh.

Semoga mendapat izin dan perkenan Tun.

[[Dr Syed Iskandar Syed Jaafar Al Mahdzar]]

I am very much impressed with your credential and truly feels I did not deserves the space to counter any argument that you forward here in Tun blog. Nevertheless, after I browsed your blog, I feels the need to give you my smallest insignificant 1 cent worth of input.

1. Why do you posted P Ramlee "works" in your blog? Do you believe that he has contributed something to betterment of malay community or Islam?

2. Speaking of approach, I believe that Dr Novandri Hasan Basri is more succesful in his community service. Do you feels that meeting people in their respective community by field's visitation is more comprehensive or blogging about them and did not "visibly present" is more

practical?

3. What is your take on present Bar Council, Sister in Islam and Malaysiakini?

4. Do you see any relevancy on the above subject or I just imagining them? Believing them relevant in contempt and mitigating circumstances?

5. Any good works on Matthias Chan Palestinian cause?

6. Is anti hadith ( kafiran ) like Shaikino, The Hidden Secret and their compatriot did not worth any interest from you to blog down your thought?

7. Last and not least, this Rasullulah s.a.w hadith

JANGANLAH KAMU MENYAKITI ORANG YANG TELAH MATI

Terima kasih Tun.

By huzanna Author Profile Page on April 4, 2010 2:41 PM

Assalamualaikum Tun Yang Amat Dihormati,

1. Kagum dengan prinsip yang dipegang oleh Saudara Matthias Chan. Mengenalinya beliau antara salah seorang 'think tank' di bawah pentadbiran Tun yang bersama-sama 'brain storming' menyelesaikan masalah kewangan negara 98 dahulu. Mengenalinya nama beliau kerana jasa serta tugas kemanusiaan di Palastine.

2. Hakim juga manusia, sememangnya ada kes-kes, di mana hakim juga tersilap pertimbangan. Dalam kes sebegini, kadangkala terjadi kepada orang biasa. Tetapi, apabila terjadi kes ini, saya tidak dapat mengelak diri ni daripada membandingkannya dengan kes Anwar Ibrahim, betapa banyak tolak ansur dan peluang diberikan oleh hakim kepada Si'Mat tangguh'sorang ni.

3. Saya berharap, beliau (Matthias Chan) tabah menghadapi ujian ini walaupun beliau di pihak yang benar. Adalah menjadi hak beliau untuk membuat rayuan 'to higher court'.

4. Mungkin Si Mat Tangguh (AI) patut mencontohi Saudara Matthias Chan. Tidak perlu mengheret penyokong (kononnya ramai) melolong di mahkamah. Tidak perlu mengheret rakyat dan kerajaan ke dalam masalah peribadinya (kes liwat). Selesaikan kes di mahkamah dengan segera, peguam diapun ramai, jangan nak tangguh lagi (kecuali memang alasan kerana dah memang bersalah).

Semoga Tun sentiasa diberkati dan dilindungi Yang Maha Esa. Amin. Terima kasih Tun di atas segala jasa Tun dan sekarangpun, Tun tetap menabur jasa kepada negara walaupun Tun ke luar negara sentiasa membawa nama baik negara.

By HBT Author Profile Page on April 4, 2010 1:29 PM

Good afternoon Ayahanda Tun,

//By AVATAR on April 3, 2010 6:21 PM

Dear AVATAR,

Mmmm.....

Are you using the same strategy that past UMNOs has been using? It's new world, learn to adapt, not by copying blindly. Invest or Park at your own risk!!

//There is a serious lesson to be learnt from John F. Kennedy's view: If a free society cannot help the many who are poor, it cannot save the few who are rich//

It's John F. Kennedy your father? Today, it's Democate's Obama, tomorrow it will be another USA President determined by Universal Norm who may be an US Republican, it's UNKNOWN, right?

If the Free Society cannot save the rich (Chickens), do you think that the poor (Eggs) can save themselves meh without the protection of their mother and father chickens?

Moreover, these rich are in pain, don't tell me that you splash salt into their deep wounded injuries AGAIN who are Malaysians too, just like you and me, and this may initiate another terrorism or just like what we call, revenge, the Hardest Approach that will end the world and human mankind.

The share market in capitalism will correct itself in the Universal Norm defined as Soft GLOBAL FREE MARKET, why worry??

You are very funny, desperate or buat bodoh in chedet blog???

Have a pleasant day, Ayahanda Tun.

By Wenger J KhairyAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 1:08 PM

That judge was a champion! I really respect her. Your man can rot in jail for as long as he thinks he is some super hero. Who is he to dis the courts like that. I believe there is only 1 person in the history of the country that can talk down to judges even to the extent of manipulating people to get an honest and virtuous Lord President removed. Mathias is not that person!

By MAZAIAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 12:25 PM

YG.BHG. TUN,  
SEBAGAI TAMBAHAN KEPADA KOMEN SEBELUM INI,

BARU-BARU INI TERDAPAT CERITA DALAM AKHBAR BAHAWA KEADAAN DI  
PENJARA KITA AMAT ISTIMEWA. MEMPUNYAI KEMUDAHAN LENGKAP SEPERTI

ASRAMA PUSAT PENGAJIAN, ATAU PUSAT LATIHAN. MEREKA BOLEH HIDUP SELESA TANPA PERLU BERTUNGGU LUMUS BEKERJA. HIDUP DILUAR PENJARA JAUH LEBIH MENYEKSAKAN BERBANDING DENGAN MENJALANI HUKUMAN DIPENJARA. LANTAS RAMAI BANDUAN-BANDUAN TERUTAMA DARI NEGARA ASING YANG LEBIH SENANG TINGGAL DI PENJARA DARI DIBEBASKAN.

OLEH ITU TERTANYA-TANYA DIFIKIRAN SAYA ADALAH PENJARA ITU TEMPAT PERLINDUNGAN ATAU TEMPAT MENJALANI HUKUMAN. DUA KONSEP INI AKAN MEMBAWA PENGERTIAN DAN KEADAAN YANG BERBEZA. JIKA PENJARA ADALAH TEMPAT MEREKA MENJALANI HUKUMAN MAKA WUJUDKANLAH PENJARA ITU SEBAGAI TEMPAT MENJALANKAN HUKUMAN. HUKUMAN BERMAKNA MEREKA YANG TELAH MENJALANINYA AKAN INSAF DAN TIDAK AKAN MELAKUKAN PERKARA YANG BERSALAHAN DENGAN UNDANG-UNDANG LAGI.

HANYA CARA INI LAH SAHAJA HUKUMAN ITU MENJADI BERKESAN.

JIKA TIDAK IANYA AKAN MENJADI TEMPAT PERLINDUNGAN. MEMBERI PELUNG MEREKA YANG BERSALAH HIDUP SELESA TANPA KOS.

MAZAI

By MAZAI Author Profile Page on April 4, 2010 12:04 PM

YG BHG. TUN,

DALAM HAL INI SAYA AGAK BERBEZA PENDAPAT DENGAN TUN.

KITA BOLEH MEMPERTIKAIKAN SETIAP KEPUTUSAN YANG TIDAK MENYEBELAHI KITA. DALAM APA JUGA KEADAAN ORANG YANG DIDAPATI BERSALAH SERTA SYMPERTISERNYA TIDAK AKAN MENGAKU KESALAHAN PESALAH TERSEBUT.

JIKA KEADAAN INI DIBENARKAN KITA AKAN MEWUJUDKAN SATU KEADAAN YANG AMAT RUMIT. MAHKAMAH AKAN SENTIASA DIERSALAHKAN KERANA KEPUTUSANNYA, AGENSI PENGUTKUASA SEPRTI POLIS, SPRM, JBT IMIGRESEN, PIHAK BERKUASA TEMPATAN DAN LAIN-LAIN AKAN SENTIASA BERADA DIDALAM KEBIMBANGAN UNTUK MEMBUAT KEPUTUSAN ATAU MELAKSANAKAN TANGGUNGJAWABNYA.

KERAGUAN AKAN TERUS WUJUD WALAU SEADIL MANAPUN KITA. PIHAK YANG DIDAPATI BERSALAH ATAU DIAMBIL TINDAKAN AKAN TERUS TIDAK PUAS HATI. TERIMALAH HAKIKAT BAHAWA WALAU SEKECIL MANAPUN KESALAHAN ITU, JIKA TELAH DIDAPATI BERSALAH, YANG SALAH TETAP SALAH.

OLEH ITU JANGANLAH KITA MENAMBAHKAN LAGI BEBAN PIHAK-PIHAK YANG TELAH DIAMANAHKAN. LETAKKANLAH SEDIKIT KEPERCAYAAN KEPADA

MEREKA.

SEMOGA TUN DAN ISTERI TERUS DIRAHMATI ALLAH SWT.

MAZAI

By Ceylonese LawyerAuthor Profile Page on April 4, 2010 12:38 AM

Dear Tun,

I am pleased that you have taken the time to say a few words in support of Matthias Chang. I know Matthias and I honour him as one of the few who has been able to put principle above self. Some may chide his ego but when the time came to take a stand he did.

I wish Tun will take the time to understand the high handed abuse that is happening in our courts. I know of a friend who was held back in Court till past 7pm when his wife was to deliver that evening and he had taken the pains to schedule her procedure for 6pm - after court hours. I know of people who are refused postponements even though they are ill and are unable to travel. Go and visit the Courts- watch our judges cut down lawyers, watch the way appeals are dismissed without appellants being heard, watch how people are rushed through their submissions by hostile judges who are bent on disrupting their cases. Watch judges interject and curt off testimony and later rule that there was no evidence!!

This is happening up and down the country. The Chief Justice is trying to uplift the system. But many many judges who are intent on not doing their duty are in a "work to rule" to force the most difficult circumstances on litigants and counsel.

These people do not have the benefit of being your political secretaries but they are suffering in silence. They are mothers who cannot pick up their children from school because some judges have chosen to drag the Court sitting till 8pm - these are not emergency life and limb cases. But this is how litigants and counsel are worn down.

To the contrary when Anwar Ibrahim and PKR lawyers and YBs are in Court, the red carpet is rolled out. Because now these same judges fear that their names will be ridiculed in Parliament and in the blogs. When lawyers connected to NGOs etc appear in Court- we can see the Malaysian judiciary in their best behavior.

Tun speak up and speak up clearly. Not just for Mathias but for all.  
By al-DinAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 11:29 PM

Brother Matthias Chang is a true champion for the Palestinians. In the recent Palestinian Viva convoy he and many others spent weeks of hardship in Rafah in the Egyptian border to bring medicine and food supplies to Gaza. Risking his life, spending time, energy and money were nothing for the cause of justice and survival of the Palestinians.

Here we eat, sleep, enjoy and talk. Worst some blokes call him names and said he deserve it. Ask

yourself what have you done or what he has done to you.

I don't know what transpired during the court case. Considering what Brother Matthias has done for the country during Tun's time and with the Palestinian cause the judge in fact must give due respect to him and afford some leeway. If at all why slam him such maximum or heavy penalty?

Long Live Brother Matthias Chang. The Palestinians and brother Muslims will pray for your wellbeing. Allah-hu-Akbar.

By Freddie KevinAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 10:17 PM

Dearest Tun MM,

My prayers are with Matthias as he will be in prison this Easter. I plead that he will end his hunger strike for his and his families sake.

I share their worries and concerns.

Those who doubt his sense of justice will be surprised and shamed by this reply in his defence.

Thank you for standing by him.

Regards

Freddie.

By zharifAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 9:59 PM

salam tun

in this case i can only said that mr.Matthias may appeal to the higher court and the judges of the higher court may become the third party. I don't think any other person may become the third party except the higher judges at the higher courts.

<http://pre-lawrion.blogspot.com>

By bayuAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 9:44 PM

COMMENTORS...READ MY LIPS..MATTIAS CHANG..HE GOT BALLS..MAAF TUN.I  
COULD SELL MY LAND IN LANGKAWI..TO GET HIM OUT..BUT MATTIAS IS A  
PRINCIPAL MAN..MAN WITH GUTS..PROUD HE DID NOT GO TO JAIL FOR  
'ASS'CRIME.I PAY MY TABIK TO MATTIAS..OTHERS FLY KITES.HE HELP  
PALESTINIAN..CHECK ON FREEGAZA WEB SITE..OTHERS WHOM CONDEMN HIM  
ARE SCARECROWS..TO MUCH NOISE EAT RUBBISH..MAAF TUN BILA MAI..

By ekomputeAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 8:10 PM

"Is a person, feeling aggrieved over his treatment by a judge not allowed to say that he is not happy with the judge and wishes to appeal to a higher authority?"

Well, my answer is: "No, not in the Malaysia context where detention without trial is

permissible. To even have a trial is already a privilege."

By AVATARAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 6:21 PM

APRIL 2 — Of late, the pressure is building up to convey the impression that the Malays are poor because of the non-Malays. It is trumpeted that the non-Malays are enriching themselves at the expense of the Malays.

This erroneous and mischievous line of argument is deliberately pushed to achieve two objectives: One, to get the Malays riled up and to create hatred for the non-Malays as the source and cause of Malay poverty. Two, this is a ploy to consolidate the position of these hate-mongers so that they can be accepted as the defenders of the race and champions who would deliver the Malays from their wretched situation.

But these extremist elements do not reveal how they have benefited from the policies of Umno that were meant for the welfare of the majority poor Malays. They do not reveal how the benefits have gone to the crony corporate figures and the well connected political elite irrespective of their ethnicity.

They do not disclose how billions of ringgit had been squandered to rescue the failed ventures of their elite group. They do not disclose how billions were pumped into Bank Rakyat and Bank Bumiputera to sustain them. They do not disclose why Mirzan Mahathir's floundering and debt-laden shipping empire had to be bailed out with our national wealth. They do not disclose why Tajudin Ramli's stake in MAS was bought over for RM8 per MAS share when the market price was only RM3.62.

Likewise many other individual Malays have benefited enormously. They don't lose out when their businesses fail but they gain in spite of their failure. Contracts, licences, AP permits, new shares and whatnot are grabbed by these individuals for themselves and their families. The poor majority Malays do not benefit from these policies.

It was recently disclosed that out of RM54 billion in shares allocated for Bumiputeras, only RM2 billion were still in their hands. What has happened to the RM52 billion that cannot be accounted for? RM54 billion is a colossal sum of wealth that has been dished out. How did RM52 billion disappear into thin air?

After 40 years of NEP, it is absolutely unacceptable that the deserving poor have not benefited in the way it was intended. Sometimes we wonder if the Malays are kept poor simply and deliberately to get their votes by blaming the non-Malays for their abject situation.

Over the last 40 years, successive Umno presidents and deputy presidents served as prime ministers and deputy prime ministers wielding great powers, influencing policies and determining the fate of the Malays.

Every Education Minister since Merdeka has come from Umno. Every Finance Minister after Tun Tan Siew Sin has been an Umno man. Every Rural Development Minister has been an

Umno man. The Cabinet was dominated by Umno leaders.

How is it that with this heavy representation of Umno leaders in the Cabinet the vast majority of Malays have remained deprived and desperately poor? How did Umno, ever ready to advance Malay interest, permit this neglect?

The Umno-dominated Barisan Nasional holds the purse strings of the national wealth. Why wasn't this wealth distributed to the poor as well? Why did the greedy grab everything? Was this done without the knowledge of Umno leaders?

Don't blame the non-Malays who have no say in the policies of the government; blame it on Umno. Who squandered the national wealth on prestige projects while neglecting the poor? Who bailed out crony businessmen by writing off billions of ringgit? It is Umno and nobody else! If the Malays are poor, it is because of Umno. Don't blame it on others.

There is a serious lesson to be learnt from John F. Kennedy's view: If a free society cannot help the many who are poor, it cannot save the few who are rich  
By pun.d.itAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 4:18 PM

Hello musato

Your quote: "Anuar Ibrahim seorang guru yg terbaik."

He was was my Hero No.2. Even after he was sacked. Me and wife wondered what had gone wrong the next twelve hours or so. Was Hero 1 or Hero 2 in the right?

Then I watched Anuar's press conf. in his home, surrounded by the myriad of journalists. Towards the end if it he said something to this effect: What you see is what I've got. We were taken aback. What? After being DPM for so long (17 years I think) that's all you've got?

My doubt was settled there and then. I'm with Hero 1!

SUKOM was fast approaching. Anuar said he would not kacau the games. Yet, there were demonstrations along QE2's motorcade route. That sealed Hero 2's fate. I am DEFINITELY with Hero 1!!

For JUST the above two anectodes, he cannot be guru terbaik. I have afew more examples, but the two would suffice.

I choose Hero 1 to be my GURU!!!  
By AntikaisuAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 4:03 PM

Salam Tun,  
Seorang pemimpin yang genius dan banyak berjasa kepada rakyat Malaysia semada kaun Melayu,Cina,India,kadazan,Iban dan lain bangsa yang hidup mewah,aman dan sejahtera. Dengan perancangan dan kebijaksanaan dalam pertadbiran yang ideal, Malaysia dan rakyatnya menjadi

bualan dan contoh dari negara lain di dunia ini. Bagi saya pandangan Tun adalah pendapat seorang pemimpin yang ikhlas dan berpandangan jauh. Bagi saya pandangan individu2 yang negatif tertentu dlam blog ini adalah datangnya dari manusia yang tidak pernah bersyukur atas nikmat yang datang dari hasil kerja kuat Tun dalam memastikan setiap rakyat Malaysia tanpa mengira bangsa, keturunan, agama dan darjatnya sentiasa hidup dalam keadaan dalam mewah, aman dan sejahtera yang tidak banyak terdapat di dalam negara lain di dunia ini.

Itulah..Malaysia yang unik di mana semua bangsa boleh hidup dalam satu "Rumah", tanpa kesulitan.

Mungkin pemikiran yang negatif dalam blog adalah orang tidak bersyukur, dunggu, sosialis, perkauman, zionis, kiasu atau tidak pernah jadi rakyat Malaysia yang bertuah ini! Renung2lah you guys..Jangan jadi "Anjing tersepit." "Be respect to him once to be Malaysia Great Leader". its was told to me by the people out there when i was in oversea..It's was fact.

By blood tear of malay Author Profile Page on April 3, 2010 11:00 AM

Tun,

Do I agree with Tun. There should be a third party to solve this problem. If the two sides meindicate their consent, who is really correct? Who would hear the voices of both parties if no third party. In this case the third party should be appointed to Determine the validity of this case. The third party must be an individual that is independent of any party ... Moral here, we tried to control the call of our religious, reform parties are at odds ...

By jadi Author Profile Page on April 3, 2010 10:43 AM

Yg Berbahgia Tun.

I fully respect your point. I also respect Matthias Chang and my sympathy to him. BUT, his fighting strategy was carried away by his impatience. If this court found him guilty, he can always appeal to another court citing the judge errorness.

May Matthias reconsider, pay the fined (under protest) and fight again his case. Pity to his wife and daughter. To be honest, Matthias should not be in jail.

By Gopal Raj Kumar Author Profile Page on April 3, 2010 8:50 AM

Beginning with Phillip Kok's statement in another media on contempt of court, there appears to be an opportunistic attack at Dr. Mahathir "who created this judicial system" a statement which brings into focus the paucity of knowledge within the collective of the Malaysian legal profession.

The legal and judicial system (one and the same) was inherited by Malaysia from Britain. There is no question about its origins. To suggest Mahathir created it is at best laughable and at worst ignorant. I believe Mr. Kok may have been struggling to suggest Dr. Mahathir had appointed the judge in question:

To the point; Contempt of court takes two known forms. Civil and Criminal contempt. It is an anachronism if applied as it has been as reported against lawyer Mathias Chang and reinforces the point of its lacking utility in the context of Mathias's case.

If his account and the plethora of media accounts that support it on the matter have any truth to it, the judge erred and erred fatally by deciding a criminal contempt charge without formally charging through an independent and detached officer of the court (another judge without an interest in the matter) without serving or observing due process or observance of the chargees rights and the judge's obligations at law in the course of proceedings, the judge had no right to act in Mahathir's words "as judge jury and executioner" all in one.

She did not have a legal right or privilege to hear a matter in which she had an interest and was an interested party. She could not have been impartial in the circumstances. It all points to a miscarriage of justice and of a judge out of control.

Lord Salmon: "contempt of court is not designed to protect judges (from adverse comments). They have no need for such protections. It is to ensure no obstruction to the administration of justice".

There are no provisions in law for pre-emptive sentencing or judgments in Malaysian law. More important there is nothing in the law that empowers a judge or other judicial officer to act in their own interests in the performance of their duties. That ended with King Charles I being sent to the gallows in 1649.

A subsequent correction by attempting to put the horse before the cart this time on realizing her errors did not in any way perfect the flawed procedure or its outcomes. It reinforced the mistakes of the judge. If Mathias had called her an idiot as it is also reported he may well have committed an offence which would have been civil in nature.

Civil contempt comes in many forms. Publication of material that is subjudice and often a refusal to obey an order of court or to do something ordered or required to be done by law and more importantly by the courts. The dichotomous relationship between freedoms of expression and contempt of court or judge made law to override a constitutional right to freedom of expression is at the core of many an argument against contempt of court as a concept today.

Criminal contempt is where one interferes with witnesses or with evidence as Raja Petra Kamaruddin is likely to do on his return arising out of the "production of an unsigned letter" to the inquiry into the death of Teoh Beng Hock.

The fact that Mathias said something or is alleged to have said something that displeased the judge is not of itself contempt, unless of course it can be proved to have prevented either the court as an instrument of the law or the judge, a witness, a piece of evidence or another lawyer from performing their collective duties or functions in the administration of justice.

It was clearly a personal affair and personal to both individuals with the other lawyer present making political capital out of an issue which had no place on the forum which convicted

Mathias.

Even if Mathias did say everything the judge alleges he said and acted in the way he did, she cured his defects by her conduct and her failure to follow due process which is the greater of the two evils. And that's what we ought to be concerned about.

By hadafiAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 8:15 AM

assalamualaikum tun,

no big deal. it is matter of principle held by one. just exactly like the principle held by other isa detainee.

what surprise here, you comment on mathias on his law iszsue, but not for kartika. or may be i miss the kartika issue. she also the one who need malaysian or your support in regards of so called rrules & regulation in term of law and syariah law.

or may be matthias is your ex subordinate and this may consider last touch from ex boss. crony? but i do expect same thing for you to voice out the victim such kartika since she also quit popular nowadays.

By Dr Novandri Hasan BasriAuthor Profile Page on April 3, 2010 12:22 AM

Salam Tun,

I'm initiating a 'kami prihatin' campaign in blogs & Facebooks. The campaign was initiated by Utusan Malaysia since few weeks ago and getting good response.

But I feel that bloggers including yourself can contribute in the awareness campaign because bloggers have their own readers and more than the conventional media.

It's an honour if you can paste the logo campaign in your blog. The logo is in my blog <http://novandri.blogspot.com/2010/04/bloggers-facebookers-sekalian-jomkami.html>

Thank you very much.

By skaizerAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 11:09 PM

well i think matthias chang deserve it, padan muka, who the hell he think he is, political secretary for pm, dream on sir, i hate to see political figure who living in the past dream who think they still in control , but in fact they are just faded primadona, i think curtain call for matthias, anwar and ku li.

well tun comments about this issue make me convince that lingam case is actually true, it also shown that tun need to be advise or wrongly advise in the basic principle of law of contempt of court.

i don't need to explain flawed in tun entry and tun understanding in law of contempt, but somehow it shown tun past character and treatment to judiciary, which all the while i believe tun

never interfere in the judiciary, but this entry shown other wise and change my believe , res ipsa liquitor- thing speak for itself.

By JamalAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 9:47 PM

Dear Tun,

There are two main points Tun is trying to highlight in this blog.

1) Should punishment for contempt if court be meted out by the judge who feels he/she had been aggrieved.

2) The comparison of how the judges acted in the case of DSI as to Matthias Chang's.

Perhaps the difference is in the form and not the content of the cases.

Perhaps MC approached his dissatisfaction to the ability of the judge in his case in a way that spells "Contempt". Where as DSI legal team used all the avenues allowed in the law to express his dissatisfaction to the judge - though some may say that this legal eagle are just trying to use what ever they can within then law to fight their case and prolong it.

So it will be good advice for MC to engage the services of DSI's legal team - lead by KS.

One thing for sure is NAJIB's slow and steady gains in improving the situation is Malaysia. Lets give him the benefit of the doubt and support him until he proves himself. I am sure this is what Tun is doing as well.

By Gopal Raj KumarAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 8:53 PM

Contempt of court:

An act or omission tending to obstruct or interfere with the orderly administration of justice, or to impair the dignity of the court or respect for its authority. There are two kinds, direct and constructive.

Direct contempt openly and in the presence of the court, resists the power of the court, and consequential, or constructive contempt results from matters outside the court, such as failure to comply with orders. (quote from an English Law review)

Now having quoted from an authoritative source distilled into the paragraphs above, it is important to observe the following comment which truly guides the purpose and the objects of the offence of contempt of court which the judge jailing Mathias Chang on such a charge was ill informed or unaware of to the detriment of the law and the dignity of the court in which she presided over.

Lord Salmon once described the misinterpretation of the concept of "contempt of court" as being a power in the hands of a judge which the offending judge in Malaysia showed little cognizance of.

Lord Salmon went on to say "The laws of contempt of court are not there to protect the 'dignity of judges and to protect them from insults". Adding further that "no such protection is necessary or needed."

“The contempt of court provisions are there to give courts (not judges) power to effectively protect the public by ensuring the administration of justice is not hindered or prevented.”

Most unfortunately a judge in this instance has again demonstrated an abject lack of self control by demonstrating more than just apprehended bias in attacking a person she, clearly from the facts, had little regard for.

In doing so she created grounds for recusal and ought to have recused herself on grounds of bias (actual and apprehended). She failed to and instead went about on a frolick of her own by engaging in conduct whether or not provoked by Chang that brought the office she holds into disrepute together with the dignity of the court she presided over.

What may have been justified by the judge to a certain point and I can find many arguments for that proposition if the facts as proclaimed by Mathias are true, has become a self inflicted wound on the judiciary, the dignity of the courts and office of the judge by this judge resorting to vindictive and unnecessary unbecoming behaviour on her part in pursuit of a personal vendetta rather than a just outcome based on the law.

Clearly the chief justice and parliament have a duty to act now in correcting what amounts to gross misconduct (if the facts are proven) by a sitting judge which amounts to removal misconduct. A tragedy indeed it will be if common sense does not prevail.

The ghost of a looser looms large over the lives of Malaysians even after his departure. Abdullah Badawi I speak of.

By Dr Syed Iskandar Syed Jaafar Al Mahdzar Author Profile Page on April 2, 2010 7:34 PM

Assalamualaikum wbt Yg Bhg Tun, the beloved Malaysian 4th Prime Minister,

Today is 17 Rabiul Akhir 1431 Hijrah (2/4/2010).

Yes, Yg Bhg Tun you have your point on contempt procedures perhaps a third party should adjudicate the proceedings on contempt but dear Tun, the whole purpose of contempt proceeding is normally to prevent any parties to show disrespect to court that would mean to issue punishment immediately that will in turn scare off others who tries to be funny in court.

Assuming if we were to allow a contempt proceeding be conducted on different days by different parties than the trouble maker would be enjoying himself insulting the court non stop prior to a totally new hearing before a third party. Perhaps more intellectual discourse by the relevant parties should be conducted soon with a view to finding an acceptable resolution to all.

Meanwhile Yg Bhg Tun , you should be praised for standing by your ex staff when he is in trouble.

But my dear Yg Bhg Tun, if you could recall, Matthias Chang has displayed equally rude

behaviour before the Criminalize War Law Commission when he started to speak last year. Last year he should be addressing the Law Commission instead of the audience but he kept putting his hand in his pocket and turning to the audience when he spoke before the respected Law Commission. Worst he acted and took the Commission for a ride when he spoke on many irrelevant points and even attempted to stage a fake sadness. The Commission was suppose to be respected and not treated like a theatre.

A lot of whispering and sms messages were flying around when Matthias continued to behave rudely during the Commission hearing to the extend that someone was advising that he should stop immediately.

Worst, during the Tribunal hearing on the next day, even though he was no longer participating but the fact that he went around teaching the counsels what to do during the hearing and kept showing his watch led to everybody thinking that the Tribunal hearing was not serious. Why not let just all the witnesses finished testifying and if there is a need for a further date to be fixed to continue the hearing then just do it, fix another date but not the way Matthias behave that day to the extend that it may annoyed the panel of well respected judges coming all the way to the Kuala Lumpur for the Kuala Lumpur Tribunal hearing. Can you imagine Yg Bhg Tun that in any actual court hearing somebody went around coaching the counsel and kept showing his watch? This was what Matthias Chang precisely did, Yg Bhg Tun. Well the fact that Matthias actively participated in the organizing of the KL Criminalize War Conference etc 2009 does not gave him a blanket james bond like license to kill to do whatever he like towards the well respected KL Law Commission & KL Law Tribunal To Criminalize War.

Worst sms messages were exchanges during the Tribunal hearing about Matthias conduct and in fact he should be charged for contempt during both the Law Commission and the Tribunal hearing. Well Yg Bhg Tun, even though Matthias is your good friend/ ex staff, yet as you said one should be able to call a spade a spade and that is exactly what I am doing when referring to Matthias. Nobody will be surprise to see Matthias be charged for contempt last week Yg Bhg Tun and in fact many are expecting that he be jailed last week itself. This Matthias can became a Bollywood actor (since he might not qualify to act in any Hollywood movie at all). The fact that last week he asked the court to do what the court like and he went further openly to threaten the court by wanting to complain to the Chief Justice was not a conduct of someone who is professional as normally if anyone who is not happy with any decision would simply file a notice of appeal within the prescribed time frame to file . By openly threatening the court he is turning the court into a movie scene normally found in Hindustani movie. Well Yg Bhg Tun, with due respect to you Yg Bhg Tun, please read the following star report last week regarding Matthias behaviour, what is your independent conclusion to Matthias conduct?

Published: Thursday March 25, 2010 MYT 7:53:00 PM  
Dr M's former aide Matthias Chang fined for contempt

KUALA LUMPUR: Matthias Chang, the ex-political secretary to former prime minister Tun Dr Mahathir Mohamad, was fined RM20,000 in default a month's jail by the High Court here Thursday for contempt of court.

Justice Noor Azian Shaari ordered the amount to be paid within one week.

Chang was cited for contempt when he failed to apologise to the court after an argument with the judge and a lawyer, during cross-examination by the lawyer in his defamation suit against American Express (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd.

Chang, 60, then walked out of the witness stand, saying that the court could do whatever it liked, such as dismiss his suit, and he would lodge a complaint with the Chief Justice regarding the matter.

The judge ordered Chang to apologise, but he refused, which forced her to order the police to detain him.

He was the first witness of the case, which began Thursday. The defamation suit against American Express was filed in 2002.

When the contempt charge was read out, Noor Azian asked Chang, who was standing at the lawyer's seat, for his plea but he did not respond.

Noor Azian: "I'm asking you, do you want to answer to the charge?"

Chang: "No."

Noor Azian: "You understand the consequences?"

Chang: "Yes."

Noor Azian: "I find you guilty. Do you want to mitigate?"

Chang: "I don't want to say anything at this moment and I want to appeal."

After the decision, the judge said the hearing of the suit would continue Friday and if Chang failed to turn up, she would dismiss the suit.

Counsel Manjit Singh represented Chang in the suit, while Zainur Zakaria acted for him in the contempt proceedings and Prakash Menon appeared for American Express. – Bernama

Let us hope that Matthias will stop acting like a child thinking that he is acting in movie. By the way Yg Bhg Tun, why all the positive publicity given to him for all the stupid and silly conduct that Matthias choose to commit?

Last but not least, it is high time that the AG Chambers play a role in reviewing contempt proceedings procedure and invite you Yg Bhg Tun to an internal discussion so as that you might want to give your feedback prior to any further changes taking place in contempt proceedings. I realize you have your point and knowing that you wanted to do law those days that might even add a useful positive input to any meeting on contempt proceedings procedure conducted at AG Chambers. Wallahualam.

17 Rabiul Akhir 1431 Hijrah (2/4/2010) 640pm  
drsyediskandarislamicbankingfinance.blogspot.com or  
drsyediskandarshariahcoffeetalk.blogspot.com or  
drsyediskandarglobalpolitics.blogspot.com or  
isikcorpadv@gmail.com

By zaki\_77 Author Profile Page on April 2, 2010 5:59 PM

M Chang should be released honourably. I hope than Tun will help him get the justice that he deserves. Malaysian Judges seem to think they are above the law themselves!

By donplaypuks Author Profile Page on April 2, 2010 5:25 PM

Tun

While it is commendable that you spring into action to defend your ex-aide, it somewhat rings hollow when you ask that a second judge be appointed to determine contempt charges against Mathia Chang.

After all, the judge is following the law to its letter and the system is what YOU made it to be after 20 years of interfering to cow our CJ's and judges with frequent amendmends to our Constitution and laws. And Mathias did not lash out at the judges all these years except when it was too late and after it bit him on his ass!

You make it sound as though Mathias has been hanged and gone forever. Nothing could be further from the truth. After all when Anwar asked for neutral judges you called it manipulation and abuse of the law. So, what's sauce for the goose must also be sauce for the gander. Let Mathias go through the legal appeals system and due process as would be the case for ALL citizens! No preferential treatment for ex-aides and croneys please.

dpp

We are all of 1 race, the Human Race

By amin tanAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 5:06 PM

Dear Tun,

I am sorry I have to disagree with you. The court is the place you have to show utmost respect and decorum to the presiding judge. Obviously, Matthias Chang was defiant, recalcitrant and rude to the judge. Not only was he disrespectful in his language but his face and body language was kurang ajar, to say the least. If he wanted to appeal also he didnt have to challenge the judge there and then. Matthias was wayward and extreme. Never the less, I salute you for defending a friend, for good or for evil. I too would like to be your friend.

amin tan

By Rimba EmasAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 4:47 PM

SALAM BUAT TUN BERDUA MOGA DIRAHMATI ALLAH S.W.T

1) PARA HAKIM CUBA LIHAT SALAH SATU SIFAT YANG ADA PADA RASULLULLAH S.A.W. SALAH SATU SIFATNYA IALAH TAHAN SABAR YANG DITUNJUKKAN WALAU PUN DIHINA IA MASIH DAPAT MEMAAFKAN ORANG YANG MENGHINANYA TADI.MALAH PERNAH DIA DOAKAN LAGI PADA ALLAH S.W.T AGAR MERAHMATINYA KERANA JAHIL.

2) AGAKNYA KALAULAH RASULLULLAH S.A.W MENGHAKIMI SAUDARA MATTHIAS CHANG YANG BUKAN SEORANG ISLAM BAGAIMANA AGAKNYA SITUASI YANG AKAN TERJADI ANTARA SEORANG HAKIM DAN TERTUDUH YANG TIDAK BERPUAS HATI.

3) SOALANNYA DIA SEKARANG BUKAN SAHAJA TERPAKSA MENGHADAPI

HUKUMAN ATAS KESALAHAN YANG DIHADAPI TETAPI AKAN DITAMBAH PULA SATU HUKUMAN BARU KERANA KESALAHAN TERHADAP HAKIM YANG TELAH DILINDUNGI UNDANG-UNDANG HUKUMAN PENJARA ATAU DENDA.

4) LIHATLAH UNDANG-UNDANG ALLAH S.W.T MASIH MEMPUYAI SEORANG RASUL YANG SANGGUP MENANGGUNG KESUSAHAN DIRI UNTUK MENEGAKKAN HUKUM ALLAH S.W.T.

5) CARA RASULLULLAH S.A.W HANYA MELETAK BAGINDA TIADA KUASA YANG MELINDUNGI DIRINYA KEPADA ALLAH S.W.T. SEBAB ITULAH SETIAP PESALAH KADANG-KADANG KEMBALI KE JALAN YANG BENAR KERANA TERASA ADA KEADILAN IAITU RASULLULLAH S.A.W TIADA KEPENTINGAN SEBAGAI ORANG PERANTARA.

6) KEADAAN MATTHIAS CHANG INI SEOLAH-OLAH HUKUMAN TELAH DIKENAKAN SEBELUM BICARA.

7) KENAPA BOLEH DIKATAKAN BEGITU KERANA PESALAH TIADA PILIHAN LAGI SEBAB DIA TAHU ADA BENDA YANG AKAN KEHILANGAN SAMAADA WANG ATAU PUN DIPENJARAKAN.

8) DIMANA MARUAH SISTEM KEHAKIMAN SEBAB MANUSIA MULA NAMPAK SETIAP PERKARA ITU SATU PERANCANGAN ATAU JALAN UNTUK MENDAPATKAN WANG.

9) KEADAAN INI ADALAH SATU BENCANA BESAR KEPADA MANUSIA JIKA JUMLAH WANG YANG AKAN DIKENAKAN TIDAK DAPAT DITETAPKAN UNTUK TAHUN KEHADAPAN SETERUSNYA.

10) SATU BENTUK RIBA BARU AKAN TERBENTUK IAITU KENAIKAN BERGANDA SETIAP TAHUN YANG DILINDUNGI DARI PENGETAHUAN MANUSIA KERANA TIDAK BERNAMA RIBA. MUSLIHATNYA WANG DIGUNAKAN SEBAGAI CARA UNTUK MELEPASI HUKUMAN.

11) ADA YANG Mencari DUIT (HALALKAH?) DENGAN MENGADA-GADAKAN PERATURAN ATAU SATU LARANGAN UNTUK DIKENAKAN DENDA YANG SETIAP TAHUN MAKIN BERGANDA.

12) MANUSIA SEMAKIN KEHILANGAN WANG YANG DIREKA-REKA OLEH MANUSIA YANG RAKUS DENGAN DIADAKAN KUASA UNTUK MILIK MEREKA. SATU DASAR KASTA!!

WALLAHU'ALAM

By al-DinAuthor Profile on April 2, 2010 12:08 PM

Is there such a thing as ego-meter for everyone of us. I believe there is. It is but a subjective one.

It varies from individual to individual depending on age, upbringing, genetics, education, exposure, circumstances and other variables. The ego-meter reading will fluctuate within certain range over the years. Even for an individual it may vary within the day depending on health condition, temperament, events in the past hour or days.

A good and level-headed judge must already set his or her ego-meter reading to a stable and professional level so that the myriad of influencing factors do not cloud in passing a fair judgement in any case. A judge is trained to do that within the law and norms and yet in a humanistic way.

Again being human, we are subject to tendencies. One has to live with conscience.  
By musatoAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 10:32 AM

Assalamualaikum Tun.

Bila saya balik kampung masa bulan pose kecik2 dulu,pagi-pagi lagi saya dah buka tudung saji.Yang pasti kalau saya buka tudung saji,arwah toki saya mesti bagi saya makan.Kediam kalau lapar lagi suruh saya gi panjat pokok rambutan kat belakang rumah.

So,saya tak pose la.Saya tak diajar pose,tapi diajar main daun terup 400 (untuk judi) dan diajar merokok kerana kata arwah toki saya hisap rokok dengan tembakau tanam sendiri tak bahaya.Tak mati.

Saya pikir daripada En Azhar kita (april2,5.51 am) nak berguru dengan Tun Mahathir,lebih saya kira beliau belajar dari Anwar Ibrahim.

Anwar Ibrahim seorang guru yang terbaik.As my grandpa taught me.Nasihat Lukman Nurhakim yang diabadikan dalam Al-Quran pun menyuruh tunjuk ajar anak benda yang tak elok.

Bagaimana rakyat Malaysia terlepas pandang untuk belajar dari seorang guru yang terbaik? Sesungguhnya manusia hanya membuang masa dengan sia-sia.

My Allah bless Anwar Ibrahim.

Terima kasih Tun.  
By danielsdbAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 10:30 AM

Tun...

Maybe the judge was wrong in this case....but I think if Matthias can show better respect to the less experienced judge (in his point of view)....this may not happen....I don't know...?!?!? I just judge his personality from the way he talk in TV...

By asmawiAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 9:45 AM

Salam Ayahanda Tun,

1. Dalam situasi Mathias Chang ketika itu, saya bersetuju dengan keputusannya.
  2. He got nothing to lose anymore. Duit dah ada / cukup. Nama dah ada.
  3. Yang penting baginya adalah kepuasan.
  4. Tidak keterlaluan jika saya katakan dia akan menyesal seumur hidup jika tidak membuat keputusan tersebut.
  4. Saya berkesempatan melalui kehidupan seorang bekas artis yang telah meninggal dunia.
  5. Beliau juga telah mengambil keputusan yang sama seperti Mathias iaitu memilih untuk kepenjara selama 30 hari kerana sebab yang sama seperti Mathias, so called 'contempt of court'.
  6. Kata beliau, 'aku umur dah 60 lebih, takde apa nak rugi lagi. Aku hanya berasa puas jika aku dapat melahirkan perasaan aku kepada Hakim tu.'. Agaknya baru la boleh dia mati dengan aman kot.
  7. Selepas selesai menjalani hukuman penjara, selang sebulan-dua, beliau pun meninggal dengan aman semasa tidur di rumahnya, suspected sakit jantung. Semoga Allah merahmati rohnyanya dan memberi segala keampunannya.
  8. Tak tahu le, Hakim tu sempat mintak ampun dengan artis tu atau tidak. Rasanya Hakim tak pernah rasa bersalah kot. macam ada member kat atas tu sebut....semi maksum.
  9. Moral of the story, orang seperti artis tu dan juga Mathias dan yang lain-lain sepertinya adalah 'A man of principle'.
  10. Cuma, in battles, principle lies with the right time and place.
- By HBTAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 9:11 AM

Good morning Ayahanda Tun,

With so much of the Malay first, Ketuanan Melayu, Malaysian Second, People First, Performance Now, so and so..... blah...blah...

The first questions pops into the mind of People First:-

Who is the Judge?

What race is he/she?

What state that the Judge originated from?

The above questions apply to the criminal too due to basic human rights under Federal Constitution since Merdeka.

Is Matthias' contempt of court, a criminal act under Federal Law, the Common Law originated from UK since Merdeka?

Does Matthias has the human rights to appeal for higher court, and obviously, he has chosen, though he could raise the sum of RM20,000, to go into jail because of self-principle (the Federal Constitution basic human rights).

Judge is human being too, she/he may be the likes of Kapal Singh who is caught biased against his political party and Altantunya's case.

The Question Now is, "Is Malaysian our 'Second Nationality' to Bangsa Tanah Melayu since Merdeka for more than 52 years now?"

People First means State Malay First, Malay First, Chinese First, Indian First, Singh First, Kadazan First, Dayak First, Iban First or Bumiputra First?

For me, of course, is my hard earn moeny into my pocket first since I have make to sure my family is being feed as a Chinese, Chinese Malaysian, Malaysia.

Human Nature due to God's will, and I did not ask for myself to be a Chinese in Malaysia. Who brought us here? The British or Cheng Ho, the Laksmana Melaka under Kesultanan Melaka?

Good day Ayahanda Tun. History can be re-cycled as value added to Modern/New History. History cannot be re-written, even USA's Mr Barack Obama cannot re-write the history of USA Presidency in the names of Capitalism, Democracy, Justice, Liberty and Basic Human Rights, Terrorism, Wars or Disease. He can only re-cycle World History as American Native to add value into the stagnant USA Economy!

By azharAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 5:51 AM

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Tun sememangnya selalu mempunyai asas yang kukuh dalam melemparkan komen dan idea.

Semoga Allah lanjutkan usia Tun untuk terus berkhidmatna kepada masyarakat Malaysia.

Boleh saya dapatkan email Tun agar saya dapat berkomunikasi dengan Tun secara personal.

Rasanya banyak perkara yang saya ingin belajar dari Tun. Itu pun kalau Tun sanggup menjadi Guru saya.

By AmosLimZhenYuAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 3:05 AM

Dear Tun,

Me as a Malaysian is feeling so proud of Tun's voice out!  
we should all love our country and have a desire to improve ourselves for ourselves, Malaysian!

Hooray! x)

By Dhahran SeaAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 2:50 AM

Salam Tun,

Many of our judges dah banyak lemak with bloated egos over the years, started with Salleh Abas... they all thought they are semi-maksum if not maksum... very rarely I see judges yang humble & really wise... most look dumb and ARE quite dumb actually! Pity them...

By Nothing But WindAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 2:26 AM

Dear Tun Dr M,

There is a lot of grey area in law that needs to be addressed. One of it is of course, contempt of court. It is only fair (that is why the judge and court is for)that the person accused for contempt of court is punished without having his basic right of innocent until proven guilty.

To exercise his right, he cannot refer to the same judge who found him guilty of contempt earlier. By right matters of this sort should be referred to the Bar Council by the accused or be referred to a senior judge than the related judge.

Another matter is that, the punishment to be meted on the guilty party sometimes gives too much freedom to the judge to come up with a sentence. This means he could severely punish Mr X and give lighter punishment to Mr Y for the same or similar offence.

For example, a sentence for a particular crime is (let us say)a prison sentence of not more than 5 years or a fine of RM 10,000 or both. Mr X can be given a maximum sentence where he could be jailed for 5 years and a fine of RM 10,000. Where else, Mr Y, who would have committed similar sentence might be sentenced to just a fine of RM 5,000. Please note that both the sentences are within the jurisdiction of the judge(s).

Can any legal eagle enlighten me on this?

By Joe TherapistAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 1:48 AM

Wow TUN,

You really stick out for your people. Doesn't matter how stupid they acted.

I Commend you Tun. "Never Leave a Man Behind"

Regards

By leadingAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 1:43 AM

Tun,

If you feel that a person is very unreasonable and is very rude to you, right in front of you, how much ♥love♥ can you give to that person, at that particular moment.

Regards,

♥♥♥.leading.com.my

By ekomputeAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 1:30 AM

The law of natural justice does state that "thou shall not sit in judgment of your own cause." Tun, so you now agree that there is something very wrong with our court system.

You wrote, "I write this with trepidation because I too can be charged with contempt." But don't you worry. They dare not touch you, LOL.

By NeilAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 12:57 AM

You can say anything that you think is good for your damn rotting friend, but i say he is a spoil brat.He deserve to go to jail. he is been taught by you.

By azmanyAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 12:13 AM

A judge, unlike other post, is a very unique position as he/she has the authority to mete out punishment. As such, in the first place, selection and appointment of judges are crucial.

In the court, I'm sure there are certain authorities allowed to the judges - just as there are limits of authorities to Prime Minister, CEOs, teachers and other authority figures in society like imams, cops, fathers and so on.

Without these limit of authority, they cannot get their work done. No authority - no responsibility.

In today's society, where everyone think they are clever - authorities continuously being challenged or worse - ignored. Teachers no longer have authority to discipline students like they used to. A father is no longer king of his family.

Can anyone tell - what is the exact authority of a judge? Can he/she apply his discretion and assert his authority? Or is a judge just another civil servant - yang menurut perintah? (I know technically they're not)

A coin has two sides. Every one like the side they benefit from - but they must also accept when the coin flip the other side.

By xxrayxxAuthor Profile Page on April 2, 2010 12:00 AM

Assalamualaikum..

Tun Dr. Mahathir,

I am one of much and many viewer flooded your blog everyday and

how you nailed Mr hanan really make me bow and salute you

, but... something from your blog caught my attention and maybe

some of your viewer notice it but i dont know what happen...

an ironic, how the person himself

allow a \*starbucks ads to be advertise here

<http://inminds.com/boycott-starbucks.html>

maybe its just a business decision ,

business know no race nor religion, they only favor the hardwork  
and honesty or maybe using the famous proverb policy make your  
friend close your enemy closer...

just my 1 cents..

By anakbudu3Author Profile Page on April 1, 2010 11:25 PM

Assalamualaikum Ayahnda Tun N bonda,

please allow me,

i say that mr.chang is a man with principles.

there will be bitter assumptions going round towards women judges when judging was  
overshadowed by emotional affects. Tun is right. there should be a third party to address the case  
in another hearing. jack of all trades should not be in a judge's robe

May Allah SWT bless you Ayahnda Tun n Bonda and your family.

Wasalam.

just my brain wave...

By LiewAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 10:45 PM

Tun,

In your case even better, prosecutor, the judge and the executioner also you.

By nase2Author Profile Page on April 1, 2010 10:37 PM

Salam buat Ayahanda Tun..

Takut nak komen, nanti masuk jail..!

By Khairul-DeanAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 10:29 PM

YABhg Tun Dr Mahathir Mohamad

Salaams

In God We Trust

(in ourselves we believe)

Alhamdulillah, and Matthais is indeed a man of his beliefs.

Thank You, Tun Dr Mahathir; but i know you are a very patient man; unlike your ex-political

sec.

So what was the case of the prof against the green card and will the courts favor the aggrieved professor now?

Reminds me of the story of Jonah alaihis-salaam: alive in the bowel of a fish.

By ABIAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 10:26 PM

Matthias Chang has been your buddy, confidant and crony for a long time. If he has really shown disrespect to the presiding, he deserves to be punished. If he prefers to languish in jail instead of paying the RM 20.000 imposed, let it be so. No big deal. Chang is an arrogant person.

ABI

By HOT ZOOMAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 10:14 PM

macam-macam

<http://www.zoompanas2.com/2010/03/tahukah-anda-urut-batin-sebenarnya.html>

By chong yao yangAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 10:11 PM

good this man (Matthias Chang) must give him jail stance because he never give repect on you and Tun and he blame you and

malaysia boleh and i support you

By IdeaAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 10:00 PM

Dear Tun,

1. Yes, I agree. I wonder how a person can decide whether or not he himself is bias. In a developing country, where the third world mentality dwells, a person who is admitting that he is bias is likely to be perceived as a weak entity. Every person has ego and a person who is serving as a third party is not an exclusion. For this reason, a person is unlikely to admit that he himself has been biased.

2. But when the government tabled a bill in Parliament to amend the Constitution involving the judiciary system, some democracy fanatics condemned. Today, not only Matthias Chang is not satisfied but a well-known opposition leader is also frustrated when a judge decided he himself has not been biased. It looks like, for now, the people of Malaysia have to live with it.

By SraAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 9:22 PM

Salam Tun,

It appears to be a case of 'double standard'. An accused, who is known to be a former DPM gets

'immunity' from being contempt of court as compared to an accused who is known as just a former political secretary of PM. Justice is not done!

Wassalam

Srazali ARIPIN

Brisbane, Australia

By meeAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 9:20 PM

Salam Tun Dr Mahathir yang dikasihi.. kenapa letak Iklan star buck kat blog ni .. bukan ke star buck ni .. support yahudi zeonis ke... kalau betul star buck ni support yahudi zeonis ..tolong remove iklan tu ...

Maaf tun sbb comment yang tak berkaitan dengan postin Tun .. tq .

wassallam ..

By HajarAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 9:18 PM

Dearest Tun,

1. I TOTALLY AGREE with what Tun said:

“No one should be the prosecutor, the judge and the executioner. In fact the aggrieved party should never be the prosecutor and the judge. Obviously the aggrieved party would be biased in favour of himself.”

Obviously the High Court Judge sentenced Matthias Chang for PERSONAL REASONS not for “CONTEMPT OF COURT”. She was not being professional. In fact, she’s acting like a DICTATOR (being the prosecutor, the judge, and the executioner).

2. If I were in Matthias Chang’s position, I would have done the same thing. He is very brave.

3. Allah SWT will protect Tun for telling the TRUTH.

Thanks Tun.

\*\* May Allah SWT bless Tun & family \*\*

By akeeAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 7:20 PM

Good suggestion but the 'gray area' will still exist. Then at time you will need the 4th party to judge the 3rd, 5th party to judge the 4th, 6th party to judge the 5th & so on. There will always be the infinity of 'gray area' for manipulator work have his day.

By Hantu LautAuthor Profile Page on April 1, 2010 6:11 PM

Dear Tun

That's not how the law works. The presiding judge can cite contempt if he/she feels the person has shown disrespect to the court.

By Mag58Author Profile Page on April 1, 2010 6:01 PM

Tun,

There's time when you try to fight for the Malay right or Islam in your past topics, you are directly accused to be an ultra-radical conservative and at time bluntly labelled as an anti-semitic individual when topics on religion was discussed.

Contrary, In this topic of discussion, I am very sure that no one will give you a pat on the back when you appeared to be impartial to show sympathy to a Chinese ethnic individual viz Mr. Matthias Chang for being imprisoned for showing contempt of court. The judge sentencing him was a Malay lady. Now they turn around instead and said that you are a sympathizer to the former because he was your former political secretary.

The message that I wanted to convey is that all the unthankful/ungrateful anti-Mahathir bloggers are all people with impaired, single-sided view mentality. They always look on the flaw done by you and appeared to forget the positive deeds done by you like as though that you are not human and must not made mistakes.

By clear conscience Author Profile Page on April 1, 2010 5:31 PM

Well, this is Malaysia and the courts have been put in such a manner not of its own doing but those in the corridors of power such that they can be in this situation.

Who to blame.....wow Malaysia boleh indeed!!!

By zahar Author Profile Page on April 1, 2010 5:01 PM

Like always Tun, when you are not so somebody, or if you are related to busy body, you will be mistaken as if you are 'kaki todi'.

By gunse007 Author Profile Page on April 1, 2010 4:38 PM

u just think you are better than all the judges....the best one...the Chief justice was sacked by you just because he did the right thing and caused pain in the ass for UMNO.

this country got no proper check and balance because of you....

Source : <http://chedet.co.cc/chedetblog/2010/04/contempt.html>