

KONTROVERSI KEGUNAAN KALIMAH "ALLAH"

chedet.co.cc

January 5, 2010

1. Ingin saya terangkan secara lebih terperinci pendapat saya berkenaan kegunaan kalimah "Allah" untuk agama yang bukan Islam.
2. Sebenarnya kontroversi ini bermula di waktu saya masih Perdana Menteri. Pendapat Kabinet pada masa itu ialah kegunaannya dalam kitab Injil adalah perkara yang sensitif. Perkara yang sensitif seperti ini tidak boleh diselesaikan dengan hanya merujuk kepada undang-undang.
3. Sebagai perbandingan agak mudah dirujukkan perkataan "kaum pendatang" kepada mahkamah, Tetapi ia adalah sesuatu yang sensitif yang tidak dapat diselesaikan oleh undang-undang.
4. Penyelesaian kontroversi berkenaan kalimah "Allah" juga tidak boleh dicapai dengan membuat rayuan kepada mahkamah rayuan. Undang-undang tidak mengambilkira soal sensitif atau tidaknya sesuatu, soal mencetuskan ketegangan dan permusuhan antara penganut-penganut agama yang berlainan. Undang-undang mengutamakan maksud undang-undang sahaja.
5. Sebenarnya kalimah "Allah" tidak terdapat dalam kitab Taurat atau Talmud Hebrew atau kitab Injil Kristian dalam bahasa Latin, Greek atau bahasa-bahasa Eropah. Nama bagi Tuhan dalam bahasa yahudi ialah "Yahweh", yang diterjemahkan kepada bahasa Inggeris sebagai "Jehovah".
6. Dalam kitab Injil, Jesus (Isa) dan God adalah sama. Tidak ada kalimah Allah dalam kitab Injil dalam bahasa-bahasa yang disebut di atas.
7. Dalam usaha menerangkan agama Kristian di kalangan orang Islam atau masyarakat yang mahir dengan agama Islam, perkataan God diterjemah kepada "Allah" supaya mudah difaham oleh pendengar.
8. Mungkin juga kalimah "Allah" dapat menyamakan agama Kristian dengan agama Islam kerana menyembah Tuhan yang sama. Dengan ini penerimaan agama Kristian oleh orang Islam boleh jadi lebih mudah. Terjemahan ini salah. Sepatutnya perkataan "Tuhan" digunakan untuk God.
9. Tetapi dalam agama Kristian terdapat konsep "Trinity" yang mana terdapat "God the Father, God the Son and the Holy Ghost". Jika dalam bahasa Melayu terdapat dalam kitab Injil atau syarahan berkenaan "Allah sebagai Bapak, Allah sebagai Anak dan Roh yang Suci", maka tentulah ini akan ditentang oleh orang Islam. Dalam Islam Allah tidak ada bapak, tidak ada anak. Ia tidak dilahirkan dan Ia tidak melahirkan sesiapa. Allah hanya satu. Ia tidak boleh disekutu dengan sesiapa.
10. Di Amerika Syarikat, orang Kristian yang sudah tidak teguh iman biasa berkata dan menulis, "God is dead". Apakah perasaan orang Islam apabila ini diganti dengan "Allah is dead"?
11. Di Semenanjung Malaysia kita tidak pernah mendengar orang Kristian menggunakan kalimah "Allah" apabila bercakap berkenaan God dalam bahasa Melayu. Kenapa pula kita

sekarang akan mengguna kalimah ini?

12. Saya harap pihak Kerajaan berhati-hati dalam perkara ini supaya negara berbilang agama yang aman ini tidak menjadi tegang dan tidak stabil secara berterusan. Wallahua'lam.

604 Comments

By [Ketuk Gendang](#)  on [February 3, 2010 12:37 PM](#)

Salam Tun yang amat dihormati dan sidang pembaca yang amat dikasihi
Melayu sudah lumpuh di negara sendiri
Lihat sahaja apa yang berlaku di Seminar Rapat 1 Malaysia
Dato' Umno cakap pasal pendatang Cina dan India
Dato' Umno kena letak jawatan
Penganjur Seminar kena mohon maaf pada perwakilan Cina & India
Isu penggunaan kalimah Allah tidak ditangani dengan hikmah
Menyebabkan bangsa lain berasa megah dan gagah
Ketuk Gendang takut Malaysia akan jadi seperti Amerika tidak lama lagi
Di depan - barisan politik bangsa Amerika
Di belakang - pemimpin Yahudi mencatur strategi
Cerita kaum Cina dan India pendatang tidak boleh dibincangkan
Macam cerita Holocost, jangan dibahas secara terbuka
Ekonomi dah tentu Melayu terkebelakang
Akibat kealpaan dan tidak percaya kemampuan diri sendiri
Nak harapkan kekuatan politik, berapa lama boleh bertahan?
Apa nak jadi dengan Melayu Malaysia
Masih dengan budaya tak apanya...
Suara kuat tapi taringnya tiada....
Jangan terus-terusan lupa....
Kalau nak berubah dan berjihad, inilah masanya
Rapatkan saf kukuhkan perpaduan....
Supaya generasi depan aman sejahtera.

Tun, semoga Allah memberikan kesihatan yang baik kepada Tun dan semoga dapat terus berbakti kepada ummah dan dikira oleh Allah sebagai amal untuk bekalan di kemudian hari. Ketuk Gendang mohon maaf, hanya berkongsi apa yang ikhlas terdetik dihati.

By [jalurmas64](#)  on [February 1, 2010 2:33 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun dan selamat sajetera untuk semua pembaca budiman.
Terpanggil adalah merupakan rasa hati untuk mengikuti perkembangan terkini. Kalimah ALLAH telah menjadikan satu isu yg hangat dibincangkan. Persoalan utama mengapa kita tidak membiarkan dan menyerahkan kepada mereka yg arif mengenainya untuk menyelesaikan isu ini ? bukan menjadikan isu ini sebagai tapak kemarahan sehingga melemparkan ejekan sepertimana rakan lain menyeru tok imam kekaraoke untuk uji pitching. Perlu kita ingat suasana seperti ini telah berlarutan sejak berzaman, kalaulah kita merasakan ianya suatu gangguan, elakkan menghampirinya, pie jauh-jauh masuk dalam hutan atau selam je lautan. Sebagai anak watan

Sabah saya juga tersinggung dengan pelbagai sindiran dan ejekan. Justeru itu saya merayu kepada menteri KDN agar meneliti bab-bab akta yg dapat dilakukan demi menjaga keharmonian dan keamanan negara amnya dan negeri sabah khususnya. Tak perlu menjaga kepentingan politik dan menjadikan isu ini berlarutan. Saya juga menyeru kepada saudara semalaysia hentikanlah memainkan isu ini sekiranya saudara berbekalkan niat provok dan kepentingan peribadi.

Sebagai penasihat negara, mohon Tun campur tangan dalam hal ini.

By mathazren  on [January 30, 2010 11:34 PM](#)

salam Tun,

pekara ini tidak akan berlaku jika kita mempunyai pemimpin yang kuat.. saya dapati sekarang pemimpin kita terlalu takut..semua hati mau dijaga.sekarang banyak bangsa dalam negara kita ambil kesempatan.mintak itu dapat.mintak ini dapat.semua kena kasi.kalau tak kasi orang tak mau undi.sekarang dia orang mau guna nama ALLAH. pemimpin kita berbelah bagi.tak reti nak bagi keputusan..kalau orang melayu kuat benda camni tak berlaku..ulamak-ulamak dalam pas pun tak sependapat.ada yang kata ya.ada yang kata tidak.macamana ni..mana mufti?. saya cadangkan pemimpin kita cari jalan camana nak satukan melayu dan islam..takpayaahlah nak jaga semua orang.jaga hati melayu dan orang islam cukup.kalau orang melayu dan islam bersatu,pemimpin kita kuat..kalau pemimpin kita kuat,baru mereka akan hormat kita. kalau kita kuat kita tak pernah manindas mereka pun..sejarah membuktikannya..tapi kalau kita lemah mereka akan pijak kita...

p/s bila nak ada pemimpin macam Tun lagi..saya tak nampak lagi misi malaysia..mananya 2020,projek kapal terbang, semuanya senyap..kalau ada pun ala kadar je..

By Rizam  on [January 30, 2010 9:49 AM](#)

Salam Dr.Mahathir

Bis Millah,

In The Name Of God,

Dengan Nama Tuhan,

Peace to all in this blog till now all 597 comment has been printed.

Allah is God or Tuhan

We learn from Verse 39:67 that God's greatness is far beyond human comprehension - the verse states that all seven universes are "folded within God's hand."

Supported by the Quran's formidable mathematical code, we are taught that our universe is the smallest and innermost of seven universes (41:12, 55:33, 67:5, & 72:8-12). Meanwhile, our scientific advances have shown us that our galaxy, the Milky Way, is 100,000 light years across, and that our universe contains a billion such galaxies and a billion trillion stars, plus countless decillions of heavenly bodies. Our universe is estimated to span distances in excess of 20,000,000,000 light years.

Count the Stars!

If we take only a quintillion [1,000,000,000,000,000,000] of the stars and simply count them [from zero to quintillion] one count per second, day and night, this will take 32 billion years (more than the age of the universe). That is how long it will take to just "count" them; but God "created" them. Such is the greatness of God. We can appreciate the vastness of our universe if we imagine going on a space odyssey. When we leave the planet Earth towards the sun, at the

speed of light, we reach the sun after 93,000,000 miles and eight minutes. It will take us more than 50,000 years at the speed of light to exit our galaxy. From the outer limit of the Milky Way, our planet Earth is invisible. Not even the most powerful telescope can detect our tiny "Earth." We have to spend more than 2,000,000 years at the speed of light to reach our next-door galaxy. At least 10,000,000,000 years, at the speed of light, must be spent to reach the outer limit of our universe. From the outer limit of our universe, even the Milky Way is like a speck of dust in a large room.

The second universe surrounds our universe. The third universe is larger than the second, and so on. More accurately, our universe should be considered the seventh universe, surrounded by the sixth universe, which is surrounded by the fifth universe, and so on. Can you imagine the vastness of the first, outermost universe? No number exists to describe the circumference of the first universe. This incomprehensible vastness is "within the fist of God's hand." From the outer limit of the outermost universe, where is the planet Earth? How significant is it?

On the infinitesimal mote called Earth, such minuscule creatures as Mary, Jesus, and Muhammad lived. Yet, some people set up these powerless humans as gods!

God's greatness is represented not only by the fact that He holds the seven universes in His hand, but also by the fact that He fully controls every atom, even subatomic components, everywhere in the greater universe (6:59, 10:61, & 34:3).

SOME ATTRIBUTES OF GOD

Reproduced below are only a few attributes of God, the Creator of everything that exists.

1. GOD, CREATOR OF ALL THINGS

[6:102] Such is GOD your Lord, there is no god except He, the Creator of all things. You shall worship Him alone. He is in control of all things.

[35:3] O people, remember GOD's blessings upon you. Is there any creator other than GOD who provides for you from the heaven and the earth? There is no other god beside Him. How could you deviate?

[39:62] GOD is the Creator of all things, and He is in full control of all things.

[59:24] He is the One GOD; the Creator, the Initiator, the Designer. To Him belong the most beautiful names. Glorifying Him is everything in the heavens and the earth. He is the Almighty, Most Wise.

2. GOD, SUSTAINER OF EVERYTHING

[91:6] The earth and Him who sustains it.

3. GOD, MOST GRACIOUS, MOST MERCIFUL

[1:1-4] In the name of GOD, Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Praise be to GOD, Lord of the universe.

Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Master of the Day of Judgment.

4. GOD - NO INTERCESSION CAN TAKE PLACE WITH GOD

[2:48] Beware of the day when no soul can avail another soul, no intercession will be accepted, no ransom can be paid, nor can anyone be helped.

[2:123] Beware of the day when no soul will help another soul, no ransom will be accepted, no intercession will be useful, and no one will be helped.

[34:23] Intercession with Him will be in vain, unless it coincides with His will. When their minds are finally settled down, and they ask, "What did your Lord say," they will say, "The truth." He is the Most High, the Most Great.

5. GOD, CONTROLS EVERYTHING

[3:109] To GOD belongs everything in the heavens and everything on earth, and all matters are

controlled by GOD.

[4:85] Whoever mediates a good deed receives a share of the credit thereof, and whoever mediates an evil work, incurs a share thereof. GOD controls all things.

[6:102] Such is GOD your Lord, there is no god except He, the Creator of all things. You shall worship Him alone. He is in control of all things.

[7:54] Your Lord is the one GOD, who created the heavens and the earth in six days, then assumed all authority. The night overtakes the day, as it pursues it persistently, and the sun, the moon, and the stars are committed to serve by His command. Absolutely, He controls all creation and all commands. Most Exalted is GOD, Lord of the universe.

6. GOD, KNOWS OUR INNERMOST THOUGHTS

[2:284] To GOD belongs everything in the heavens and the earth. Whether you declare your innermost thoughts, or keep them hidden, GOD holds you responsible for them. He forgives whomever He wills, and punishes whomever He wills. GOD is Omnipotent.

[4:63] GOD is fully aware of their innermost intentions. You shall ignore them, enlighten them, and give them good advice that may save their souls.

7. GOD, ALWAYS NEAR

[2:186] When My servants ask you about Me, I am always near. I answer their prayers when they pray to Me. The people shall respond to Me and believe in Me, in order to be guided.

8. GOD

[59:22] He is the One GOD; there is no other god beside Him. Knower of all secrets and declarations. He is the Most Gracious, Most Merciful.

[59:23] He is the One GOD; there is no other god beside Him. The King, the Most Sacred, the Peace, the Most Faithful, the Supreme, the Almighty, the Most Powerful, the Most Dignified. GOD be glorified; far above having partners.

[59:24] He is the One GOD; the Creator, the Initiator, the Designer. To Him belong the most beautiful names. Glorifying Him is everything in the heavens and the earth. He is the Almighty, Most Wise.

WHO IS YOUR GOD?

Most people are outraged upon hearing this question. "What do you mean, 'Who is your god?' they ask, 'My god is the Creator of the heavens and the earth.' " And most of these people will be shocked to find out that their proclamation that their god is the Creator of the heavens and the earth is no more than lip service, and that they are in fact destined for Hell (12:106).

Your god is whoever or whatever occupies your mind most of the time.

Your god can be your children (7:190), your spouse (9:24), your business (18:35), or your ego (25:43). This is why we note that one of the most important and most repeated commandments in the Quran is:

"O you who believe, you shall remember God frequently; glorify Him day and night." [33:41]

WORSHIP GOD ALONE - THE MEANING

The Quran spells out in various verses what worship of God ALONE means:

[2:2-5] This scripture is infallible; a beacon for the righteous; who believe in the unseen, observe the Contact Prayers (Salat), and from our provisions to them, they give to charity. And they believe in what was revealed to you, and in what was revealed before you, and with regard to the Hereafter, they are absolutely certain. These are guided by their Lord; these are the winners.

[2:285] The messenger has believed in what was sent down to him from his Lord, and so did the believers. They believe in GOD, His angels, His scripture, and His messengers: "We make NO DISTINCTION among ANY of His messengers." They say, "We hear, and we obey. Forgive us,

our Lord. To You is the ultimate destiny."

[4:136] O you who believe, you shall believe in GOD and His messenger, and the scripture He has revealed through His messenger, and the scripture He has revealed before that. Anyone who refuses to believe in GOD, and His angels, and His scriptures, and His messengers, and the Last Day, has indeed strayed far astray.

WORSHIP GOD ALONE IS TO FOLLOW/OBEY GOD'S WORDS ALONE

Following a source other than Quran is idolatry. The following verse makes it clear that the Quran is God's Testimony. Following any other source is defined as idolatry.

Say, "Whose testimony is the greatest?" Say, "God's. He is the witness between me and you that this Quran has been inspired to me, to preach it to you and whomever it reaches. Indeed, you bear witness that there are other gods beside God." Say, "I do not testify as you do; there is only one god, and I disown your Idolatry." [6:19]

The reader might be interested in looking up verse 17:46 which mandates upon the believer to follow Quran alone.

Let God be the punisher to all his creation..Insya Allah

Thanks Dr. Mahathir

By ekompute  on [January 30, 2010 8:01 AM](#)

Hi lilin, you asked, "Why EKOMPUTE wanted to do jokes as comparing to "ayah"? with God?"

Well, actually it is not just a joke. It carries a very important message which you seem to miss. Yes, I do agree that Allah is great. He helps me many a times when I am in trouble. Honestly, I feel sad about the state of Islam and Muslims in Malaysia, and now these idiots who put pork on mosques and asking for trouble. An eye for an eye is just not it, although other possibilities, although very unlikely, I hope, cannot be ruled out totally.

The other day, I was very frustrated after hearing BBPU says that non-Malays are second-class citizens in this country at

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Atbu4IPwqfQ&feature=player_embedded So I seek counsel from Allah and ask him whither is justice. The response, whether from Allah or just a fiction of my imagination came loud and clear: "In Islam, there is no second-class citizens. UMNO will be done with in the next general election." Well, we will just have to keep our fingers cross and see if it is just a figment of my imagination." Maybe I ought to become a Muslim when this happens, LOL.

By ekompute  on [January 30, 2010 7:45 AM](#)

Good joke, wajaperak. I can do a similar one on you but I am not going to do it. Can you tell me the joke about the wakil rakyat who lives in a palatial mansion when his income obviously cannot support it, while professing Islam to be his religion at the same time?

By muzakarah  on [January 30, 2010 3:51 AM](#)

Asalamualaikum tun dan semua

ALHAMDULILLAH bertemu lagi kita
moge kita semua diredhoi ALLAH hendaknya

rupanya masih ada antara teman2 kita yg x percayakan wujudnya tuhan...

ada satu kisah ketika saya masih kecil,ingin saya kongsikan...

masa tu teori darwin masih popular,tentang asal kejadian manusia dari buruk,saya pun percaya,maklum la.,budak2,kebetulan pakcik saya berjaya menangkap beberapa ekor anak buruk,saya pun apa lagi,terus minta sekor daripadanya untuk dibela,dengan harapan satu hari nanti buruk tu akan berubah menjadi manusia.,hehe,kelakar kn.,

tunggu punye tunggu,same je,perangai ada sikit cam manusia,tp rupa takde perubahan pun,sebab tak puas hati saya pun tanya kat ayah saya,dia tersenyum je,dan katanya nanti dia bagi jawabannya,

kemudian dia belikan saya sebuah buku,kisah kaum yg ingkar dan di sumpah menjadi buruk,sebenarnya kisah sebahagian dari kaum bani israil yg ingkar dan tak mehormati hari suci mereka kemudian kaum mereka telah membina tembok yg tinggi untuk memisahkan mereka,dan akhirnya kerana tak mahu bertaubat,mereka telah disumpah menjadi buruk oleh ALLAH,

masih tak puas hati dengan apa yg saya baca,saya pun bertanya pada guru2 saya dan mereka telah membenarkan apa yg saya baca,dan menjelaskan bahawa si darwin tu pun dari keturunan bani israil,barulah saya faham,memang patut pun manusia dgn buruk banyak persamaan,dah asal buruk tu memang dari manusia,rupa2nya si darwin tu buat camtu sebab nk menutup malu,sejak hari tu saya tak kan percaya bulat2 apa yg saya dengar selagi saya tak dapat jawapan yg pasti...

sebenarnya setiap persoalan didalam hidup ini sudah tersedia jawabannya.,tak perlu ditanya kenapa bunga tu di tanam kat situ,kenapa burung tu dikurung,kenapa batu boleh menjadi bangunan,sebab telah diketahui manusia dicipta untuk memimpin dunia..,

jika masih tak puas hati,bacalah,cari lagi,baca lagi,cari lagi,takde kat sini cari kat tempat lain,baca lagi,tak faham bertanya,masih tak faham,cari orang yg lebih arif,tanya lagi,baca lagi.,jgn jadi macam buruk asyik termenung je,kesudahannya hilang arah,mengarut,kata orang lain mengarut,silap2 jadi ayah pin ke2 lak,heh.....,

perlu diketahui orang islam yg beriman tidak akan mengharap surga,kerana dimana kesudahan kita telah pun ditentukan sebelum kita dilahirkan lagi,cukuplah redho ALLAH pada kita...,

maaf jika ada tersilap kata,terima kasih tun,sekian.....

By Bear_Crawler  on [January 28, 2010 6:07 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

The comment that was made by 'wajaperak' below shows what true Islam is all about. It is the kind of Islam that I grew up with in Malaysia (I am not a Muslim). It is what Islam is all about - faith. Not lip-servicing the word 'Allah'. Rentap80, take note, and stop calling people 'stupid'.

Have a nice day.

By wajaperak  on [January 27, 2010 6:18 PM](#)

Assallammualaikum warah matullah hi wabarokatuh..

Semoga di izinkan Tun..Terima kasih..

Tun..

Saya rasa telah tiba masanya untuk saya tunjuk sedikit tulang belakang.."show some spine" dalam isu ini..

Saya cabar anda semua orang-orang kafir,munafik dan ingkar..Perhatikan betul-betul..Lest the steel wield underservedly your way..

Pertentangan isu ini yang utamanya adalah di antara 2 golongan sahaja iaitu Islam dan Kristian..golongan lain jangan masuk campur..mind your own abode..

Ajaran yang di bawa oleh Nabi Isa a.s telah diselewengkan..oleh itu apa nama ajaran ini saya tak kesah..apa yang utama masih ada pengikut ajaran ini yang mengamalkan sebahagian asal ajaran Nabi Isa a.s..oleh itu Rasullulah s.a.w menasihatkan para sahabat..

Apabila kamu mendengar berita yang di bawa oleh orang-orang nasrani,janganlah kamu mengiakannya dan jangan kamu menidakkannya..

Sembelihan orang Nasrani halal dan orang-orang Islam boleh mengahwini Ahli Kitab..

bermaksud mereka yang masih berpegang kepada ajaran asal Taurat dan Injil..

Oleh kerana telah banyak berlaku penyelewengan dari sejak masa Rasullulah s.a.w mereka yang hanya mengambil sebahagian dari Kitab Asal Taurat dan Injil..

Tidak lagi layak menggunakan nama Allah..

Jujurlah anda sekalian kepada **hati dan sanubari mu sendiri..**

Kalimah Allah tidak memberi apa-apa kesan di hati dan sanubari mu..Ia hanya ucapan hambar di mulut mu..

Bila anda lihat orang-orang Islam menghayati Kalimah Allah dan mengagungkanNya,maka timbul kedengkian di dalam hati anda..Anda tiada apa-apa yang suci lagi di dalam ajaran agama mu..Kitab-kitab mu boleh kau lempar sesuka hati malah pijak pun tidak akan menimbulkan keresahan di hati mu..

Orang-orang Islam amat mengagungkan Allah..di dalam Quran yang mulia,bukan sahaja mesti di letakkan di atas sesuatu..ianya mesti di sentuh dengan berwuduk..Jutaan orang kafir membaca Al Quran tetapi tiadalah Quran itu bermanfaat bagi mereka kerana tepat firman Allah dalam Surah Al Waqiah..

"Tidaklah menyentuhnya melainkan mereka yang di sucikan"

Maksud di sucikan di sini ialah suci jiwanya..

Dan dari Surah Luqman

"Perumpaan mereka seperti kaldai yang memikul kitab"

I can go on forever..but I am concluding this with a story maybe a joke..

Ekompote enter a Muslim restaurant and saw a man having his meal earnestly..Being devoid an appetite for a while,he summoned the waiter and said.."I am having what he's having.." "But sir..that meal is the most expensive of all".. "What? You think I cannot afford it?" "With all due respect sir..You cannot afford it".."Damn you..Just bring me the meal!".."By all mean sir..But you have been forewarned.."

The waiter brings the food and Ekompote without dilly dally wallop it like the starved man he is..Suprise,suprise..it is the most bland food he ever tasted!!

Damn!..just why is the man eat his heart out??..Waiter..what the meaning is this?

Dear sir..this is a Muslim restaurant..Everybody here worshipped Allah to the earnest..The meal you eaten is Allah meal..It's mean nothing without the integrity and the earnest for Allah self..You feels it bland because Allah is not what you worshipped earnestly..

You can step outside and enjoy other meal's in another restaurant..But if you wants to enjoy the heavenly taste you must pay the enormous amount you cannot afford..

And that will be?

The faith itself..

Ribuan Terima kasih Tun..

By [mthago](#) on [January 27, 2010 6:16 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

I would like to share with you these articles about the word Allah and hope you can find some ideas to push it up to the right place, Insyallah.

<http://mthago.wordpress.com/2010/01/11/the-word-allah-instead-of-god/>

<http://mthago.wordpress.com/2010/01/13/the-malaysian-problem-its-solution/>

<http://mthago.wordpress.com/2010/01/20/the-decision-that-invites-anger-word-allah/>

Enjoy reading.

Wassalam....

By Rentap80  on [January 27, 2010 4:44 PM](#)

Part of a lecture by Prof. Syed Muhammas Aquib :

Question: The using of kalimah “Allah” by other people in this country?”.

Answers by Prof. Syed Muhammad Naquib Al-Attas:

Well I have been talking about this long time ago. I remember about this in ISTAC, when we first established ourselves (late 80’s and early 90’s), I think the Archbishop of Penang was asking this question. And I have answered that.

And then we had a meeting with the Archbishop of Kuala Lumpur and about all the representatives of Christianity, including the ministers, we had a meeting at ISTAC.

And I said, “Why you want to use the word ‘Allah’ for yourself?”

They said “we are going to pray in Bahasa Malaysia”.

That’s the way they put it. So my answers to them, “Why you have to change praying into Bahasa Malaysia. You have been praying in English all the time. Why suddenly change into Bahasa Malaysia?”

Ok, so they said they want to change so that it is more patriotic. Then in that case I’m saying that “why don’t you use Tuhan while praying in Bahasa Malaysia? Because you are talking about God isn’t it?...God is not just a name...”Allah” is a name of this Being whom you called God... and in fact a Being whom even higher than what you called to be God”

And then I said, “...and “Allah” is not from Bahasa Malaysia. It is not a national language. It belongs to the language of Muslim all over the world. Therefore your argument using this for the word “Allah” does not fit into your idea of God. Because “Allah” does not have a son, It is not one of three (Trinity), that is why out of respect to Allah we can’t allow you to use this.”

But when we Muslim, when we write in English we say God, or when we talk to people we say God but we mean “Allah”...but they cannot say when they speak about God it means “Allah” as they don’t mean it.

So in this particular respect, we have to be clear about this, not was-was (hesitate)...whomever responsible in our governing, they have to be clear about this and to explain to others.

We agree you want to use God, then use Tuhan as we also use that...but we understand in the Malay language that Tuhan is not a translation of Allah..that is why we say “tiada Tuhan melainkan Allah” not “tiada Tuhan melainkan Tuhan”. We don’t say “there is not God but God”..at least the ulama’ among the Muslim Malays, we understand what is the meaning of that (word “Allah”).

So “Allah” cannot be translated as no language has translated Allah. The Arabs themselves they only use that after Islam..although the word existed (before)..the Christians Arab they also did not use Allah (in theological, epistemological and ontological sense in the same manner as the

Muslim)..if they say that it is just a language..they are talking about language..because they say “Allah” like the Muslim when they (melatah)...

So it appears they want to do that in order to confuse the Muslim into thinking that all is the same..that is why I say one of the problems about religion is the nature of God..about who Allah is..that is why in Arkanul Iman (The Pillars of Faith), the first thing is “amana billah”.

“Who is this Allah?” and that need to be explain at higher institution in a proper way.

So we have answer the question. It is not proper to allow them using this, since they asking us and there is no point bringing this to court since this is not a matter of court to decide it whether they have the freedom to use it or not. It is up to the Muslims.

But then if they used it and said “in Indonesia they have use it, why can’t we?”...but it is because of the Muslims..if Muslims don’t care they will go on and use it..and in Indonesia they are using not only that, other things they even call it “choir” as “selawat”. Choir is not a “selawat”, as “selawat” is for Prophet..it’s not singing hymn.

And they also talk about..in Indonesia they are also confuse..Muslims..that is why this thing happen. Sometimes the language when you come across English words like “Prophet of Doom” in Indonesia they said “Nabi celaka”. How can there be “Nabi celaka”? What is meant by the “Prophet of Doom” is...even the word Prophet in English does not mean “Nabi” only...it means “yang meramalkan malapetaka”..that what it means...so the “Prophet of Doom” means “yang meramalkan malapetaka”, not “Nabi celaka”.

They (the Muslims in Indonesia) seem not to bother about this. What we can say is that ultimately well they say “God is not Allah”...well if you want to use the word God, we are saying we also use the word God, we refer to Allah as we know and we are not saying that your God ultimately will not refer to Allah. You can’t run away from Allah. You can only escape Him and so in the Qur’an (surah An-Naas) says: “Qul aAAoothu birabbi annas, Maliki annas, Ilahi annas”. He (Allah) is saying “I am the real Ilah (God) of naas (mankind)”, although mankind (non-Muslim) does not interpret it that way.

By pppz  on [January 27, 2010 1:11 PM](#)

Salam YAB Tun,

I have heard an anecdote ... Three religious men of different faith were asked a question. The question was the same. Someone asked all three, "What would you do if a huge tsunami forces the ocean onto the land and you are drowned in it?"

The first said, "I will make the sign of the cross on my heart, and pray to Allah to allow me into heaven, to open the doors."

The second said, "I will take the name of Allah, and say that this is fate - and drown."

The third said, "I will thank Allah and accept his will and learn to live under water."

Both the first and the second was not a pessimist who simply accepts and is drowned, but the third accepted, welcomed rather, and said, "This is the will, now I must learn how to live under water. This is Allah's will."

A mature person accepts whatsoever is here, doesn't demand, doesn't talk about any heaven. Death is not the end, it is the very peak of life.

Wassalam.

By Manbugislah  on [January 27, 2010 12:42 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum TDM

I respect your administration. Just get it done. Always against the odds. I agree with some people said "Only Saddam Hussein knows what's best for the country".

Inilah akibatnya kalau akta2 drakonian ini tidak di pertahankan (ISA/OSA/AH/AMC&P). Kalau dulu sudah pasti ada yang dah di tahan di bawah akta2 ini. Semua orang kecut perut. Kalau masuk balai, dah tentu semua rahsia bocor. Dah tentu ramai lagi yang kena tangkap. Tapi...sekarang apa dah jadi - demi mempertahankan:

1. Mahkamah yang bebas
2. Takut hilang populariti politik kerana menggunakan akta2 ini
3. Takut di katakan kerajaan yang zalim
4. Takut PR akan menggunakan ini sebagai batu loncatan untuk demonstrasi besar2an menentang penggunaan akta drakonian ini.

Makanya inilah yang berlaku. Things will get out of hand and another 13th May will sparks out if it is not curtail from now. TDM, please advice your mentee (anak didik) to be more sensible. We need every effort to focus on the development of the country and not to waste efforts addressing this theeting problems. We need more investment, create more jobs, lower down living cost, better education rather than keeps on changing everytime we change the education minister, better and cheap medical facilities and many more.

So, TDM, please put your two senses to Najib. The concept of 1Malaysia is killing us all.

Thank you for giving me a space to voice my opinion.

QUOTE

IGP warns Malaysians not to stoke racial tension

KUALA LUMPUR: Unknown persons threw two pig heads into the compound of two mosques in the district prompting Inspector-General of Police Tan Sri Musa Hassan warning the culprits not to stoke racial tension.

He said police will investigate the incidents in Taman Dato Harun and Taman Sentosa thoroughly and bring those responsible to book.

"We will meet with heads of all religious groups in the district to seek their assistance to cool things down.

"I have directed that extra patrols be conducted at places of worship throughout the country.

“We will not compromise as far as peace and security is concerned and will do everything within our means to ensure no untoward incident happens,” he said while appealing for information regarding the two incidents.

Petaling Jaya Selatan Umno division chairman Raja Datuk Hanipuddin Raja Nong Chik urged residents to be calm and not to take the law in their hands and let the police conduct its investigation.

He had also condemned the conduct of the culprits responsible for the incident.

UNQUOTE

By [gaman gudol](#) on [January 26, 2010 9:34 PM](#)

pokok bunga raya yang berwarna pink tidak pernah bertanya siapa yang menanamnya di halaman rumah, burung di sangkar pun tidak pernah bertanya siapa yang kejam menjeratnya, begitu juga batu yang diremuk-remuk untuk menjadi bangunan gagah. Akhirnya, manusia yang sedang duduk termenung mendapat jawabannya, kalau manusia boleh disusun seperti bunga alangkah amannya dunia, kalau manusia sanggup terkurung tidak menimbulkan huru hara alangkah damainya planet bumi, dan andaikan manusia sanggup berkorban seperti batu bagus betul untuk membina bangunan mencakar langit. Tapi semua itu bukan ciptaan Tuhan, hanya manusia yang benar-benar mengaku disipta Tuhan yang asasnya amat cetek memperkecilkan pengorbanan ibubapa(tolek yang buang anak dan menculik anak lah). Tapi manusia suka menilai apa yang dibuat oleh kawan dengan dilihat perbuatannya walaupun hatinya busuk seperti busuknya najis, najis yang akhirnya dibuat baja. pelik sekali bila manusia percaya hantu, jembalang dan mistik tetapi dalam masa yang sama rasuah dan nepotisme tidak pun takut kerana Tuhan tidak ditakuti seperti takut kepada hantu, jembalang dan bomoh. dunia di planet bumi amat unik, kerana 10,000 juta tahun yang lampau mereka berkata "kenapa" "bagaimana" dan "mengapa" tetapi akhirnya jawapan yang mantap apabila mereka melihat orang mati- terkelu lidah, ada rupanya Tuhan, dan Tuhan memang mencipta dua perkara sahaja iaitu "hidup" dan "mati" yang akan tinggal hanya "buku" dan "tulang" sehinggalah semua memuji lagu, seni, sains dan segala-galanya, jawapan yang betul ialah semua manusia berasal dari nenek moyang yang sama dan roh yang sama, sebab pandai mana sekalipun, tinggi mana keyakinan sesiapa pun konsep berkorban dan mendalami alam roh tetap masih kabur, buta dan tuli - naluri tetap berkata, aku tentu masuk syurga kerana kepercayaan ini, kerana perbuatan ini

..... alangkah lemahnya manusia, percaya sahaja, berbuat sahaja semuanya teori sahaja....selepas 5,000 juta tahun kemudian manusia pun mempunyai perhiasan, budaya dan mencipta "agama" hinggalah kemajuan sains dan teknologi masih mengatakan "roh" kamu dipanggil oleh pemilik roh yang mutlak...adakah "roh" anda milik yang maha baik atau yang maha jahat? sudah tentu kamu memihak yang baik, tidak membunuh "roh" dan tidak memuaskan nafsu manusia semasa hidup melainkan memilih dia yang sanggup berkorban untuk "roh" kamu.....

(merapuh dan mengarut sahaja.....)

By [Deen](#) on [January 26, 2010 5:29 PM](#)

Look like this debate will go on..on...on no end la. Just my 2 sen suggestion to all. Let Muslim in Malaysia continue using word "Allah", as we know this already their trademark; and for Kristian, let find other more glamorous word in replace, such as "Ulluh" ke, "Olala" ke,

"Lolo" ke, or any word you can think of but not "Allah". Don't waste Malaysian valuable time further ok !!

By hana_k  on [January 26, 2010 4:44 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum,

1. Seperti kata Tun, isu mengenai penggunaan kalimah 'ALLAH' ini sebenarnya adalah isu yang agak lama, sama ada kita perasan atau tidak sahaja.
2. Ianya menjadi hangat@besar serta mendapat liputan media yang meluas apabila perkara ini dibawa ke mahkamah. Dan diheret sekali lagi untuk rayuan
3. Membuat rayuan dimahkamah nampaknya seperti jalan terakhir yang boleh diambil oleh kita, penganut agama Islam. Tetapi sekali lagi seperti yang dikatakan oleh Tun, dengan membawa perkara ini ke peringkat rayuan masih tidak dapat menyelesaikan isu ini.
4. Dan nampaknya sentimen perkauman makin kuat apabila isu ini dimainkan setiap hari di media cetak@elektronik. Memang menjadi tanggungjawab pengamal media melaporkan apa yang berlaku cuma kita sebagai pembaca perlu bijak. Sikap terlalu beremosi tidak mampu menyelesaikan apa-apa pun. Perkara ini mampu diselesaikan atau setidak-tidaknya diredakan dengan cara baik tanpa melibatkan vandalisme.
5. Mula-mula gereja dilempar dengan molotov, kemudian cermin surau dipecah. Dan rangkaian ini tidak akan putus selagi satu langkah yang pantas serta bijak tidak diambil oleh kerajaan. Jangan mengambil sikap tunggu dan lihat apa keputusan mahkamah rayuan. Perlu bertindak dari sekarang.
6. Sedihnya apabila ada juga sesetengah ahli politik mengambil kesempatan atas apa yang berlaku untuk kepentingan sendiri. Isu ini tidak harus dipolitikkan sama sekali sebaliknya pembangkang serta kerajaan harus berkerjasama dengan rapat untuk menanganinya. Walaupun agak sukar sebenarnya untuk sesetengah dari mereka melupakan tentang fahaman politik walaupun untuk sesaat. Tapi ini adalah apa yang perlu mereka lakukan untuk keamanan negara.
7. Diharap Kerajaan mengambil langkah segera. Apabila menyentuh sahaja isu agama, kesannya amat besar. Perlu waras dan bijak mencari penyelesaian.

Terima kasih.

By MAMZ  on [January 25, 2010 10:11 PM](#)

By manchalstar Author Profile Page on January 23, 2010 9:42 AM

"berbalik kepada kes yang anda sebutkan di atas, satu kritikan mengatakan bahawa beliau hairan kenapa org sabah dan s'wak nak guna bahasa melayu tapi x guna english untuk rujuk kitab..anda telah menimbulkan tanda tanya kepada sistem pendidikan di negara kita.. inilah yang disebutkan "cakap x serupa bikin".. kenape nak BM untuk ganti english??? yang ni satu..satu hal lagi, kepercayaan atau beliefs adalah dari hati kita sendiri.. apa yang mempengaruhi adalah sifat ego dalam diri kita. anda tahu Allah adalah tuhan anda, dan mereka tahu Allah adalah "God"

mereka..apa yang patut adalah ambik kamus dan cuba cari perkataan "BELIEF" dan lihat apa yang dimaksudkan dengan perkataan itu.."

Sistem pendidikan kita adalah amat baik tetapi kalau pelajar yang belajar tu memang dangkal macam paderi Murphy Pukiama tu, maka dangkal jugalah hasilnya.

Al-Quran tidak diubah satu ayat dan kekal didalam bahasa Arab, itu menunjukkan martabat kitab suci umat Islam yang tidak mengubah walau satu ayat dan huruf. Kalau dahulu penganut Kristian di Sabah & Sarawak membaca Bible dalam bahasa Inggeris, kenapa sekarang ada masalah untuk membaca dalam bahasa Inggeris? Pernahkah ada arahan dari kerajaan supaya semua gereja perlu menukar Bible ke dalam Bahasa Malaysia?

Kalau tak faham Bahasa Inggeris sebab sememangnya BEBAL, maka eloklah penganut Kristian mula cari dan menggunakan kamus. Maka tidak perlulah nak bising-bising konon mereka sahaja pandai berbahasa Inggeris (dengan nama Inggeris) tetapi BENGAP!

By MAMZ  on [January 25, 2010 10:01 PM](#)

A man came home from work and his children ran to him and called out "Ayah! Ayah!". His neighbor got very upset and said to him, "Can you please tell your children not to call you 'Ayah'?"

The man inquired why, to which the neighbor retorted, "Because my children have been calling me 'Ayah' all these while. They might get confused and mistaken you to be their father."

To this, the man responded, "Are you not ashamed to say that your children do not know who their 'Ayah' is, such that by my children calling me 'Ayah', your children will call me ayah too?"

The neighbour said, "NOT A PROBLEM AS MY CHILDREN KNOW THEIR TRUE 'AYAH' BUT WHY DON'T YOU USE 'DADDY' AS USUAL? NOT GLAMOUR MEHHHH??? OR YOUR CHILDREN ARE NOW CONFUSED WITH THEIR 'AYAH'???????"

By lilin  on [January 25, 2010 3:56 PM](#)

aslmkm and good day.

its an honour to participate in Tun's Blog. I just want to make this short and brief. Why EKOMPUTE wanted to do jokes as comparing to "ayah"? with God?why must compare GOD ALMIGHTY "ALLAH" with such jokes? didnt u even scared what happen to Indonesia (Natural Disaster:Tsunami, Earthquake?) and all other countries who didnt believe in ONLY-ONE-GOD in Islam? They even play with the name.Penang declare theyare using the kalimah, then its ok, but then (Natural Disaster: Sinking dragon Boat, even tsunami almost wiped penang last time) please go for higher level of jokes next times ok...or go for educated statement. Such as why muslim said there's only ONE GOD? because of its attributes.Sifat2 nya. So do the analysis and dun fight others.. "Allah" Never Being Born (as mentioned by other people in this forum - if u read others?) if God being born by a woman - its quite dirty rite? blood and all? but "Allah" existed by its own. NO COMPARING - to be safe, pray "Allah" didnt punish you. but if you are too arrogant, then be it.

Humble Person.....

By Rentap80  on [January 25, 2010 9:09 AM](#)

ekompute wrote:

[A little joke to take the heat out of this hotly debated topic and maybe clarify our minds as well: A man came home from work and his children ran to him and called out "Ayah! Ayah!". His neighbor got very upset and said to him, "Can you please tell your children not to call you 'Ayah'?" The man inquired why, to which the neighbor retorted, "Because my children have been calling me 'Ayah' all these while.]

It's amazing how confused people can be on this matter. It is "religion" dear people and has nothing to do with politics nor it has anything to do with your father! If you are too stupid to comprehend this, then it is your incompetence that you should be lamenting.

Jokes aside, now I challenge you with a RM2,000 question: Does the word "Allah" exist in the Bible. Please point just one verse to me.

- Atheism is a non-prophet organization -

By ekompute  on [January 25, 2010 7:05 AM](#)

Hi rid_cully, you mentioned: "I thought we'd agreed to sheath our swords and stop the duel?"

What I actually said at post dated January 22, 2010 4:58 AM is: "Anyway, it is getting harder and harder to trace your replies, what with the overwhelming response to Tun's blog. May I suggest you write to me at ekompute(@)hotmail.com if you want a proper answer. We can either use that or set up a free and temporary private blog under Wordpress.com if you want."

If you want to continue, let's continue it there.

By soupich  on [January 24, 2010 10:50 PM](#)

Salam sejahtera semua.

saya ingin merujuk kepada By S..Tan Author Profile Page on January 21, 2010 3:52 PM tentang beberapa tajuk yang diberi :

++ celaru ++

Bila satu kapal ada dua atau lebih nahkoda, alamatnya tidak sampailah ke tempat tujuan, begitulah dunia ini, jika ada lebih dari satu Tuhan yang sebenarnya, maka akan binasalah dunia ini. Kerana satu tuhan akan mengarahkan matahari berputar dari timur ke barat dan satu tuhan lagi sebaliknya pula. walaupun anda tidak dapat menerima/memahaminya saya doakan semoga satu masa nanti mungkin 30-40 tahun lagi anda akan memahami perkara ini.

++ beza fahaman ++

Jgn nak jadi batu api? siapa yang sebnarnya yang nak jadi batu api? siapa yang timbulkan perkataan "gaduh" ini. cuba siasat balik tulisan aku yang terdahulu.

++ Agama Resmi++

larangan dakwah - sudah pasti ada kaitanya, dan tujuan sebenarnya adalah untuk berdakwah.kerana mengikut kata kawan saya di Negara India, Nama Tuhan bagi agama

Keristian di sana adalah Brahma tetapi saya tak tahulah di negeri Cina macamana pula. Adakah mereka akan terjemahkan nama God dalam bahasa temaptan mereka dalam bahasa Cina.

toche-toche

By [samuraimelayu](#) on [January 24, 2010 8:39 PM](#)

SALAM KASIH DAN SAYANG
AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN
IZINKAN,

TERSEBUT AKAN AL-KISAH ' ANTARA DUA DARJAT- NAFSU DAN IMAN'

BILA DARJAT NAFSU BERSINAR MAKANYA TERSERLAH SIKAP RIAK DAN
SOMBONG

BILA DARJAT IMAN BERSINAR MAKANYA TERSERLAH SIKAP HORMAT DAN
RENDAH DIRI.

BILA SIKAP RIAK DAN SOMBONG DIAMALKAN ANTARA JIRAN TIPISLAH
SEMANGAT KEJIRANAN DAN MURAMLAH HIDUP BERJIRAN

BILA SIKAP HORMAT DAN RENDAH DIRI DIAMALKAN ANTARA JIRAN TEBAL LAH
SEMANGAT KEJIRANAN DAN CERIALAH HIDUP BERJIRAN

DAN LAZIMNYA JIRAN BERSIKAP HORMAT DAN RENDAH DIRI AKAN
'MENGALAH' DARI MELAYAN JIRAN YANG BERSIKAP RIAK DAN SOMBONG YANG
KURANG HORMAT KEPADA NEGARAWAN ULUNG YANG KAMI SANJUNG SEPERTI
'AYAH' KAMI DUNIA DAN AKHIRAT.

TUTUR PENGHARGAAN BANGSA

SALAM KASIH SAYANG KAMI AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN DR. MAHATHIR

SALAM KASIH SAYANG KAMI BONDA RAKYAT TUN DR. SITI HASMAH

PENGORBANAN AYAHANDA TUN MEMBANGUNKAN NEGARA INI DEMI
KESEJAHTERAAN ANAKANDA RAKYAT SEKALIAN TANPA KENAL SIANG DAN
MALAM HANYA MAHA KUASA ALLAH SWT YANG MEMBALAS NYA DENGAN
LIMPAHAN SINARAN ZAT SEMPURNA DAN KASIH SAYANG NYA KEATAS
AYAHANDA TUN DAN KELUARGA.

ALFATIHAH, AMIN.

By [arik](#) on [January 24, 2010 7:42 PM](#)

Aniek~Melanau on January 21, 2010 7:29 AM
morning..

Saya tulis kat ruangan ni saya tak berapa puas hati la dgn tindakan Orang east-Lump..Orang Islam ni terlalu sensitif sangat lah,semua benda tak boleh buat.semua nya haram,dah tu yang bnyk buat maksiat,mkn dadah la semua tu nama orang2 Islam je yang kuar kat newspaper & TV..Malaysia ni orang Islam mengaku dia orang yang punya "NEGARA ISLAM @ TANAH MELAYU" tapi yang bangunkan and majukan orang yang non muslim..kalau dah terlalu sensitif

tu Kalimah Allah pun org non muslim tak boleh pakai..dah masuk waktu Sembahyang tu tok Imam nya pulak terjerit2 kat Mic tu,nak test pitching tu lari ke tak before gi Karaoke pas waktu sembahyang..

Apa nama kau ...Aniek.....

Untuk pengetahuan kau wahai Aniek.....Bila penduduknya majoriti orang melayu islam.....maka yg ramai membuat jenayah pun sudah pasti majoritinya org melayu islam.....cuba kau duduk dinegara cina...sudah pasti majoritinya org bebangsa cina yg ramai melakukan kesalahan jenayahnya.....sebab ianya majoriti.....

Cuba kau kumpul kan bukti.....org non islam yg bangunkan negara malaysia ni.....apa kau fikir tanpa org melayu islam kau org non islam boleh berdikari ke.....dgn kaki sendiri.....bukan kah kita saling bekerjasama...dlm soal tu.....so tak perlu kau nak pertikaikan....

kalau kau nak pakai nama kalimah Allah...kau pakailah....asalkan apabila kau menyebut nama Allah itu...utk pujian dan memuja serta membesarkannya....mudah-mudahan pintu hati kau akan terbuka kebenarannya.....

Boleh kau jgn menghina..secara terbuka org yg melakukan azan....sebab kita org islam tak pernah menghina agama kau dan utk pengetahuan kau dan aku menasihatkan kau....duduk dinegara majoritinya penduduk agama islam....kau kenal faham adat org yg beragama islam...jgn kau buat tak faham.....cuba kau duduk di negara cina.....kau juga kena faham adat resam agama mereka.....

yg terakhir jgn bikin panas beb....

By azmie  on [January 24, 2010 4:08 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Wr.Wb.

Pertama-tama Saya doakan Tun selalu dalam keadaan sihat walafiat.

Di sini saya ingin kongsi soal jawab(mungkin ada kaitan issue penggunaan nama ALLAH) pada tahun 1994. Mungkin dari jawapan-jawapan yang diberi ada manfaat untuk kita semua.
"SUBURKAN MINDA"

In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Ever Merciful

Are Islamic Terms such as ‘MASJID’, ‘MUSULMAN’, ‘RAZEEALLAHO ANHO’, ‘SAHABEE’, a Muslim Patent?

Question: There is a great deal of uproar and noise in Pakistan emanating from the mullahs that Islamic Terms are a patent of the Muslims and whichever person uses these Islamic Terms without permission shall receive severe punishments. Would you care to comment on this? Also, what do Sharia and common sense have to say about this?

Hazrat Khalifatul Masih IV (rh) gave the following answer:

At the outset we should understand what is meant by the word ‘PATENT’. By PATENT is meant that a Company invents a certain thing and then gets this invention registered in certain required offices as a NEW INVENTION and thereby that Company receives certain

protections for its newly invented thing and is granted the right to benefit from its invention and is granted the protection that without your permission no one else shall be allowed to make that thing and make that thing and sell it.

This is what 'PATENT' means. But that thing which is already in existence, there is no restriction on its use. This is the fine point which the Pakistani intelligentsia seems to be unaware of or perhaps they are aware of this fine distinction but lack the requisite courage to give voice to this truth.

PATENT has simply to do with the process of 'creation' and does not extend beyond that, and so far as Islamic Terms are concerned, these were coined by Allah, the Exalted. They were not created by any mullah, nor, for that matter were they invented by some sect's leader. If someone was going to PATENT these, it would have been God but Allah has never patented any term invented/created by Him for any people. Indeed He is RABBUL ALAMEEN, the LORD OF ALL THE WORLDS, and has issued an open invitation to everyone. And even if there had been a PATENT it makes no sense that some would be allowed to use and others would be prohibited from use...

Islamic teachings are for the whole of humanity and the mullahs do not understand this.. it is written in the Holy Quran:

[لَمِيقَاتِكَ إِلَّا رَحْمَةً لِّلْعَوَمِآ أَرْسَلْنَا] 21:108

[21:108] And We have sent thee not but as a mercy for all peoples.

And the Holy Prophet Muhammad Mustafa (sa) used to dispense the teachings of doing righteous deeds openly and every person not only had the permission to make use of his teachings but rather had his invitation to do so. And this is in fact what the Holy Prophet is told to do in the Holy Quran:

وَنُكِّمُ

By muzakarah  on [January 24, 2010 1:55 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum

Saya mulakan dengan nama ALLAH yang maha pengasih lagi maha panyayang

Tiada tuhan(yang wujud)kecuali ALLAH
dan Muhamad itu utusan ALLAH(yang terakhir)

Disini beberapa pendapat dari saya mengenai isu di atas_

1.Saya setuju dengan apa yang dikatakan oleh Tun bahawa tiada nama ALLAH didalam kitab Taurat dan Injil yang dibacanya tetapi perlu diketahui bahawa kitab2 tersebut adalah karangan pendeta2 mereka(orang kafir)dan bukanlah kitab yang telah diturunkan oleh ALLAH pada nabi2 mereka.

2.Adalah satu kebaikan apabila orang kafir mula mengenali dan percaya akan kewujudan ALLAH,dengan ini kita dengan mudah akan dapat menerangkan pada mereka tentang penyelewengan yang telah dilakukan oleh bani israil dan pendeta2 mereka,mungkin perdebatan secara terbuka diantara ulama'2 islam dan pendeta2 mereka mengenai kebenaran kitab perlu diadakan.

3.keburukan hanya akan ada apabila kita lalai dari mencari dan mendalami ilmu2 yang telah disediakan oleh ALLAH,untuk mengelak ianya dari berlaku mungkin mata pelajaran tentang akidah dan tauhid perlu diadakan dan ditambah disetiap sekolah2,

Dengan ini marilah sama2 kita mencari dan mendalami ilmu yang telah dianugerahkan oleh ALLAH pada hamba2NYA,mudah mudahan suatu hari nanti islam akan dapat difahami dan diamalkan di seluruh dunia,atau mungkin 1malaysia,1bangsa,1agama didalam berbilang kaum dan bahasa,Insya'ALLAH.

Saya sudah dengan memohon seribu kemaafan jika ada tersilap tulis dan kata,baik dan buruk datangnya dari ALLAH,saya sebagai hambaNYA redha dengan segala ketentuanNYA,dan ALLAH lebih mengetahui atas segalanya.

KATAKANLAH"ADAKAH KAMU INGIN BERDEBAT DENGAN KAMI MENGENAI ALLAH,DAN SESUNGGUHNYA DIA ADALAH TUHAN KAMI DAN TUHAN KAMU,BAGI KAMI AMALAN KAMI DAN BAGI KAMU AMALAN KAMU,DAN HANYA PADANYA KAMI MENGIKHLASKAN HATI(albaqarah_139)

p/s_masa depan adalah sesudah mati,sekian.....

By oci  on [January 24, 2010 1:19 PM](#)

assalamualakum tun

saya sependapat dgn tun...perkara ini tak akan selesai melalui peruntukan undang-undang..apa yg penting perkara ini jgn diperbesar-besarkan demi kemakmuran rakyat malaysia...

Tun...saya ingin bertanya ..Semasa penyerahan Labuan menjadi WP Labuan pada 16 April 1984 oleh mantan Ketua Menteri Sabah YAB Datuk Harris Mohd Salleh kepada kerajaan persekutuan dan pada ketika itu tun menyandang Perdana Menteri...Adakan harsat Datuk Harris untuk menjadikan rakyat Labuan ditindas oleh pegawai2 kanan kementerian Pelajaran? untuk pengetahuan Tun pada masa sekarang ini Jabatan Pelajaran WP Labuan yang telah beroperasi sebagai sebuah Jabatan sejak 1.12.2000 telah dijadikan Pejabat Pelajaran WP labuan pada 11 .9.2009 waran perjawatan ditandatangani oleh KSU Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia dan jawatan pengarah dulunya menjadi Timbalan Pengarah yang tiada mempunyai kuasa ekikuatif..mana kalanya Pengarahnya berada di Kuala Lumpur.

alasan mereka ialah :-

1. Untuk mewujudkan jawatan JUSA C bagi pengarah dgn mewujudkan gabungan Jabatan KL, Putrajaya dan Labuan.
2. Untuk melaksanakan harsat dan kehendak mantan KPPM Dato Ahmad Sifon

Rakyat Labuan menentang penurunan taraf Jabatan Pelajaran Labuan menjadi pejabat pelajaran yang setaraf Pejabat Pelajaran Daerah..sebab..

ianya TIDAK MENEPATI HARSAT DATUK HARRIS SALLEH DAN JANJI TUN SEMASA PENGISTIHARAN LABUAN MENJADI WP LABUAN SEPERTI MANA YANG TERKANDUNG DALAM AKTA PARLIMEN

KEDUA IANYA TIDAK MENEPATI SELOGAN DATO SERI NAJIB DI MANA RAKYAT DI DAHULUKAN PENCAPAIAN DIUTAMAKAN...SEBALIKNYA PENGISIAN DAN PEREBUTAN JAWATAN JUSA C DI DAHULUKAN DAN DIUTAMAKAN MANAKALA PENCAPAIAN MATLAMAT KERAJAAN KEPADA RAKYAT LABUAN DIKETEPIKAN.

IMPAK PENGABUNGAN INI AKAN MENAKIBATKAN KESUSUHAN KEPADA MASYARAKAT LABUAN.. ADAKAH INI KEHENDAK KERAJAAN SEKARANG ???

MAJLIS PELANCONGAN LABUAN DI NAIK TARAF MENJADI JABATAN PELANCONGAN...YG ANEHNYA JABATAN KANAN ..JABATAN PELAJARAN WP LABUAN..DITURUN TARAFNYA...INI MERUPAKAN SATU PENGHINAAN BESAR ORANG SEMENANJUNG KEPADA MASYARAKAT WP LABUAN

ADAKAH KITA MAHU BALIK KE ZAMAN LABUAN DI BAWAH SABAH ????????

ADAKAH KERAJAAN SEKARANG TIDAK MEMPU MENTADBIR LABUAN SEBAGAI WILAYAH?

KAMI TIDAK MAHU JABATAN PELAJARAN DIGABUNGKAN DENGAN KL DAN PUTRAJAYA !!!!SEMATA-MATA KEPENTINGAN SEORANG INDIVIDU IAITU PEMEGANG JAWATAN JUSA C

KAMI MAHU JABATAN PELAJARAN WP LABUAN KEKAKL SEBAGAI SEBUAH JABATAN DAN BEROPERASI SEPerti BIASA DENGAN PENERAJU JABATAN IALAH SEORANG PENGARAH TAK KIRA APA GERD JAWATAN NYA..YANG PENTING IA ADA KUASA EKSIKUTIF...MEMUHAHAKAN SEGALA URUSAN BAGI RAKYAT LABUAN YANG BERKAITAN DENGAN PENDIDIKAN

SEKIAN HARAP TUN PERLU CAMPUR TANGAN

JANGAN SALAHGUNA KUASA PEGAWAI2 KANAN DI KEMENTERIAN PELAJARAN MALAYSIA RAKYAT LABUAN SEDIKIT TETAPI.....!!!!

By adik adik  on [January 24, 2010 8:17 AM](#)

Those Who Do Not Understand
Those Who Seeks The Truth And The Lies
Watch Sister Yvonne Ridley Video
Chief Reporter of British Media

By ekompute  on [January 24, 2010 12:29 AM](#)

A little joke to take the heat out of this hotly debated topic and maybe clarify our minds as well:

A man came home from work and his children ran to him and called out "Ayah! Ayah!". His neighbor got very upset and said to him, "Can you please tell your children not to call you 'Ayah'?"

The man inquired why, to which the neighbor retorted, "Because my children have been calling me 'Ayah' all these while. They might get confused and mistaken you to be their father."

To this, the man responded, "Are you not ashamed to say that your children do not know who their 'Ayah' is, such that by my children calling me 'Ayah', your children will call me ayah too?"

The neighbour said, "Yes, only my family can use the word 'Ayah'."

The man shot back, "Then there must be something wrong in what you are teaching your children. They do not even know who their 'Ayah' is!"

By [Khairuddin Muhamad](#) on [January 23, 2010 6:34 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Dengan izin.

Saya ingin memberi komen tentang kalimah Allah. Sekiranya kita merujuk Wikipedia, ia menyatakan bahawa perkataan Allah berasal dari ilah (god). Tambahan alif dan lam (seperti 'the' dalam bahasa Inggeris) menjadikannya al + Ilah = Al'ilah/ Allah (The God).

Inilah pandangan yang dipakai oleh YB Khalid Samad dan orang-orang yang seangkatan dengannya.

<http://www.khalidsamad.com/2010/01/respon-pengunjung-isu-kalimah-allah-dan.html>

Izinkan saya memaklumkan bahawa Allah adalah kata nama khas (proper noun) dalam bahasa Arab dan bukan diambil dari kata 'ilah'. Buktinya ialah:

1. perkataan ilah mempunyai jamak (plural) iaitu 'aalihah' manakala perkataan Allah tidak mempunyai jamak. Sama seperti kata nama khas yang lain.
2. Dalam bahasa Arab, setiap perkataan yang menggunakan alif lam makrifah (AL atau the) akan hilang alif lamnya jika dihadapannya ada kata seruan (huruf nida atau perkataan : ya (wahai)). Contoh:

- * yaa + AL Rahim = yaa Rahim (wahai tuhan yang maha penyayang)
- * yaa + AL Rahman = yaa Rahman (wahai tuhan yang maha pengasih)
- * yaa + AL Wahhab = yaa Wahhab (wahai tuhan yang maha memberi)
- * yaa + AL Sheikh = yaa sheikh (wahai sheikh)
- * yaa + AL Ustaz = yaa ustaz (wahai ustaz/ guru)

sama seperti yang kita biasa baca semasa berdoa dan menyeru orang. Lihat perkataan 'alif lam' atau AL akan hilang bila ada perkataan 'yaa'atau wahai dalam bahasa Arab sebelumnya.

Sekarang lihat perkataan 'Allah' jika didahului dengan 'yaa'.

- * yaa + Allah = yaa Allah.

Lihat bahawa 'alif lam' pada perkataan Allah tidak hilang menjadi : yaa Lah. Tetapi ia kekal dengan ALLAH.

Ini menunjukkan bahawa kalimat Allah kata asal dan bukan diambil dari ilah. Pesanan saya kepada jaguh jaguh disana, sila rujuk kepada guru bahasa Arab untuk berhujjah menggunakan justifikasi berdasarkan bahasa bagi penggunaan perkataan Allah, bukan rujuk pada Wikipedia sahaja yang footnotesnya bersumber dari bukan orang Arab atau Islam.

Sekian
islamsyukri@yahoo.com

By [samuraimelayu](#) on [January 23, 2010 4:13 PM](#)

SALAM KASIH DAN SAYANG
AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN
IZINKAN,

KAMI SANGAT SERONOK MEMBACA HUJAH2 YANG BERNAS DARI PARA PEMBAHAS2 DALAM ARTIKEL INI. HANYA YANG TERKILAN DALAM KEGHAIRAHAN UNTUK 'BERDEBAT' ADA YANG TERLUPA MEMBERI SALAM HORMAT MASUK KE RUMAH(BLOG) CHEDET.

BILA PERMOHONAN NAFSU MENGATASI PERMOHONAN IMAN MAKA LAZIM NYA SIKAP RIAK AKAN MENDAHULUI SIKAP RENDAH DIRI.

KAMI TERTARIK DENGAN KOMEN JAMES LABU (19HB JAN. 2010)

' ALLAH IS ONE,BEFORE ONE IS ZERO.FULLSTOP '

'LA ILAHA IL ALLAH'
ADA RAHSIA DALAM RAHSIA...
EUREKA!... AND THE WORLD IS NOW BORDERLESS!

0 DAN 1, ANTARA KURNIAAN ALLAH SWT BAGI MANUSIA BERINTERAKSI...
SYUKUR ALHAMDULILLAH.

SEGALA KEJAYAAN DAN PUJIAN2 HANYA UNTUK MU YA ALLAH SWT YANG MAHA PENGASIH DAN PENYAYANG.

ALFATIHAH, AMIN.

By Farhan on [January 23, 2010 1:58 PM](#)

I think all the above mention really do not know what they want or what their religion is all about.

i hope all religion in the world. anmd the common people that fight for religion really do indepth thinking of about religion

let me teach you guys.

no.1) Read and seek how the first religion started...do not read what the english publisher sold in the book stores...go to rome and find it, and read it.

No.2) If i say to you that yesterday i had a dream a prophet met me and ask me to tell you all that you should follow me from now on...will you believe me?...if your answer are no, then think about it, all religion, started from a dream. dont believe it, go and seek it your self and search it, dont ask me to spoon feed you with all answer. if your answer yes yes...please dont be a ****.

By manchalstar  on [January 23, 2010 9:42 AM](#)

berbalik kepada kes yang anda sebutkan di atas, satu kritikan mengatakan bahawa beliau hairan kenapa org sabah dan s'wak nak guna bahasa melayu tapi x guna english untuk rujuk kitab..

anda telah menimbulkan tanda tanya kepada sistem pendidikan di negara kita.. inilah yang disebutkan "cakap x serupa bikin".. kenapa nak BM untuk ganti english??? yang ni satu..

satu hal lagi, kepercayaan atau beliefs adalah dari hati kita sendiri.. apa yang mempengaruhi adalah sifat ego dalam diri kita. anda tahu Allah adalah tuhan anda, dan mereka tahu Allah adalah "God" mereka..

apa yang patut adalah ambik kamus dan cuba cari perkataan "BELIEF" dan lihat apa yang dimaksudkan dengan perkataan itu..

kalau goyah tu, sendiri punya hal, xperlu nak libatkan org lain semata-mata kepercayaan kita goyah.. hati yang perlu cari Dia, bukan Dia cari kita..

By samuraimelayu  on [January 22, 2010 11:31 PM](#)

SALAM KASIH DAN SAYANG
AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN
IZINKAN,

By mathazrenAuthor Profile Page on January 22, 2010 1:27 PM

salam Tun,

...tak takut bala ke?tengok apa Allah turun kan kat indonesia..mereka memang suka mempermainkan nama ALLAH..itu sebab mereka ditimpa malapetaka tak henti-henti..

BARU SEMALAM KAMI MEMBERI PANDANGAN YANG LEBIH KURANG SAMA KEPADA BERBERAPA ORANG YANG DIKATAKAN BERPENGETAHUAN 'TINGGI' DALAM TAFSIRAN DAN TERJEMAHAN KITAB SUCI ALQURAN..TIDAK SALAH ORANG KRISTIAN MENYEBUT KALIMAH 'ALLAH' JIKA NIAT MEREKA BETUL.. KALIMAH ALLAH UNTUK MANUSIA SEJAGAT DAN BUKAN HAK ORANG MELAYU/ISLAM DI MALAYSIA SAHAJA, KATA MEREKA.

KALAU CAHAYA X-RAY ITU DISALAH GUNA AKAN MENGAKIBATKAN KECACATAN DAN MUDARAT, APATAH LAGI JIKA SINARAN ALLAH SWT MELALUI KALIMAH NYA DISALAH GUNA (PERSENDA)...MALAPETAKA DAN MUSIBAH LAH AKAN DIUNDANG...

MALAYSIA SATU2 NYA NEGARA ISLAM YANG TELAH MENJAGA KESUCIAN AGAMA ISLAM SELAMA INI MAKA NYA TELAH DIJAUHI DARI MUSIBAH ATAU MELAPETAKA BESAR SEPERTI TERJADI DI NEGARA2 ISLAM LAIN DIDUNIA INI..SYUKUR ALHAMDULILLAH

APA KATA PADA TIAP2 HARI AHAD PADERI BESAR GEREJA2 MALAYSIA TU MULAKAN KHUTBAH NYA DENGAN LAUNGAN 'ALLAH TUHAN KAMI, TUHAN YANG MAHA ESA DAN TIADA DUA DAN RUPA BANDINGAN NYA' DAN DISAMBUT DENGAN PENUH BERSEMANGAT DAN REDHA NYA OLEH PARA AHLI2 GEREJA NYA?. KITA MINTA STESEN2 TV BUAT LIPUTAN SIARAN LANGSUNG.

JIKA KETIKA HARI KEMERDEKAAN TUNKU ABDUL RAHMAT MENGANGKAT TANGAN DAN LAUNGKAN 'MERDEKA' DENGAN PENUH SEMANGAT SEBANYAK 3 KALI , MEREKA JUGA KENALAH IKUT CARA TUNKU BILA SEBUT KALIMAH 'ALLAH' BUKAN 3 KALI TETAPI 7 KALI.

AGAK NYA PADERI BESAR TU BERANI TAK TERIMA CABARAN INI. KALAU TIDAK KENAPA BERIA SANGAT NAK GUNA NAMA 'ALLAH' DALAM RISALAH GEREJA NYA DISABAH DAN SARAWAK. KITA NAK SAKSIKAN SENDIRI KALAU BETUL NIAT MEREKA MENYATAKAN ALLAH ADALAH YANG MAHA ESA BAGI UMAT KRISTIAN MASA KINI JUGA.

MUNGKIN GHANI PATAIL BOLEH SYORKAN KAT KDN SEBAGAI HUJAH KEPADA RAYUAN NYA KETAS KEPUTUSAN MAHKAHMAH TINGGI DAN MUNGKIN DAPAT MEMBONGKAR MUSLIHAT SEBENAR NYA DISEBALIK TUNTUTAN PIHAK GEREJA MENGGUNAKA KALIMAH 'ALLAH'...????

ALFATIHAH, AMIN.

Ps. Kalau kerajaan izinkan juga mereka gunakan kalimah 'ALLAH' maka hendak lah dengan syarat sebutan nya mestilah sempurna dan bukan sambil lewa seperti bunyi Ellah, Alah, Olloh atau sebagainya.

Tuk guru Nik Aziz dan pemimpin Pas boleh lah bersama Tuk Memandai Nazri Aziz tu betulkan lidah berbelit paderi2 yang nama2 mereka seakan David Arumugam, Paul Money, John Kartegesu sampai mereka dapat menyebut kalimah ALLAH dengan sempurna apabila berkhotbah dan seterusnya ajarkan sebutan 'HU AKBAR' sehingga mereka dapat laungkan 'ALLAH HU AKBAR' pula..

Lebih molek kalau dapat diajarkan alif, ba, ta!

By prof_ridcully  on [January 22, 2010 6:57 PM](#)

Dengan izin Tun,

ekompute

I thought we'd agreed to sheath our swords and stop the duel?

What browser are *you* using? Do you know how to choose a good browser?

Install Firefox. It has a search facility that allows you find phrases/words in a webpage quickly.

Back to Russell. I'm aware that Russell didn't write just one essay. If I'm not mistaken he wrote close to 50 books. I was referring to his essay "Why I Am Not a Christian" when I made those comments. The reason I did that was because you quoted that particular essay.

In his essay "**What is an Agnostic?**" which is similar in format to a modern-day FAQ, Russell said:

All the great organized religions that have dominated large populations have involved a greater or less amount of dogma, but "religion" is a word of which the meaning is not very definite. Confucianism, for instance, might be called a religion, although it involves no dogma. And in some forms of liberal Christianity, the element of dogma is reduced to a minimum.

Of the great religions of history, I prefer Buddhism, especially in its earliest forms, because it has had the smallest element of persecution.

Russell said this in answer to the question, "Do you regard all religions as forms of superstition or dogma? Which of the existing religions do you most respect, and why?"

Having said that, Russell also said this in his essay, "**Has Religion Made Useful Contributions to Civilization?**":

What is true of Christianity is equally true of Buddhism. The Buddha was amiable and enlightened; on his deathbed he laughed at his disciples for supposing that he was immortal. But the Buddhist priesthood - as it exists, for example, in Tibet - has been obscurantist, tyrannous, and cruel in the highest degree.

Clearly he disliked any form of priesthood or theological hierarchy.

You can read both essays at the Bertrand Russell Society's site which hosts many of Russell's papers. [link: <http://users.drew.edu/~JLENZ/brs.html>] You would have known about this if you'd bothered to follow the link I gave earlier.

Personally I prefer, as far as possible, to read the original paper and hear it from the author first-hand rather than depend on second-hand news of "what he said."

Salut.

By mathazren  on [January 22, 2010 1:27 PM](#)

salam Tun,

saya hairan kenapa sibuk sangat pihak gereja nak menggunakan bahasa melayu..walhal selama ini kita tengok mereka semua memperjuangkan bahasa masing-masing bagai nak rak.aleh-aleh kitap injil nak guna bahasa melayu.peliknya..satu lagi,kenapa orang kristian malaysia mengambil rujukan kristian indonesia??tak takut bala ke?tengok apa Allah turun kan kat indonesia..mereka memang suka mempermainkan nama ALLAH..itu sebab mereka ditimpa malapetaka tak henti-henti..

By ekompute  on [January 22, 2010 4:58 AM](#)

Hi Prof_ridcully, you mentioned: "I also did not make up the fact about Russell not admiring the Buddhists. He was an atheist and was in fact against all forms of organised religion or religious dogma. Have you checked the his essay yet? If you have, you may want to retract your statement about Russell and Buddhism."

So what makes you think that Russell only wrote one essay in his lifetime? Read this at <http://online.sfsu.edu/~rone/Buddhism/VerhoevenBuddhismScience.htm> which says in part:

"His contemporary Bertrand Russell, another Nobel Prize winner, found in Buddhism the greatest religion in history because "it has had the smallest element of persecution." But beyond the freedom of inquiry he attributed to the Buddha's teaching, Russell discovered a superior scientific method—one that reconciled the speculative and the rational while investigating the ultimate questions of life:

Buddhism is a combination of both speculative and scientific philosophy. It advocates the scientific method and pursues that to a finality that may be called Rationalistic. In it are to be found answers to such questions of interest as: 'What is mind and matter? Of them, which is of greater importance? Is the universe moving towards a goal? What is man's position? Is there living that is noble?' It takes up where science cannot lead because of the limitations of the latter's instruments. Its conquests are those of the mind."

Anyway, it is getting harder and harder to trace your replies, what with the overwhelming response to Tun's blog. May I suggest you write to me at [ekompute\(@\)hotmail.com](mailto:ekompute(@)hotmail.com) if you want a proper answer. We can either use that or set up a free and temporary private blog under Wordpress.com if you want.

By Reentry777  on [January 22, 2010 12:18 AM](#)

Mengapa mereka beria-ria sangat nak guna nama Allah?. Mereka sebenarnya ada MISI dan PLAN jangka panjang untuk mengelirukan Umat Islam. Terutamanya generasi muda yg semakin terhakis pegangan Agamanya. Mereka cuba PROVOKE kita dengan bermacam-macam cara supaya kita bertindak ganas dan bodoh. Tetapi seperti biasa orang Melayu memang terkenal dengan sifat TOLERANSInya yg sangat tinggi. Tiada Pertumpahan Darah dan Huru-Hara berlaku. Dan saya percaya selepas ini akan ada lagi siri-siri PROVOKASI untuk membangkitkan KEMARAHAN dan KESABARAN orang Melayu. Mereka mahu menjadikan Melayu sebagai PUNCA Huru-Hara dan Kekecohan. Apabila ini terjadi mereka akan mula meraih simpati dari dunia luar KUNUNNYA mereka ditindas oleh kaum Majoriti di negara ini. Kita perlu lebih berhati-hati.

By wajaperak  on [January 21, 2010 9:43 PM](#)

Semoga diizinkan Tun.. Terima kasih..

Aniek~Melanau

[[Saya tulis kat ruangan ni saya tak berapa puas hati la dgn tindakan Orang east-Lump..]]

Kenapa ni..? tak tahu macam mana nak eja dengan betul atau sengaja..**nak mengayau di sini?**

[[Orang Islam ni terlalu sensitif sangat lah, semua benda tak boleh buat.]]

Bukan semua tak boleh buat..apa yang di larang Allah sahaja..Kami tidak memaksa tetamu minum tuak kalau datang ke rumah kami..
(Contoh)

[[semua nya haram, dah tu yang bnyk buat maksiat, mkn dadah la semua tu nama orang2 Islam je yang kuar kat newspaper & TV]]

Betul tu..but trial and tribulation does not confined to Muslim alone..Unfortunately here in Malaysia..majority does show you the magnitude of the error..In USA by comparision,it is non issue because it is a norm.

[[Malaysia ni orang Islam mengaku dia orang yang punya "NEGARA ISLAM @ TANAH MELAYU" tapi yang bangunkan and majukan orang yang non muslim..]]

Apa definasi bangun dan maju? material wealth and gain of the tycoon and towkays and the material deficiency of malay farmer and peasant..? Or the desolation of the towkays and the tycoons souls and the pure soul of the hafiz?

[[kalau dah terlalu sensitif tu Kalimah Allah pun org non muslim tak boleh pakai]]

You are out of your depth here..the ngayau day's are way over..

[[..dah masuk waktu Sembahyang tu tok Imam nya pulak terjerit2 kat Mic tu,nak test pitching tu lari ke tak before gi Karaoke pas waktu sembahyang..]]

And this testimonial of your's show's that...?

Of what conclusion ??

Terima kasih Tun..

By [libzim](#) on [January 21, 2010 5:59 PM](#)

Bila Allah telah di terima oleh segulungan besar masyarakat, bolehlah kita laksanakan undang-undang syariah untuk keseluruhan masyarakat.

By [S..Tan](#) on [January 21, 2010 3:52 PM](#)

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat sentiasa. Minta izin menjawab seorang pengulas dgn izin (setelah ubah suai sikit).....

.....MENJAWAB sdr 'soupich' on January 17, 2010 6:10 PM. Terima kasih di atas perhatian.

>> Celaru.

Kalau khuatir kecelaruan mencari Tuhan yg sebenar, agama lain punca lebih besar bukan satu nama saja! Agama lain punca segala pencelaru? Agama lain bukan menerangi pengetahuan agama kita sendiri, tapi bapa pencelaru?

Jgn banyak baca buku krn ia akan mencelarkan minda!

>> Beza fahaman

Yang saya tahu penganut Buddha tak pernah bergaduh tentang apa yg di ajar agama itu. Jgn nak jadi batu api? Mrk tahu apa pun tafsiran, bergaduh itu salah.

>> Agama rasmi

Baca perlembagaan. Perlindungan Islam ada larangan dakwah, larangan murtad, kuasa di tangan Raja bukan krjn (jaminan kekal), kedudukan rasmi (bantuan krjn). Tak cukup kah semua ini? Dah ada kuasa sekatan nak pinda jadi pula kuasa menghimpit?

By faizal&hazelin  on [January 21, 2010 12:09 PM](#)

Dear TUN,

As a Muslim, I am deeply concerned about the on going controversy surrounding the usage of the term Allah by the Catholic Weekly, the Herald. Over the past few weeks, debates on this subject have certainly dominated the headlines but the contending parties are no closer to finding a solution to this somewhat contentious if not explosive issue.

While both sides must be allowed to exhaust their legal options to the fullest extent, I believe that any pronouncement by the appellate courts too will not present parties to this conflict with a rounded and satisfactory solution. If anything it will only serve to persuade them to take up a more legally entrenched position. And as can be seen with any human construct system, the concept of law and the notion of justice can at times albeit paradoxically, be at variance if not mutually exclusive.

From the Muslim's side, we have had some meaningful and intellectual contributions to this debate by several eminent scholars and jurists. The bone of their contention appears to be that the usage of the term Allah by the Christians is contextually flawed be it from a historical, linguistic, legal or even theological perspective. Essentially, there exists no justification whatsoever for the Herald to insists on the same. In point of fact, since the advent of Islam, they argue Muslims have always used the term Allah to refer to God and therefore its usage to the Muslims is as exclusive as the Pope is Catholic.

On the other hand proponents for the Herald's cause have pointed out that in neighbouring Indonesia certain section of Christians have been known to use the term Allah in reference to God. Therefore, there is no reason for imposing restriction on the choice of language. This view is not without support from certain segments of the Muslim community. They feel that the Muslims should concentrate on strengthening their religious beliefs (aqidah) instead of wasting their efforts and anger on such pedestrian issues like semantics.

Be that as it may, it is imperative to note that the majority of Muslims in this country are opposed to the idea. To them, the concept of oneness or unity of God is central to the beliefs of all Muslims. Therefore it would be totally unacceptable that the existence of Allah can be explained in any other way let alone via the Trinity concept subscribed by the Catholics. Additionally and by any stretch of imagination, it cannot be pragmatically argued that the Catholics in this country would be severely disadvantaged if they are prevented from using the term Allah in their sermons or publications. To date there exists no statistical evidence to show that such a prohibition would seriously impair their ability to preach and practice their faith. The Herald episode to me is certainly a new phenomenon. Perhaps it is the result of our constantly evolving democratic society which has seen considerable progress over the last thirty years. By and large, it cannot be disputed that the majority of Muslims in our country are a tolerant lot who believe in peaceful co existence with their non Muslims neighbours. And their moderate stance on many issues has certainly contributed significantly towards the stability and prosperity of this country. Therefore, the recent attacks on churches around the country must be speedily and firmly dealt with by the authorities. Such senseless and dreadful acts of vandalism are not only incompatible with the spirit, letter and intent of Islam or any religion for that matter, but can also destroy the very fabric of our multi racial and multi religious society. This must never be allowed to happen

if the '1 Malaysia' concept is to be promoted and embraced as the national ideological statement for this new millennium.

One constructive proposal which deserves serious attention is the idea to set up the National Consultative Council on Religious Harmony by Dr Chandra Muzaffar. The powers roles and functions of the council must be properly defined to avoid confusion. It must be borne in mind that in 2005, many Muslims strongly opposed the idea to set up an Interfaith Commission as they fear it was an attempt to usurp the powers of the Malay Rulers on Islamic matters. To dispel such fears and anxieties, it is pertinent that such reservations be addressed from the on set. Membership of such a council too must be representative of all the major religions in this country. At the very least the council must serve as an effective and condusive forum to discuss contentious religious issues of our times.

In the final analysis we have until recently, often been cited as an exemplar nation for our management of racial and religious issues. The Herald's case presents both Muslims and Christians in this country with the unique opportunity to demonstrate that spirit of tolerance, compassion as well as accommodation in seeking a perennial solution to this impasse. To succeed, the constructive participation of all religious as well as political leaders from both sides of the divide remains quintessential. This I believe will be our greatest challenge as a plural society. And if we succeed in overcoming this obstacle with great aplomb, then and only then should we allow the praises to rain unchecked.

HAZELINE JB

By MAMZ  on [January 21, 2010 10:33 AM](#)

Aniek~Melanau,

1. "Dah naik tension baca semua ni!!!sana-sini smua pasal ni je"

Kau pergi baca Citizen Blog dalam The Star Online, sana sini semua masih cerita pasal perkara ni. Semua komen yang membangkang penggunaan kalimah Allah tidak disiarkan tetapi semua komen yang menghentam dan menghina Islam disiarkan. Inikah akhbar yang sentiasa melaungkan kebebasan bersuara? Akan tetapi sebenarnya berat sebelah.

Kalau orang bukan Islam masih dok kutuk merapu pasal isu ni, kau ingat orang Islam akan diam?

2. "kalau kongsi guna kalimah tu semua muslim ni serta merta mati ke?"

Kenapa si paderi Tan Sri Murphy Pukiam bawa perkara ni ke mahkamah? Dia ingat semua penganut Katolik akan mati serta merta ke kalau tak guna kalimah Allah dalam Bible?

Korang ingat orang Islam bodoh dan ganas tetapi perkara yang sebenar adalah disebaliknya.

By faizal&hazelin  on [January 21, 2010 10:00 AM](#)

Dear Tun,

As a Muslim, I am deeply concerned about the on going controversy surrounding the usage of the term Allah by the Catholic Weekly, the Herald. Over the past few weeks, debates on this subject have certainly dominated the headlines but the contending parties are no closer to finding a solution to this somewhat contentious if not explosive issue.

While both sides must be allowed to exhaust their legal options to the fullest extent, I believe that any pronouncement by the appellate courts too will not present parties to this conflict with a rounded and satisfactory solution. If anything it will only serve to persuade them to take up a more legally entrenched position. And as can be seen with any human construct system, the concept of law and the notion of justice can at times albeit paradoxically, be at variance if not mutually exclusive.

From the Muslim's side, we have had some meaningful and intellectual contributions to this debate by several eminent scholars and jurists. The bone of their contention appears to be that the usage of the term Allah by the Christians is contextually flawed be it from a historical, linguistic, legal or even theological perspective. Essentially, there exists no justification whatsoever for the Herald to insist on the same. In point of fact, since the advent of Islam, they argue Muslims have always used the term Allah to refer to God and therefore its usage to the Muslims is as exclusive as the Pope is Catholic.

On the other hand proponents for the Herald's cause have pointed out that in neighbouring Indonesia certain section of Christians have been known to use the term Allah in reference to God. Therefore, there is no reason for imposing restriction on the choice of language. This view is not without support from certain segments of the Muslim community. They feel that the Muslims should concentrate on strengthening their religious beliefs (aqidah) instead of wasting their efforts and anger on such pedestrian issues like semantics.

Be that as it may, it is imperative to note that the majority of Muslims in this country are opposed to the idea. To them, the concept of oneness or unity of God is central to the beliefs of all Muslims. Therefore it would be totally unacceptable that the existence of Allah can be explained in any other way let alone via the Trinity concept subscribed by the Catholics. Additionally and by any stretch of imagination, it cannot be pragmatically argued that the Catholics in this country would be severely disadvantaged if they are prevented from using the term Allah in their sermons or publications. To date there exists no statistical evidence to show that such a prohibition would seriously impair their ability to preach and practice their faith. The Herald episode to me is certainly a new phenomenon. Perhaps it is the result of our constantly evolving democratic society which has seen considerable progress over the last thirty years. By and large, it cannot be disputed that the majority of Muslims in our country are a tolerant lot who believe in peaceful co existence with their non Muslims neighbours. And their moderate stance on many issues has certainly contributed significantly towards the stability and prosperity of this country. Therefore, the recent attacks on churches around the country must be speedily and firmly dealt with by the authorities. Such senseless and dreadful acts of vandalism are not only incompatible with the spirit, letter and intent of Islam or any religion for that matter, but can also destroy the very fabric of our multi racial and multi religious society. This must never be allowed to happen if the '1 Malaysia' concept is to be promoted and embraced as the national ideological statement for this new millennium.

One constructive proposal which deserves serious attention is the idea to set up the National Consultative Council on Religious Harmony by Dr Chandra Muzaffar. The powers roles and functions of the council must be properly defined to avoid confusion. It must be borne in mind that in 2005, many Muslims strongly opposed the idea to set up an Interfaith Commission as they fear it was an attempt to usurp the powers of the Malay Rulers on Islamic matters. To dispel such fears and anxieties, it is pertinent that such reservations be addressed from the on set. Membership of such a council too must be representative of all the major religions in this country. At the very least the council must serve as an effective and condusive forum to discuss contentious religious issues of our times.

In the final analysis we have until recently, often been cited as an exemplar nation for our management of racial and religious issues. The Herald's case presents both Muslims and Christians in this country with the unique opportunity to demonstrate that spirit of tolerance, compassion as well as accommodation in seeking a perennial solution to this impasse. To succeed, the constructive participation of all religious as well as political leaders from both sides of the divide remains quintessential. This I believe will be our greatest challenge as a plural society. And if we succeed in overcoming this obstacle with great aplomb, then and only then should we allow the praises to rain unchecked.

FAIZAL JB

By Aniek~Melanau  on [January 21, 2010 7:29 AM](#)
morning..

Saya tulis kat ruangan ni saya tak berapa puas hati la dgn tindakan Orang east-Lump..Orang Islam ni terlalu sensitif sangat lah,semua benda tak boleh buat.semua nya haram,dah tu yang bnyk buat maksiat,mkn dadah la semua tu nama orang2 Islam je yang kuar kat newspaper & TV..Malaysia ni orang Islam mengaku dia orang yang punya "NEGARA ISLAM @ TANAH MELAYU" tapi yang bangunkan and majukan orang yang non muslim..kalau dah terlalu sensitif tu Kalimah Allah pun org non muslim tak boleh pakai..dah masuk waktu Sembahyang tu tok Imam nya pulak terjerit2 kat Mic tu,nak test pitching tu lari ke tak before gi Karaoke pas waktu sembahyang..

By prof_ridcully  on [January 21, 2010 1:24 AM](#)
Dengan izin Tun,

ekompute

When I said, The reason they offered to do that is because Islam forbids violence against houses of worship and they fear a third party (maybe Muslim, maybe not) with an agenda of their own, may want to further inflame the situation by mounting attacks on churches regardless of their denomination, and let the blame fall on Muslims, I meant what I said.

A third party, which may be Muslim or non-Muslim, with its own agenda, may want to take advantage by aggravating the situation to create a new situation that has nothing to do with either religion. Third party attacks B but makes it look or plays on the general assumption that A did it since on the face of it A has a motive. B retaliates by attacking A. Situation deteriorates to the detriment of everyone save the third party. Which is possible. You just need to sit back, think a

bit wider, be non-judgmental in your thinking and think of *all* the possibilities. It's something from a technique Tun advocated a long time ago: lateral thinking.

OK, I agree with you that this argument has taken its course. I think we're both agreed on the positive values of religion. Let's call it a day.

And by the way IANAL [I am not a lawyer].

By ekompute  on [January 20, 2010 7:51 PM](#)

Prof_ridcully, you say: **"May I suggest that next time you want quote somebody, have the courtesy to put the quote in its proper context like I always do. That way misunderstandings can be avoided."**

You said, You really do know how to twist and turn and "read" my mind. [Notice I quoted the whole sentence, ekompute]"

Is there a rule that when one quote someone, they must quote the whole sentence? Please show me where that rule come from, or is it a rule that you set?

What is proper context? Maybe I need to quote the whole article in order to give you the whole context like you mention about referring to the first paragraph in the first chapter (page 11) of Afzalur Rahman's book, "Muhammad as a Military Leader", when talking about Page 45.

Do you know how to do a search on your browser? By the way, I did not quote just somebody, I quoted you who wrote the piece.

Anyway, let's just end here because I see no point in continuing. Hopefully, all your arguments are based on religious teachings because I know lawyers can argue their way on behalf of their clients even though they know the truth.

By Aniek~Melanau  on [January 20, 2010 5:00 PM](#)

Hai..

Dah naik tension baca semua ni!!!sana-sini smua pasal ni je,kalau kongsi guna kalimah tu semua muslim ni serta merta mati ke?

By [Khairuddin Muhamad](#)  on [January 20, 2010 3:19 PM](#)

Salam to all,

It's amazing how lively a comparative religion can be. If people want to discuss it, so be it.

1. I would like to suggest to Chatholics in Malaysia to propose to Pope to use the word 'Allah' to be used by Christians worldwide, because it is a 'universal' word as they claim. It will be interesting to see Catholics in America and Europe to use the word of Allah, same word with Muslims Allah. Maybe their Islamophobia can be eliminated and they will not be insulted easily when Muslim chant 'Allahu akbar! Allah is great!. At least, let's have an official statement from Vatican regarding this matter.

2. Since this matter is very serious, you cannot make up decision based on language alone, because god in Malay is 'tuhan'.

3. The repercussion of this mis usage is very severe in a Malaysian religious context. Cross religious intermarriage; as a result of lose definition of Allah, in Malaysia as an Islamic country

cannot be practiced such as in Indonesia, a Pancasila secular state. (Jamal Mirdad and his Christian wife, ShahRukh Khan and his Hindu wife etc). The issues of inheritance, children guardianship, children religion after divorce cannot be solved easily with the existence of conventional and Shariah court in Malaysia. (or this is what exactly wanted by Christians?)

4. The Catholic must have been foreseeing this matter based on what happened in Indonesia now. What happened in Ambon was a result of disharmony between religions in Indonesia. Their insistence on using the word of Allah, to fulfill their evangelical mission in South East Asia, while knowing the forecast result, only show their cunning and mischievous intention to interrupt the long history of religious harmony in Malaysia.

5. I don't support church burning, but the fact that it NEVER happened before, show the long and uninterrupted tolerance of Muslims towards Christians by allowing them to build their church in the middle of their stronghold. (Just like Batu Caves Temple in the middle of Malay Muslim majority in Gombak). The burning somehow show the extent of what they can do, but they did not do it, out of respect, not fear. So, don't take this respect for granted.

islamsyukri@yahoo.com

By ekompute  on [January 20, 2010 2:40 AM](#)

Hi prof_ridcully, do you happen to be a lawyer? The method you use to discuss or rather argue do sound like one. You mentioned about context and quoting the whole paragraph. How would I know that you need me to repeat the whole paragraph when a simple search could reveal what you have wrote.

What does this sentence imply: "The reason they offered to do that is because Islam forbids violence against houses of worship and they fear a third party (maybe Muslim, maybe not) with an agenda of their own, may want to further inflame the situation by mounting attacks on churches regardless of their denomination, and let the blame fall on Muslims ."

"That they fear a third party (maybe Muslim, maybe not) may want to further inflame the situation and let the blame fall on Muslims." Now read the sentence again to understand what "maybe Muslim, maybe not" infers. If Muslim, then the statement is "That they fear Muslims want to further inflame the situation and let the blame fall on Muslims". Doesn't make sense, does it? How about this? "That they fear non-Muslims want to further inflame the situation and let the blame fall on Muslims". Makes sense, right? So the fear is that non-Muslims do it and then blame the Muslims. And that to me is what you are driving at, otherwise why would you bother to quote it?

And if you yourself cannot even remember what you wrote, what can I say? So never say "I said no such thing. A Freudian slip on your part?" So was it still a Freudian slip? And what was in your mind actually when you accuse me of making a Freudian slip?

By JamesLabu  on [January 19, 2010 10:22 PM](#)

Hei Dol

Bacalah ucapan saya 18jan.

Apa nak panjang lebar lagi,

ALLAH IS ONE,BEFORE ONE IS ZERO.FULLSTOP

1. Mahkamah Tinggi kena tarik balik keputusan mengizinkan kaum Christian untuk menggunakan nama ALLAH.

2. Umat Islam pun tak boleh melarang mereka, asalkan mereka tidak menghina, alhamdulillah mereka percaya pada Allah, cuma sesat jalan.
Kita cuba bimbing mereka, insyallah.

Saya berkeras Mahkamah Tinggi MESTI tarik balik keizinan mereka, demi memperbaiki kesalahan dan harmony.

Mahkamah untuk manusia bukan agama, Hakim pun satu hari goal daaa.a

By Fadzil  on [January 19, 2010 7:31 PM](#)

Salam,

Ini semua taktik orang sayaitan dan orang kafir untuk mengelirukan akidah kita. Dan juga merupakan ujian dari Allah taala kepada kita untuk menguji samada kita faham atau tidak agama kita Islam.

Bagi saya untuk mengelakkan kekeliruan mengenai penggunaan nama Allah sama ada boleh atau tidak untuk orang yang beragama kristian ini mudah sahaja.

Mula-mula kita kena faham apa yang dimaksudkan dengan God atau Tuhan. Adakah pemahaman orang kristian mengenai perkataan ini. Mengikut Islam, Tuhan (dalam bahasa arab 'Rab') adalah pencipta dan pemelihara. Dialah yang Maha Berkuasa dan tiada tandingan dan Maha Esa (satu). Tidak beranak atau diberikan. Pemberi kebaikan dan kesusahan (sebagai ujian).

Jikalau kita merujuk pada orang kristian pula mengenai pemahaman mereka tentang God memang bertentangan sekali dengan pemahaman kita. Sebagai contoh mereka percaya kepada konsep trinity. Dan Tuhan hanyalah pemberi kebaikan semata-mata dan bukan kesusahan. Jadi apa yang mereka sembah sebenarnya bukanlah Tuhan/God mengikut pemahaman Islam.

Maksud surah Al-Kafirun, 'katakanlah: wahai orang-orang kafir, aku tidak akan menyembah apa yang kamu sembah, dan kamu pula bukan penyembah apa yang aku sembah'.

Disini terbukti apa yang dikatakan 'God' oleh orang kafir mmg bukan 'Rab' yang kita sembah. Dan kita tidak boleh benarkan mereka menggunakan nama Allah sebagai salah satu berhala mereka. Ini akan bermaksud kita juga bersubahat dalam merosakkan akidah orang muslim. Jika ini berlaku ianya akan mendatangkan dosa besar dan merosakkan akidah kita. wallahualam.

By MAMZ  on [January 19, 2010 6:55 PM](#)

Adalah amat malang bahawasanya ramai dikalangan orang Kristian di Sabah & Sarawak tidak pandai berbahasa Inggeris sehinggakan mereka perlu membaca Bible didalam bahasa Melayu dan tidak faham erti Tuhan tetapi hanya faham sekiranya dinamakan Allah.

Nampak benar Bible itu mudah diubahsuai mengikut citarasa orang tertentu, tidak seperti Al-Quran Al-Karim yang kekal didalam bahasa Arab dan tidak ditukar walau satu huruf dan ayat.

Mungkin pihak gereja katolik perlu membawa perkara ini ke Mahkamah Keadilan Antarabangsa (International Court of Justice) di The Hague supaya semua Bible yang dicetak diseluruh dunia menukar perkataan God atau Tuhan kepada Allah.

By Baiyuensheng  on [January 19, 2010 5:42 PM](#)

US threatens sanctions over missing jets and church attacks -
<http://freemalaysiatoday.com/english/?p=7902>

Just as I feared. DR, I think you should take majority of the credit!! DAMN!

By sasa  on [January 19, 2010 11:57 AM](#)

Kami orang2 islam disabah amat kecewa dengan kenyataan Datuk Seri Mohamed Nazri Aziz bahawa penganut kristian di Sabah & Sarawak boleh menggunakan kalimah Allah. Beliau membuat kenyataan tanpa mengambil kira pandangan majority umat islam di Sabah. Kalau nak buat larangan, larang saja semua sekali, seluruh Malaysia, kenapa separuh-separuh?. Jadi pemimpin harus mempunyai ketegasan dan pendirian bukannya berdua hati. Inilah sikap orang2 melayu dalam ketegasan masih ada kelemahan, asik2 nak menjaga hati orang lain maruah bangsa tergadai. Soal agama dan bangsa, pemimpin harus bijak dan tegas membuat keputusan agar orang lain tidak mempersendakan kewibawaan pemimpin2 melayu. Waktu dibangku sekolah saya pernah mendengar orang bukan melayu mempersendakan bangsa melayu katanya>> melayuu ..layu, malay..maless dan macam2 lagi. Walaupun iaya berupa senda-gurauan tapi ianya bermakna bagi saya.

By wajaperak  on [January 19, 2010 11:26 AM](#)

Semoga di izinkan Tun..

rentap80 dan sypatracus

Teruskan perjuangan anda..syabas!!

Terima kasih Tun..

By azlan95  on [January 19, 2010 11:14 AM](#)

To Bear_Crawler,

To put the discussion in the right context allow me to ask you a simple question to which I expect an answer:

Bear_Crawler, what's the meaning of the word "Tuhan?"

Azlan

KL

By [gaman gudol](#)  on [January 19, 2010 5:18 AM](#)

PENYELESAIAN TUNTAS.

1. semua kena bertenang, mana2 puak pemuda parti mana2 jangan cari "promosi murahan" dengan masuk RTM dan TV3 punya berita setakat meraih sokongan emosi, orang tak mau paham, kamu bertanggungjawab meniupkan "sentimen" pada mereka yang tak faham lalu terjadilah pangang-memangang ayam yang telah basi, dek telurnya sudah busuk tak jadi menetas.

2. isu agama; siapa2 yang beri komen ni sudah naik ke syurga dan kembali ke planet bumi dan membawa segala bukti yang benar2 boleh dipercayai. agama sepatutnya menjadikan kita sangat hebat dari haiwan seperti anjing, kucing, kerbau dan arnab dan monyet. fikirlah zaman plaelolitik dulu tiada pun orang cerita pasal nabi dan rasul adapun ianya dinosour sahaja, ibarat gunung kinabalu belum menunjukkan ianya mahu meletup, apa yang kamu sibuk sangat ?

3. kita jadi bahan ketawa, senyum sinis dari negara2 lain -kesian kita dahlah negara kecil nak bergaduh, bolehlah pencipta2 teknologi nano kita mencipta tempat tinggal dalam dasar laut, agar laut yang luas tu boleh kita tinggal, ni asyik merapuh sini, buka buku sini, buka buku sana, kesimpulannya masing2 mahu mempengaruhi antara satu sama lain- akhirnya ; muka kita tebal dengan label itu label ini...ntah !

4. nampak sangat, negara kita ni tahap perpaduan yang masih di peringkat "tadika" lagi. di kaca tv rtm dan tv3 kita disusukan dengan sagmen "integrasi" tapi realitinya "haprak", lakonan tidak membina negara tetapi "melatah" , asyik2 rakyat melatah siapa yang salah ? ahli politik lah, lidah berbelit bilang tidak kahwin...tapi kahwin juga, ntah .. berntah. yang bijak pandai dalam "teologi" agama masing2 lagi bagus , yang faham lebih tenang, yang sibuk ni kurang faham atau lebih senang "rasa terancam" kerana ada kepentingan.

5. korek korek kapal korek, bapanya borek anaknya rintik, tunggang tebalik depa lorek, penuh blog che det pasal mengkritik.

mana itu Hipotesis nol (H0) dan Hipotesis Alternatif(H1)
selagi orang suka menulis itulah
hari ini pendapat Ho
esok pendapat H1

jawapnya isu ini H0, H1,H0, H1 BLA BLA BLA BLA
KALAU PARLIMEN, MAJLIS RAJA2, MAHKAMAH TIADA PENYELESAIAN ?
jangan sampai negara kita berperang.....

tidak seronok pun apa yang berlaku di negara2 jiran yang bergaduh pasal agama, buang karan saja macam binatang di hutan rimba perangai mereka. Mana ada Tuhan----- jika itu berlaku !

By prof_ridcully  on [January 18, 2010 10:01 PM](#)

Mohon izin Tun,

Shaikino, The Hidden Secret, and The Key

Will you stop spamming this blog with cut and paste jobs taken from supporters of Khalifa's site?

The Key, [you said this](#) [link to your entry] *P.S.: Please do not be deceived by Satan's claim that Muhammad was the last messenger; he was the last "Nabi" because he brought the last scripture.*

If you want to believe the rubbish spewed by an Egyptian biochemist who predicted the time when the earth will end -- and as a corollary the end of the lives of billions of people -- but who could not predict his own sorry end, go ahead.

It is incredible that there are people who would believe a man who claimed to have gone up to heaven (bodily) in the 20th Century to meet Prophet Ibrahim (peace be upon him). It is laughable. I wonder if Khalifa also met Elvis while he was up where he claimed he was?

Would the idea of heaven and prophets have entered his head were it not for the Quran? He mistranslated the Quran in English to suit his purpose of hooking incredibly gullible people like you. His so-called computer calculations of the ayat of the Quran and the significance of the figure 19 can be easily refuted.

Here is the [software to do it](#) [link to Islam Tutor site]. This site also gives interesting statistics about the Quran.

Check for yourself if Khalifa's calculations are correct or whether he fudged the numbers. Didn't Khalifa change his name from Rashid to Rashad in order to fit his "calculations"?

We know what [you are all about](#) so don't waste your time (and space in this blog). [link to Islamicweb site exposing Khalifa's lies]

You are no better than the followers of Ayah Pin with his fairies, his sky kingdom and his giant teapot.

By Bear_Crawler  on [January 18, 2010 5:21 PM](#)
rentap80,

-I said 'The universe has a beginning; therefore, God is the creator.'

Your response to that is: (some other attempts in defining God).

My response: Aren't you the one who's been defining God, very narrowly at that, by claiming that Allah is exclusive to Islam. Isn't it idolatry to confer the divinity of the Divine One to the word 'Allah'. The Almighty does not need language or expression of words to satisfy His Holiness.

-You said: (i just don't git your handle!). Since I have time, just want to ask you what about those that come in twos?...since you are elated over those in "threes"? And what of those greater than threes?

My response: you've understood my handle very well, God expresses himself in any way that he wants to because he created everything.

-As for the other things that you've said like 'I really feel sorry for you', 'Better give Sarah's advice a thought, if you want to buy a book get the latest edition', well, they really reflect the relationship that you have with 'your' 'Allah'.

By sypatracus  on [January 18, 2010 4:31 PM](#)
Salam Tun

Let me make a point to THE KEY

First of all, I detest your attempt to lead and erred many of us astray by blatantly and ignorantly excerpting verses from the Quran for your malicious intent. I presume that you are one of those self-educated arrogant christian (or maybe even a christian scholar) whose knowledge of Islam and the Koran were developed from your very own understanding and interpretation of the Quran. We Moslems are bound by our fear that we are not knowledgable enough or learned enough that we might misinterpret the word and the intention of Allah SWT in His very Word in the Quran. Thereby we leave this to the expert ulama, who have behind them years and years of experience and and were taught the knowledge of the Arab language, their culture, their daily norms and of course the context of the situation/event that a particular verse was revealed to Prophet Muhammad SAW.

This is very much in contrast with the Christians who read and interpreted their bible as to their very own understanding and most of the time ignorantly applying to one self fulfilment. Translation of the bible is so common that there were so many version of it and they ignore the real meaning and intention of the bible to the extent, sacrificing the originality of the scripture.

Your attempt to interpret the Quran verses was very shallow, inadequate and shows sign of unknowledgeability, so please refrain yourself from telling us what u understand of the Quran and thereby "leading and erring us to astray". However since you were so enthused to proof to us the word of Allah SWT via the Quran, why not you try to understand and interpret these simple verses from the Quran, Surah Al Maidah which was revealed to Muhammad SAW in context to the christians (people of the book, ahli kitab)

In the name of God, Most Gracious, Most Merciful.

[5:68] Say: "O People of the Book! ye have no ground to stand upon unless ye stand fast by the Law, the Gospel, and all the revelation that has come to you from your Lord." It is the revelation that cometh to thee from thy Lord, that increaseth in most of them their obstinate rebellion and blasphemy. But sorrow thou not over (these) people without Faith.

[5:69] Those who believe (in the Qur'an), those who follow the Jewish (scriptures), and the Sabians and the Christians,- any who believe in God and the Last Day, and work righteousness,- on them shall be no fear, nor shall they grieve.

[5:70] We took the covenant of the Children of Israel and sent them apostles, every time, there came to them an apostle with what they themselves desired not - some (of these) they called impostors, and some they (go so far as to) slay.

[5:71] They thought there would be no trial (or punishment); so they became blind and deaf; yet God (in mercy) turned to them; yet again many of them became blind and deaf. But God sees well all that they do.

[5:72] They do blaspheme who say: "God is Christ the son of Mary." But said Christ: "O Children of Israel! worship God, my Lord and your Lord." Whoever joins other gods with God,- God will forbid him the garden, and the Fire will be his abode. There will for the wrong-doers be no one to help.

[5:73] They do blaspheme who say: God is one of three in a Trinity: for there is no god except One God. If they desist not from their word (of blasphemy), verily a grievous penalty will befall the blasphemers among them.

[5:74] Why turn they not to God, and seek His forgiveness? For God is Oft- forgiving, Most Merciful.

[5:75] Christ the son of Mary was no more than an apostle; many were the apostles that passed away before him. His mother was a woman of truth. They had both to eat their (daily) food. See how God doth make His signs clear to them; yet see in what ways they are deluded away from the truth!

[5:76] Say: "Will ye worship, besides God, something which hath no power either to harm or benefit you? But God,- He it is that heareth and knoweth all things."

[5:77] Say: "O people of the Book! exceed not in your religion the bounds (of what is proper), trespassing beyond the truth, nor follow the vain desires of people who went wrong in times gone by,- who misled many, and strayed (themselves) from the even way.

[5:78] Curses were pronounced on those among the Children of Israel who rejected Faith, by the tongue of David and of Jesus the son of Mary: because they disobeyed and persisted in excesses.

[5:79] Nor did they (usually) forbid one another the iniquities which they committed: evil indeed were the deeds which they did.

[5:80] Thou seest many of them turning in friendship to the Unbelievers. Evil indeed are (the works) which their souls have sent forward before them (with the result), that God's wrath is on them, and in torment will they abide.

[5:81] If only they had believed in God, in the Apostle, and in what hath been revealed to him, never would they have taken them for friends and protectors, but most of them are rebellious wrong-doers.

[5:82] Strongest among men in enmity to the believers wilt thou find the Jews and Pagans; and nearest among them in love to the believers wilt thou find those who say, "We are Christians": because amongst these are men devoted to learning and men who have renounced the world, and they are not arrogant.

The Christians should be loyal to their own faith as preached by Isa, and let not the paganish theories and practices corrupt the true message of Isa. St. Paul, the founder of latter-day Christianity owed much to the Greek philosophy. The contact of the Church with the Hellenic world led very early to the attempt to interpret the mysteries of the Christian faith in the terms of Greek philosophy. The process, however, so far as the books of the New Testament are concerned, is most conspicuous in the fourth gospel. The writer of this life of Isa was clearly influenced by platonism. This verse rightly points out that "they had erred and led many others astray, and wandered away from the right path."

May you all be enlightened

Salam

By Bubbles  on [January 18, 2010 3:55 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun dan rakan bloggers,

Ada yang bertanya kenapa rakan bloggers tak habis habis cakap tentang isu kegunaan kalimah Allah. Isu ini memang tidak boleh habis selagi masih ada pemimpin politik yang mainkan isu ini untuk kepentingan politik termasuk Anwar Ibrahim yang baru baru ini menyebut ulama ulama tertentu yang membolehkan kegunaan kalimah Allah untuk orang Kristian.

Kami juga boleh menyebut ramai lagi ulama yang dengan tegas melarang kegunaan kalimah Allah. Malah seorang paderi Kristian yang memeluk ugama Islam yang pernah ditemuramah oleh radio IKIM semasa isu ini mula mula timbul tidak setuju kegunaan kalimah Allah oleh

orang Kristian di Malaysia kerana menurut beliau, kita harus tanya apa kah motif mereka mahu menggunakan kalimah Allah untuk ugama mereka.

Begitu juga seorang rahib Katolik diIndonesia yang memeluk ugama Islam beberapa tahun yang lalu. Beliau banyak memberi contoh pendekatan pendekatan yang digunakan oleh gereja Katolik untuk mengelirukan dan menarik orang Islam menganut ugama mereka. Yang paling utama ialah kegunaan kalimah Allah. Banyak lagi pendekatan yang disebut oleh bekas rahib termasuk meletakkan gambar salib di huruf Lam dalam kalimah Allah yang terdapat dihiasan dinding yang digantung di rumah orang Islam. Ramai orang Islam tidak sedar.

Selain dari Sheikh Yusuf Qaradhawi, 'ulama ulama' yang disebut oleh Anwar Ibrahim tidak harus diambil serius kerana sekarang ini memang ramai ulama ulama palsu termasuk dari Amerika dan Britain. Dikatakan diakhir zaman akan keluar pembohong pembohong besar maka inilah mereka termasuk Anwar Ibrahim sendiri.

Sheikh Yusuf Qaradhawi juga tidak sealiran pendapat dengan ulama besar Sheikh Yusuf Tantawi dalam banyak isu. Mungkin kita harus dapatkan pandangan Sheikh Yusuf Qaradhawi tentang hukum liwat dan hukum wanita menjadi kadhi dalam menikahkan pasangan Islam. Bukankah media masa pernah menyiarkan gambar Anwar Ibrahim yang menghadiri pernikahan anak seorang teman diKaarachi yang dinikahkan oleh seorang wanita.

By ekompute  on [January 18, 2010 3:44 PM](#)

"Minister in Prime Minister's Department, Datuk Seri Nazri Aziz, says that non-Muslims are allowed to use the word "Allah" in three states — Penang, Sabah and Sarawak — and the Federal Territories."

Makes a mockery out of all those debating based on religious grounds and language. It's all about politics, nothing else but politics and personal prejudices. Can't be that Islam allows the use of the word "Allah" only in those three states, and the Federal Territories.

By azlan  on [January 18, 2010 8:44 AM](#)

'...Leges Sine Moribus Vanae...'

Salam hormat.

Azlan
Rig 40
Caspian Sea

By Rentap80  on [January 18, 2010 8:11 AM](#)

Dear Muslims,

Is Rashad Khalifa a Kafir (Unbeliever)? Or is he an Apostate (Renegade)?

He is neither. He is worse! He is a "dajjal", a liar and deceiver. I guess we can call him a "kafir" (infidel), but this would be a weak description for a careless liar like him. Allah Almighty Said:

"Know they not Allah Knoweth what they conceal and what they reveal? And there are among them illiterates, who know not the Book (i.e., the Bible), but (see therein their own) desires, and they do nothing but conjecture. Then woe to those who write the Book with their own hands, and then say: 'This is from Allah,' To traffic with it for a miserable price! Woe to them for what their hands do write, and for the gain they make thereby. (The Noble Quran, 2:77-79)"

"But there are, among men, those who purchase idle tales, without knowledge (or meaning), to mislead (men) from the Path of God and throw ridicule (on the Path): for such there will be a Humiliating Penalty. (The Noble Quran, 31:6)"

"These our people have taken for worship gods other than Him: why do they not bring forward an authority clear (and convincing) for what they do? Who doth more wrong than such as invent a falsehood against God? (The Noble Quran, 18:15)"

By Rentap80  on [January 18, 2010 7:59 AM](#)

Dear Muslims,

Please be careful and be aware of people like Dr Rashad Khalifa.

Please read the article on rape charges, which original appeared in the "Tucson Citizen," October 6, 1979, p. 2B:

The article clearly states:

". . . a 16-year-old-girl testified that he [RK]raped her while supposedly recruiting her for a United Nations research project."

"According to the girl, Khalifa recruited her to do research on the aura, a luminous radiation that supposedly surrounds the body, and met her Sept. 3 at an East Side office for that purpose."

"The girl said Khalifa asked her to remove her clothing so that it wouldn't interfere with her aura and after massaging several parts of her body, he proceeded to have sexual relations with her."

"...Khalifa admitted to the police that he had manipulated the girl's breasts during his research. . . ."

"Justice of The Peace James West held a three-day hearing, and found sufficient evidence to order Khalifa to "stand trial on charges of sexual assault, sexual abuse, and sexual contact with a minor."

At the time of this incident Rashad was 43, was married, and had two children. So be careful of these people who confessed "God Alone, Quran Alone". These are anti Hadiths.

By JamesLabu  on [January 18, 2010 4:12 AM](#)

High Court,Low Court,Badminton Court or Basketball Court must not consent any applications of one believes or disbelieves.

There are many question in life that We Human need answers,
after an answer we have another questions.

Human must know when,where and why to stop questioning.
ALLAH is one before one is zero.There is no other GOD.FULLSTOP.

High Court must retrieve the approvals of applications for using ALLAH name. It cannot be Court decisions nor can it be disapproved by Muslims. Use it do not abuse it, it's one believes. Human Court only apply when a person, physically cause commotions with one believes. When one disrupts harmony.

By The Hidden Secret  on [January 18, 2010 12:16 AM](#)

Peace Tun and all Children of Adam.

IN THE NAME OF GOD/ALLAH/TUHAN.

We have divided ourselves as we argue about ALLAH.

These are statistical facts about the Arabic word "ALLAH" in the Arabic Quran :-

1. The Arabic word "ALLAH" occurs throughout the Quran 2,698 times ($2,698 = 19 \times 142$).
2. The number of verses where the Arabic word "ALLAH" occurs add up to 118,123 ($118,123 = 19 \times 6,217$).
3. The Quran's dominant message is that there is only "ONE GOD"
The word 'One', in Arabic "WAHID" in reference to ALLAH occurs 19 times throughout the Quran.
4. The Arabic word "WAHID" itself, (one) has a numerical value of 19. W=6, A=1, H=8, D= 4 ($6+1+8+4 = 19$). These are a well known values.
5. From the 1st Quranic initials (A.L.M. 2:1) to the last initials (N. 68:1), there are 2,641 ($2,641 = 19 \times 139$) occurrences of the Arabic word "ALLAH".
6. The Arabic word "ALLAH" occurs 57 times ($57 = 19 \times 3$) in the section outside the initials. (Table 18).
7. By adding the numbers of the suras and verses where these 57 occurrences of the Arabic word "ALLAH" are found, we get a total of 2,432 ($2,432 = 19 \times 128$). See Table 18.
8. The Arabic word "ALLAH" occurs in 85 suras. If we add the number of each sura to the number of verses between the first and last occurrences of the Arabic word "ALLAH," both verses inclusive, the Grand Total comes to 8,170 ($8,170 = 19 \times 430$). An abbreviated representation of the data is shown in Table 19.

Witness the above yourself...

<http://submission.ws/index.php/appendix-1-mathematical-miracle-of-quran-koran-19.html>

[2:139] Say, "Do you argue with us about ALLAH, when He is our Lord and your Lord? We are responsible for our deeds, and you are responsible for your deeds. To Him alone we are devoted."

[22:3] Among the people, there are those who argue about ALLAH without knowledge, and follow every rebellious devil.

[22:8] Among the people there is the one who argues about ALLAH without knowledge, and without guidance, and without an enlightening scripture.

ALLAH bless.

By prof_ridcully  on [January 17, 2010 9:09 PM](#)

Dengan izin Tun,

ekompute

As regards my use of the phrase *...and let the blame fall on Muslims...*

Yes, I did say this: *Did you miss the part in the news where Muslims condemned the attacks and more than 100 Muslim NGOs, including several "hard-line" ones, offered to help churches police and protect their premises? Here is the link to the news item at the Bar Council's site in case you missed it. The reason they offered to do that is because Islam forbids violence against houses of worship and they fear a third party (maybe Muslim, maybe not) with an agenda of their own, may want to further inflame the situation by mounting attacks on churches regardless of their denomination, and **let the blame fall on Muslims** who held only mild protests after Friday prayers within the vicinity of mosques.*

I missed that because of the way you used the phrase in your reply. Look at the context I used the phrase in the paragraph above, ekompute. The way you put it in your reply made it sound as if I used the phrase on its own and wanted to deflect blame from Muslims if they did attack the churches. You'll notice I did not discount the possibility of Muslims mounting the attacks in my comment.

May I suggest that next time you want quote somebody, have the courtesy to put the quote in its proper context like I always do. That way misunderstandings can be avoided.

You said, *You really do know how to twist and turn and "read" my mind.* [Notice I quoted the whole sentence, ekompute]

I make no claims of being a mind-reader and I don't pretend to be able to read your mind. I just said you must have missed reading Chapter 1 of Rahman's book. The reason I said that is because anybody who has read and understood Chapter 1 will not talk or make insinuations about pre-emptive strikes by the Prophet (pbuh) before the onset of hostilities. Talk about "twist and turn."

Further, you said that I said [quoting Rahman's book] that *"Peace is fundamental and basic to life in Islam and war comes only as a matter of necessity, when there is no other way or alternative course of action."*

You follow up what I said by saying *which you claimed that I have missed. On the contrary, I did not miss that. In fact, I also did not miss what Tun Abdul Razak said about "menghapuskan kemiskinan dalam kalangan rakyat tanpa mengira kaum", but **sometimes things happen**. To me, no religion teaches one to be bad. This is my stance and I did tell you in my reply but you conveniently ignore it because it doesn't support your contention.* [Bold letters mine]

That is exactly it ekompute. You imply that Muslims are responsible for the attacks -- which may be true -- but at the moment we don't know for sure. It follows that that does not mean that we can confidently speculate by saying "sometimes things happen". The last well-known person to say that or something to that effect was Donald Rumsfeld and look at Iraq now.

I agree with you that religions, the major organised religions, at any rate, don't teach one to be bad.

I also did not make up the fact about Russell not admiring the Buddhists. He was an atheist and was in fact against all forms of organised religion or religious dogma. Have you checked the his essay yet? If you have, you may want to retract your statement about Russell and Buddhism. Here's the link again in case you missed it: Bertrand Russell's [Why I Am Not A Christian](#)

And finally, you said, I will let you reply this first before I respond further to your reply because it is going to be awfully long and people have the tendency to ignore the parts that they cannot respond.

I hope I've replied you in full and that I've not been tardy in my reply. By the way, are you not going to contest my assertion that your question in [your two-paragraph entry](#) was not a rhetorical one? [link to your entry]

By soupich  on [January 17, 2010 6:10 PM](#)

Salam semua.

slam semua.

Buat S..Tan,

Bila satu Allah mengharamkan makan babi dan satu Allah lagi menghalalkan makan babi. ia kan mewujudkan konflik yang sangat besar. Kecelaruan fahaman yang sangat membingungkan bagi mereka yang benar-benar mencari tuhan yang sbenarnya.

Bila Buddha melarang minum arak,berzina, main mahjong . adakah layak mereka mengaku sebagai pengikut Buddha jika mereka menghalalkan perbuatan2 tsbt.

Jika perlembagaan meletakkan Islam sebagai Ugama Resmi di Negeri Malaysia ini, adakah ianya satu maksud yang kosong semata2. tanpa apa2 kandungan dan perluan2 berkaitan.

harap fikir2kan.

By [gaman gudol](#)  on [January 17, 2010 5:27 PM](#)

Rasional kenapa orang melayu beragama islam tidak setuju :-

1. perkataan ini digunapakai dalam ibadah mereka seiring dengan bahasa arab.
2. kebimbangan perkataan ini mempengaruhi, mengelirukan dan menyebabkan mereka tertarik/terbaca/tercari fahaman kristian.
3. kerana melayu mesti islam dan islam ialah melayu (tidak termasuk melayu di Pulau Bali).
4. kekeliruan bagi mereka(orang melayu) yang tidak mengerti atau tahu membaca dalam bahasa arab/mengaji.
5. jika orang melayu bukan beragama islam (terpengaruh dengan agama lain)akan menyebabkan

ketuanan melayu terhakis, kerana majlis raja-raja terdiri dari raja/sultan melayu.

6. walaupun perkataan ini tidak menyebabkan mereka beralih kepada agama lain, ianya akan menyebabkan "akidah" (din) mereka terseleweng dengan andaian sekiranya mereka "terbaca" majalah Herald atau sebagainya.

Rasionalnya orang kristian menuntut menggunakan perkataan Allah :-

1. dalam penyebaran agama di sabah dan sarawak -kawasan pedalaman menuturkan bahasa indonesia(jiran terhampir) kitab injil dalam bahasa indonesi/melayu yang menyatakan Lord=Tuhan, God = Allah.
2. secara "din"/iman Allah dalam kristian ialah trinity : sebelum yesus dilahirkan di planet bumi ia dipanggil bapa/god/Allah, bila yesus lahir ke dunia ia dipanggil putera/yesus kristus(allah dalam bentuk manusia) dan bila ia diangkat kesurga ia dipanggil "roh kudus" yakni Allah dalam bentuk roh yang membimbing manusia di planet bumi. ringkasnya, 3 dalam 1 (trinity) ia berbeza dengan agama islam iaitu 1 tuhan sahaja iaitu Allah(alif lam ha).(ringkas-di rumah anda dipanggil bapa oleh anak anda, dipejabat anda dipanggil Tuan oleh kakitangan anda, dikalangan kawan2 anda dipanggil dengan nama panggilan mesra anda contoh che det)
3. sebutan dalam kristian tiada dengung seperti agama islam, ianya mendatar sahaja iaitu alla...h.
4. bahasa kebangsaan iaitu bahasa malaysia/melayu sepatutnya menjelaskan perkara ini kerana jika bahasa inggeris tidak dimansuhkan dalam 20 perkara sabah menyertai masalah, mungkin isu ini tidak menyusahkan rakan2 yang berbangsa melayu dan beragama islam.
5. iman kristian semasa menggunakan perkataan Allah bukannya untuk tujuan menyebarkan agama, tetapi kerana dasar bahasa kebangsaan iaitu bahasa malaysia, yang telah dimaktubkan dalam perlembagaan.

--- selalunya, kita melihat satu perkara kerana berdiri disuatu sudut yang kita tidak pernah mengalami sudut yang lain, terdapat banyak sudut yang perlu dilakukan, contohnya kenapa kita bimbang jika tujuan asal bukan menyatakan Allah itu Iblis dalam kristian ? , kita semua punya agama dan kebebasan itu sudah diperjelaskan dalam perlembagaan, suatu hari nanti mungkin generasi akan datang menyatakan betapa lemahnya bahasa malaysia, sehinggakan mata pelajaran sains dan matematik pun ditukar semula ke bahasa inggeris.....kesian dengan negara kita ----

KOUNSIKAHAN TAGAZO

By ekompute  on [January 17, 2010 4:09 PM](#)

Wajaperak, first and foremost, Islam is the official religion of Malaysia and to mock it is being real stupid. But it is true that I am mocking something... it's not Islam, but those who are burning churches, bringing ill-repute to the very religion that they profess. Does that explain what I am trying to drive at? You can also infer my stance from the other mails that I have posted earlier, particularly the paraphrase of Gandhi's contention about Christianity.

By ekompute  on [January 17, 2010 4:02 PM](#)

Okay, my earlier response was censored by Tun. So let me cut it short. You said, "You claim that I said, "...and let the blame fall on Muslims..." in my previous comment. I said no such thing. A Freudian slip on your part?"

Can you please read your response at January 11, 2010 2:48 PM. If you have trouble finding it, search for "and let the blame fall on Muslims". Then tell me if it was a Freudian slip on my part or on your part, okay?

By [Pembela](#) on [January 16, 2010 9:22 PM](#)

Kepada semua saudaraku Umat Islam Malaysia,

DEMI ALLAH: UMAT MELAYU ISLAM MALAYSIA MESTI SEGERA BERSATU!!!

ALLAH ITU SATU
ALLAH MAHA BESAR
ALLAH ITU TUHAN SEMESTA ALAM

Hentikan perdebatan sesama kita!!!
Hentikan perpecahan sesama kita!!!
Hentikan perselisihan sesama kita!!!

Janganlah kerana ALLAH kita berpecah
Janganlah kerana ALLAH kita bersengketa
Janganlah kerana ALLAH kita bergaduh

Belajar dari Iraq!!!
Belajar dari Palestine!!!
Belajar dari Afganistan!!!

Semua Pemimpin Umat Melayu Islam di Malaysia mesti bertemu dan bersatu mencari satu kata sepakat menyelesaikan isu penggunaan kalimah ALLAH ini dengan SEGERA.

Jika tidak agama dan bangsa lain akan terus dengan mudah mempermainkan isu ini dan membiarkan Umat Melayu Islam Malaysia terus berbalah dan berpecah-belah sesama sendiri.

DEMI ALLAH!!! Kita Umat Melayu Islam Malaysia mesti SEGERA BERSATU dengan satu ketetapan mutlak dalam isu ini!!

"Sesungguhnya ALLAH tidak akan mengubah nasib sesuatu kaum itu melainkan mereka mengubah nasib mereka sendiri"

Jangan sampai kita semua dimurkai ALLAH kerana bodoh dan berpecah-belah!

Takutlah kemurkaan ALLAH
Takutlah bala ALLAH!!!
Takutlah siksaan ALLAH!!!

Ya ALLAH... lindungi dan ampunilah kami dan berikan kami petunjuk. Alfatihah...amin.

PEMBELA
<http://pembelamelayu2009.wordpress.com/>

By "THE KEY" on [January 16, 2010 6:15 PM](#)

Peace Be Upon You,

In the name of GOD, Most Gracious, Most Merciful

CONCEALING THE TRUTH KNOWINGLY!

We are one of the creatures (human being) created by God (Allah) to worship Him alone to test us in this world before we are departed and face the outcome of our belief. Those who are in the right path will go to heaven and those who disbelieve will end up in hell. The test is to “Worship Him Alone” without idolize, mention, call anyone (Muhammad, Jesus, Ezra, Mary, saint, statue and etc) beside Him.

The correct Shahadah: "Laa Elaaha Ella Allah [There is no other god beside GOD]," (Worship Him Alone).

Angels and those (human being) who possess knowledge will recite correct Shahadah "Laa Elaaha Ella Allah [There is no other god beside GOD],"

[Quran 3:18] GOD bears witness that there is no god except He, and so do the angels and those who possess knowledge. Truthfully and equitably, He is the absolute god; there is no god but He, the Almighty, Most Wise.

Majority don't like it when God Alone only to be mention. They want (Muhammad, Jesus, Ezra, Mary, saints,) to be mention beside Him then they are satisfied.

[Quran 37:35] When they were told, "Laa Elaaha Ella Allah [There is no other god beside GOD]," they turned arrogant.

[Quran 39:45] When GOD ALONE is mentioned, the hearts of those who do not believe in the Hereafter shrink with aversion. But when others (Muhammad, Jesus, Ezra, Mary, saints,) are mentioned beside Him, they become satisfied.*

The Muslims never worship God (Allah) alone during prayer. They mention (Muhammad and Abraham) names during the prayer. The place of worship belong to God (Allah) alone from the prayer (start till end).

[Quran 72:18] The places of worship belong to GOD; do not call on anyone else (Muhammad and Abraham) beside GOD.

[Quran 3:64] Say, "O followers of the scripture, let us come to a logical agreement between us and you: that we shall not worship except GOD; that we never set up any idols besides Him, nor set up any human beings as lords beside GOD." If they turn away, say, "Bear witness that we are submitters."

The Muslims insist of putting Muhammad beside God (Allah).

[Quran 18:15] "Here are our people setting up gods beside Him. If only they could provide any proof to support their stand! WHO IS MORE EVIL THAN THE ONE WHO FABRICATE LIES AND ATTRIBUTE THEM TO GOD (Allah)?

All messengers and messenger prophets (include Muhammad) never ever authorize anyone to mention his name beside God (Allah).

[Quran 3:79] Never would a human being whom GOD blessed with the scripture and prophethood say to the people, "Idolize me beside GOD." Instead, (he would say), "Devote yourselves absolutely to your Lord alone," according to the scripture you preach and the teachings you learn.

Are you the one concealing the truth, knowingly?

[Quran 2:42] Do not confound the truth with falsehood, nor shall you conceal the truth, knowingly.

[Quran 2:159] Those who conceal our revelations and guidance, after proclaiming them for the people in the scripture (Torah, Gospel, Quran), are condemned by GOD; they are condemned by all the condemners.

"You shall proclaim it to the people, and never conceal it."

[Quran 3:187] GOD (Allah) took a covenant from those (Jewish, Christian, Muslim) who received the scripture (Torah, Gospel, Quran): "You shall proclaim it to the people, and never conceal it." But they disregarded it behind their backs, and traded it away for a cheap price. What a miserable trade.

God (Allah) is fully aware of you.

[Quran 21:110] "He is fully aware of your public utterances, and He is fully aware of everything you conceal.

Do you fear them (Ulama, Scholar, King, Sultan, Mahzab, the people and etc) instead of God (Allah). You should strengthen your faith.

[Quran 3:173] When the people say to them, "People have mobilized against you; you should fear them," this only strengthens their faith, and they say, "GOD (Allah) suffices us; He is the best Protector."

This subject "Allah" in Arabic word (God) is the devil's system to instill fear. You must strengthen yourself and fear God (Allah), if you do believe in worshipping Him alone without idolize anyone (Muhammad, Jesus, Ezra, Mary, saints) beside God.

[Quran 3:175] It is the devil's system to instill fear into his subjects. Do not fear them (Ulama, Scholar, King, Sultan, Mahzab, the people and etc) and fear Me instead, if you are believers.

Muslims Malaysian follow their own opinion that the word "Allah" exclusively for Muslim and prohibit non-muslims of using it.

[Quran 6:150] Say, "BRING YOUR WITNESS WHO WOULD TESTIFY THAT GOD (Allah) HAS PROHIBITED THIS OR THAT." If they testify, do not testify with them. Nor shall you follow the opinions of those who reject our revelations, and those who disbelieve in the Hereafter, and those who stray away from their Lord.

[Quran 29:68] WHO IS MORE EVIL THAN ONE WHO FABRICATE LIES AND ATTRIBUTES THEM TO GOD(Allah), OR REJECTS THE TRUTH WHEN IT COMES TO HIM? Is Hell not a just retribution for the disbelievers?

Do you fear the retribution of an awesome day?

[Quran 6:15] Say, "I fear, if I disobeyed my Lord, the retribution of an awesome day.

This peoples (Ulama, Scholar, King, Sultan, Mahzab, the people and etc) setup “Allah” in Arabic (God) exclusively for them and bar others of using it. Do you follow them or God (Allah)?

[Quran 6:80] His people argued with him. He said, "Do you argue with me about GOD (Allah), after He has guided me? I have no fear of the idols (exclusively for them) you set up. Nothing can happen to me, unless my Lord wills it. My Lord's knowledge encompasses all things. Would you not take heed?

[Quran 6:81] "Why should I fear your idols? It is you who should be afraid, since you worship instead of GOD (Allah) idols that are utterly powerless to help you. Which side is more deserving of security, if you know?"

Whatever the revelations, I commented in this chedet blog are exclusively from the Quran. I cannot use others (Hadith and Sunnah) nor can I change any of it. I simply follow and fear my Lord.

[Quran 10:15] When our revelations are recited to them, those who do not expect to meet us say, "Bring a Quran* other than this, or change it!" Say, "I cannot possibly change it on my own. I simply follow what is revealed to me. I fear, if I disobey my Lord, the retribution of an awesome day."

Those who uphold God (Allah) to worship Him alone have nothing to fear, nor grieve. It is because He is in control of all things.

[Quran 10:62] Absolutely, GOD's (Allah) allies have nothing to fear, nor will they grieve.

[Quran 20:112] As for those who worked righteousness, while believing, they will have no fear of injustice or adversity.

I am commanded to deliver and preach God (Allah) messages without fear to anyone (Ulama, Scholar, King, Sultan, Mahzab, the people and etc). Do you still have doubt about God (Allah) and fear them (Ulama, Scholar, King, Sultan, Mahzab, the people and etc) instead.

[Quran 33:39] Those who deliver GOD's (Allah) messages, and who reverence Him alone, shall never fear anyone but GOD (Allah). GOD (Allah is the most efficient reckoner.

A warner messenger (Rashad Khalifa) had sent a message to the world including you.

MESSAGE SENT TO PRESIDENTS & KINGS IN MUSLIM WORLD

Re: GREAT NEWS AND AN URGENT FOR THE MUSLIMS.

I am enclosing enough physical, irrefutable evidence to prove that God had sent a messenger to:

1. save the Muslims.
2. remove all innovations, traditions, and superstitious, and
3. restore Islam to its original pristine purity.

God wishes to save the Muslim Ummah from humiliation and defeat, and to provide the true Muslims with great dignity, prosperity and prominence among the nations of the world.

Please review the enclosed summary of evidence, then contact me for the following purposes:

(1)to witness God's great miracle to our generation; a miracle that is far greater than the miracles of Moses, Jesus, and all the previous messengers combined:

[Quran 34:45] Those before them disbelieved, and even though they did not see one-tenth* of (the miracle) we have given to this generation, when they disbelieved My messengers, how severe was My retribution! &

[Quran 74:35] This is one of the great miracles.*), and

(2)to work out the details of restoring the Muslims' prosperity, happiness, and victory.

The salvation of your whole country, and your own personal salvation depend on your positive response to this most important message.

Sincerely,

Rashad Khalifa, Ph.D.

Messenger of God.

P.S.: Please do not be deceived by Satan's claim that Muhammad was the last messenger; he was the last "Nabi" because he brought the last scripture.

[Quran 33:40] Muhammad was not the father of any man among you. He was a messenger of GOD (Allah) and the final prophet. GOD is fully aware of all things.

[Quran 40:34] Joseph had come to you before that with clear revelations, but you continued to doubt his message. Then, when he died you said, "GOD (Allah) will not send any other messenger after him. (He was the last messenger)!"** GOD (Allah) thus sends astray those who are transgressors, doubtful.

RETRIBUTION IMMINENT FOR DISBELIEVERS

The Quran alerts us that God (Allah) sends His messengers "just ahead of retribution for the disbelievers".

[Quran 17:15] Whoever is guided, is guided for his own good, and whoever goes astray does so to his own detriment. No sinner will bear the sins of anyone else. We never punish without first sending a messenger.

[Quran 34:46] Say, "I ask you to do one thing: Devote yourselves to GOD (Allah), in pairs or as individuals, then reflect. Your friend (Rashad) is not crazy. He is a manifest warner to you, just before the advent of a terrible retribution."

All God's (Allah) messengers witnessed the retribution for those who rejected them. (Quran 7:59-93)

The fact that God (Allah) has sent a messenger to this generation, therefore, marks the imminence of retribution for the disbelievers. God's message and the Miracle supporting it have been intensifying during the last 19 years. In response, the Mohammadans intensified their idolization of Muhammad. All of a sudden, international conferences in Mecca, Cairo, Islamabad, and Anaheim were held in honor of the Prophet's "Sira," and Satan's very own "Hadith and Sunna" were re-issued in lavishly luxurious books. God (Allah) thus exposed the Muhammadans true identity, and confirmed their idolatry. Now, they have no excuse. The retribution will be just and devastating; it is indeed imminent.

Prophet Muhammad was one of them who took the Covenant (Messenger of Covenant) that a messenger will come to confirm all existing scriptures (Torah, Gospel, Quran). Do you still in doubts of God revelations or do you wish to support him?

[Quran 3:81] GOD (Allah) took a covenant from the prophets, saying, "I will give you the scripture and wisdom. Afterwards, a messenger will come to confirm all existing scriptures. You shall believe in him and support him." He said, "Do you agree with this, and pledge to fulfill this covenant?" They said, "We agree." He said, "You have thus borne witness, and I bear witness along with you."

[Quran 33:7] Recall that we took from the prophets their covenant, including you (O Muhammad), Noah, Abraham, Moses, and Jesus the son of Mary. We took from them a solemn pledge.*

Are the above evidences sufficient for you to uphold God (Allah) commandment or you still insist of following the community? The choice is yours!

Those who disbelieve will end up in hell and feud one another.

[Quran 33:67] They will also say, "Our Lord, we have obeyed our masters and leaders, but they led us astray.

[Quran 34:32] The leaders will say to those who followed them, "Are we the ones who diverted you from the guidance after it came to you? No; it is you who were wicked."

[Quran 34:33] The followers will say to their leaders, "It was you who schemed night and day, then commanded us to be unappreciative of GOD (Allah), and to set up idols (Muhammad, Jesus, Mary, saints, Ezra, statues and etc) to rank with Him." They will be ridden with remorse, when they see the retribution, for we will place shackles around the necks of those who disbelieved. Are they not justly requited for what they did?

YOU NEED TO DECIDE WITH YOUR FIRM STAND IN BELIEF. THE REWARD IN THE
HEREAFTER (HEAVEN AND HELL) IS AWAITING FOR YOU. THE CHOICE IS YOURS
NOT THEM (ULAMA, SCHOLAR, KING, SULTAN, THE PEOPLE, MAHZAB).

GOD BLESS YOU

“THE KEY”

By ekompute  on [January 16, 2010 5:16 PM](#)

Hi prof_ridcully, I salute your art of argument. You really do know how to twist and turn and "read" my mind. For example, you quote: "Peace is fundamental and basic to life in Islam and war comes only as a matter of necessity, when there is no other way or alternative course of action." which you claimed that I have missed. On the contrary, I did not miss that. In fact, I also did not miss what Tun Abdul Razak said about "menghapuskan kemiskinan dalam kalangan rakyat tanpa mengira kaum", but sometimes things happen. To me, no religion teaches one to be bad. This is my stance and I did tell you in my reply but you conveniently ignore it because it doesn't support your contention.

As regards your statement, "You claim that I said, "...and let the blame fall on Muslims..." in my previous comment. I said no such thing. A Freudian slip on your part?" Let me refresh your memory. Read your response at January 11, 2010 2:48 PM. You can find it easily if you search for the phrase "blame fall on Muslims". I will let you reply this first before I respond further to your reply because it is going to be awfully long and people have the tendency to ignore the parts that they cannot respond. I am holding you to this and see how you are going to twist your way out.

By wajaperak  on [January 16, 2010 12:47 PM](#)

Semoga Diizinkan Tun..Terima kasih..

[[ekompute]]

First and foremost..I insist on myself to counter your response to Prof_Ridcully because Islam is involves..

[[is merely a rhetorical statement]]

If you claimed yourself to be a responsible person..this rhetoric is not in any manner responsible..ponder upon it..

[[No religion teaches one to be bad, including those religions that Islam claims to be false]]

Call yourselves a naive or overlooking a fact? Hanan religion for one called for other religion to be overrule..Do you now this ?

[[Seems like you read it at face value and miss the message.]]

And..you absolves yourself from this blame?

[[Well, honestly I am still reading "Muhammad as a Military Leader" by Afzalur Rahman,

sponsored by the Muslim Schools Trust London, ISBN 0-907052-06-1. The book left me with more questions than answers because the conditions under which war is allowed is very very subjective]]

Please illustrates and example..

[[Among other things, it says on page 45: "And in nine of his (meaning the Prophet) expeditions, he took the enemy by surprise while they were quite unprepared for fighting." Based on that statement, I could twist and turn and consider a preemptive strike as a good excuse for any unprovoked war]]

It's not true at all..That's why it is important to state case by case incidence and I would like to try point's it to you the fallacy of Afzalur statement..

[[I still like the Dalai Lama's style. If the cruel communists overran his country, just find another place to stay in, whenever possible. India (by no means a Buddhist country) seems to be very kind but I doubt Malaysia will do the same thing, although Islam is the official religion. Life, after all, is very very short, a mere twinkle in cosmic time. No, I am no Buddhist even though I am Chinese. Like Bertrand Russell (who wrote "Why I am not a Christian") who admired Buddhism but did not become a Buddhist, so am I like him in this respect]]

In Islam this practice were called Al Qadariyah.Many 'tabligh' followers applied this upon themselves thus in India they sat and watch the demolition of Babri Mosque..Point in contention?

It was fated..

[[And by the way, your comparison with your 6-year old son, by the way, is obviously unIslamic, if I understand what I have read about Islam correctly]]

In Islam there is the definition of **literal and figurative..**
I says that Prof_Ridcully meant it figuratively..After all

it is Rhetoric..

Terima kasih Tun..

By orang2kilang.blogspot  on [January 16, 2010 1:33 AM](#)

Tun, dengan izin..

Allah...

Terdapat sebilangan Muslim di Malaysia yang berpendapat perkataan "Allah" boleh digunapakai sebagai "Tuhan" dalam majalah Kristian berbahasa Melayu.

Ada ustaz2 dan tok2 guru parti, ahli akademik dan termasuk Bekas Mufti Perlis yang memberikan dalil2 dan bukti untuk menguatkan hujah2 mereka, termasuk juga bloggers yang memeriahkan blog Tun ini.

Tetapi, keseluruhan mereka ini tetap berharap ada garis panduan tertentu untuk mengawal penggunaan "Allah", bermakna terdapat kegusaran di hati mereka.

Mengapa perlu risaukan diri? Seandainya kita berupaya menghalang dari awal, halanglah!
Bantah...! jangan benarkan..! Tak perlu mencipta kerisauan kepada setiap diri muslim di Malaysia ini., tak perlu! Kita dah mempunyai bermacam masalah.. nak tambah lagi??

mungkin saya tersilap, tetapi sesekali terfikir sesiapa (di Malaysia, bukan di negara2 berbahasa Arab) yang bersetuju "Allah" digunapakai oleh kristian, sebenarnya bermakna mereka juga telah redha dan beriktikad dengan doktrin Trinititi.

Bible / Injil : Wikipedia

The Bible exists in multiple manuscripts, none of them original, and multiple canons, none of which completely agree on which books have authority

Tiada New Testament yang ditulis atau di perengarkan perkataan2 oleh Jesus/Isa sendiri. Yang ada hanya Bible/Injil menurut Matthew, Mark dan Luke.

Ada ahli sejarah yang menyatakan dengan bukti kukuh yang Mark - penulis Bible/Injil hanya berusia 10 tahun di tahun terakhir kehidupan Jesus/Isa.

Trinititi : dari Wikipedia

The Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church describes the Trinity as "the central dogma of Christian theology"

The New Testament does not have a formal doctrine of the Trinity and nowhere discusses the Trinity as such.

peace...

By orang2kilang.blogspot on [January 16, 2010 1:32 AM](#)

Tun, dengan izin..

Allah...

Terdapat sebilangan Muslim di Malaysia yang berpendapat perkataan "Allah" boleh digunapakai sebagai "Tuhan" dalam majalah Kristian berbahasa Melayu.

Ada ustaz2 dan tok2 guru parti, ahli akademik dan termasuk Bekas Mufti Perlis yang memberikan dalil2 dan bukti untuk menguatkan hujah2 mereka, termasuk juga bloggers yang memeriahkan blog Tun ini.

Tetapi, keseluruhan mereka ini tetap berharap ada garis panduan tertentu untuk mengawal penggunaan "Allah", bermakna terdapat kegusaran di hati mereka.

Mengapa perlu risaukan diri? Seandainya kita berupaya menghalang dari awal, halanglah!
Bantah...! jangan benarkan..! Tak perlu mencipta kerisauan kepada setiap diri muslim di Malaysia ini., tak perlu! Kita dah mempunyai bermacam masalah.. nak tambah lagi??

mungkin saya tersilap, tetapi sesekali terfikir sesiapa (di Malaysia, bukan di negara2 berbahasa Arab) yang bersetuju "Allah" digunapakai oleh kristian, sebenarnya bermakna mereka juga telah redha dan beriktikad dengan doktrin Trinititi.

Bible / Injil : Wikipedia

The Bible exists in multiple manuscripts, none of them original, and multiple canons, none of which completely agree on which books have authority

Tiada New Testament yang ditulis atau di perdengarkan perkataan2 oleh Jesus/Isa sendiri. Yang ada hanya Bible/Injil menurut Matthew, Mark dan Luke.

Ada ahli sejarah yang menyatakan dengan bukti kukuh yang Mark - penulis Bible/Injil hanya berusia 10 tahun di tahun terakhir kehidupan Jesus/Isa.

Trinititi : dari Wikipedia

The Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church describes the Trinity as "the central dogma of Christian theology"

The New Testament does not have a formal doctrine of the Trinity and nowhere discusses the Trinity as such.

peace...

By coboyz  on [January 16, 2010 12:36 AM](#)

Salam sejahtera Tun Dr M,

Saya usulkan org Islam semua baca & selami makna ayat dari Surah Al-Kafirun...pelan2 baca dan fahamkan dan hayati... dan pujuklah hati sendiri...tak payah nak bertekak & bertaki tentang kitab2 yg terdahulu dgn org2 yg beragama lain. Kita semua sedia maklum & yakin Al-Quran adalah kalamullah dan terkini (the last testament).Jgn dikaji sangat Bible sekarang yg bukan Injil yg original.Telah di amend sesuka hati..kita takkan jumpa penyelesaian malah akan sesat & terus sesat.

Tetaplah pada Al-Quran yg Allah telah beri mukjizat sebagai terpelihara, serta sunnah Rasulullah. Ini adalah ujian Allah pada seluruh umat Islam. Pertahankan nama Allah yg satu.Berzikirlah dengan Asma-ul Husna utk dapat petunjuk Allah.

Semuga Allah memberi taufik dan hidayah kepada manusia yg menyalahgunakan namaNya.

By S..Tan  on [January 16, 2010 12:31 AM](#)

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat. Kiriman pendek setelah di pecah untuk lalu sekatan.....

.....ALASAN MEMBANTAH

Tuduhan bila membantah:-

>>Pijak kepala.

Merayu pada mahkamah pijak kepala, melaung dan memekik tengah jalan hak dalam perlembagaan?

>>Senda Allah.

Mengguna perkataan yg sudah lama di faham di M'sia Timur dan Indon mempersenda Allah,

mengheret kepala haiwan suci sebuah agama penghormatan?

>>Mengusik hati.

Sebuah majalah menulis pada penganutnya mengusik hati orang, seluruh penganut ini di terkam dgn tuduhan liar, menjaga hati orang?

>>Haram dan kufur.

Jika wajib melarang agama lain memakai nama Allah, maknanya berjuta Muslim Indon, Arab, Mesir berdosa.

>>Mengusik hak istimewa

Di M'sia Islam sudah jadi mangsa ketuanan? Tuan Islam ialah bangsa ini, bukan saja Allah atau Quran? Agama dan kepercayaan sudah jadi hak berebut?

By S..Tan  on [January 15, 2010 11:21 PM](#)

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat. Kiriman yg sudah dipecah untuk lalu sekatan dgn izin....

.....MENYORONG API

Buka mata, siapa yg menyorong kayu api kemudian bersedia dgn baldi? Siapa yg sibuk melebarkan kontroversi, meluaskan kecoh, membesarkan isu, membakar hati.

Yg untung mrk ini, bukan Islam. Yg rugi maruah Islam (bila perbuatan tak baik berlaku), yg meningkat martabat mrk. Sayang nama Allah bersabar, beriman, bertekun. Sayang nama sendiri bermegah, sombong dan bongkak. Sayang nama sendiri atau nama Allah?

Sayang Islam ditindas, pergi Gaza di mana Islam di pijak, di hina dgn teruk. Kenapa tak riuh tentang masjid di sana kena bom tapi ribut krn perkataan di sini? Berpada padahal menonjol diri.

By prof_ridcully  on [January 15, 2010 9:39 PM](#)

Dengan izin Tun,

ekompute

You said, It is people who twist and turn and do unruly things in the name of religion, bringing ill-repute to the very religion that they profess in. And it is against this people that I am hitting, and not Islam.

Really?

I could have sworn you were mocking Islam. In fact I'm sure of it.

This is your original two paragraph statement: *"Two arson bids in Taiping, black paint hurled at Malacca church," The Star Online says today at*

<http://thestar.com.my/news/story.asp?file=/2010/1/10/nation/20100110121327&sec=nation>

Is this what Islam is all about? If so, I would rather not want to know more about this religion, lest I would be turned into another unruly hooligan!

Your question was not a rhetorical question. Ask anyone with an adequate knowledge of English.

It was a leading question to allow you your follow-up statement about being turned into a hooligan imputing Islam as the religion of hooligans.

You claim that I said, "...and let the blame fall on Muslims..." in my previous comment. I said no such thing. A Freudian slip on your part?

I'm impressed that you read Russell. As far as I can recall Russell didn't praise Buddhism. He mentioned Buddhists in the same breath as "Confucians and Mohammedans" when he was listing the non-Christians in his famous essay. I have a hard copy of Russell's essay but you can check what I said by following this link to an electronic version of the essay hosted by the Bertrand Russell Society: [Why I Am Not A Christian](#)

As regards Prophet Muhammad (Allah's Peace and Blessings be upon him) as military commander, you said *Among other things, it says on page 45: "And in nine of his (meaning the Prophet) expeditions, he took the enemy by surprise while they were quite unprepared for fighting." Based on that statement, I could twist and turn and consider a preemptive strike as a good excuse for any unprovoked war.*

Obviously you missed the first paragraph in the first chapter (page 11) of Afzalur Rahman's book which states: "Peace is fundamental and basic to life in Islam and war comes only as a matter of necessity, when there is no other way or alternative course of action."

The passage you plucked out of the book was from the chapter on Strategy and Tactics (Chapter 2, in my copy). The Prophet (pbuh) took those steps when hostilities had already begun, in all cases initiated by the Meccan idolators as you know since you've read the book. But of course you could twist the passage any way you want when you post your comments.

Tut, tut ekompute. Try to quote books accurately and sincerely will you?

The Dalai Lama is being sheltered by India for a reason. It's called Geopolitics.

As for my Intention for comparing you to a six year-old, I'll let Allah Almighty decide on its merits just as He will judge my Intentions when I write this.

Kelly,

You have an interesting way of learning the Bible; you do it by reading up Surah al-Baqarah after the Gospels instead of proceeding to the Psalms. Which Bible are you reading by the way? The Catholic or the Protestant? I've been made to understand one has more "books" in its canon than the other. Some material are even [apocryphal](#) Interesting.

You said in regards to the attacks, *I was trying to say those perpetrators could be a group of people who really really believe they were doing good deeds (in the name of God or religion or whatever) but they did not perceive that they are actually doing mischiefs.*

Well done. That's a good way -- using your own words -- to describe the perpetrators of the attacks. So why the need to use Surah al-Baqarah?

I suggested Psalm 140:2 with a purpose: it's not very nice when someone quotes our holy book out of context -- thereby necessitating our having to put it into context lest it be misunderstood

[by bloggers, as you put it] -- is it? Shall we limit ourselves to quotations from our our own holy books in future then?

May I ask why you write *...us by God (Allah/YHVH/Father in heaven) and ...the grace of God (Allah/YHVH/Father in heaven)*? Are you trying to make a point albeit rather unsightly?

You may read my use of Psalm 52.2 any way you like. And you don't owe me an apology. You don't have to ask for my forgiveness. There is such a thing called false humility -- the thing about humility is that it's easy to get proud for being humble.

And last but not least, both of you, no weasel words here please. We can't have it any other way if we want to have a meaningful conversation.

By albert ch lee  on [January 15, 2010 9:23 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

I totally agree with your explanation on this extremely sensitive issue to our multi-religious society. I wish to add the following:-

- 1) Firstly, why does the Catholic Church have to apply to the High Court to use the word "Allah" in its publication, ie. why does it have to resort to the legal process? Did any establishment copyright the word for its exclusive use? You are spot on in saying that the courts only deal with law-related matters.
- 2) If really the word "belongs" to someone or an establishment, why such extreme reaction when used by another party?
- 3) Why do Malay NGO's protested at first, then took an about-turn and start protecting the churches instead? Is this contentious religious issue spilling over to become an explosive racial issue?
- 4) When will we Malaysians start growing up and open our eyes before we can become a developed nation?
- 5) I have a few words to describe the situation: mis-informed, mis-guidance, chauvinism, mis-handling, deprivation, distrust, irrational, mindset and suspicion. Allow me to expound based on these words.
- 6) Religious establishment and leaders have mis-informed their members on such issues, mis-guided them, resulting in irrational behavior and mishandling of the issue, and finally bringing it to the court and political arena. Why can't the Islamic and Catholic establishments have a dialogue and settle the matter within the confines of their religious domain? Isn't religion supposed to bridge mankind and not arouse distrust and suspicion by taking each other to the court.
- 7) Why only Malay NGO's protest? Aren't there Chinese convert Muslims in this country too? Could some of the arsonists be chinese converts? This shows our narrow mindset and plain chauvinism that "Allah" and Malays equate to Islam, while "Tuhan" and non-Malays equate to other religions.

8) Our beloved government has fueled more racial tension by mishandling this issue even more: by asking the Home Ministry to appeal in the Appeals Court. Appeal on behalf of who? Malaysians of other races and religions may view this as biasness and prejudice. If the top leadership cannot handle national issues, I have no hope for state directors, district officers and village heads in handling daily people issues.

9) I think some people in our country feel extreme deprivation in basic human living that taking away their last hope "Allah" would result in irrational reaction. Can our government cut away the cronies and middlemen in the NEP and quickly distribute wealth to the extremely deprived so that worst scenes may not happen in the future?

10) Can we try not to involve politics and the court in religious issues? Can the government let our religious leaders sort things out amongst themselves and not publicise these matters?

Sorry to say, Tun, we are still truly 3rd World unless we replace our current leadership with those who possess 1st World mindset to guide our citizens. I can't imagine my country going back to stone age before the ice melts.

Albert.

By MAMZ  on [January 15, 2010 8:01 PM](#)

Kalau dibaca didalam The Star Citizen Blog (<http://blog.thestar.com.my>) dalam dua minggu ni tak habis-habis tulisan yang memburukkan umat Islam dan masyarakat Melayu seolah-olah masyarakat Melayu Islam menganiaya mereka yang beragama Kristian.

Saya melihat terdapat 2 kemungkinan disini.

1. Pihak gereja yang amat BODOH dan DANGKAL dengan mengeksploitasi isu nama Allah ini untuk mendapat publisiti murahan. Tidakkah mereka terfikir kesan tindakan membawa ke mahkamah itu akan menyebabkan kemarahan umat Islam? Nampak gaya mereka sengaja melakukan perbuatan ini supaya mereka kelihatan seperti pihak yang "teraniaya" dan umat Islam dilihat sebagai "pencetus kekacauan". Malangnya, mereka sendiri yang mendapat MALU dan BAHANA akibat keBODOH-an melampau mereka.

2. Penulis-penulis blog berkenaan yang sudah semestinya penyokong kuat parti "Dumb Ass People" berkenaan kemungkinan besar bergerak disebalik semua tuntutan yang tidak munasabah kepada kerajaan sejak kebelakangan ini. Sedarlah wahai pihak kerajaan BN, musuh-musuhmu terang-terangan dari golongan dari parti "Dumb Ass People" ini dan media massa hendaklah menerangkan sehabis-habisan dakwah palsu "Dumb Ass People" ini.

By para  on [January 15, 2010 7:19 PM](#)

What is happening to this country? If it's not the language issue, it's the racist problem. Follow by the endless political rows and corruptions... and now... Religion!

In my own observation, the problem voice down to man. With it's selfish character, man dictate and demand his or her own benefits without considering the other party. It's always about "Me, Me, Me" and worst when you are standing on the majority side, things turn even uglier.

Perhaps we should all convert to Animism and let there be peace to the earth and mother nature too. Hopefully we will be in time before the ice melts! All the best to the next gen....

By asmawi  on [January 15, 2010 7:13 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

1. Secara amnya, saya berpendapat bantahan penggunaan nama Allah telah dibuat secara jahil.
 2. Memang penggunaan nama Allah di Malaysia seperti kes semasa ini perlu ditentang, tetapi alasan yang digunakan adalah jahil dan tidak tepat.
 3. Ia perlu dibantah atas dasar niat jahat Kristian dan bukannya Allah itu hanya milik orang Islam.
 4. Sesungguhnya Allah itu milik seluruh alam semesta, i.e. manusia, haiwan, tumbuhan dan seluruhnya yang dinamakan makhluk.
 5. Sebab itu ayat pertama selepas basmallah dalam surah fatihah yang dibaca setiap sembahyang bermaksud "segala puji bagi Allah, tuhan seru sekalian alam".
 6. Dan manusia itu termasuklah orang Islam dan bukan Islam.
 7. Jadi tidak timbul alasan Allah itu hanya untuk orang Islam.
 8. Cuma orang bukan Islam itu tidak mengaku Allah itu tuhan yang esa dan sebagainya, i.e. tidak beranak, makan minum dan sebagainya, dan nabi Muhammad saw rasulnya ; maka neraka lah bagi mereka.
 9. Tidak mengaku itu depa punya pasal, depa kena tanggung le sendiri.
 10. Jadi, apabila melakukan bantahan, alasan dan hujah yang digunakan perlu diperbetulkan dan diperjelaskan.
 11. Hujah yang selalu terbentang didada akhbar hanyalah Allah itu untuk orang Islam.
 12. Jadi, perbetulkan hujah, perkemaskan tentangan. Barulah ada kejayaan menyeluruh.
- Wallahuaklam, wassalam.

By Kelly  on [January 15, 2010 5:11 PM](#)

Peace be unto you,

prof_ridcully wrote:

[Your use of those verses implied that you were referring to Muslims as the perpetrators of the atrocities.

AFAIK (means "As Far As I Know") there is no shortage of Biblical references you could use to describe the actions of those who attacked the churches, actually. Try Psalm 140:2]

First... to prof_ridcully, I ask for your forgiveness. May God AlMighty forgive me too because my words have angered you. But please accept it from me that I have no intention to imply Muslims as perpetrators by using those verses. I know those verses were meant for the hypocrites. But I was not trying to say that those perpetrators are hypocrites. I was trying to say those perpetrators could be a group of people who really really believe they were doing good deeds (in the name of God or religion or whatever) but they did not perceive that they are actually doing mischiefs. That is why I put "BUT THEY PERCEIVE NOT" in capital letters.

With regard to Psalms 140:2, I actually have not read the Psalms yet. Most of my times spent on reading the Gospels. I have put here the Psalms 140:1 to 140:3 for the benefit of bloggers.

Psalms 140:1

[Deliver me, O LORD, from the evil man; preserve me from the violent man;]

Psalms 140:2

[Which imagine mischiefs in their heart; continually are they gathered together for war.]

Psalms 140:3

[They have sharpened their tongues like a serpent; adders' poison is under their lips. Selah]

Unfortunately, I cannot use Psalms 140:2 for the purpose as I mentioned above. So please accept it of me that I do not imply the Muslims/Christians/Jews as the bombers of the churches. I know what is in my heart and my Lord knows what is in my heart. That is enough for me.

Your quotation of Psalms 52:2, I do not know what to make of it. Are you implying that I am a worker of deceit? If so, please tell me where is it that I write which make you conclude that I am a worker of deceit. Just so you know, I am not angry at all even if you imply that I am a worker of deceit. Who knows... maybe I am (LOL).

But please let me know where/if I have ask people to do mischiefs or where is it that I deceive them. As far as I know, I have only:

- 1) Ask people to judge according to what has been revealed to us by God (Allah/YHWH/Father in heaven), and to fear God (Allah/YHWH/Father in heaven),
- 2) Ask them (Christians/Jews/Muslims) not to do mischiefs on the earth,
- 3) Ask not to let pride to be a stumbling block to see the truth and to beware that pride was what made Satan fall from the grace of God (Allah/YHWH/Father in heaven),
- 4) Ask the Christians to forgive instead of seeking revenge and to tell them that the mark of a good Christian is love and forgiveness.
- 5) Tell the Christians that the kingdom is not assured as in Matthews.

So, please... I beg you.

Peace be unto you.

P/s: Anyway, if you really want words of deceit... OOooh... (my horns are itchy)...LOL. How about this "Eloi,Eloi, lama sabachtani"...LOL... (and now my tail is wagging)... How about another one..."Behold your mother...behold your son..." Hey Master! I am alive...again. I thought you killed me...yipee.

By Rentap80  on [January 15, 2010 4:02 PM](#)

Dear Bear Crawler,

(i just don't git your handle!)

Since I have time, just want to ask you what about those that come in twos?...since you are elated over those in "threes"? And what of those greater than threes?

I know I have two eyes, two legs. There's a left and a right, man and woman.. actually there's another inbetween if you want to hold this as three. :)

Better give Sarah's advice a thought, if you want to buy a book get the latest edition.

- Inside every cynical person, there is a disappointed idealist. -

By ekompute  on [January 15, 2010 12:45 PM](#)

Prof_ridcully, sorry for not responding to you earlier as I missed your response from the overwhelming feedback to Tun's post.

First and foremost, the statement: "Is this what Islam is all about? If so, I would rather not want to know more about this religion, lest I would be turned into another unruly hooligan!" is merely a rhetorical statement.

No religion teaches one to be bad, including those religions that Islam claims to be false. It is people who twist and turn and do unruly things in the name of religion, bringing ill-repute to the very religion that they profess in. And it is against this people that I am hitting, and not Islam. Seems like you read it at face value and miss the message.

You mentioned: "...and let the blame fall on Muslims..." While this is not impossible (in fact, anything is possible), what is the likelihood as compared to the other possibility? If I have to bet with my life, guess which I will choose?

As regards your advice, "I wouldn't stop trying to learn more about Islam if I were you because clearly you need to learn more about Islam."

Well, honestly I am still reading "Muhammad as a Military Leader" by Afzalur Rahman, sponsored by the Muslim Schools Trust London, ISBN 0-907052-06-1. The book left me with more questions than answers because the conditions under which war is allowed is very very subjective. Among other things, it says on page 45: "And in nine of his (meaning the Prophet) expeditions, he took the enemy by surprise while they were quite unprepared for fighting." Based on that statement, I could twist and turn and consider a preemptive strike as a good excuse for any unprovoked war.

I still like the Dalai Lama's style. If the cruel communists overran his country, just find another place to stay in, whenever possible. India (by no means a Buddhist country) seems to be very kind but I doubt Malaysia will do the same thing, although Islam is the official religion. Life, after all, is very very short, a mere twinkle in cosmic time. No, I am no Buddhist even though I am Chinese. Like Bertrand Russell (who wrote "Why I am not a Christian") who admired Buddhism but did not become a Buddhist, so am I like him in this respect.

And by the way, your comparison with your 6-year old son, by the way, is obviously unIslamic, if I understand what I have read about Islam correctly.

By sasa  on [January 15, 2010 12:41 PM](#)

saya ama bersetuju dan menyokong 100% dengan apa yang dikatakan oleh saudara Ketok>>>BERSATULAH MELAYU ABAIKAN 1 MALAYSIA KERANA IA MENJERAT KAKI KITA SENDIRI.MEREKA GUNAKAN SEBAGAI UMPAN UNTUK KITA.DAN

AKHIRNYA AKAN MEMBELIT DAN MENJERUT LEHER KITA
SENDIRI.AKHIRNYA..MELAYU SUDAH TIADA.....

By Phirbai  on [January 15, 2010 12:35 PM](#)

Salam To Tun & FAmily.

Could We Name ALLAH As GOD?

The common name GOD is not synonymous for the proper noun "ALLAH," and is not an equivalent for "ALLAH." "God" is a very common phrase! Therefore one should never translate the name "ALLAH" as "GOD." One should never call "ALLAH" as "god,"

We must also take the following significant differences into consideration:

The word "god" is a common adjective, yet "ALLAH" is a proper noun for the Unique Essence (zat), out of Whose there is nothing independent in existence.

I mean, that is not a matter of mere languages or word pronunciation. There is an enormous difference between the meanings of these two words.

An understanding of a religion with a "god" or a concept of "godliness" is groundless and false! The original "Deen-i ISLAM" in effect is fully based on the meaning denoted by the name "ALLAH."

The statement "la ilaha ill-Allah" means "there is no god, there is ALLAH alone." In order to be an earnest Muslim, we must first distinct that difference in meaning properly.

- "God" is a being out-there, afar-off yourself, that is worshipped!
- "ALLAH" is the Reality (haqiqat) within your Essence, Who is being served all along!
- "God" is a bugaboo to be "frightened!"
- "ALLAH" is the source of a "deep reverence," an "awe" (hashyat) for the people of insight, which is generated through comprehension of their nothingness beside the limitlessness and infinity.
- "God" is a ruler and a judge afar-off, assumed to be out-there, which is sculpted in the minds of inefficient people according to their fancies.
- "ALLAH," unlike, is the One and the only Absolute Being, Who created the universes through Hu's own being and Who is being experienced along with Hu's Names (asma) at every particle, with none else to be compared onto Hu...
- "God" is a fictitious balooney sculpted in people's minds in accordance with the humankind's perception, adorned and smartened up with various faculties and assumed as a possessor of people's imaginary ascriptions, at every age and in every community depending on the level of people's understanding.
- "ALLAH" is Ahad (the Ultimate Whole).

For the non - muslims, do accept Islam as your religion. All the great prophets were born in Arab countries. Jesus never claim that he is god and there is no single word in the bible that he says so. Think wisely.

By sasa  on [January 15, 2010 12:28 PM](#)

jalan penyelesaian yang baik dan bijak iyalah kedua-dua pihak harus saling hormat menghormati dan faham memahami. Seperti sudah dimaklumi agama rasmi Malaysia ialah agama islam namun demikian agama2 lain juga bebas dianuti dinegara ini. kalau sejak dulu orang2 bukan islam dinegara ini tidak menggunakan kalimah Allah , maka tidak salah kalo tuntutan tersebut dibatalkan sahaja demi keharmonian dan demi menghormat orang2 islam dinegara ini,sepertimana orang2 melayu islam menghormati orang yang bukan islam dinegara ini. Adil kan?. Fikir2lah wahai saudara2 ku. kita 1 Malaysia tidak ada negara yang harmoni seperti negara kita, orang kat luar sana ada yang irihati melihat kita hidup dalam keadaan aman dan harmoni. jadi kalo ada hal2 yang boleh diselesaikan,bertolak-ansurlah, cepat2lah selesaikan. wassalam.

By Baiyuensheng  on [January 15, 2010 10:51 AM](#)

Dr,

Like I predict, Malaysia now is put together in the like of extremist countries. Please don't continue to damage this country international reputation (mostly built by and the efforts of the non-malays in the international arena/sphere of being a capable moderate nation.

Foe those who cares, pls read:

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/belief/2010/jan/14/anti-christian-violence-muslim-world>

By Melanau Warrior  on [January 15, 2010 7:28 AM](#)

Dear TUN,

SEEKING FOR TRUTH: POLITICAL GAME OR RELIGIOUS CONFUSION?

Written by gkm2020

May there be love, peace and righteousness upon you and your family: This article is written in good faith to find a 'Common Understanding and Awareness'. Thank you.

HISTORICAL EVIDENCES:

BUP KUDUS – KDN: NATIONAL SECURITY THREAT 2003

The Bible in Iban, titled "Bup Kudus". The Iban language bible is published by the Bible Society of Malaysia. The majority of the indigenous peoples (native) in Sarawak such as the Iban, Bidayuh , Orang Ulu and other ethnics are mostly Christians.

The "Bup Kudus" has been freely available in the country for 15 years. Ibans today comprise 33% of the population of Sarawak are the largest ethnic groups in the state. Majority of Ibans are Christians (Roman Catholic, Anglican, Methodist and SIB).

9th April 2003, local news media reported that the Holy Bible in the local Iban language and other Christian books are among 35 books that have been banned by Malaysia's Home Affairs Ministry.

These books are: “Mengenal Tulisan Perjanjian Baru” (knowing the New Testament) by Archbishop Ignatius Suharyo of Semarang, Indonesia; “Sejarah Singkat Liturgi Barat” (a brief history of Western liturgy) by Theodor Klauser; “Surat-surat Paulus” (letters of Paul) by Lembaga Biblika Indonesia (Indonesian Bible foundation); and “Doa Santap” (prayer at meal) by a Catholic nun.

All the banned books except Iban Bible known as “Bup Kudus” are in Bahasa Melayu Malaysia or Indonesia are very similar. 4 of the banned books are published by Penerbit Kanisius, an Indonesia-based Jesuit publishing house that publishes religious materials as well as books on psychology and medicine.

The list of banned books contains 7 other books published in Malaysia on the Bible and God, three of which have ‘Allah’, the Islamic word for God, in their titles. Many of the other books on the list deal with Islam or Islamic figures. The books are banned under the Printing Presses and Publications Act 1984 (amended 1987) as detrimental to public peace.

13th April 2003, an official for the Home Ministry said in the “Sarawak Tribune” that the “Bup Kudus” (Iban Bible) was banned for using some terms also used in Islam that Malaysian law prohibits books of other religions from using it.

It is forbidden to print, produce, reproduce, publish, sell, circulate, distribute or possess banned books. Offenders can be punished with a fine not exceeding RM20,000.00 (US\$5,263/£3,340.00) and/or a jail term not exceeding 3 years or both. Thus, native Christians could face such consequences simply for possessing any of the banned titles.

23rd April 2003, Malaysia drops Ban on Iban-Language Bible in Sarawak following a meeting involving Malaysian Christian leaders and Malaysia’s acting Prime Minister, Datuk Seri Abdullah Ahmad Badawi, the ban on the Iban-language Bible in Sarawak was lifted. “We are very relieved and happy,” said Rev. Wong Kim Kong, secretary general of the Evangelical Christian Fellowship of Malaysia. “It reflects the government’s willingness to accommodate others’ religious needs and practices with respect and honor.”

25th April 2003, the Malaysian Government revoked and lifted the ban on all Iban language Bible translations. Badawi said the issue in question – the use of the word Allah Taala for God in the Iban language – had been resolved and so the ban could be lifted with immediate effect. “When I consulted the Council of Churches, I was told the word had been used by the community as a reference to God for a very long time,” he said.

Despite this good news, the translations of 11 other Christian books remain banned and illegal. They are in Bahasa Melayu Malaysia, the national language. Those books banned include translated works by JI Packer, John R W Stott and others, which are not banned in their original English form.

ALKITAB – KDN: NATIONAL SECURITY THREAT 2010

Latest Case Scenario – Jan 12: The High Court is scheduled to hear an application today brought by a bumiputra Christian from Sarawak for the return of eight CD’s containing the word ‘Allah’ which she claimed were wrongfully confiscated by the government about two years ago.

Lawyers for Jill Ireland Binti Lawrence Bill, 29, a Melanau woman of native bumiputra from Sarawak said she is suing the Home Minister Hishamuddin Hussein and the Government of Malaysia.

According to court filings, on 11th May 2008 Jill flew back from Indonesia and disembarked at the Low Cost Carrier Terminal (LCCT) in Sepang. She had brought in 8 video compact discs containing religious materials for her own personal use. The VCDs had the word 'Allah' printed on them.

The VCDs were detained for inspection by the Customs which later handed them over to the Home Ministry Affairs (KDN).

Jill Ireland later received a letter from the Ministry of Home Affairs (KDN) stating that the VCDs were confiscated as she had brought in the VCDs unlawfully and failed to pay customs duty.

The reason for the confiscation was that the religious materials were a threat to national security and had breached Jakim (Islamic Affairs Department) guidelines on the usage of prohibited words.

She claims in her affidavit that as a native Sarawakian, she uses the word 'Allah' in her prayers, worship and religious education. She also prays using both the Bahasa Melayu Malaysia and Bahasa Melayu Indonesia Alkitab (Holy Bible) which uses the word 'Allah'.

She is also asking the court for an Order of Certiorari to quash the decision of the Ministry of Home Affairs in confiscating her VCDs as well as damages.

Justice Alizatul Khair Bt Osman of the KL High Court granted her leave on 4 May 2009 to apply for an order of Judicial Review. She is represented by Lim Heng Seng and Annou Xavier.

Remarks: The High Court here fixed March 15 for the mention of an application by a Melanau woman to challenge the Home Ministry's decision in confiscating eight compact discs of Christian religious teachings containing the word 'Allah'.

The date was fixed by deputy registrar Nik Mohd Fadli Nik Azlan, setting the stage for another court battle over the word 'Allah'.

ALKITAB IN BAHASA MELAYU INDONESIA/MALAYSIA

Alkitab or Kitab Injil is a holy bible for Christians in Borneo and Indonesia. The word 'Allah' had been used in all Alkitab or Kitab Injil series (Bahasa Melayu) when the Christian missionaries landed in Borneo (during Dutch and the British Colonial).

These bible series were written, printed, published and used by Christians in the great "Tanah Nusantara" (Indonesia) since 1612 before Malaysia was born and/or Bahasa Malaysia (national language) was introduced and is divided in two main sections: New Testament and Old Testament.

Most of these bibles were written and published in Bahasa Melayu: Klasik, Modern, Ambon, Maluku, Surabaya, Semarang, Baba, Indonesia and later published in Bahasa Melayu Malaysia (1974).

Christianity in Malaysia

Christians is estimated 23% of the 27,468,000 (UN Report 2009) populations in Malaysia today. The major Christian denominations in Malaysia include the Anglicans, Baptists, Brethrens, non-denominational churches, Roman Catholics, Anglicans, SIB, Lutherans, Methodists, Presbyterian and Independent Charismatic churches

In Sabah, the Basel Mission began work among migrant Hakka Chinese in 1882, many of whom were Christian. Tamil migrants to Malaya included Catholics, Lutherans, Anglicans, and Methodists. Migration increased after the Boxer Rebellion, particularly to Sitiawan and Sibu, still strong Chinese Methodist centers. Mar Thoma and Syrian Orthodox Churches were established in the 1930s following migration from the Kerala Coast of India.

In Sarawak, the rule of Rajah Brooke included support for an Anglican ministry from 1847 and Catholics were later admitted. In 1928 the Australian Borneo Evangelical Mission began work with modest resources which nevertheless resulted in the largest indigenous church in Malaysia today, the Sidang Injil Borneo (English: Borneo Evangelical Church)..

Question: Why during the British Colonial Era in Malaya and North Borneo (Sabah)/Sarawak, religious and/or culture or “Adat” issues never arise?

Bahasa Melayu Indonesia and Malaysia language

Christians in Indonesia and Malaysia also use Allah to refer to God in the Malay language and Indonesian Language (both languages although different referred to as Bahasa).

Mainstream Bible translations in Bahasa use Allah as the translation of Hebrew Elohim (translated in English Bibles as “God”). This goes back to early Bahasa translation work by Francis Xavier in the 16th century.

The government of Malaysia in 2007 outlawed usage of the term Allah in any other but Muslim contexts, but the High Court in 2009 revoked the law, ruling that it was unconstitutional.

While Allah had been used for the Christian God in Malay for more than four centuries, the contemporary controversy was triggered by usage of Allah by the Roman Catholic newspaper The Herald.

The government has in turn appealed the court ruling, and the High Court has suspended implementation of its verdict until the appeal is heard. In the wake of this dispute, there were a number of attacks on three Christian churches in Kuala Lumpur, Penang, Malacca, Miri and Johor respectively in January 2010.

LAW AND TERMS OF REFERENCES

The Federal Constitution of Malaysia is the supreme law of Malaysia. The 1957 Constitution of the Federation of Malaya is the basis of this document.

Federal Constitution 1957, Article 11

Though Islam is the religion of the Federation, Article 11 provides that every person has the right to profess and practice his own religion. Every person has the right to propagate his religion, but state law and, in respect of the Federal Territory, federal law may control or restrict the propagation of any religion, doctrine or belief among persons professing the Muslim religion. There is, however, freedom to carry on missionary work among non-Muslims.

Sabah/Sarawak Agreements 1963, Point 1: Religion

While there was no objection to Islam being the national religion of Malaysia there should be no State religion in North Borneo, and the provisions relating to Islam in the present Constitution of Malaya should not apply to North Borneo

Sabah/Sarawak Agreements 1963, Point 3: Constitution

Whilst accepting that the present Constitution of the Federation of Malaya should form the basis of the Constitution of Malaysia, the Constitution of Malaysia should be a completely new document drafted and agreed in the light of a free association of states and should not be a series of amendments to a Constitution drafted and agreed by different states in totally different circumstances. A new Constitution for North Borneo (Sabah) was of course essential.

Sabah/Sarawak Agreements 1963, Point 16: Constitutional safeguards

No amendment modification or withdrawal of any special safeguard granted to North Borneo should be made by the Central Government without the positive concurrence of the Government of the State of North Borneo

The power of amending the Constitution of the State of North Borneo should belong exclusively to the people in the state. (Note: The United Party, The Democratic Party and the Pasok Momogun Party considered that a three-fourth majority would be required in order to effect any amendment to the Federal and State Constitutions whereas the UNKO and USNO considered a two-thirds majority would be sufficient)

Thank you.

Reference: <http://dayakbaru.com/weblog08/>

By ayu_87  on [January 15, 2010 1:23 AM](#)

terjemahan dari surah Al-Maaidah:

(Ingatlah) ketika Allah berfirman: "Wahai Isa ibni Maryam! Kenanglah nikmatKu kepadamu dan kepada ibumu, ketika Aku menguatkanmu dengan Ruhul-Qudus (Jibril), iaitu engkau dapat berkata-kata dengan manusia (semasa engkau masih kecil) dalam buaian dan sesudah dewasa; dan (ingatlah) ketika Aku mengajarmu menulis membaca, dan hikmat pengetahuan, khususnya Kitab Taurat dan Kitab Injil; dan (ingatlah) ketika engkau jadikan dari tanah seperti bentuk burung dengan izinKu, kemudian engkau tiupkan padanya, lalu menjadilah ia seekor burung dengan izinku; dan (ingatlah ketika) engkau menyembuhkan orang buta dan orang sopak dengan

izinkan; dan (ingatlah) ketika engkau menghidupkan orang-orang yang mati dengan izinKu; dan (ingatlah) ketika Aku menghalangi Bani Israil daripada membunuhmu, ketika engkau datang kepada mereka dengan membawa keterangan-keterangan (mukjizat), lalu orang-orang yang kafir di antara mereka berkata: "Bahawa ini hanyalah sihir yang terang nyata"

[111]

Dan (ingatlah) ketika Aku ilhamkan kepada orang-orang Hawariyyiin (sahabat-sahabat karib nabi Isa): "Berimanlah kamu kepadaku dan kepada RasulKu!" Mereka menjawab: "Kami telah beriman, dan saksikanlah, bahawa sesungguhnya kami orang-orang Islam (yang menyerah diri kepada Allah)"

[112]

Dan (ingatlah) ketika orang-orang Hawariyyiin berkata: "Wahai Isa Ibnu Maryam! Dapatkan kiranya Tuhanmu berkenan menurunkan kepada kami satu hidangan dari langit?" Nabi Isa menjawab: "Bertaqwalah kamu kepada Allah jika benar kamu orang-orang yang beriman".

[113]

Mereka berkata: "Kami hanya ingin hendak makan dari hidangan itu (untuk mengambil berkat), dan supaya tenang tenteram hati kami, dan juga supaya kami ketahui dengan yakin, bahawa sesungguhnya engkau telah berkata benar kepada kami, dan supaya menjadilah kami orang-orang yang menyaksikannya sendiri"

[114]

Isa ibni Maryam (pun berdoalah ke hadrat Allah dengan) berkata: Ya Allah, Tuhan kami! Turunkanlah kiranya kepada kami satu hidangan dari langit, untuk menjadi hari raya bagi kami, iaitu bagi kami yang ada hari ini dan bagi orang-orang kami yang datang kemudian, dan sebagai satu tanda (mukjizat) daripadamu (yang menunjukkan kebesaran dan kekuasaanMu); dan kurniakanlah rezeki kepada kami, kerana Engkau jualah sebaik-baik Pemberi rezeki".

[115]

Allah berfirman: "Sesungguhnya Aku akan menurunkan hidangan itu berulang-ulang kepada kamu, kemudian sesiapa di antara kamu kufur ingkar sesudah (turunnya hidangan itu), maka sesungguhnya Aku akan menyeksanya dengan azab sengsara yang tidak pernah Aku seksakan seseorang pun dari sekalian makhluk".

[116]

Dan (ingatlah) ketika Allah berfirman: "Wahai Isa ibni Maryam! Engkaulah yang berkata kepada manusia: 'Jadikanlah daku dan ibuku dua tuhan selain dari Allah?' " Nabi `Isa menjawab: "Maha Suci Engkau (wahai Tuhan)! Tidaklah layak bagiku mengatakan sesuatu yang aku tidak berhak (mengatakannya). Jika aku ada mengatakannya, maka tentulah Engkau telah mengetahuinya. Engkau mengetahui apa yang ada pada diriku, sedang aku tidak mengetahui apa yang ada pada diriMu; kerana sesungguhnya Engkau jualah Yang Maha Mengetahui perkara-perkara yang ghaib.

[117]

"Aku tidak mengatakan kepada mereka melainkan apa yang Engkau perintahkan kepadaku mengatakannya, iaitu: 'Sembahlah kamu akan Allah, Tuhanku dan Tuhan kamu' dan adalah aku menjadi pengawas terhadap mereka (dengan membenarkan yang benar dan menyalahkan yang salah) selama aku berada dalam kalangan mereka; kemudian apabila Engkau sempurnakan tempohku, menjadilah Engkau sendiri yang mengawasi keadaan mereka, dan Engkau jualah yang menjadi Saksi atas tiap-tiap sesuatu.

[118]

"Jika Engkau menyiksa mereka, (maka tidak ada yang menghalanginya) kerana sesungguhnya

mereka adalah hamba-hambaMu; dan jika Engkau mengampunkan mereka, maka sesungguhnya Engkaulah sahaja yang Maha Kuasa, lagi Maha Bijaksana"

[119]

Allah berfirman: "Inilah hari (kiamat) yang (padanya) orang-orang yang benar (pada tutur kata dan amal perbuatan) mendapat manfaat dari kebenaran mereka; mereka beroleh Syurga-syurga yang mengalir di bawahnya beberapa sungai, mereka kekal di dalamnya selama-lamanya. Allah reda akan mereka dan mereka pula reda akan Dia. Itulah kejayaan yang amat besar".

[120]

Allah jualah yang menguasai alam langit dan bumi serta segala yang ada padanya; dan Dia lah jua yang Maha Kuasa atas tiap-tiap sesuatu.

By [Manchibut](#) on [January 15, 2010 1:20 AM](#)

Memang lucu dan sangat menakutkan pulak bila gereja Kristian di Malaysia mahu menggunakan nama Allah bagi merujuk nama tuhan mereka.

Ada sebab besar kenapa pihak gereja di Sabah mahu menggunakan kalimah 'Allah' sebagai gantinama tuhan-tuhan mereka. Sebabnya dalam fahaman dan anutan Kristian sejak 2000 tahun dulu lagi, tuhan mereka tidak bernama. Tuhan bagi kristian adalah 'Tuhan', dan digambarkan sebagai The Great God, the Great Lord, The Merciful Lord dan seumpamanya. Tapi nama khas bagi tuhan yang mereka sembah.... tak ada!

Kesian sekali pemimpin-pemimpin gereja ini terpaksa meminjam nama Allah, digunakan sebagai nama tuhan mereka. Demikianlah mereka terus mencari, sesat dan sesat.

Dalam komen-komen yang saya ikuti di blog Chedet ini saya dapati begitu banyak pihak yang terkeliru dengan mengatakan bahawa penganut-pengikut Kristian di Tanah Arab pun menggunakan perkataan 'Allah' sebagai panggilan bagi tuhan Kristian mereka.

Anggapan dan dakwaan mereka itu sebenarnya sangat liar, tidak benar dan menyimpang jauh hakikat sebenarnya.

Dalam bahasa Arab sendiri kalimah Tuhan itu disebut 'Rabb', yang membawa erti Tuhan.

Sebaliknya kalimah 'Allah' secara khusus dirujuk sebagai: Allah adalah nama bagi Tuhan yang Maha Pencipta dan Bijaksana, Tuhan yang memulakan, mencipta dan menjadikan setiap sesuatu di seluruh alam.

Jelaslah bahawa perkataan 'Allah' itu adalah nama khas bagi Tuhan seperti yang disampaikan oleh Junjungan Nabi Muhammad Sallallahu-alaihiwassallam.

Jadinya 'Allah' bukan satu nama umum yang sama ertinya dengan perkataan 'tuhan' seperti yang cuba disogokkan oleh pihak gereja-gereja di Malaysia sekarang.

Salam dari Manchibut

By [Lee Jamal](#) on [January 15, 2010 12:13 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum saudara Monit123, maaf jika kata-kata ini menyinggung perasaan saudara dan sesiapa saja. Memang Islam menganjurkan kebebasan beragama kepada seluruh umat manusia, demi agar manusia dapat hidup secara aman damai serta dalam kerukunan. Akan tetapi, dalam

konteks ini kita harus melihat dalam pelbagai perspektif apabila perkataan 'ALLAH' dibenarkan digunakan oleh orang bukan Islam. Jika niatnya untuk menggambarkan Allah sebagai tuhan umat Islam dan kepercayaan umat Islam atau apa saja perkara berkaitan agama Islam secara positif, memang ini tidak salah.

Tetapi sejauhmanakah kita yakin bahawa mereka tidak akan menggunakan perkataan Allah untuk tujuan yang tidak baik seperti mencerca Allah dan nabiNya. Inilah yang perlu difikirkan secara mendalam. Banyak perkara yang perlu dipertimbangkan apabila menyentuh sensitiviti keagamaan setiap umat. Malah perkara seperti ini tidak seharusnya timbul jika setiap kaum paham dan saling hormat terhadap kaum lain dan kepercayaan dan soal keagamaan mereka. Terima kasih, saya mohon ribuan ampun dan maaf jika kata-kata saya ini menyentuh dan menyinggung hati dan perasaan sesiapa.

By onestand  on [January 14, 2010 10:04 PM](#)

Tun yang dihormati lagi dikasihi,

1. Berbincang berkenaan isu agama terutamanya menyentuh isu yang berkaitan dan bersilang dengan agama lain tidak dapat dinafikan amat sensitif. Saya percaya, perkara sensitif begini bukan hanya boleh berlaku kepada negara kita sahaja, malah akan berlaku di mana-mana tempat di mana kita berada.
2. Seperti yang kita sedar, perkara sensitif memberi kesan yang besar kepada kestabilan dan kemakmuran negara.
3. Kita juga sedar, setiap bangsa dan agama ingin dihormati dan diterima walau di mana kita berada. Satu hakikat yang telah wujud sejak mulanya ketamadunan manusia.
4. Kita juga telah melihat bagaimana bangsa yang bersatu dalam suasana penuh kemakmuran, tidak dapat bertahan dan akhirnya hilang ditelan zaman.
5. Kita mesti percaya, perpaduan adalah kunci kemakmuran. Tapi dalam semua suasana yang penuh makmur, ada saja pihak yang dengki dan melihat suasana tersebut sebagai perkara yang menyaingi kepentingan mereka, satu suasana yang sentiasa muncul dari semua peringkat sosial walau di mana kita berada.
6. Pembangunan negara begitu banyak sehingga kita pastinya sedih jika semua usaha, tawa dan duka dalam mengekalkan suasana makmur kita selama berdekat ini sia-sia sahaja.
7. Sebagai rakyat, saya terpenggil untuk menulis, kerana saya fikir perlu, kerana saya fikir betapa pentingnya perpaduan, kerana saya melihat apa yang telah terjadi kepada negara lain akibat hilangnya perpaduan, kerana saya percaya masyarakat kita amat matang untuk melihat tanah air yang kita sayangi ini terjaga dan terpelihara.

By ciknab  on [January 14, 2010 10:02 PM](#)

Salam 1Malaysia untuk Tun dan semua..

Saya pun dah naik risau dengan isu yang melanda Malaysia & Melayu sekarang. Orang Melayu kita dari dulu sampai sekarang tak habis2 nak menuduh dan fikir niat jahat orang. Bijak betul la. Asal orang niat je dorang dah tau.. Ish3x.. Melayu Islam??? Melayu selalu merasakn diri mereka betul dan hebat terutama dalam Islam. Tapi pada hakikatnya jalan pun tak betul. Bila bercakap

tentang agama nombor satu la. Ish3x.. Selalunya saya perhatikan Melayu sangat cepat melatah. Tak kira dalam Isu ape pn. Lg2 la klu dlm bab agama Islam. Saya pun dah rasa tertarik untuk belajar agama kristian. Saya tengok Penganut agama Kristian lebih berhemah dan tidak cepat menuding jari berbanding Penganut agama Islam (mungkin kat malaysia je pn saya xtau la....). Sepatutnya hak penyebaran agama diberi sama rata kepada semua agama. Baru lah tak de orang Islam yang agamanya Islam tapi tak mengamalkan Islam (means Islam hanya pada nama dan keturunan saja).. Kalau pasal perkataan 'Allah' tu tak perlu la dijadikan isu. Orang kristian pun da explain yang dorang memang dah guna perkataan tu sejak lama dulu. Dan saya sendiri pernah tgk kawan saya chinese beragama kristian guna perkataan Allah sebelum nie.. Saya tumpang gembira kerana saya tidak merasakan jurang ble berkwn dgn dia.. Soal pahaman or takut akidah tergugat tu sume bergantung pada Iman la.. Buat ape kita asyik nak cakap dorang niat jahat la ape la.. Pelik betul la.. Kalau nak ckp dia nak sebarkan agama, biar je la.. Islam pun sebarkan agama jugak. Kalau kristian nak ckp dia betul pun biar je la.. Kita yg Islam ni pun asyik ckp kita je yg betul. So, ape bezanya???? Mungkin sebab dah dikatakan bahawa Malaysia ni negara Islam kot, tu yang dikontrol habisan2 tu.. Dah la.. Saya harap saudara2 penganut Kristian bersabar begitu juga Islam. Kita selesaikan dgn cara damai.. Mungkin juga ini satu tindakan sabotaj.. Dan kalau betul orang Islam yg bom gereja tu maknanya orang tu dungu la.. Wat keje tak pakai akal.. Penat la taip.. Lain kali la plak..

By kenmasters_413  on [January 14, 2010 9:57 PM](#)

By Rentap80 on January 12, 2010 9:54 AM

[Dear Christian brothers,

You cannot and should not say that the Muslims and Christians worship the same God...so stop with this hollow proclamation. How can it be when the Church profess that God, Jesus and Holy Spirit are one and same person? In Islam, there is only One God. We will not allow the sanctity of Islam be a subject of another interpolation or insertion in an already adulterated bible just to show that we worship the same God. We strictly do not. When the churches split into the Western and Eastern discourse, the Western churches end up adopting practices and beliefs rooted in pagan religions.

Where and which verses in the Bible did Jesus say specifically "I am God, so worship me" or something to that effect? There is none. The concept of Trinity came about a couple of hundred years after his death (according to the Bible he died on the cross). He has never taught Trinity, and his apostles never heard about it, neither their children, nor their children's children. The concept of the Triune God was instituted at the Nicene Council. His real name was Yeshua and not Jesus.

According to your Church, they have the birth date of Jesus as 25 December but according to scholastics views prevalent today (proved from the scriptures), Yeshua (Jesus) was born in the fall of the year in September-October. To prove this all you have to do is to find out when John the Baptizer was conceived and when was he born. Then this will lead you to when Jesus was conceived and when he was born. So Christmas is a false holiday that Christians should not be involved in.

The Catholic encyclopedia states that shortly after the Council of Nicea in 325 AD, a pope assigned December 25th as the day for observing the birth of Yeshua. Then in the 5th century

AD, another pope made it church law, that all Christians would observe December 25 as Christmas, forever. Think about it, the date of December 25 was chosen over 300 years after the death of Yeshua, as the date of His birth; and 400 years afterwards it was institutionalized as a mandatory church observance. The same bunch who changed the Sabbath from the 7th day of the week to the first, also decided to create Christmas.

The popes ordered Christmas to be instituted on the birthday of Sol the sun-god, because the Roman pagans already observed Sunday to honor Sol and the winter solstice period was already observed as Sol's birthday. This was an overt means to convince the people that Sol and Yeshua were the same person. The winter solstice occurs on December 21, and Saturnalia was kept from December 17-24. Then on December 25, Brumalia was the celebration of the rebirth of the sun-god. This is called Mithraism.

And who was Sol the sun-god? It was a continuation of the Baal worship from ancient days. The giving of presents on December 25 happened long before Rome arbitrarily chose December 25 as the supposed birth day of Yeshua. The stories about St. Nicholas being the origination of giving presents is merely church spin to try and put a christian face on what was a pagan custom done for hundreds of years. Throughout the scriptures, the worship with the green tree is condemned, and we know this refers to the evergreen tree, because every tree is green part of the year. It is the evergreen tree that is green all year round. In ancient pagan Rome, the fir tree also was sacred and they decorated it with red berries and silver and gold during the festival of Saturnalia.

Where did the mistletoe come from? It is from ancient Babylon, Rome, northern European pagan practices, and from the Druids of England and Ireland. The mistletoe is thought to give magical healing powers to pagan practitioners on December 25. What about the yuletide log? It too came from Odin worship and back to ancient Babylon. The yuletide log symbolizes the stump for Nimrod (Baal) and the evergreen tree his rebirth through Tammuz on December 25.

So how as muslims, can we include "Allah" swt in all of these?

Face it, you are not worshipping God.

Your scriptures have been defaced and adulterated thru misinterpretations, interpolations and translations. The Bible is not even able to set the genealogy of Jesus right. One example of a bad mistranslation, the verse that reads "camel through the eye of a needle" In Aramaic, the word used for "camel" would be extremely similar to that for a certain type of "rope", suggesting that the correct phrase was "rope through the eye of a needle."

Asked your Church as to why 1 John 5:7 was removed from the Bible except for KJV? Why is it so embarrassing that a verse have been deliberately inserted to support a Triune god? You should read on this.

So if you go back and asked the Christians where in the Bible that Jesus teaches about the Triune God, they will say he doesn't need to teach it, he "implies" it.

But please do not imply that we Muslims worshipped the same God as you do.

- Melayu Sarawak -]

I think you have taken this a bit too far. This is not about Christmas, The Trinity, or whatever. This is about the using the word "Allah" in non-Islamic texts. But let's get things straight.

You definitely got your research right. All your facts stated are correct about well..just about everything you said!! As Christians, we know that 25 December is not the day Jesus was born. 25 December is the day we celebrate the birth of Jesus. That's a lot difference!

About St. Nicholas and the Sol god thing, the presents and the yuletide and the mistletoe...I can't say much about it other than we don't practice in church. But what i can say is this,

Matthew 15:8-9 "These people honor me with their lips, but their hearts are far from me. They worship me in vain; their teachings are but rules taught by men."

In case you didn't know, all those traditions like yuletide and mistletoe are only practice in public decorations for commercial purposes. Not in church.

And please don't sound like Jehovah Witness and start talking about the name of God and Jesus. It's a language thing. Ask every Christian in 1000 language and you'll get 1000 ways to say Yeshua. One of it, by the way, is Jesus.

That camel through the eye of a needle thing. Well..that's what you get for translating something into you own language! But camel or rope through the eye of a needle is pretty much understood. It means doing the impossible.

There's not much I can explain about the Trinity and 1 John 5:7-9. There's already enough argument amongst the Christian denominations regarding this issue. So let's leave this debate to them.

I applaud the fact that you did your research about Christianity and that you try to find the weaknesses about the religion. Every religious website is always trying to convince people that they are the way to heaven by digging up all these flaws about other religions. But they got so obsessed about questioning the faith of others to the point that they forgot about their own. I wouldn't want you to go down that same path. Instead, try to find the strong points of your own religion and work on your own faith in God:)..that way, when you get to heaven, you'll be having the last laugh..lol

By apok  on [January 14, 2010 6:12 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun dan semua,

"Saya difahamkan oleh rakan-rakan dari Kuala Lumpur, tindakan akhbar itu adalah untuk menguji orang Melayu dan Islam, dengan menyatakan 'kita' menyembah tuhan yang sama iaitu Allah," katanya.

Untuk baca lebih lanjut tentang perkara di atas, boleh la pergi ke link di bawah ini.

http://www.utusan.com.my/utusan/info.asp?y=2010&dt=0114&pub=Utusan_Malaysia&sec=Muka_Hadapan&pg=mh_02.htm

Dan kalau berminat lagi tentang isu kalimah Allah ni, bolehlah pergi ke link ini juga.

<http://saifulislam.com/?p=7380>

Sekadar berkongsi. Moga Malaysia aman dan harmoni.

Sekian, wassalam.

By [Marzaini](#) on [January 14, 2010 5:37 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

Thank you for your sensitivity towards the people's issues and the use of 'Allah' in the Herald-Catholic Weekly.

In my opinion, despite being democratic the high court failed to utilize the law as a tool to maintain peace in a country when it allowed the use of 'Allah' in the Herald. What good is a law if it bring about chaos in a society.

Secondly, in a non-arab speaking country, especially for the majority Muslim Malays (Malay as mother tongue) in a multi religious country, God is translated as 'tuhan'- generic in form (not necessarily Allah). Hindu god for example is Vishnu. When we say Allah, it never cross the minds of Malays that it is the generic God referred to by all religions of the world. Yes, there is only one God- Allah.

However the definition given by each religion is different.

For example, Christians regard that God has a son (Jesus), where as Islam strictly regard God (or Allah) as not having a son. So if we regard Allah as 'generic God', then each time a Christian talk about Allah, then a Muslim would have to stop him and reverify 'wait a minute, is it the Allah with a son or the Allah without the son you are talking about?'

To me this is really confusing and unnecessary in the first place. Just let the Christians use 'God' and Muslims use 'Allah'. Crystal clear....

By [soupich](#) on [January 14, 2010 4:39 PM](#)

Salam sejahtera semua

1. Kalimah Allah adalah nama khas yang telah dijelaskan oleh ZatNya dalam AL Qur'an dan menjelaskan sifat-sifat dan af'al diriNya sendiri.
2. Penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh Kristian akan mengelirukan penganutnya sendiri, kerana nama Tuhan mereka adalah hasil keputusan sebuah mahkamah di Malaysia dan bukan dinyatakan dalam Bible pada asalnya lagi. Apakah berlaku kekurangan pada kitab Bible itu sendiri, sehinggakan perkara yang mustahak ini tidak dijelaskan. Apakah mahkamah lebih tinggi kedudukannya sehingga dapat memutuskan nama yang dirasakan sesuai untuk tuhan mereka.
3. Nama Allah adalah tetap bukan hasil terjemahan dari bahasa Arab ke bahasa melayu dsbnya.
4. Cadangan penyelesaian, jangan cuba menambah-nambahkan sesuatu yang tidak dinyatakan dalam kitab terdahulu, kerana ia tidak layak digelar kitab suci jika sudah ditokok tambah untuk memperbaiki atau terus merosakannya. Cadangan lain adalah gunakan nama-nama lain seperti Lata, Uzza, John Enkatesu etc.

wassalam

By [HAS](#) on [January 14, 2010 3:47 PM](#)

Salam Tun dan saudara Monit123,

Saya ingin memberi pendapat saya berkenaan kenyataan saudara sebelum ini.

1. Bagi org Islam tuhan adalah satu iaitu Allah swt. Bagi agama2 lain tuhan itu pelbagai dan nama tuhan2 tersebut bukan Allah. Adakah wajar kita samakan tuhan mereka dgn Allah? Fikir2kan

2. Apabila Allah disamakan dgn tuhan2 agama lain bagaimanakah kita akan menerangkan keEsaan Tuhan ini kepada anak2 kecil kita yg baru nak mengenal dunia? Ataupun kepada org2 yg tidak faham dan baru nak mengenal Islam. Tidakkah ini akan menyebabkan mereka keliru? Tidakkah ini akan menyebabkan mereka berkata:

"Memandangkan semua tuhan adalah Allah, apa salahnya saya memilih untuk beragama Kristian, or Buddha or, Yahudi?"

"Kenapa saya mesti memilih Islam sedangkan agama lain juga menyembah Allah?"

Bagaimana dapat kita yakinkan mereka tentang perbezaan tuhan2 tersebut? Bagaimanakah kita akan mbezakan Allah org Islam dgn Allah org Kristian? Adakah wajar, sedangkan sepatutnya ia tuhan yg sama tetapi diajar kepada penganut masing2 dgn ajaran yg berbeza2? Fikir2kan

3. Dalam Islam kita tidak dibenarkan melukis, menggambarkan rupa Allah. Adakah wajar sekiranya suatu hari nanti kita akan lihat patung2 di gereja dinamakan "Ini ialah Allah"? Adakah benar itu adalah rupa Allah yg sebenar? Tidakkah ini akan mndatangkan kekeliruan lagi kepada anak2 kecil. Fikir2kan.

4. Allah sebagai tuhan yg global. Kita mahu semua org menyembah Allah. Tetapi adakah wajar agama2 lain menyembah Allah dgn cara yg salah? Pergi ke gereja dan menyembah Allah scara Kritian, pergi ke tokong dan menyembah Allah scara Buddha, adakah itu cara yg betul? Fikir2kan.

5. Berkenaan dgn sentimen emosi org ramai bkenaan isu ini kita kena melihat dari sudut rasional. Kita tidak dapat mghalang org ramai dr melakukan rusuhan sekiranya org2 itu sendiri tiada kesedaran dan terlalu mengikut perasaan. Rusuhan mmg akan merosakn imej Islam. Kesedaran tersebut mesti datang dr dlm diri individu berkenaan. Sebab itu kerajaan melalui media berterusan cuba mengawal keadaan supaya org ramai mendapat kesedaran tersebut. Kesedaran org ramai untuk menangani isu ini secara akal fikiran lebih diperlukan berbanding menggunakan kekerasan.

Semoga kita sama2 mendapat manfaat, kesedaran dan kefahaman yg lebih mendalam tentang Islam berikutan tercetusnya isu ini.

Wallahualam...

By sypatracus  on [January 14, 2010 3:34 PM](#)

Salam to all my fellow Malaysian

To all my christian brothers and sisters, I only wish to make a point, it seemed to me that most of my fellow christians visiting here are so anxious and persistent in trying to convince the muslim readers by extracting and somewhat adopting (if not believing) verses from the Koran. I am not against that at all but if you are ready to accept those verses that you quote, please do also try to

consume this verse from the Koran. May Allah Subhana wa Ta ala bless you with enlightenment, as I had.

Allah menyebut: (maksudnya) "Sesungguhnya telah kafirlah mereka yang berkata : "Bahawasanya Allah ialah salah satu dari tiga (trinita)". padahal tiada tuhan (yang berhak disembah) melainkan Tuhan Yang Maha Esa...(Surah al-Maidah ayat 73).

By Catalyst  on [January 14, 2010 2:52 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Jika dibenarkan kalimah Allah kepada bukan Islam, maka itu lah petanda awal kehancuran Islam di Malaysia dan perkara ini kita boleh lihat telah berlaku di Indonesia di mana Islam dan Kristian sudah bercampur aduk dan mereka boleh berkahwin walaupun berbeza agama. Mereka bebas melakukan apa saja, ini lah padahnya bila mereka menganggap Tuhan mereka sama iaitu Allah dan segala benda mereka anggap sama dan tiada beza walaupun hakikatnya Islam jelas menyuruh/melarang sesuatu perkara.

By Rentap80  on [January 14, 2010 2:46 PM](#)

Bear Crawler wrote:

[Muslims often appeal to logic as a verification for their theological systems. They claim that Islam is true and Christianity false, that the Trinity simply doesn't make sense.]

To claim that "Trinity simply doesn't make sense" to us is incorrect. The right phrase would be "Trinity is not the truth" to the Muslims so please don't deliberately force this upon us.

[...In fact, most people believe in trinities without even knowing it.]

I know I don't.

[1. The universe is ordered; therefore, we know that God is a God of order.
2. The universe operates on laws; therefore, God is a God of law.
3. The universe has a beginning; therefore, God is the creator. (some other attempts in defining God)]

I think you need to sit down and do some really serious thinking to yourself. Our world or existence is in every respect a closed system that cannot therefore point to anything outside itself. I really feel sorry for you.

[1. Space is comprised of height, width, and depth - a trinity - but each aspect is by nature space.]

In Strings you can have more than three dimensions that is if you are using this to prove a point that dimensions points to "three". What about Time?

[3. Matter is comprised of solid, liquid, and gas - a trinity - but each aspect is by nature matter.]

What about the things in Particle theory? Gluons? Weak force, strong force... strings??

Pagans used this methodology to define God. It will be a futile to define Him. He manifests Himself through His attributes. As Muslims we are far removed from this practice of defining God.

But don't the Bible says:

Deuteronomy 6:4 — Hear, O Israel: The LORD thy God is one LORD.

Deuteronomy 4:35,39 — Unto thee it was shown, that thou mightest know that the LORD he is God; there is none else beside him.

Isaiah 46:9 — For I am God, and there is none else; I am God, and there is none like me.

Mark 12:29-34 — And Jesus answered him, The first of all the commandments is, Hear, O Israel; The Lord our God is one Lord: And thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind, and with all thy strength: this is the first commandment.

[The Bible has declared that God is indeed a Trinity and that Jesus is both God and man (John 1:1, 14; Col. 2:9; etc.). Christians have a living redeemer. Christians have God as their savior.]

According to Dr Errico on the Peshiita texts, Jesus never ever spoke sacrificial language "first of all that word redemption and redeemer is incorrect, the Aramaic does not use that word."

Thus, Errico says the question is not who was Jesus but rather what was he about; what was his mission, "He didn't die for our sins, he died because of them."

So for a start, please discard your Pagan Greek texts first because Jesus and his disciples doesn't speak koine Greek, and try and take up the Aramaic instead. Then maybe we can sit down talk about whether you can use the word "Allah" or not. Otherwise you will not be qualified by using the Greek texts.

By The Hidden Secret  on [January 14, 2010 2:11 PM](#)

Peace Tun and others.

IN THE NAME OF GOD.

1. Do not distort GOD's name.

[7:180] To GOD belongs the most beautiful names; call upon Him therewith, and disregard THOSE WHO DISTORT HIS NAMES. They WILL BE REQUESTED FOR THEIR SINS.

2. Do not prohibit lawful things and attribute the prohibition to GOD unless if you don't want to succeed, unless if you want to be evil.

[6:148] The idol worshipers say, "Had GOD willed, we would not practice idolatry, nor would our parents, NOR WOULD WE PROHIBIT ANYTHING." Thus did those before them disbelieve, until they incurred our retribution. Say, "Do you have any proven knowledge that you can show us? YOU FOLLOW NOTHING BUT CONJECTURE; YOU ONLY GUESS."

[6:150] Say, "BRING YOUR WITNESS WHO WOULD TESTIFY THAT GOD HAS PROHIBITED THIS OR THAT." If they testify, do not testify with them. Nor shall you follow

the opinions of those who reject our revelations, and those who disbelieve in the Hereafter, and those who stray away from their Lord.

[16:35] The idol worshipers say, "Had GOD willed, we would not worship any idols besides Him, nor would our parents. NOR WOULD WE PROHIBIT ANYTHING BESIDES HIS PROHIBITIONS." Those before them have done the same. Can the messengers do anything but deliver the complete message?

[16:116] YOU SHALL NOT UTTER LIES WITH YOUR OWN TONGUE STATING: "THIS IS LAWFUL, and THIS IS UNLAWFUL," TO FABRICATE LIES AND ATTRIBUTE THEM TO GOD. Surely, THOSE WHO FABRICATE LIES AND ATTRIBUTE THEM TO GOD WILL NEVER SUCCEED.

[18:15] "Here are our people setting up gods beside Him. If only they could provide any proof to support their stand! WHO IS MORE EVIL THAN THE ONE WHO FABRICATE LIES AND ATTRIBUTE THEM TO GOD?

[29:68] WHO IS MORE EVIL THAN ONE WHO FABRICATE LIES AND ATTRIBUTES THEM TO GOD, OR REJECTS THE TRUTH WHEN IT COMES TO HIM? Is Hell not a just retribution for the disbelievers?

[39:32] WHO IS MORE EVIL THAN ONE WHO ATTRIBUTES LIES TO GOD, while disbelieving in the truth that has come to him? Is Hell not a just requital for the disbelievers?

3. Do not conceal the truth or confound the truth with falsehood.

[2:42] DO NOT CONFOUND THE TRUTH WITH FALSEHOOD, NOR SHALL YOU CONCEAL THE TRUTH, knowingly.

4. Do not plot and scheme against the truth.

[3:54] They plotted and schemed, but so did GOD, and GOD IS THE BEST SCHEMER.

[8:30] The disbelievers plot and scheme to neutralize you, or kill you, or banish you. However, they plot and scheme, but so does GOD. GOD IS THE BEST SCHEMER.

5. Choose GOD/ALLAH/TUHAN as your only god. Do not create partner besides GOD/ALLAH/TUHAN.

[9:31] THEY HAVE SET UP THEIR RELIGIOUS LEADERS AND SCHOLARS AS LORDS, INSTEAD OF GOD. Others deified the Messiah, son of Mary. They were all commanded to worship only one god. There is no god except He. Be He glorified, high above having any partners.

GOD bless.

By prof_ridcully  on [January 14, 2010 1:55 PM](#)

Dengan izin Tun,

Kelly

Those verses from al-Baqarah (Verses 8-12) were referring to the Hypocrites who professed to believe in Allah Almighty and Prophet Muhammad (Allah's Peace and Blessings be upon him) but in reality believed otherwise and plotted to destroy Islam.

Your use of those verses implied that you were referring to Muslims as the perpetrators of the atrocities.

AFAIK (means "As Far As I Know") there is no shortage of Biblical references you could use to describe the actions of those who attacked the churches, actually. Try Psalm 140:2

My reference gives about 14 versions in English of Psalm 140:2. Take your pick.

And in this context I like Psalm 52.2 (The New American Standard Bible version):

"Your tongue devises destruction, Like a sharp razor, O worker of deceit."

Peace

By wajaperak  on [January 14, 2010 1:04 PM](#)

Syabas Ir.Syahrizan..

Hope to hear more from you..sincerely and seriously..

Thank you..

Terima kasih Tun..

By Sara  on [January 14, 2010 12:32 PM](#)

Salam all,

I am saddened to see what is happening on the burning of the churches, surau and especially the missionary schools.. I am from missionary schools, so it cuts me deep.. Anyways, I read yesterday that the government would be paying one of churches RM 500,000 for the damage.. Don't get me wrong; yes, the worship buildings and the school have to be restored- no doubt. But some experts claim that the economic crisis in 2010 would be worst than the previous, thus, the tax payers money to reconstruct the buildings could have been used for better purposes; instead its paying for the blunders of the rakyat.. What a waste..

Dear ekompute,

Thanks for your compliment on my writing, I try to make it as rational as I possibly can. As pointed by kenmasters_413, this is a language issue, not a religion issue.

Btw, FYI, I am a practicing Muslim. Thus, I could not agree with you when you wrote, " Is this what Islam is all about? If so, I would rather not want to know more about this religion, lest I would be turned into another unruly hooligan!".. That I believe did not come from your brain, instead from your heart.. It sounded prejudice.. If it had come from your brain, you would have stopped after the link. I do agree with prof_ridcully, though I would not share his words.. You have to open your mind and your heart to understand what Islam is all about- not asking you to convert, just to understand it.. Mind you, Islam is not just about religion or faith, it is also a way

of life.. Being an "unruly hooligan" as you had put it, has nothing to do with religion, but more on the person's mentality... and we have yet to have any proof that Muslims are behind the burnings...so, as al-zarqawi have said, let the police do their job...I do appologize if I sounded harsh..

Something to ponder:

Funny, if we were buying a book, especially educational ones, we would check out the latest edition of the book, and buy it.. Quran is the latest book from Allah, yet some people chose to stick with the earlier versions- Taurat, Injeel and Zuber.. No offence, I respect others and their belief, its just something that I have thought about when I read about this issue..

So peeps, peace out!

By astina  on [January 14, 2010 12:29 PM](#)

Dear sir

In regards to your opinion Tuhan = God , it is very correct as thats the word in Bahasa malaysia to describe God .

However you have failed to state the fact that Prophet Mohamad himself has acknowledged the Torah and Gospel as being sent earlier and The Holy Quran was sent to confirm the earlir earlier books.

The followers of Prophet Abraham and Prophet are in fact refering to the same God as the followrs of Prophet Mohamad.

If this is the case, why must the word "allah " be exclusive to muslims since the word Allah was used before the advent of Islam?

Its actually ironic when the largest muslim country in the world has no qualms with this issue but its a big issue over here .

At a time when proper dialogue should be in place , you Sir seem to ignore all proper decorum and come out with such silly statement as Tuhan= God.

Please bear in mind Allah is NOT a original malay word nor a registered property of Malaysia Inc.

Allah is for the whole mankind and i cant understand how some of the well learned like yourself Sir could think otherwise ..

By tha-b  on [January 14, 2010 11:58 AM](#)

Simple dan bernas:

(untuk kefahaman semua)

By randaviAuthor Profile Page on January 13, 2010 3:15 PM

Salam Bahagia Tun,

Bible original (yang belum diterjemah kepada mana-mana bahasa lain)ditulis dalam bahasa Hebrew, Aram dan Yunani. Dalam bahasa Hebrew, nama Tuhan ialah Yahweh, dalam bahasa

Aram nama Tuhan ialah Elahi dan dalam bahasa Yunani nama Tuhan ialah Theos. Perkataan Allah langsung tidak ada dalam Bible asal. Perkataan Allah masuk di dalam Bible setelah ia diterjemah ke bahasa Indonesia dan Melayu. Orang-orang Kristian di Jepun, China dan Korea Selatan dan juga seluruh negara Eropah, Amerika dan Kanada tidak menggunakan perkataan Allah, mereka masih juga Kristian. Oleh sebab itu, perkataan Allah mesti digugurkan dari Bible dan mana-mana penerbitan yang dikeluarkan oleh pihak orang bukan Islam. Setelah perkataan itu digugurkan, sengketa tentang penggunaan perkataan Allah oleh bukan Islam akan terhenti. Dengan itu, keharmonian hubungan, damai dan keselamatan akan pulih kembali seperti sedia kala.

Untuk makluman Tun dan para pembaca, saya adalah orang Kristian dari Sabah.

By Monit123  on [January 14, 2010 11:32 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum,

Saya ingin menyuarakan pendapat saya, saya berharap, mereka yang membaca tidaklah marah sangat pada saya, kerana setiap orang ada pendapatnya sendiri.

Bagi saya, Allah adalah tuhan yang satu...tuhan pada semua manusia.. tidak kiralah apa pun kepercayaan mereka. Jika orang beragama kristian mempercayai tuhan ada anak (iaitu Nabi Isa as) maka itu dosa mereka dan itu kepercayaan mereka. Dan kita pula sebagai orang islam, melalui surah al ikhlas... tuhan itu satu, tidak beranak dan tidak diperanakkan....ini pula kepercayaan kita dan ini mungkin bagi kita orang islam kelebihan kita.

Jadi mengapa kita nak klasifikasikan ini tuhan orang islam, ini tuhan orang kristian dan ini tuhan orang sikh dan lain-lain lagi....macam syirik pula nampaknya bukan???... sedangkan allah tuhan pada semua manusia bukan pada kita saja.... tuhan ciptakan manusia dan semua benda langit dan bumi dan sekelian galaksi yang ada.... Jadi mengapa dia tidak berhak menjadi tuhan pada semua manusia dan semua makhluk yang ada dimuka bumi ini. Mengapa kita nak simpan nama allah untuk kita? Ya mungkin sensitif, tapi cuba fikir secara rasional... jika mereka mempercayai perkara lain sesungguhnya itu mereka dengan kepercayaan mereka dan kita dengan kepercayaan kita dan agama islam memang agama yang murni dan tidak kejam, ini bagi saya.

Cukuplah, jangan bagi orang bukan islam salah faham terhadap kita lagi.... mereka akan takut untuk memeluk agama islam ini, jika orang islam sendiri menunjuk sikap tak rasional dengan membakar, mem"boom" dan lain-lain keganasan lagi, ini akan menambahkan lagi fahaman bahawa agama islam adalah ganas pula. Dan lagi nanti, jika ada orang yang ada keinginan memeluk agama ini, dan melihat betapa teruknya perilaku orang yang menganut agama ini...tak berani pula mereka nak masuk agama islam. Dan mana2 keluarga yang kahwin campur akan wujud beberapa dikriminasi pula nanti...

Maafkan saya...dari hujung rambut hingga hujung kaki jika pendapat saya membuat orang marah. Saya tidak berniat untuk menyakiti hati orang lain, cuma sekadar ingin berkongsi pendapat. Cuba berfikir secara positif dan bertindak secara rasional. Bukankah kita sebagai manusia patut mengeratkan tali persaudaraan... dan mungkin jika betul...ia mungkin menjadi satu Malaysia... sebab mahu atau tidak mahu, kita ini hidup bermasyarakat....bukan hidup berseorangan...dan kita manusia di bumi allah ini....bukankah tanggungjawab kita juga, kalau terdaya membantu menjaga bumi ini dan hidup bersatu padu. Itu saja.... Maafkan saya sekali lagi.

By memalay  on [January 14, 2010 9:07 AM](#)

Bismilahirrahmannirrahim

Salam buat Tun yang dihormati dan dikasihi

Nampaknya sudah berlaku penghakisan hak Islam dalam sebuah negara yang mendakwa dirinya negara Islam.

Kenapa?

Jawapannya :

PERPECAHAN oleh perbezaan fahaman politik : UMNO/BN VS PAS+KeAdilan/PR

Begitu juga Hak istimewa kaum bumiputera.

Kenapa?

Jawapannya :

PERPECAHAN oleh perbezaan agama yang dianuti : Bumiputera Melayu = Islam VS

Bumiputera Bukan Melayu = Kristian

Keadaan ini memberi kelebihan kepada mana mana pihak sama ada dari dalam atau luar untuk menghuru-harakan negara ini bagi mencapai matlamat masing-masing. Banyak isu berkaitan sentimen perkauman mahupun keagamaan boleh dimainkan bagi memulakan huru hara mahupun meraih sokongan.

Oleh yang demikian, KITA sebagai rakyat Malaysia, perlu berhati hati agar tidak terheret oleh permainan pihak-pihak yang berkepentingan.

Jangan terlalu cepat melatah lalu melakukan sebarang tindakan yang emosional. Memang isu agama mudah menyentuh sensitiviti kita. Saya sebagai umat Islam juga amat tersentuh. Namun, apa apa tindakan yang kita hendak ambil hendak bertujuan membaiki keadaan, bukannya bertindak memburukkan keadaan. Memang niat pihak yang berkepentingan itu ingin melihat keadaan yang huru hara kerana kesempatan itu dinanti-nantikan agar agenda mereka tercapai.

Buat sdr sdr PERIBUMI MALAYSIA,

Jangan terpedaya oleh sentimen agama dan perkauman yang dicetuskan. Kita berpecah, maka kemungkinan nanti hilang Hak Istimewa kita yang banyak pihak ingin hapuskan.

Sdr sdr seIslam,

Berhati hati dengan isu isu yang tercetus. Jangan tersalah langkah. Nanti agama dan bangsa yang menerima padah.

Mari kita berfikir dan mencari jalan mengekalkan keamanan disekeliling kita, di negeri kita dan dalam negara kita. Itu kan lebih menguntungkan.

Sekian, terima kasih.

TQ Tun.

By nonsense  on [January 14, 2010 12:20 AM](#)

assalamualaikum..

saya cuma harap pemimpin kita yg beragama islam tahu apa yg patut buat kerana isu ini memang sensitif. Disini perlunya kebijaksanaan dan penelitian tentang kesan-kesan

buruk kerana memang tiada langsung kesan2 baik dalam isu ini. Ingatlah yang ditanya diakhirat kelak adalah pemimpin2 ini...wassalam

By Bear_Crawler  on [January 13, 2010 11:10 PM](#)

Muslims often appeal to logic as a verification for their theological systems. They claim that Islam is true and Christianity false, that the Trinity simply doesn't make sense. In spite of their claims, the doctrine of the Trinity is not illogical at all. In fact, most people believe in trinities without even knowing it.

As a painter reveals part of himself, his style, what he is, etc., in his painting, so too, God has revealed part of Himself, His style, and what He is in His creation. Creation reflects, to a large degree, the qualities of God Himself. Let's take a look.

1. The universe is ordered; therefore, we know that God is a God of order.
2. The universe operates on laws; therefore, God is a God of law.
3. The universe has a beginning; therefore, God is the creator.
4. In mathematics, there is an infinity of numbers. In the universe there is an infinity of distance; Therefore, God the creator of the universe, is infinite.
5. Absolute truths exist in creation, (i.e., something can not be both itself and not itself at the same time); therefore, God is absolute truth.
6. The universe is comprised of three primary aspects: Space, Time, and Matter.
 1. Space is comprised of height, width, and depth - a trinity - but each aspect is by nature space.
 2. Time is comprised of past, present, and future - a trinity - but each aspect is by nature time.
 3. Matter is comprised of solid, liquid, and gas - a trinity - but each aspect is by nature matter.
7. Therefore, we can conclude from looking at the universe, and God as its creator, that it is possible for God to have a trinitarian aspect to His nature since we see it in nature.
8. If it is fair to say that God may indeed be trinitarian in some aspect of His nature,
 1. then God could easily be trinitarian in nature and still be the one and only God.
 2. God could easily be a plurality and all aspects of this plurality, being of God, would be divine by nature.
9. Since God is self-aware, has a will, can speak, etc., then it follows that the plural aspects of God could share, in some way, those same qualities.
10. If this is possible, then why cannot part of God, since God is a plurality, become a man and add human nature to itself?

We can see that there are trinities in nature. So, why can't God be a trinity as well and creation simply be a reflection, in part, of His greatness? It is certainly possible. If the Trinity were illogical, then the possibility would not exist. There is no logical reason why the trinity can not be a reality. It is up to the Muslim to demonstrate a logical contradiction regarding the doctrine of one God in three persons. Simply stating it isn't logical or that it isn't possible proves nothing at all.

The Bible has declared that God is indeed a Trinity and that Jesus is both God and man (John 1:1, 14; Col. 2:9; etc.). Christians have a living redeemer. Christians have God as their savior.

By [Rev. Yakub Sulistyoo, S.Th., MA., D.Min \(C\)](#)  on [January 13, 2010 5:42 PM](#)

Umat Nasrani seharusnya memang tidak menggunakan ALLAH, Allah atau allah karena dalam firmanNya TIDAK PERNAH ada.

Tuhannya umat Kristen / Katolik itu YAHWEH, Dia yang "turun" menjadi YESHUA HAMASHIAKH / YESUS KRISTUS.

Saya sebagai Senior Pastor mendukung agar umat Nasrani tidak menggunakan ALLAH, Allah atau allah.

Video di YOUTUBE di bawah ini memberikan kesaksian bahwa dalam Kekristenan seharusnya TIDAK menggunakan ALLAH.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VofNvEXXPVo>

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oP_0bsZboXg

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CDbCy-uePCo>

Selamat menyaksikan!.

By [randavi](#) on [January 13, 2010 3:15 PM](#)

Salam Bahagia Tun,

Bible original (yang belum diterjemah kepada mana-mana bahasa lain)ditulis dalam bahasa Hebrew, Aram dan Yunani. Dalam bahasa Hebrew, nama Tuhan ialah Yahweh, dalam bahasa Aram nama Tuhan ialah Elahi dan dalam bahasa Yunani nama Tuhan ialah Theos.Perkataan Allah langsung tidak ada dalam Bible asal. Perkataan Allah masuk di dalam Bible setelah ia diterjemah ke bahasa Indonesia dan Melayu.Orang-orang Kristian di Jepun, China dan Korea Selatan dan juga seluruh negara Eropah, Amerika dan Kanada tidak menggunakan perkataan Allah, mereka masih juga Kristian. Oleh sebab itu, perkataan Allah mesti digugurkan dari Bible dan mana-mana penerbitan yang dikeluarkan oleh pihak orang bukan Islam. Setelah perkataan itu digugurkan, sengketa tentang penggunaan perkataan Allah oleh bukan Islam akan terhenti.Dengan itu, keharmonian hubungan, damai dan keselamatan akan pulih kembali seperti sedia kala.

Untuk makluman Tun dan para pembaca, saya adalah orang Kristian dari Sabah.

By S..Tan on [January 13, 2010 2:52 PM](#)

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat. Kiriman saya dan jawapn untuk seorang pengulas dgn izin...

Jawapan untuk sdr 'soscode' on January 12, 2010 10:35 AM

.....BEBAS BERSUARA

Tidak seorang pun menegah kebebasan orang Islam bantah atau ambil hati dgn isu ini. Yang di soal caranya adil, halal, baik, berhemah, berlandaskan agama dan undang undang! Cara paling baik banding kelakuan sendiri dgn kelakuan pihak lain.

Bila orang dicegah ada demonstrasi, mengancam, membakar perasaan, tuduhan agama diserang?

.....SIAPA BANGKIT ISU?

Fikir sikit siapa yg bangkit isu sensitif agama. Kuil Shah Alam, Mazu di Sabah, forum bar council, kalimah Allah semua berjalan patuh pada undang undang. Tapi orang yg berarak, merempuh, mengancam, memekik itu disanjung mempertahankan agama. Orang lain tak berarak, mengancam atau melaung agama diserang orang yg degil?

Mempertahankan agama bukan dgn naik angin, melawan orang saja. Ia dgn hidup bertauladan, menyibar ajarannya (mcm didik orang, Allah dgn Kristian lain dgn Islam) bukan sibuk ajak bermusuhan. Allah bagi kamu mata dan telinga (bukan tangan dan mulut saja) gunakannya! Bukan membakar hati saja cara menyelamatkan agama kamu.

.....SIAPA SENSITIF?

Ingat isu menjadi sensitif bila orang bubuh niat buruk (tuduh menentang Islam), menghantukan orang (tuduh menggugat aqidah), menuduh tanpa bukti (menganjur murtad).

Banyak ajaran sesat (dlm Islam) yg lebih menggugat aqidah Islam dan orang Islam sendiri, kamu tak bangkit!! Takut bayang sendiri tak takut gelap?

Allah itu nama yg suci dan mulia. Skrg dah jadi nama untuk bermusuhan, membenci, membakar. Sebut Allah, bukan Islam akan ingat gereja terbakar. Pandailah kamu, Islam akan lebih pesat berkembang dan disanjung!

.....SIAPA MANGSA

Jgn kita jadi alat politik mengajak Melayu membenci PKR PAS dgn isu agama, kaum lain jadi mangsa.

By juancarlos  on [January 13, 2010 2:43 PM](#)

soscode...

YOU BILANG> You ni muslim or non muslim ? you tau Malaysia ni majoriti penduduknya beragama ISLAM, dan pemimpinnya juga beragama ISLAM. Sudah tentu bantahan kali ini direstui kerana pemimpinnya juga beragama ISLAM. You fikir semua penganut beragama ISLAM akan berdiam diri jika agamanya diusik. Sebelum ini telah pon disuarakan supaya isu2 sensitif agama tidak dibangkitkan, tetapi masih ada juga yg berdegil. So tidak hairan lah semua org Islam bangkit untuk mempertahankan agamanya sendiri. Kalau you sendiri tak faham macam mana nak mempertahankan agama sendiri, lebih baik "ZIP YOUR MOUTH".

I BILANG> You tak payahlah nak bangkitkan SOAL MAJORITI di Malaysia ni. We all tau agama Islam tu agama RASMI n MAJORITI tp tak bermaksud kita boleh menindas NON MUSLIM dengan mengadakan BANTAHAN BESAR-BESARAN sambil disokong oleh ORANG KENAMAAN seperti yang diperkatakan oleh saudara TAN.

I BILANG> Kalau ikut KONSEP MAJORITI you tu, MACAM MANA dengan SARAWAK yang majoritinya adalah NON MUSLIM??? Pernahkah NON MUSLIM di SARAWAK mengadakan TUNJUK PERASAAN dan BANTAHAN besar-besaran?? Itu kerana NON MUSLIM hanya mahukan KEAMANAN SEJAGAT. PEMIMPIN kita pun patut berfikir dua tiga kali sebelum MERESTUI tindakan segelintir rakyat bagi mengelakkan hal sebgini blh mncetuskan pergolakan kaum/agama!!

I BILANG> Konsep 1Malaysia akan menemui kegagalan jika rakyat Malaysia meneruskan KONSEP MAJORITI ini dan berasa GAH menumpang KEMAJORITIAN itu!!

I BILANG> Tidakkah kita yang ISLAM ini terfikir apa akibatnya jika NON MUSLIM mengambil tindakan balas??? Takkah HURU HARA jadinya?? Siapa yang akan menderita??

I BILANG> Kenapa you suruh org lain ZIP THEIR MOUTH?? You tak tahu kah perkara ini ada dalam Perlembagaan Malaysia-Hak dan Kebebasan Bersuara??? (seperti yang kita lakukan menentang penggunaan kalimah ALLAH)

Sebagai seorang MELAYU dan BERAGAMA ISLAM, saya MALU terhadap kawan-kawan saya yang beragama KRISTIAN atas apa yang telah dilakukan oleh SEGELINTIR umat ISLAM (ada juga yg mengatakan org mensabotaj org ISLAM-maksudnya bukan org Islam lah yg melakukan)

Walau apa pun kalau berkesempatan..jenguk2lah pendapat MARINA MAHATHIR dalam MALAYSIAN INSIDER. Sebagai seorang ISLAM saya memuji komen beliau. TAHNIAH MARINA!!

Peace!

By Ir. Syahrizan  on [January 13, 2010 2:22 PM](#)

Asalamualaikum Tun dan bloggers,

Dengan keizinan Tun, ingin saya mengulang kembali komen saya yang tidak seberapa kepada blog "Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim", Ketua Pembangkang.

Nampaknya komen saya tidak akan dipaparkan dalam blog beliau memandangkan beliau sendiri pun nak mengambil kesempatan untuk tampak hebat dihadapan pengundi bukan Islam.

Beliau menggunakan pilihan tertentu beberapa kerat ayat Al-Quran untuk menyatakan bahawa "ahli-ahli kitab" (people of the book) dibolehkan untuk menggunakan "Allah". Namun begitu;

Dear Mr. Anwar Ibrahim,

The holy book of Al-Quran should be viewed as a whole (dilihat secara keseluruhan). But, it is also normal in any form of writings to quote some verses (memetik beberapa surah) to support your arguments.

Unfortunately, the article you wrote up there is a half-cooked explanation (refer to Anwar's blog). Where as you didn't explained whether the Christian is consider the "people of the book" (ahli-ahli kitab)?

As Allah have Said in the Al-Quran, chapter (surah) At-Taubah;

(Verses) 30.

The Jews call 'Uzair a son of Allah, and the Christians call Christ the son of Allah. That is a saying from their mouth; (in this) they but imitate what the unbelievers of old used to say. Allah's curse be on them: how they are deluded away from the Truth!

(Verses) 31.

They take their priests and their anchorites to be their lords in derogation of Allah, and (they take as their Lord) Christ the son of Mary; yet they were commanded to worship but One Allah. There is no god but He. Praise and glory to Him: (Far is He) from having the partners they associate (with Him)

Based on this verses; it is very clear that the present Christians are totally out from the league of one-god worship 'business'. For 2000 years they've turned themselves in idol worshiping (Jesus son of God) together with their Trinity concept, modified, revised, add and subtract (God knows how many times) the original Bible (Injil) up to a point, today the Christians have in their possession more than 100 versions of the Bible (old and new testaments).

The Muslims however, although for the past 1400 years segregated by sects and opinions, Muslims regardless of their stand still rely and unified under 1 version of the holy book of Al-Quran. Untainted by people and time.

Are the Christians should be considered as "the people of the book" (ahli-ahli kitab) as described by our dear Mr. Anwar?

The answer is clearly NO.

By damianstm  on [January 13, 2010 2:03 PM](#)

Wow! So many comments and disputes. Before moving any further, a quick question. How many Malaysian Malay Muslims had converted in Christianity? Secondly, go around town, look at the MMS messages, video clips on the internet as well as go to the parks and lonely places, who do you find engaging in immoral activities?? Many are from your race. Sorry, I'm am not racist but merely trying to point out, clean your back yard before giving us a directive to stop using the word "Allah". I have just used the word by the way... :))

By maniz  on [January 13, 2010 2:00 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum dan salam 1Malaysia buat YABhg. Tun.

Respon kepada loveoneanother.

Bagi penganut kristian rc konsep 'trinity' perlu difahami dan diyakini. Jika tidak bolehlah dikatakan mereka itu sebagai 'murtad'.

'Trinity' bermaksud 3 di dalam 1 iaitu:-

Tuhan bapa (Allah) + tuhan anak (Jesus) + rohulkudus (roh Allah) = Tuhan (Allah).

Maknanya...

Tanpa tuhan bapa tidak wujud Tuhan (Allah)

Tanpa tuhan anak tidak wujud Tuhan (Allah)

tanpa rohulkudus tidak wujud Tuhan (Allah)

Nauzubillah...

Dengan gabungan yang 3 itulah baru lengkap tuhan bagi kristian rc.

Tidakkah itu menunjukkan terdapat 3 tuhan (3 entiti) untuk melengkapkan 1 Tuhan?

Saya teruskan berbincang secara ikhlas tanpa niat menyinggung.

Dalam kitab injil terdapat ayat yang menerangkan Allah itu Tuhan Yang Esa. Manakala Jesus adalah pesuruh Allah.

Baca YAHYA FASAL 17, AYAT 3: "Supaya mereka itu mengenal Engkau. Allah Yang Maha Esa dan Benar, dan Jesus yang Engkau suruh itu".

MARKUS FASAL 12 AYAT 29 : "Maka jawab Jesus kepadanya. Hukum yang terutama ialah: Dengarlah olehmu hai Israil, adapun Allah Tuhan kita, ialah Tuhan yang satu".

Jesus sebagai tuhan anak...

MARKUS FASAL 13, AYAT 31 dan 32 : "Sesungguhnya langit dan bumi akan lenyap. Tetapi perkataanku kekal. Tetapi akan harinya atau ketikanya itu tidak diketahui oleh seorang jua pun, baik segala malaikat di syurga pun tidak. Atau anak itupun tidak hanya bapa saja" (anak merujuk kepada jesus).

bandingkan dengan ayat...

KELUARAN FASAL 4, AYAT 22 : "Maka pada masa itu hendaklah katakan kepada fir'aun : Inilah firman Tuhan, bahawa Israil itu anakku laki-laki, iaitu anakku yang sulung". (anak merujuk kepada Israil).

Rohulkudus diertikan sebagai Allah atau roh Allah...

MATIUS FASAL 1, AYAT 18 : "Adapun kelahiran Jesus Kristus demikian adanya: Tatkala Maryam iaitu ibunya bertunag dengan Yusuf. Sebelum kedua-duanya bersetubuh, maka nyatalah Maryam itu hamil daripada Rohulkudus.

bandingkan dengan ayat...

LUKAS FASAL 1, AYAT 41 : "Maka berlakulah tatkala Elisabet mendengar salam Maryam. Meloncatlah kanak-kanak dalam rahimnya dan Elisabet penuh dengan Rohulkudus".

dan ayat...

KISAH PERBUATAN RASUL FASAL 6, AYAT 5 : "Maka perkataan ini diperkenankan oleh orang ramai, lalu memilih stphanus, iaitu seorang yang penuh iman, dan Rohulkudus, Pilippus, Prokhorus, Nikanor dan Simon, Parmenas serta Nikolaus".

Apa yang cuba saya nyatakan sendiri bahawa dalam kitab injil itu sendiri terdapat ayat yang...

Mengesakan Allah (Tuhan Yang Maha Esa)

Jesus bukan satu-satunya anak tuhan tetapi hanya pesuruh Allah.

Jesus bukan tuhan.

Jesus bukan satu-satunya ada roh Allah (rohulkudus).

Rohulkudus bukan Allah.

Penganut kristian rc sendiri tidak mengesakan Allah sebagaimana di dalam kitab injil.

Oleh itu, mereka tidak layak menggunakan kalimah Allah untuk merujuk tuhan mereka kerana mereka percaya Tuhan (Allah) hanya wujud dengan adanya 3 entiti. Kalimah Allah yang digunakan mereka tidak memenuhi maksud sebenar kalimah Allah dalam kitab injil mereka.

Adakah Allah hak eksklusif Islam.

Ya. Kerana hanya penganut agama Islam sahaja yang mengakui bahawa Allah itu Tuhan Yang Maha Esa. Tiada Tuhan selain daripada Allah.

Orang Islam menggunakan kalimah Allah dengan memenuhi makna kalimah Allah itu sendiri.

Ada yang menyatakan orang bukan Islam di negara lain turut menggunakan kalimah Allah. Ya, mungkin betul. Tapi itu tidak memberi mereka lesen bahawa mereka berhak kepada kalimah Allah.

Misalan mudah...

Rakan-rakan Cina saya dari Kelantan yang beragama Budha sering menggunakan perkataan-perkataan seperti "Insya-Allah", "Masya-Alllah", "Allahuakbar" dan seumpamanya.

Adakah ini bermakna mereka percayakan Allah?

Tidak (buktinya mereka tidak memeluk agama Islam). Ia berlaku kerana terpengaruh dengan masyarakat sekeliling. Terikut-ikut sehingga menjadi kebiasaan.

Bayangkan...

"Ah Seng kalau ke pekan jangan lupa beli nombor ekor yang aku pesan!"

"Insya-Allah!" Jawab Ah Seng.

Adakah itu betul?! Sedangkan bagi agama Islam judi adalah haram. Ini mengakibatkan seolah-olah kalimah Allah itu dipermain-mainkan. Walaupun tanpa niat.

Begitu jugalah yang akan terjadi sekiranya kristian rc ingin menggunakan perkataan Allah dalam penerbitan mereka. Pasti ada orang Islam yang membaca menyangka Allah yang dimaksudkan adalah Allah Yang Maha Esa. Mereka akan jadi keliru dengan konsep 'trinity'. Ada 3 tuhan. Orang Islam ini mungkin boleh terpesong akidahnya dan boleh jatuh murtad sekiranya disertai dengan perkataan, perbuatan dan niat walaupun tanpa sengaja.

Sila baca komen Jebat....

Semoga loveoneanother dan lain-lain blogger menyokong tindakan 'berdamai' dari kedua-dua belah pihak seperti yang disiarkan di media massa. Wassalam.

By thedude  on [January 13, 2010 1:22 PM](#)

Dear Tun, and to everyone else.

Malaysian sensitivities are bordering on the senseless now. This issue is about the word 'Allah' and its use by the herald. This issue is NOT about race. There are alot of Chinese and Indians in

this beautiful country who are Muslims and there are also alot of bumis who are Christians. Why is it that certain quarters are talking about May 13? What has May 13 got anything to do with this issue at all?

Its alright to disagree on matters but please everyone, do not dig up the irrelevant past.

Sometimes I think, the only way we can ever stop fighting is if some evil force from beyond our borders attacks us, only then will we be united as one.

By dardhtatter  on [January 13, 2010 12:38 PM](#)

Quote:

By Genesis Yew on January 11, 2010 3:23 PM

[My mother and I agree with your comments on the translation of the word "God" for Christians it should be translated as "Tuhan".

The Catholics should just retract the Bibles and reprint and replace the words with "Tuhan" instead of "Allah".

Personally, as a Christian, I do not use the word "Allah" because firstly I do not know how to speak Arabic and secondly, I prefer to refer to the name of God as "The Lord" or "Tuhan" or "The God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob".]

Dear Genesis Yew,

You cannot retract religious books and reprint/replace the words "Allah" with "Tuhan". Its just absurd.It's really strange to ask anyone to ammend their Holy book that has been passed down from the time of Abraham right down to the time of the apostles. Pls read this article (second time posting)

<http://www.themalaysianinsider.com/index.php/opinion/breaking-views/48629-allah-cant-be-substituted-with-tuhan-in-bible-translation--dr-ng-kam-weng>

Substantial facts are staring right at us(that Allah is not exclusive to Muslims) yet many choose to ignore it or they lack the capability to comprehend it.It becomes very worrying when there are certain people are unable to accept truth (substantiated with facts). That's what we call dogma.

To quote Rentap08:

By Rentap80

[Dear Kenmasters, another hollow proclamations. According to Peshitta Aramaic Testaments, there are over 30 verses contain the clear message that the divine name of God is YHVH. While in the Greek manuscripts the words "kurios" (Lord) or "theos" (God) were used. So where is the word "Allah"? Please point one out for me.

As to you claim that Arabs Christians are allowed to use the word "Allah", well you have to study the geography, the loaction and the time that brought about the usage of the word into Arabic churches. The Arab Chirstians decided to adopt the word in order to curry favor with the muslims... so that the muslims left them alone.

As for the Christians in Borneo, similar to Indonesians, somebody made a grave translation, that's all... with vocabs being very limited. But even so, these Christians are able to "use them properly".

But why the Herald decided to use it and in the process infuriating the muslims? Whats wrong with using Tuhan instead?]

Rentap08,

Pls read the article I posted to Genesis Yew.

Nobody made a grave translation to Christians in Borneo or to the Christians in Indonesia. It is what it is from the beginning. Since apostasy has strong disincentives in our country, why is there fear of confusing Malaysian Muslims? As I have stated in my previous comments, Christian literature in our country clearly states that it is for "NON MUSLIMS ONLY". So there shouldn't be any fear of apostasy among Muslims here. The Quran says "There is no compulsion in religion." Christians know they cannot force religion on anyone too. The issue of religious freedom in this country is another issue all by itself.

So do not develop this idea of Christians trying to confuse Muslims in Malaysia. Do not insult the intelligence of my close Muslim friends. If you think that certain parties will be easily confused, years and years of spoon feeding and hand holding policies have created ignorant, bigoted minds with herd mentality.

This issue should have been a non-issue if the Home Ministry hasn't banned the use of the word (back in 2007). If they are aware of the sensitivities of such issues, and the strong disincentives for Muslims from the Syariah law (for apostasy), they have been irresponsible in taking such actions.

I shudder to think that it is a deliberate move by the Home Ministry for political reasons. Instead of being responsible for upholding peace in the country, they have almost triggered another riot. This is what happens when you mix politics with religion.

But I am glad that some Malaysians (Muslims/non-Muslims alike) know better. There is still hope through education of bigoted minds and change of a new Govt (with the right checks and balances in place).

Please read:

http://malaysia-today.net/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=29583:my-way-and-the-wrong-way&catid=20:no-holds-barred&Itemid=100087

Sim
KL

By sasa  on [January 13, 2010 12:21 PM](#)

Kasian sekali sejak pemerintahan pak lah hinggalah sekarang, maruah orang melayu sering dipandang rendah oleh orang2 bukan melayu. Ini adalah disebabkan oleh orang melayu itu sendiri yang sering berketuk-kutuk sesame sendiri, kesilapan sesame bangsa/agama dikutuk

habis2an benda yang tak elok pun habis keluar, tetapi kesilapan orang lain pandai pula membisu seribu bahasa, pura2 bodoh nak beri komen pun harus guna kata2 yang tak menyinggung perasaan, huuuuuuuu melayu2, tolonglah, bangkitlah mulai sekarang janganlah layu sangat. Issue perkataan “Allah” nak diguna pakai oleh orang bukan islam saat ni pun masih mau duduk diam ke? Jadi lah bangsa melayu yang bermaruah, tegas dan lantang bukannya jadi melayu yang malas, lembek dan mudah diperbodoh2kan.

By adik  on [January 13, 2010 7:55 AM](#)

Saya berharap sungguh dengan tergugatnya Dunia Islam di Timur Tengah, di Asia Barat di seluruh Dunia Islam,

JANGAN kita persalahkan Pemimpin, Kerajaan Islam KITA.
Kita bantu mereka bagi memperbaiki kesalahan mereka.
Mereka bantu kita memperbaiki kesalahan kita.

Mereka punyai silap begitu juga kita sebagai rakyat.
Kadang kala keputusan mereka yg tidak meyenangkan kita mungkin ada alasan yg bernas yg tak bolih diketahui umum.
Bersamalah kita sepakat membangunkan martabat Islam dan nasib malang orang Melayu yg sudah ketinggalan jauh, yg sudah banyak bergadai tanah pusaka.

Akuilah kelemahan kita, tunggak pertama bagi memperbaiki kehidupan kita demi kita dan anak cucu.

By tz  on [January 13, 2010 12:14 AM](#)

Religion suppose to give us peace within. We would respect each other, since the supremer we believed lies in our heart, or mind, not on some printed material, but we still should respect each other.

The fundamental of what we are today is we DO NOT take care of our younger generation, a well educated person will not provide such violent act when he grows up.

I have repeatedly says and believe, until we take care of our young generation to provide not only the education for academic, we need to focus in the Civic, the moral, and protect our children, we only have a future. 2020? Modern country is not judge by infrastructure, but also the high level of the morality of the people.

For political view, I only have one to says to counter those saying that Malays are the poorest in the country. The Malays are also the richest in the country.

For 2020, instead of any modern country, looks at we cant even going to be as 1 Nation obviously, but I hope we just don't fell apart so drastic, since at the end of the day, we are the human living in the same land.

Hope Malaysia will be good down the road (which sounds like day dreaming now)

By ketok  on [January 12, 2010 9:00 PM](#)

Tun.

Pada saya ini semua permainan barat. Mereka mau lihat Malaysia jadi seperti negara Islam yang lain. Kacau bilau, rusuhan, suicide bomb, semua macam kat Asia Barat. Persoalannya apakah kita perlu slow macam PM kita sekarang atau bertindak. Saya rasa isu ini tidak akan dapat diselesaikan dengan undang-undang. Seperti yang Tun cakap semua judges bukannya ahli politik. Mereka hanya menilainya berdasarkan perlembagaan, dan kes-kes yang pernah atau mungkin sama seperti apa yang dituntut.

Inilah masanya kita lihat semua parti politik bersatu. Buang semua keris dan segala jenis bulan. Kita terlalu berlembut. Bila di fikirkan kembali saya setuju dengan cara Tun dahulu. Control everything. Sepatutnya kes ini di tolak awal2 oleh pihak hakim. Mereka lihat kita tidak seperti dahulu, sebab itulah mereka sudah berani. Bermula dengan kelembutan Pak Lah. Satu demi satu hal dibangkitkan. Mereka sudah berani menidakkan ketuanan Melayu. Lihat apa sudah jadi dengan Penang. Percayalah satu hari nanti akan wujud satu lagi Singapura. DAP adalah peninggalan Lim Kuan Yew. Itu wasiat dia sebelum di halau dari Malaysia.

Silap siapa? Perlukah kita menuding jari pada seseorang?? Sebenarnya bukan seorang kerana semua perlu di persalahkan. Lihat diri kita dahulu. Kenapa kita tidak belajar pandai2, kenapa tidak dalami bahasa Inggeris, Cina dan Tamil. Kenapa tiada ciri-ciri kepimpinan dalam diri kita. Kenapa semua itu dan ini... Kita dah disogok dengan DEB segala. Tapi kita leka.. benar "MELAYU SANGAT PELUPA".

BERSATULAH MELAYU ABAIKAN 1 MALAYSIA KERANA IA MENJERAT KAKI KITA SENDIRI. MEREKA GUNAKAN SEBAGAI UMPAN UNTUK KITA. DAN AKHIRNYA AKAN MEMBELIT DAN MENJERUT LEHER KITA SENDIRI. AKHIRNYA.. MELAYU SUDAH TIADA.....

By azoo  on [January 12, 2010 7:06 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Apa kata tubuh satu clandestine secret society. A group of top muslim writers and savvy media practitioners termasuklah nasyid singers, muslim converts from all races, Mat Salleh, Chinese, Indian etc. to give the simple message of tauhid, without the superlatives of serban, jubah and bombastic terminology (e.g. masyarakat madani bla bla bla). Kita gunakan superlative barat tapi substance is tauhid.

we do not want intellectual 'syok sendiri'. but to effect penyampaian and proliferation of information about how beautiful Islam is and how misguided the Christians are with their concept of 'trinity' and 'original sin'.

the idea is not to dispense dakwah 'intellectually'. but dispense it in a media savvy way, repetitive 'subliminal bomb' di semua jenis media- new media and old media

For example, di youtube ada Ahmad Deedat thrashing bible inconsistencies. we can ask some zealous media savvy twenty something muslim to spread repetitively on facebook- not to confront them with intellectual debate- but to bomb subliminal message to the world ... muslims and non muslims.

another way is to use European converts to give lectures on our tvs like in the 90's professor Blankenship or other 'credible' Mat Salleh muslim, Chinese muslim, Indian muslim etc. give Islam an international face.

CNN and western media pun selalu buat tactic ini. menyampaikan disinformation dengan menggunakan muka2 Asia, Africa dan sebagainya...contohnya fareed zakaria or zeinab badawi.

Kenapa tidak kita ada satu pasukan membuat 'subliminal onslaught' kepada mereka?

For example, this issue on penggunaan nama Allah. Orang kita sekali lagi kalah dalam PR war...pergi bakar gereja...buat apa?

Kita org islam selalu kena corner dalam keadaan defensive...lepas tu orang christian pergi keluar k.l. bagi bunga for 'peace' and 'love'. Depa main superlative...

Sungguh keciwa !

By Bubbles  on [January 12, 2010 6:47 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun.

Saya tidak pernah berjumpa ulama yang lebih bahlul dari ulama ulama PAS.

1. Nik Aziz membolehkan pembukaan ladang babi terbesar kerana katanya orang Islam cuma tidak boleh sentuh dan tidak boleh makan babi. Tahu kah Nik Aziz bahwa enzim babi digunakan untuk menghasilkan produk makanan, bahan bahan kosmetik, bahan bahan penjagaan kulit malah menghasilkan ubat ubatan termasuk ubat ubatan yang terpaksa dimakan untuk jangka panjang oleh pesakit yang mengidap penyakit penyakit tertentu? Dengan adanya ladang babi terbesar di Malaysia maka Malaysia, sebuah negara Islam jadi penyumbang pada lebih banyak makanan dan ubatan ubatan yang mengandungi enzim babi yang akhiinya masuk dalam perut orang Islam juga . Memang itulah kehendak musuh musuh Islam, memasukan semua yang haram dalam tubuh orang Islam kerana hasad dengki mereka terhadap orang Islam.

2. Hadi Awang membolehkan penggunaan kalimah ALLAH oleh gereja asal "ia tidak disalah digunakan", penganut Katolik percaya pada Trinity, sekiranya mereka menggunakan kalimah ALLAH bermakna mereka SUDAH PUN SALAHGUNA kalimah ALLAH kerana merujuk pada - Allah sebagai bapak dan Allah sebagai anak.

3. Kalau kalimah Allah diguna oleh gereja Katolik, gereja mereka akan dinamakan rumah Allah dan bible mereka dinamakan kitab Allah, apa akan jadi pada akidah anak cucu kita dan keturunan kita ???

4. Kita harus lebih berwaspada terhadap musuh musuh kita dikalangan orang Melayu itu sendiri, kerana mereka ini nampak Islam tapi mereka sudah sesat dan mereka menyesatkan orang Islam lain. Golongan ini lebih merbahaya daripada orang Kristian dan Yahudi malah ada diantara mereka adalah ejen ejen Yahudi yang tugas mereka ialah untuk merosakan akidah umat Islam. Kiblat mereka adalah Vatican.

Semoga ALLAH selamatkan kita dan anak cucu kita dari FITNAH Dajjal.

By samuraimelayu  on [January 12, 2010 5:53 PM](#)

SALAM KASIH DAN SAYANG
AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN
IZINKAN,

TO ALL MUSLIMS, FANATIC AND MODERATE ALIKE...REFRAIN FROM
'ATTACKING' THE CHURCHES OF OUR CHRISTIAN BROTHERS!

WE BELIEVE THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH AGENDA INSISTING THE USE OF THE
WORD 'ALLAH' IN ITS PUBLICATION IS AMONGST THE PLOY(STRATEGIES)OF THE
VATICAN/USA TO 'WREST' SABAH AND SARAWAK FROM THE FEDERATION.

THEY TRIED ONCE BEFORE THRU POLITICS IN SABAH NOW THEY ARE USING
RELIGIOUS SENSITIVITY TO CREATE UNREST AMONGST US.

PERHAPS THEN THEY HAVE A REASON TO SEND THEIR MERCENARIES TO SO
CALL 'PROTECT' THE CHRISTIANS IN EAST MALAYSIA AND WE DREAD TO THINK
OF THE CONSEQUENCES.

PERHAPS ANOTHER EAST WILL BEACON IN EAST MALAYSIA

JUST PAUSE FOR A MOMENT AND'FIKIRKANLAH SENDIRI'... ???

ALFATIHAH, AMIN.

By s.nizam  on [January 12, 2010 5:03 PM](#)

Salam TUN,

Semoga TUN dan keluarga dirahmati Allah s.w.t

Tiada yang benar melainkan ISLAM sebagai petunjuk hingga ke akhir zaman..

Kita tidak perlu melatah..biarkanlah mereka dengan kesesatan mereka. Mereka sendiri boleh
sesuka hati meminda/menukar nama tuhan. Adakah mereka mengamalkan agama yang kononnya
menyayangi sesama manusia? Irag, Afghanistan, Palestin di bom sesuka hati. Bila Iran memiliki
nuklear misalnya, cepat sahaja diambil tindakan...dilabel pengganas.Mereka tidak akan senang
melihat kemajuan ISLAM..org kafir semakin ramai memeluk ISLAM di Eropah sehingga
membimbangkan pihak gereja..kristian dan ISLAM di malaysia sudah lama hidup
bersama..mungkin ada 'tangan2 halus' di sebalik semua permasalahan yg melanda..

Kalau disebut pasal perpaduan..bermula dari sekolah..hapuskan sahaja sekolah jenis
kebangsaan..mantapkan sekolah wawasan dan sekolah bestari..bukan terikut2 kehendak kaum
lain if they are sincere to live in Malaysia..kita terlalu baik sehinggakan parti komponen yg lain
dlm BN yang tewas teruk pilihanraya umum lalu pun kita masih beri mereka duduk di
kabinet.akhirnya UMNO juga disalahkan..parti yg mewakili kaum mereka tidak pula
disalahkan...kemelut dalam MCA, PPP, MIC semua tu hanya wayang saja...supaya BN dilihat
lemah...pilihanraya umum akan datang semakin dekat..fikir2 kanlah wahai semua pemimpin
melayu ISLAM.

buka minda..tanya hati

By Zach  on [January 12, 2010 4:51 PM](#)

Taken from :

<http://www.themalaysianinsider.com/index.php/opinion/breaking-views/48629-allah-cant-be-substituted-with-tuhan-in-bible-translation--dr-ng-kam-weng>

"Both the terms Allah and Tuhan are used in the Malay Bible. Following the precedent set by Arab Christians, Allah is used to translate el/eloim and Tuhan (or TUHAN in caps) is used to translate Yahweh (YHWH). The two words are sometimes paired together as Yahweh-Elohim in 372 places in the Old Testament (14 times in Genesis 2-3; 4 times in Exodus; 8 times in Joshua; 7 times in 2 Samuel; 22 times in Chronicles; 12 times in Psalms; 32 times in Isaiah; 16 times in Jeremiah and 210 times in Ezekiel, etc.)."

Okay?

Now why don't the Christians use the word el/eloim and Yahweh rather than fighting over the use of the word "ALLAH". Don't translate it to TUHAN or that kind of thing. Just use el/eloim and YAHWEH. We can then put it in the KAMUS DEWAN it is referring specifically for the christian god.

If this is done then the muslims in Malaysia or in other world won't mind a bit. And sorry to say this, we are living in MALAYSIA not other countries so please, please, please... remain harmony... for our children, grandchildren, etc....

Peace upon all religion.

"Small person big heart"

By Kelly  on [January 12, 2010 3:06 PM](#)

Hajar wrote:

[Even in this blog, several bloggers also made the same conclusions. Well, I agree that those culprits are "KOLOT" and uneducated, but those who already JUMPED TO CONCLUSIONS by ACCUSING THE MUSLIMS OF THE WRONGDOINGS are also as "KOLOT" and uneducated as the culprits.]

Aah... It seems I have hit a raw nerve with the word 'kolot' here. First, to Hajar... I ask for your forgiveness. It is my mistake. Forgive me please and may the AlMighty forgive me too.

That someone could conclude that I implicate the Muslims as the bombers of the churches is my mistake. I did not express myself clearly. Far be it that I say or implicate the Muslims/Jews/Christians or any religious denomination are involved in the bombings. No... I only convey what was said to me. Nothing more.

I wanted to show that there is a group of people with tendencies as mentioned in Surah Al-Baqara verses 8-12. If I use the verses of the Quran, it is not to implicate that these people are Muslims. It is only that, (due to my limited knowledge), I found no similar verses in the Holy Scriptures which describe this group of people.

So I use the Quranic verses.

The mind works by grasping on to that which it finds easiest to understand. But that which it finds easiest to understand is not necessarily the truth. So be wary of this tendency of the mind. For example, in the Christian world this saying is quite famous..."If you had faith but the size of a mustard seed, you shall say to this mountain 'move yonder' and it will move".

To the masses of the Christian world, if you ask them what was the faith Jesus was referring to. Their answer would be faith in God. This is true and it is exceedingly good. But what if Jesus was referring to more than that... something more? Ponder this. Who had more faith in God than Saint Peter (the rock) upon which the church of Christ was built? Why did Jesus then saith "O ye of little faith..." to Saint Peter? And yet when the Centurion went to see Jesus, Jesus saith "I have not seen a greater faith in all of Israel...". Which of the two had a greater faith in God? Does this answer why many men of God, with great faith in God, and yet could not lift up a small pebble, what more move a mountain? Would it explain why in later times man would decipher this mystery and do great works of wonders surpassing even that of the prophets, and yet create the most mischief on the earth?

So take not what the mind finds easiest to understand and grasp be the final truth. Search more and ask the AlMighty for wisdom in your search. "Ask, and it shall be given you; seek, and ye shall find; knock, it shall be opened unto you." (Matthew 7:7)

"O children of the Living Father! Take heed that thy soul is tested of the blazing flames. Even as thou thinks to seek revenge instead of forgiveness. Rather fed thee and cloth thee The Lamb of God who is with thee. For that is better for you if you but knowest. For it has been written "Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven, but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven. Many will say unto me that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I NEVER KNEW YOU: DEPART FROM ME, YE THAT WORK INIQUITY." (Matthew 7:21 - 7:23). O children of the Living God! (God of Abraham, God of Jacob, God of Moses), forgive thou the wrong that is done unto thee. Love instead thy brother. Your love and forgiveness is the mark by which Jesus will know you. That is better for you."

Oopss... there I go again. Sorryyy... can't help it.

Peace be unto you all.

P/s: Kelly Norain has exceeded his boundary. The Master is angry and has switched him off...click..poofff...

By maniz  on [January 12, 2010 2:50 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum wbt dan salam 1Malaysia
YABhg. Tun dan para blogger sekalian.

Respon kepada loveoneanother.

Dalam konsep 'trinity' terdapat 3 entiti (kalau boleh dikatakan entiti) iaitu 'tuhan bapa', 'tuhan anak', dan 'roh kudus'.

3 entiti ini menjadi satu iaitu apa yang dipercayai Allah oleh penganut kristian rc. Secara mudahnya:-

tuhan bapa + tuhan anak + roh kudus = Allah

Ertinya tanpa salah satu daripada 3 entiti itu maka tiadalah Allah (Nauzubillah). Walaupun bagi mereka tuhan bapa itu Allah tetapi tanpa tuhan anak dan roh kudus tuhan bapa itu bukanlah Allah.

Tanpa tuhan bapa tiada Allah.

Tanpa tuhan anak tiada Allah.

Tanpa roh kudus tiada Allah

(roh kudus = roh suci yang diertikan dengan Allah atau roh Allah).

Nauzubillah...

Sekiranya ada penganut kristian rc tidak menyembah atau tidak yakin kepada ketiga-tiga tuhan tersebut maka 'murtad'lah mereka.

Trinity atau '3 menjadi satu'.

Cuba baca YAHYA FASAL 17, AYAT 3 : "Supaya mereka itu mengenal engkau. Allah Yang Maha Esa dan Benar, dan Yesus yang Engkau Suruh itu".

ULANGAN FASAL 4, AYAT 35 : "Maka kepadamulah Dia tunjuk, supaya diketahui olehmu bahawa Tuhan itu Allah, kecuali Tuhan Yang Esa, tiadalah yang lain".

MATIUS FASAL 1, AYAT 18 : "Adapun kelahiran Jesus Kristus demikian adanya : Tatkala Maryam iaitu ibunya bertunang dengan Yusuf. Sebelum kedua-duanya bersetubuh, maka nyatalah Maryam itu hamil daripada rohulkudus".

.....bandingkan dengan...

LUKAS FASAL 1 AYAT 41 : "Maka berlakulah tatkala Elisabet mendengar salam Maryam. Meloncatlah kanak-kanak dalam rahimnya dan Elisabet penuh dengan rohulkudus".

SILA RUJUK KITAB INJIL 'PERJANJIAN BARU' DAN 'PERJANJIAN LAMA'

Terdapat lagi beberapa ayat dalam kitab injil yang menunjukkan bahawa Allah itu Tuhan Yang Esa, jesus bukan tuhan, rohulkudus bukan tuhan.

Sekiranya penganut kristian rc mengakui Allah itu Tuhan Yang Esa seperti dalam

YAHYA FASAL 17, AYAT 3 : "Supaya mereka itu mengenal engkau. Allah Yang Maha Esa dan Benar, dan Yesus yang Engkau Suruh itu".

maka mereka layak menggunakan kalimah 'ALLAH' (Allahualam).

Tetapi persoalannya adakah mereka mengakui Allah itu Tuhan Yang Esa? Kerana kepercayaan kepada 'trinity' telah menidakkan fakta tersebut.

Adakah kalimah 'Allah' itu hak Islam?

Ya!

Kerana Allah itu Tuhan bagi penganut agama Islam. Tuhan Yang Maha Esa. Tidak diberikan atau diperanakan.

Secara mudahnya (izinkan saya memberi pengertian yang betul dan sahih tapi tidak menyeluruh. Hanya mengambil makna yang asas sahaja)

Allah = Yang Maha Esa / Tidak beranak / Tidak diperanakkan

Sekiranya tidak Esa, boleh beranak atau boleh diperanakkan maka bukan ALLAH.

Jadi adalah salah menyatakan sesuatu itu Allah jika ia tidak mencapai makna Allah itu sendiri.

Mungkin benar tulisan blogger yang mengatakan orang bukan Islam di luar negara juga menggunakan perkataan Allah.

Tapi adakah Allah yang digunakan itu adalah kalimah Allah?

Dan adakah mereka betul-betul beriman kepada Allah? Maknanya jika mereka berkata "Allahuakbar" adakah mereka benar-benar memahami dan bersungguh-sungguh dengan ungkapan mereka?

Atau ia sekadar mainan mulut, kebiasaan, ikutan atau jangan sampai bertujuan untuk mempermainkan kalimah Allah.

Rakan-rakan saya sendiri dari kalangan masyarakat Cina dari Kelantan suka atau sering mengungkapkan kata-kata seperti "Ya, Allah", "Allahuakbar", "Insya-Allah" dan seumpamanya. Ini kerana mereka terikut-ikut dengan masyarakat Islam di sekeliling mereka di Kelantan.

"Ah Seng kalau lu ke pekan jangan lupa beli nombor ekor yang gua pesan!"

"Insya-Allah" jawab Ah Seng.

Situasi di atas menunjukkan kalimah Allah digunakan secara tidak betul (dan menghina) kerana judi itu adalah haram di sisi agama Islam.

Apakah perasaan orang kristian jika nama jesus digunakan secara tidak betul dan tidak bertanggungjawab?

Apakah perasaan penganut agama Budha dan Hindu jika nama tuhan mereka (atau dewa mereka) tidak dihormati?

Tepuk dada tanya selera.

Sekian. Wassalam.

By juancarlos  on [January 12, 2010 11:24 AM](#)

This is not the Allah issue but the politic.

As i remember this issue started since 80s during mahathir time and he was smart and power enough to solved/handled it outside the court. He knew the court and federal constitution could not solve this issue furthermore UMNO were in a great power under the named of melayu.

He managed to call malays to unite under the "Kita Orang Melayu". Since he can control the government by his racist ideology and not necessary for him seriously to bring up the religion issue to call the malays.

UMNO used the word of "Kita Bangsa Melayu" and "Kita Orang Islam" in other hand warned other parties do the same. These racist to gain on their politics mileage and we can see the result now. Failure as multi ethnics and religion country.

Since now B.N lack of malays supporters because the malay feed up with the UMNO racist ideology and found out most of the poor people in malaysia still bumi/malays. The malay UMNOs supporters get used by the UMNO member for wealth in last few decades.

Then the remaining point to get back the malays support is only by religion. Hope the malay will unite in the name of Islam.

Look at the first statement from malays leaders after the court allowing Allah for christian, they support each other to gain malays confident but second day all the statement/everything change because they found the religion issue also failed to unite/gain malays supports.

Suddenly the B.N act as a hero to solve the issue on behalf of the people. By fact, the B.N plant this racist and started the Allah issue but the smart malays can see the politic games behind the scene.

My point is we need to think politically not necessary as a politician. Politic is our future life and death of our generation.

Look at sarawak issues. Imbalance development, deforestation, NCR land, Dam etc, in the named of development. These is the B.N men greeds voted by us.

We all knows only the people can change it. I hope current issues as a wake up call to sarawakian.

The late Pope John Paul II defined politics as 'prudent concern for the common good' in his encyclical Laborem Exercens 98.

Spear the news and educate our relatives and friends. Seriously vote out the B.N in next coming state election only the solution.

Btw, Pray for the peace of our country.

By The Hidden Secret  on [January 12, 2010 11:09 AM](#)

Peace to Tun and all Children of Adam.

IN THE NAME OF GOD.

The following Quranic verses have been revealed by GOD/ALLAH/TUHAN/ALAH/IAHWEH to mankind at the point of time when the Trinity Doctrine has already been established:-

[29:46] DO NOT ARGUE WITH THE PEOPLE OF THE SCRIPTURE EXCEPT IN THE NICEST POSSIBLE MANNER - UNLESS THEY TRANSGRESS - and SAY, "We believe in what was revealed to us and in what was revealed to you, and OUR god AND YOUR god IS ONE AND THE SAME; to Him we are submitters."

[42:15] This is what you shall preach, and steadfastly maintain what you are commanded to do, and do not follow their wishes. And proclaim: "I believe in all the scriptures sent down by GOD. I WAS COMMANDED TO JUDGE AMONG YOU EQUITABLY. GOD is our Lord and your Lord. We have our deeds and you have your deeds. There is no argument between us and you. GOD will gather us all together; to Him is the ultimate destiny."

[74:31] We appointed angels to be guardians of Hell, and we assigned their number (19) (1) to disturb the disbelievers, (2) to convince the Christians and Jews (that this is a divine scripture), (3) to strengthen the faith of the faithful, (4) to remove all traces of doubt from the hearts of Christians, Jews, as well as the believers, and (5) to expose those who harbor doubt in their hearts, and the disbelievers; they will say, "What did GOD mean by this allegory?" GOD thus sends astray whomever He wills, and guides whomever He wills. None knows the soldiers of your Lord except He. This is a reminder for the people.

<http://submission.ws/index.php/mathematical-miracle-of-quran/quran-visual-presentation-of-the-miracle.html>

<http://submission.ws/index.php/mathematical-miracle-of-quran/mathematical-miracle-confirms-contact-prayers.html>

[2:62] Surely, those who believe, those who are Jewish, the Christians, and the converts; anyone who (1) believes in GOD, and (2) believes in the Last Day, and (3) leads a righteous life, will receive their recompense from their Lord. They have nothing to fear, nor will they grieve.

[3:113] They are not all the same; among the followers of the scripture, there are those who are righteous. They recite GOD's revelations through the night, and they fall prostrate.

[3:199] Surely, some followers of the previous scriptures do believe in GOD, and in what was revealed to you, and in what was revealed to them. They reverence GOD, and they never trade

away GOD's revelations for a cheap price. These will receive their recompense from their Lord. GOD is the most efficient in reckoning.

[5:69] Surely, those who believe, those who are Jewish, the converts, and the Christians; any of them who (1) believe in GOD and (2) believe in the Last Day, and (3) lead a righteous life, have nothing to fear, nor will they grieve.

[22:17] Those who believe, those who are Jewish, the converts, the Christians, the Zoroastrians, and the idol worshipers, GOD is the One who will judge among them on the Day of Resurrection. GOD witnesses all things.

Let us all be united... universal unity (not limited to Muslim unity). All of us need to make it to Heaven.

<http://submission.ws/>

GOD bless.

By soscode  on [January 12, 2010 10:35 AM](#)

Dear S..TAN.

S..TAN > Tapi bantahan kali ini di restui krn mengguris hati orang istimewa.

ME > You ni muslim or non muslim ? you tau Malaysia ni majoriti penduduknya beragama ISLAM, dan pemimpinnya juga beragama ISLAM. Sudah tentu bantahan kali ini direstui kerana pemimpinnya juga beragama ISLAM. You fikir semua penganut beragama ISLAM akan berdiam diri jika agamanya diusik. Sebelum ini telah pon disuarakan supaya isu2 sensitif agama tidak dibangkitkan, tetapi masih ada juga yg berdegil. So tidak hairan lah semua org Islam bangkit untuk mempertahankan agamanya sendiri. Kalau you sendiri tak faham macam mana nak mempertahankan agama sendiri, lebih baik "ZIP YOUR MOUTH".

By soscode  on [January 12, 2010 10:19 AM](#)

Saya minat nak komen pasal JEBAT punya tulisan. Biasa la MELAYU MALAYSIA memang banyak yg baik hati dan pemurah. Tapi MELAYU MALAYSIA ni banyak jugak yg dengki bila nampak MELAYU MALAYSIA terlalu baik hati dan pemurah. Contohnya budaya peniaga melayu, pantang nampak maju sikit, lepas tu sure kena santau la apa la dgn niat tak nak tengok org lain maju. pantang tengok org melayu baik sikit mula la kena kecam, buat cara itu tak betul, buat cara ini tak betul. Kalau kes2 terpencil seperti masjid dikunci ke, barang2 masjid hilang ke, tak kan la si penderma ni nak cari reporter semata mata nak tunjuk dia ni nak buat sedekah untuk masjid. You ada nampak ke kes2 ini takde org yg nak ambik tau. Mesti ada kan.. Tidak semua masalah seperti ini terabai. Jangan fikir duit you sendiri je yg kerajaan pakai, duit org lain pon ada jugak. Mungkin duit you ada sikit2 dari hasil titik peluh yg tak berkat. So kelain la jadi nye..

By Rentap80  on [January 12, 2010 9:54 AM](#)

Dear Christian brothers,

You cannot and should not say that the Muslims and Christians worship the same God...so stop with this hollow proclamation. How can it be when the Church profess that God, Jesus and Holy Spirit are one and same person? In Islam, there is only One God. We will not allow the sanctity

of Islam be a subject of another interpolation or insertion in an already adulterated bible just to show that we worship the same God. We strictly do not. When the churches split into the Western and Eastern discourse, the Western churches end up adopting practices and beliefs rooted in pagan religions.

Where and which verses in the Bible did Jesus say specifically “I am God, so worship me” or something to that effect? There is none. The concept of Trinity came about a couple of hundred years after his death (according to the Bible he died on the cross). He has never taught Trinity, and his apostles never heard about it, neither their children, nor their children’s children. The concept of the Triune God was instituted at the Nicene Council. His real name was Yeshua and not Jesus.

According to your Church, they have the birth date of Jesus as 25 December but according to scholastics views prevalent today (proved from the scriptures), Yeshua (Jesus) was born in the fall of the year in September-October. To prove this all you have to do is to find out when John the Baptizer was conceived and when was he born. Then this will lead you to when Jesus was conceived and when he was born. So Christmas is a false holiday that Christians should not be involved in.

The Catholic encyclopedia states that shortly after the Council of Nicea in 325 AD, a pope assigned December 25th as the day for observing the birth of Yeshua. Then in the 5th century AD, another pope made it church law, that all Christians would observe December 25 as Christmas, forever. Think about it, the date of December 25 was chosen over 300 years after the death of Yeshua, as the date of His birth; and 400 years afterwards it was institutionalized as a mandatory church observance. The same bunch who changed the Sabbath from the 7th day of the week to the first, also decided to create Christmas.

The popes ordered Christmas to be instituted on the birthday of Sol the sun-god, because the Roman pagans already observed Sunday to honor Sol and the winter solstice period was already observed as Sol's birthday. This was an overt means to convince the people that Sol and Yeshua were the same person. The winter solstice occurs on December 21, and Saturnalia was kept from December 17-24. Then on December 25, Brumalia was the celebration of the rebirth of the sun-god. This is called Mithraism.

And who was Sol the sun-god? It was a continuation of the Baal worship from ancient days.

The giving of presents on December 25 happened long before Rome arbitrarily chose December 25 as the supposed birth day of Yeshua. The stories about St. Nicholas being the origination of giving presents is merely church spin to try and put a christian face on what was a pagan custom done for hundreds of years. Throughout the scriptures, the worship with the green tree is condemned, and we know this refers to the evergreen tree, because every tree is green part of the year. It is the evergreen tree that is green all year round. In ancient pagan Rome, the fir tree also was sacred and they decorated it with red berries and silver and gold during the festival of Saturnalia.

Where did the mistletoe come from? It is from ancient Babylon, Rome, northern European pagan practices, and from the Druids of England and Ireland. The mistletoe is thought to give magical healing powers to pagan practitioners on December 25. What about the yuletide log? It too came from Odin worship and back to ancient Babylon. The yuletide log symbolizes the stump for Nimrod (Baal) and the evergreen tree his rebirth through Tammuz on December 25.

So how as muslims, can we include “Allah” swt in all of these?

Face it, you are not worshipping God.

Your scriptures have been defaced and adulterated thru misinterpretations, interpolations and translations. The Bible is not even able to set the genealogy of Jesus right. One example of a bad mistranslation, the verse that reads "camel through the eye of a needle" In Aramaic, the word used for "camel" would be extremely similar to that for a certain type of "rope", suggesting that the correct phrase was "rope through the eye of a needle."

Asked your Church as to why 1 John 5:7 was removed from the Bible except for KJV? Why is it so embarrassing that a verse have been deliberately inserted to support a Triune god? You should read on this.

So if you go back and asked the Christians where in the Bible that Jesus teaches about the Triune God, they will say he doesn't need to teach it, he "implies" it.

But please do not imply that we Muslims worshipped the same God as you do.

- Melayu Sarawak -

By vst pk6237  on [January 12, 2010 9:28 AM](#)

salam Tun yang dikasihi,
saya amat setuju dengan saranan Tun itu, sy harap penggunaan perkataan 'ALLAH' tu dibatalkan segera demi perpaduan kaum di negara kita.

By wajaperak  on [January 12, 2010 8:59 AM](#)

Semoga di izinkan Tun..Ribuan Terima kasih..

How do you spell and pronounce this word?

Mung ? (Kelantan ?)

Mang ?

in addition ..is it "mung"kok ? or mang"kok" and to add spice..we add hayun?

So..which will irritate's you most?..mungskok hayun or mangkok hayun??

Does it matter?..

[[I even checked the Arabic wording and as the verse said, the Muslim Allah is the same as the Allah/God that the Christians and Jews worship. There are fundamental disagreements between the faiths (as Tun have pointed out) but it remains a fact that all 3 religions stemmed from Abraham/Ibrahim. The Qur'an, the inerrant word of Allah, says this]]

Yes..there is very much fundamental disagreements between faiths..Do you know in 1944 they say's and claimed Japanese invaded Malaya..Do you believe them?..Do you believe something your eyes did not witness and your ears have not heard the first hand incidence??If you do..just tell me why..

Wouldn't a ban on the usage of Allah to describe the Christian God covers the Qur'an as well?
[[Does this mean we have to ban the Qur'an?]]

Who are "we" here..?

Authorities whom we trust them..or you..the..Agent Provocateur??

Terima kasih Tun..

By hambayanghina  on [January 12, 2010 8:44 AM](#)

Who is Allah?

Topic: Concerning the opinions offered by various experts in the field of Arabic lexicology to explain the linguistic origin of the Name "Allah" and the meaning thereof.

Part 1

The reader should be aware that people have held many different opinions concerning this Name. According to Khalil ibn Ahmad, [(d. A.H. 160 or 170 or 175) the author of the first known work on lexicography, the Kitab al-Ain (Book of the Letter Al Ain)] whose view is shared by one group of experts in the field of Arabic lexicology [ahl al-'arabiyya], it is a Name that applies only to Allah (Almighty and Glorious is He), and absolutely no one is entitled to share it with Him. Allah (Exalted is He) has said:

Do you know anyone who can be named as a namesake of His?
AlQuran (19:65)

What this signifies is that every Name that applies to Allah (Exalted is He) is applicable not only to Him but to others as well—to Him in the real sense [‘ala’l-haqiqa] and to others in a figurative or metaphorical sense [majaz]—with the exception of this one Name, for the Name "Allah" belongs exclusively to Him, since it contains the meaning of Supreme Lordship [Rububiyya] and all the implications [ma’ani] thereof are covered by it.

It is very interesting to see what happens when you drop the letters one by one from the Name "Allah" as it is spelled in the Arabic script [alif-lam-lam-ha]: When you drop the alif, you are left with lam-lam-ha', which is the way we spell li'llah [to, or for, Allah]. When you drop the first of the two lam's, you are left with lam-ha', which is the way we spell lahu [to, or for, Him]. Then, when you also drop the lam in lahu, you are left with the letter ha', representing the syllable hu, which is here pronounced as Hu [He].

Part 2

As far as its lexical derivation [ishtiqaq] is concerned, the linguistic experts have suggested a wide range of possibilities. According to an-Nadir ibn Shumail[an Arabian grammarian and judge from Basra who died there in 818, leaving exegetical works on the Koran and on the traditions, and also an encyclopaedia of the Bedouin tongue] the Name "Allah" may be derived from taalluh, which is a synonym for tanassuk [devotion to religious exercises] and ta'abbud [devotion to services of worship], or from the expression aloha ilahatan, which has the same meaning as 'abada 'ibadatan [to serve, worship, adore].

Others maintain that it is derived from ilah, in the sense of i'timad [reliance upon someone for protection], for if a person is heard to say: "I sought asylum [alihitu alahan] with so-and-so," he

will be understood to mean: "I felt myself to be in grave danger, so I took refuge with him and relied on him for protection."

To explain how this relates to Allah, and the possible derivation of His Name, the point is that when His creatures find themselves in disastrous situations and in dire need of help, they seek refuge with Him and implore Him to give them protection. Since He does indeed grant them asylum [ya'lahuhum], the term *Ilah* is properly applied to Him, just as the person who is accepted as a leader [yu'tammu bihi] is properly referred to as an Imam.

As for His human servants, they are *mu'allahun* in relation to Him; that is to say, they are compelled to accept their utter dependence upon Him, whether it be to secure things that are beneficial or to avoid things that cause harm. In this respect, their condition is just like that of the lovelorn fool [walih], who is totally subject to compulsion and completely overwhelmed by a force beyond his control.

Part 3

According to Abu 'Amr ibn al-'Ala [a noted scholar and anthologist possessing an outstanding knowledge of the classical language, one of the three leading members of the Basra school of Arabic philology during the times of Abbāsid caliph Hārūn al-Rashīd in Baghdad], the Name "Allah" is actually derived from the expression you might use if you found something utterly bewildering [alihta sh-shai"], in the sense that you were so perplexed by it that you could not figure out the right approach toward it. The point he is making is that our human minds become hopelessly confused when they try to grasp the very essence [kunh] of His divine quality [sifa] and His sublime majesty ['azama], and when they try to grasp His unique mode of being [kaifiyya]. If we accept this derivation, the term *Ilah* is properly applied to Him because it is equivalent in meaning to *Ma'luh* [One who is Held' in Awe], just as *kitab* is equivalent in meaning to *maktub* [set down in writing; a letter, book, manuscript] and *hisab* to *mahsub* [reckoned or counted; a reckoning or account].

Part 4

According to al-Mubarrad [Arabian grammarian, was born in Basra, and the leader of the Basran grammarians against the Kufan school], the Name "Allah" is derived from an idiomatic expression, peculiar to the pure speech of the Arabs of the desert [qawl al-'Arab], whereby one of them would use the word *alihtu*, rather than *sakantu*, if he had reason to say: "I felt really at home with so-and-so." It would seem that when he came to propose this derivation, al-Mubarrad must have been considering the sense of comfort and peaceful repose that is felt by Allah's creatures when they remember Him. As Allah (Almighty and Glorious is He) has told us:

It is truly in the remembrance of Allah that hearts feel comfortably at rest. AlQuran (13:28)

There are some who maintain that the Name "Allah" is derived from *walah*, which is the Arabic term for the loss of reason that one may experience through being bereaved of someone very dear and precious. In proposing this derivation, they would seem to be suggesting that He came to be called "Allah" because human hearts are thrown off balance [tawlahu] by the feeling of love for Him, and because they become unsettled and filled with yearning when they experience what it means to remember Him.

Part 5

Others maintain that the meaning of "Allah" is "the One who veils Himself [Muhtajib]," because if something has once been visible to the Arabs of the desert, but has then come to be veiled from their sight, they refer to it as a lah [mirage]. When the bride conceals herself behind a veil, she is sometimes said to have disappeared like a mirage [Idhad'l-'arils; taluhu lawha]. In the case of Allah (Exalted is He), He is Manifest [Zahir] as far as His Supreme Lordship [Rububiyya] is concerned, through signs and indications, but He is also the One who veils Himself [Muhtajib] with regard to His unique mode of being, in order to deter illusory speculations.

Yet others maintain that "Allah" means "the Exalted One [Muta'ali]." Their view is based on the assumption that it must be derived from the Arabic verb laha, which can mean "to rise; to ascend." A similar derivation may account for the term ilaha [goddess], as it used to be applied to the sun [shams]. Since the Arabic word shams is grammatically feminine, the sun was referred to by its worshippers as a goddess [ilaha.], rather than a god (ilah).

It has also been suggested that "Allah" means "the One who is Capable of Original Creation, without instrument or preexisting model [al'Qadir 'ala'l'ikhtira]," while some say that it means "the Lord and Master [as'Sayyid]"

By Lil S  on [January 12, 2010 8:43 AM](#)

to hermiacs:

I'd just like to point out one thing, the people of malaysia that do not embrace the same religion as you are not your neighbours, they are your family.

By ina  on [January 12, 2010 8:19 AM](#)

Hai Jebat, sabar sajalah. Wang tu bukan banyak jika dibandingkan dari kutipan cukai dari casino dan jualan arak mahupun rampasan wang dari pengedaran dadah. Wang dari sumber haram tu kalau semua nak gunakan untuk bina jalan raya akan pula menambah kemalangan maut jalanraya kan? Yang pastinya ia bukan dari kutipan zakat.

By Jebat  on [January 12, 2010 8:03 AM](#)

Assalmuallaikum Tun & pembaca sekalian,

Sesungguhnya yang baik itu datangnya dari Allah swt dan yang tak baik itu datangnya dari kesilapan diri saya sendiri.

Bercakap konsep "Allah" ini adalah bererti bercakap konsep ketuhanan. Allah adalah tuhan segala makhluk; tak kira Islam, Kristian, pokok-pokok, bintang, bernyawa atau tidak bernyawa. Samada percaya atau tidak, Allah adalah tuhan yang seharusnya disembah.

Dalam memperbincangkan keadaan semasa sekarang tentang penggunaan Allah oleh Kristian, bukan kerana Allah itu hanya milik orang Islam atau Allah itu bukan milik orang Kristian. Tetapi Allah itu telah dimanupulasi dan digunakan untuk menipu seluruh rakyat jelata.

Contohnya di Sabah, penggunaan Allah digunakan oleh mubaligh Kristian untuk mengelirukan dan penipu orang-orang di Sabah. Orang-orang yang kurang pendedahan perkaitan ilmu kristian

and ilmu Islam itu di manipulasi dengan logik akal. Contohnya; di Sabah, mubaligh Kristian berkata, "... Allah yang disembah oleh orang Islam dan orang Kristian, sama saja... Orang Kristian sembahyang seminggu sekali dan orang Islam 5 kali sehari... ini menyusahkan sedangkan itu perkara yang sama, penerimaannya sama. Bila jadi orang Islam, amat susah untuk menyembah Allah, kena sembahyang banyak kali dan buang masa. Bila jadi orang Kristian, sembah Allah senang saja. Penerimaan oleh Allah, kedua-duanya sama...."

Walaupun Allah itu sama, tapi cara penyembahan itu lain, jadi penerimaan itu lain... Kedua-duanya tidak sama. Dalam Islam tiada manipulasi, jelas kedua-duanya tidak sama. Dalam Islam, Allah dengan jelas telah bersabda yang maksudnya, "... Islam itu adalah ad-din (agama untuk semua orang), dan Aku redha (bersetuju), Islam itu adalah satu-satunya agama untuk semua orang....". Cara dan penerimaan berbeza.

Walaupun Kristian dan Islam dikatakan agama langit, dua-dua diturunkan oleh Allah. Tapi hanya Islam yang terpelihara. Islam dulu dan Islam sekarang adalah sama, tiada bezanya. Begitu juga Al-Quran, Al-Quran dulu dan Al-Quran sekarang adalah sama. Lain dari Kristian, kristian dulu dan kristian sekarang telah pun melalui berbagai-bagai perubahan. Ianya tidak sama. Kitab Bible pun telah melalui beberapa versi, itu pun tidak sama. Ini kerana hanya Islam and Al-Quran itu sahaja yang terpelihara.

Oleh itu penggunaan kalimah "Allah", pada asalnya tidak mengapa untuk dipergunakan oleh semua orang kerana ianya dimiliki oleh semua. Tapi penggunaan kalimah suci ini, telah dimanipulasi yang menyebabkan pelbagai fitnah. Sebelum fitnah itu menjadi besar, ianya harus ditentang.

Api yang kecil mudah dipadamkan, apabila api itu sudah besar, ianya memadamkan kita pula....

By adik  on [January 12, 2010 7:32 AM](#)

High Court must rejects any application of any matter pertaining religion, It is a very sensitive issue that can leads to unstable and Government has to steps in and be blame later.

Court represents Justice NOT Believes
It is not of the usage of ALLAH name that is forbidden.
Any believer has the right,

IT is the application via Court
to use ALLAH name as a tool to convince, to facilitates one,s intentions. If one,s believes is true, you do not need to go Court
High Court, Human decision cannot be an instrument of any religion.

I strongly believes there are intentions behind this applications.
It can be political motives, back by foreign influence, finance, perhaps the opponents taking advantage of situations to destabilize the country, the Govt, to fill the Gaps.

By ANTHONG  on [January 12, 2010 2:35 AM](#)

Tun,
May I ask?

Should We Converge as one Malaysian or ?
Should we diverge into herds mentality?
Should we distinct our fellow citizen by sex, race or religion?
Should we homogenise our beauty nature or ?
Should we distinct our ugly self?
Should we dance to the tune of the satan or
Should we waltz to the rhythm of angel?
Should we aggregate our god and your god?
Should we lead by the kind or ?
Should we be lead by the best kind?
Should we believe with our own eyes or ?
Should we believe in unsubstantiate gossip?
Should we have to prove our believe or ?
Should we have to believe in our proof?
Should we believe in reincarnation or ?
Should we believe in retribution?
Should we let sleeping dog lie or?
Should we lie on sleeping dog ?
Should we impose on others or ?
Should we impose on ourself?

Can you give us some direction and general guideline here.?

Tks and Best Regards

By S..Tan  on [January 12, 2010 1:51 AM](#)

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat sejahtera. Kiriman saya pada pandangan yg dikemukakan di sini dgn izin....

.....NIAT SUCI

Bila orang lain bantah keputusan mahkamah rayuan kes krjn Perak, mrk di kejar dan di tangkap polis.

Tapi bantahan kali ini di restui krn mengguris hati orang istimewa. Dalam pertikaian satu pihak saja ada hak hati terguris.

Dua pemimpin bertanggungjawab ke atas keselamatan secara terbuka merestui demonstrasi. Dia minta kawal diri sendiri!! Tak pernah orang lain diberi keistimewaan ini? Orang bodoh mana percaya ini bukan menghangatkan keadaan.

Badan badan bersekutu di beri kebebasan berdemonstrasi, pemimpin parti buat kenyataan Islam dicabar, bangsa dipersenda. Membayangkan huru hara, pembakaran gereja. Ini semua menasihati rakyat, meredakan keadaan? Berani sumpah tiada niat membakar perasaan, mengancam orang?

Bila benda berlaku muka tebal kata ini bukan salah mrk. Tepuk sebelah tangan tak akan berbunyi! Allah mengetahui dan akan membalas niat murni kamu berjasa pada Islam!

Niat suci tiada benci. Jika Allah tidak membenci insan yg sekian lama menolak agamaNya, sedia menerima insanNya yg bertaubat akhirnya, apalah bangsa ini(segelintir) yg membenci orang krn penggunaan perkataan.

.....BERTOLAK ANSUR

Di Shah Alam kuil kena bertolak ansur krn bantahan.
Di Sabah Mazu kena ke tepi krn tak melambangkan negeri.
Bar council kena berhenti perbincangan krn orang tak senang hati
Skrng kristian kena berundur krn orang terguris hati.

Bilakah bantahan penganut agama lain pernah dilayan? Hati mrk dibuat dari batu.

.....TAMBAH HAK ISTIMEWA

Boleh nampak ada usaha menDEBkan Islam menjadi hak istimewa sebuah bangsa. Mcm mcm tak boleh sentuh. Siapa tak setuju pengkhianat, anti Melayu.

Ini semua agenda Umno zaman ultra. Kata Ku Li Umno 1946 yg suci murni, sedia berkongsi, bertolak ansur dah lama tiada (sejak 22thn)

Yg tinggal rotten, racist, rasuah!!

By kenmasters_413  on [January 12, 2010 1:01 AM](#)

By Rentap80

[Dear Kenmasters, another hollow proclamations. According to Peshitta Aramaic Testaments, there are over 30 verses contain the clear message that the divine name of God is YHVH. While in the Greek manuscripts the words "kurios" (Lord) or "theos" (God) were used. So where is the word "Allah"? Please point one out for me.

As to you claim that Arabs Christians are allowed to use the word "Allah", well you have to study the geography, the loaction and the time that brought about the usage of the word into Arabic churches. The Arab Chirstians decided to adopt the word in order to curry favor with the muslims... so that the muslims left them alone.

As for the Christians in Borneo, similar to Indonesians, somebody made a grave translation, thats all... with vocabs being very limited. But even so, these Christians are able to "use them properly".

But why the Herald decided to use it and in the process infuriating the muslims? Whats wrong with using Tuhan instead?]

I'm assuming that we are on the same page that we Christians can use Tuhan instead of Allah. But anyway, I don't wish to argue with you, but let me clarify a few things.

God spoke to Moses, saying: 'Thus shall you speak to the Israelites: The LORD [Hebrew: YHWH], the God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, has sent me to you: This shall be My name forever, this My appellation for all eternity.' (Exodus 3:15)

From here we can see that the word YHWH is not a name but a title. When translated, YHWH means 'The LORD' or 'The God'. Same meaning as the word Allah. How can a name have 'The' in it? it's like saying your name is 'The Man'. lol...

Like i said, this is a question of language not religion. I know the Peshitta Aramaic Testament wrote YHWH or adonai or elohim or elli. And the Greeks write kurios or theos. But just like Allah, it means 'The God' or 'The Lord' or 'The Eternal'(al-sammad).

Every language has its limitations. The Peshitta Aramaic is the the most accurate documentation of the New Testament. But when to translate it into another language would mean having to use the vocabulary of their respective language to the best they can, which would end up becoming inaccurate.

My concern regarding this issue is national security and racial peace. And i'm agreeing with you! We should not have any problems using Tuhan instead of Allah here in Malaysia because it's the same thing. Tuhan means 'The God' or 'God'. Thanks for your comment. I really appreciate it.

Forgive me Tun for using your forum as debating grounds. But you can check out this site for more info. I think it's written by a Muslim and I think he makes sense.

<http://www.answering-christianity.com/allah.htm>

By PenyuMenagis  on [January 11, 2010 11:51 PM](#)

Assalmualaikum

Yang Berbahagia Tun Dr. Mahathir

Yang dihormati para blogger,

Even though I consider myself as a moderate and liberal Muslim due to my mixed background, in the current controversial issue of using the word “Allah”, I have a strong opinion against the court decision, for two main reasons.

Firstly, as you have stated, the word “Allah” is unsuitable for the present Christian faith due to the doctrine of **trinity**. We know the present form of Christianity is based on pagan religion (for some explanation see for example <http://www.zeitgeistmovie.com/>), although, the original Christianity as preached by Nabi Isa (peace be upon them), was monotheistic based on the teaching of the previous Nabi and Rasul Ibrahim, Yaakob, Musa etc... (peace be upon them). Allah, the God of the Universe is the ONE and only, and cannot be equated with the pagan god (the sun god) as worshiped most Christians these days. This is against the "Islamic tauhid" of Oneness. After some study on world religions, I know most major religions, like Hinduism, Toaism, Judaism and Christianity, actually are monotheistic at the origin. But in their present day practises, they have created these "lesser gods".

Secondly, many Muslims feel the insistence of certain Catholic groups in Malaysia to demand the use of “Allah” is a kind of provocation and a subtle proselytizing effort on their part and to confuse the Muslims. Word like “tuhan” has been used extensively to replace “god” in the general term. Why now, they insist on using “Allah”.

Non-Muslims (in Malaysia), must realize that “proselytizing the Muslims” is against the Malaysian constitution. As Malaysians, we are bound to the constitutions which in general have kept our country peaceful more or less for over 50 years.

Personally, I do not mind very much since “Allah” means God, but for these two reasons, I also become sensitive. In other countries like Indonesia or the Arab nations, the word “Allah” may have been used in the Bible, I have no objection to that, since their constitutions do not prohibit them to proselytize Muslims. The Muslims in Malaysia are protected by the constitution. But at the same time, the constitution also protects the non-Muslims to practice their own religion.

Of course, I do not agree to the burning of Churches, even though I am against the court decision. We do not have to resort to violence. I am glad when our PM Datuk Seri Najib, referred the matter to the Yang DiPertuan Agong, and baginda has made his official statement on this. This is the way we should solve the issue. This is our way of resolving conflict in Malaysia. Not through violence. Government has agreed to compensate the repair of these churches, I hope there won't be anymore incident.

I agree with a good Christian by the name **kenmasters_413** (on January 11, 2010 9:16 AM) who has given the most sensible comment on the issue, that calls the Christians should just use “tuhan” for God. Simple. No one will feel sensitive.

And more importantly, kenmasters also stated that, it is not Islam that attack the church, but some “people”, who are irrational, probably uneducated and uninformed (and let me add... too frustrated with their lives). Moderate Malaysians, let us join kenmaster, and ignore this small group of people whoever they are.

Wassalam.

By PenyMenagis  on [January 11, 2010 11:48 PM](#)

Assalmualaikum

Yang Berbahagia Tun Dr. Mahathir

Yang dihormati para blogger,

Even though I consider myself as a moderate and liberal Muslim due to my mixed background, in the current controversial issue of using the word “Allah”, I have a strong opinion against the court decision, for two main reasons.

Firstly, as you have stated, the word “Allah” is unsuitable for the present Christian faith due to the doctrine of **trinity**. We know the present form of Christianity is based on pagan religion (for some explanation see for example <http://www.zeitgeistmovie.com/>), although, the original Christianity as preached by Nabi Isa (peace be upon them), was monotheistic based on the teaching of the previous Nabi and Rasul Ibrahim, Yaakob, Musa etc... (peace be upon them). Allah, the God of the Universe is the ONE and only, and cannot be equated with the pagan god (the sun god) as worshiped most Christians these days. This is against the "Islamic tauhid" of Oneness. After some study on world religions, I know most major religions, like Hinduism, Toaism, Judaism and Christianity, actually are monotheistic at the origin. But in their present day practises, they have created these "lesser gods".

Secondly, many Muslims feel the insistence of certain Catholic groups in Malaysia to demand the use of “Allah” is a kind of provocation and a subtle proselytizing effort on their part and to confuse the Muslims. Word like “tuhan” has been used extensively to replace “god” in the general term. Why now, they insist on using “Allah”.

Non-Muslims (in Malaysia), must realize that “proselytizing the Muslims” is against the Malaysian constitution. As Malaysians, we are bound to the constitutions which in general have kept our country peaceful more or less for over 50 years.

Personally, I do not mind very much since “Allah” means God, but for these two reasons, I also become sensitive. In other countries like Indonesia or the Arab nations, the word “Allah” may have been used in the Bible, I have no objection to that, since their constitutions do not prohibit them to proselytize Muslims. The Muslims in Malaysia are protected by the constitution. But at the same time, the constitution also protects the non-Muslims to practice their own religion.

Of course, I do not agree to the burning of Churches, even though I am against the court decision. We do not have to resort to violence. I am glad when our PM Datuk Seri Najib, referred the matter to the Yang DiPertuan Agong, and baginda has made his official statement on this. This is the way we should solve the issue. This is our way of resolving conflict in Malaysia. Not through violence. Government has agreed to compensate the repair of these churches, I hope there won't be anymore incident.

I agree with a good Christian by the name **kenmasters_413 (on January 11, 2010 9:16 AM)** who has given the most sensible comment on the issue, that calls the Christians should just use “tuhan” for God. Simple. No one will feel sensitive.

And more importantly, kenmasters also stated that, it is not Islam that attack the church, but some “people”, who are irrational, probably uneducated and uninformed (and let me add... too frustrated with their lives). Moderate Malaysians, let us join kenmaster, and ignore this small group of people whoever they are.

Wassalam.

By Hajar  on [January 11, 2010 11:25 PM](#)

Dearest Tun,

I saw on TV one lady (a Christian – outside a church) mentioned something like “Not all Muslims are like that.. ” when asked about the destruction of church incidents. Others being interviewed also CONLCUDED that the culprits are MUSLIMS.

Even in this blog, several bloggers also made the same conclusions. Well, I agree that those culprits are “KOLOT” and uneducated, but those who already JUMPED TO CONCLUSIONS by ACCUSING THE MUSLIMS OF THE WRONGDOINGS are also as “KOLOT” and uneducated as the culprits.

Thanks Tun.

*** May Allah SWT bless Tun & family ***

By TunA  on [January 11, 2010 11:24 PM](#)

"They do blaspheme who say: ‘Allah is Christ the son Of Mary.’ But said Christ: ‘O Children of Israel! Worship Allah, my Lord And your Lord’. Whoever joins other gods with Allah – Allah will forbid him the Garden, and the Fire Will be his abode. There will for the wrongdoers Be no

one to help."
[Al-Qur'an 5:72]

By TunA  on [January 11, 2010 10:47 PM](#)

"People of the Book, go not beyond the bounds in your religion, and say not as to God but the truth. The Messiah, Jesus son of Mary, was only the Messenger of God, and His Word that He committed to Mary, and a Spirit from Him. So believe in God and His Messengers, and say not, 'Three.' Refrain; better is it for you. God is only one God. Glory be to Him - (He is) above having a son." (4:171)

By kOk  on [January 11, 2010 9:13 PM](#)

"... And argue not with the People of the Scripture unless it be in (a way) that is better, save with such of them as do wrong; and say: We believe in that which hath been revealed unto us and revealed unto you; our Allah and your Allah is One, and unto Him we surrender."

Qur'an, Surah 29 Ayat 46

The People of the Scripture (or People of the Book) refers to the Jews of the Torah, The Christians of the Bible and the Muslims of the Qur'an. I even checked the Arabic wording and as the verse said, the Muslim Allah is the same as the Allah/God that the Christians and Jews worship. There are fundamental disagreements between the faiths (as Tun have pointed out) but it remains a fact that all 3 religions stemmed from Abraham/Ibrahim. The Qur'an, the inerrant word of Allah, says this.

Wouldn't a ban on the usage of Allah to describe the Christian God covers the Qur'an as well?
Does this mean we have to ban the Qur'an?

By kOk  on [January 11, 2010 9:10 PM](#)

"... And argue not with the People of the Scripture unless it be in (a way) that is better, save with such of them as do wrong; and say: We believe in that which hath been revealed unto us and revealed unto you; our Allah and your Allah is One, and unto Him we surrender."

Qur'an, Surah 29 Ayat 46

The People of the Scripture (or People of the Book) refers to the Jews of the Torah, The Christians of the Bible and the Muslims of the Qur'an. I even checked the Arabic wording and as the verse said, the Muslim Allah is the same as the Allah/God that the Christians and Jews worship. There are fundamental disagreements between the faiths (as Tun have pointed out) but it remains a fact that all 3 religions stemmed from Abraham/Ibrahim. The Qur'an, the inerrant word of Allah says this.

Wouldn't a ban on the usage of Allah to describe the Christian God covers the Qur'an as well?
Does this mean we have to ban the Qur'an?

By [Zul Abdul Rahman](#)  on [January 11, 2010 7:51 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum warahmatullahi wabarakatuh,
Salam hormat Tun Mahathir, salam hormat kepada semua,

Bacalah: Pohon peringatan kepada yang mengerti dan memahami,
Telah berfirman Allah Azzawajalla (maksudnya):

"Belum sampailah lagi masanya bagi orang-orang yang beriman, untuk khusyuk hati mereka mematuhi peringatan dan pengajaran Allah serta mematuhi kebenaran (Al-Quran) yang diturunkan (kepada mereka)? Dan janganlah pula mereka menjadi seperti orang-orang yang telah diberikan Kitab sebelum mereka, setelah orang-orang itu melalui masa yang lanjut maka hati mereka menjadi keras, dan banyak di antaranya orang-orang yang fasik–derhaka".
[Al-Quran: Surah Al Hadidd, Ayat 16]

Peringatan kepada yang mengerti;
"

[1]Taa' Haa.

[2]Kami tidak menurunkan Al-Quran kepadamu (wahai Muhammad) supaya engkau menanggung kesusahan.

[3]Hanya untuk menjadi peringatan bagi orang-orang yang takut melanggar perintah. Allah.

[4](Al-Quran) diturunkan dari (Tuhan) yang menciptakan bumi dan langit yang tinggi.

[5]Iaitu (Allah) Ar-Rahman, yang bersemayam di atas Arasy.

[6]Dia lah jua yang memiliki segala yang ada di langit dan yang ada di bumi serta yang ada di antara keduanya dan juga yang ada di bawah tanah basah di perut bumi.

[7]Dan jika engkau menyaringkan suara dengan doa permohonanmu, (maka yang demikian tidaklah perlu), kerana sesungguhnya Allah mengetahui segala rahsia dan segala yang tersembunyi.

[8]Allah! Tiada Tuhan yang berhak disembah melainkan Dia, bagiNyalah segala nama yang baik."

[Al-Quran: Surah Taa'haa, Ayat 1-8]

Salam hormat.

By [mdz5046](#) on [January 11, 2010 5:28 PM](#)

THE WORD ALLAH INSTEAD OF GOD

Allah is the name of the Creator that creates the entire universe .Allah is also the Creator that creates mankind. Allah has many other names but He like to be call by the name Allah. Allah has no son and He is alone and supreme and has no association in whatever forms with His creation. Allah has created men as the best of all His creation and along with the creation of men Allah has given them His orders. When men obeyed the orders of Allah then men will certainly becomes the best of all creation. When men disobeyed the orders of Allah, men will become the worst of all creations. In order to deliver the orders of Allah to mankind and to show how the orders should be carried out, Allah has sent throughout the centuries to mankind many Prophets. The Prophets are human being that has been selected by Allah to deliver the orders of Allah to mankind.

Allah has selected Isa or Jesus as Prophet. He is sent by Allah to the Jews people whom Allah previously had also sent Musa or Moses as Prophet to them. Allah has sent many Prophets to the Jews but the Jews have killed many of them. The Jews had also tried to kill Prophet Isa or Jesus

but they are unsuccessful. Allah has taken Prophet Isa or Jesus to the sky and he will only descend to the earth when the time comes. The one who has been crucified by the Jews was not Prophet Isa or Jesus but Judas the estranged followers of Prophet Isa, whose face Allah have changed to look likes Prophet Isa or Jesus. However those that believe in Allah and have accepted Isa or Jesus as Prophets continue to practice the orders of Allah as shown by Prophet Isa or Jesus even though Prophet Isa or Jesus at that time was no longer with them. As times goes on the practice of the orders of Allah by the Muslim as shown by Prophet Isa or Jesus start to decline. Those who have weak iman or faith in Allah but they love Prophet Isa or Jesus so much, they start to uplift the status of Prophet Isa or Jesus, from a normal human being to the status, as a son of God.

Allah has no son and Allah is one and Allah has no associate or partner. Prophet Isa or Jesus is the son of Mariam the pious lady whom Allah has chosen as a mean to give birth to Prophet Isa or Jesus. Allah has created Adam from clay and Hawa is being created from the ribs of Adam. Allah can make Mariam the pious lady to give birth to Prophet Isa or Jesus without Mariam need to have sexual intercourse with any men. Prophet Isa or Jesus has no father and he has only a mother. Allah is the Creator and Allah is able to create Prophet Isa or Jesus even without a mother and a father. The present day so call Christians used to be Muslims in the time of Prophet Isa or Jesus and who love and regard Isa or Jesus as only Prophets as all Muslims nowadays do. However when their faith in Allah weakened they start to associate Allah with other Gods and they start to believe Isa or Jesus not as a Prophet but as the son of God.

Allah has no son, daughter or a wife. Allah is one and supreme and He has no associate or partner in His power. If the Christians in Malaysia want to change the word God to Allah, they must change their belief .From believing that God has a son named Jesus who has to be crucified for the atonement of sins done by men to the belief that Allah has no son and Jesus is only a Prophet and not the son of Allah. If the Christians in Malaysia want to change the word God to Allah and still believing that Allah has a son named Isa or Jesus then the Christians in Malaysia with clear intention is trying to disgrace Allah and it is the incumbent duty of all Muslims in the whole world to stop them from doing that. The Christians in Malaysia must also belief that Allah is not only having no son named Isa or Jesus but also after Prophet Isa or Jesus, Allah has sent the last Prophet named Muhammad s.a.w. The Christians in Malaysia must also accept Muhammad s.a.w as the last Prophet of Allah if they want to change the word God with Allah.

If the Christians in Malaysia refused to do this then they should stick to the use of the word God instead of Allah. Why the Christians from other parts of the world are using the word God instead of Allah. Are the Christians in Malaysia different from the Christians from other parts of the world? What is the agenda of the Christians in Malaysia in trying to use the word Allah instead of God? The Christians in Malaysia should consult their Pope in the Vatican City before trying to use the word Allah instead of God. The use of the word Allah or God in Christianity is the decision between the Pope and the World supreme councils of Muslims scholars and not the decision of one civil judge of the Malaysian court. The action of the Christians in Malaysia to change the word God to Allah is political and subversive and the action is not sincere and not religious. The decision of the Malaysian court is not correct and must be reviewed. The court has acted beyond its ability and capability

Dr.Nasoha Saabin
January 2010
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

By aizli  on [January 11, 2010 4:17 PM](#)

KOTA KINABALU 10 Jan. – Akhbar Herald-The Catholic Weekly perlu bersedia menggugurkan kes tuntutan penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh bukan Islam di mahkamah berikutan perkembangan yang semakin membimbangkan hingga mengakibatkan beberapa gereja dibakar.

Presiden Majlis Jaksa Pendamai Malaysia, Datuk Clarence Bongkos Malakun berkata, langkah tersebut perlu untuk keamanan dan kestabilan rakyat berbilang kaum di negara ini.

“Sudah tiba masanya kita, masyarakat Kristian khususnya Katholik membuat penilaian kepada keadaan sekarang demi keselamatan dan ketenteraman awam supaya dengan senang hati menggugurkan perkataan Allah untuk menenangkan saudara Islam kita.

“Daripada terus mendesak hak untuk menggunakan perkataan Allah adalah lebih baik jika Katholik hanya menggunakan perkataan ‘Tuhan’ atau ‘Tuhan Yang Maha Kuasa’.

“Biarlah saudara Islam kita sahaja yang menggunakan perkataan Allah untuk kebajikan supaya kita dapat hidup aman damai dan harmoni di Malaysia,” katanya dalam satu kenyataan di sini hari ini.

Kelmarin, dua gereja – satu di Desa Melawati, Kuala Lumpur dan satu lagi di Jalan Templer, Petaling Jaya – mengalami kebakaran manakala satu lagi juga di Petaling Jaya menerima ugutan.

Kejadian itu mendapat kecaman daripada parti komponen Barisan Nasional (BN) dan pembangkang selain turut mengundang reaksi serupa dari pertubuhan bukan kerajaan (NGO).

Perdana Menteri, Datuk Seri Najib Tun Razak yang melawat gereja di Desa Melawati, semalam, mengumumkan peruntukan RM500,000 untuk membolehkan pentadbiran gereja itu membuka rumah ibadat baru di kawasan lain.

Sementara itu Clarence berkata, alasan sesetengah pemimpin Kristian bahawa kalimah Allah perlu dibenarkan hanya kerana ia sudah digunakan sejak sekian lama adalah tidak wajar.

Tegas beliau, Kristian di negara ini juga tidak sama seperti diamalkan di Indonesia, Mesir, Iraq atau Yemen kerana Malaysia mempunyai perlembagaan yang berbeza.

“Tidak harus bagi kita mempertikai yang Allah biasa digunakan oleh masyarakat Kristian di negara luar kerana kita berada di Malaysia, jadi kita harus patuhi semua undang-undang dan peraturan.

“Adakah kalau kita atau pihak gereja tidak gunakan perkataan Allah maka secara tiba-tiba para pengikut agama Kristian akan bertambah atau berkurang 10 kali ganda,” soalnya.

Beliau sedar ramai penganut Kristian akan mengkritik pendiriannya tetapi mereka perlu faham dan menghayati ajaran agama itu yang menyuruh pengikutnya memaafkan orang lain.

“Jika kita benar-benar Kristian, kita harus jadi orang yang memaafkan, bertanggungjawab dan cintakan keamanan. Kenapa kita harus bergaduh untuk satu perkataan,” ujarnya.

Clarence yang juga Pengerusi Institut Kajian Pembangunan (IDS) Sabah berkata, umat Kristian perlu faham mengapa orang Islam amat marah apabila kalimah itu dibenarkan penggunaannya.

Jelasnya, Allah adalah Tuhan bagi orang Islam manakala orang-orang Kristian pula lain kepercayaannya dan kalimah itu juga sudah lama digunakan secara tradisinya oleh agama Islam.

By adik adik  on [January 11, 2010 4:01 PM](#)

Get this straight

Agama untuk diamal, bukan dipertikaikan

Religion is about practicing ones believes not something to debate about.

You have yr Bible, We have our Quran.

To debate will never ends, will leads to no foundations of one religion as if, it is decided by men. God, Allah is watching us now.

The High Court must retrieves the approval of using ALLAH name on application by the Catholic. Court is men made and has no right to regulates such law. Court represents Justice NOT Believes

Even if you were to register ALLAH name to the Registry of Trademark, to market your religion, it will not be approves internationally.

By aizli  on [January 11, 2010 3:59 PM](#)

Salam ayahanda YABhg Tun,

After reading your comments on the matter, the only thing i wanted to add is why the Catholic Church is going all out to use the word "Allah" and not just "Tuhan" ? which is more acceptable by both parties concern...

Why going all the way to High Court to get a ruling ? unless there is ulterior motives that is in the planning of the Churches...

It always starts with the word, later, with the translantion of the whole bible... and so on... and so on...

I'm sorry, even though im not a pious muslim, i can probably speak for the majority of the Orang Kampung... we will never let this happened...

We are scared that our not so smart malay children will be diverted to the christian mission, whom at the moment is working hard to convert malay to Christianity.

As a muslim Tun, we need to stop these from happening.

let them say, we are unfair, let them say what ever.... if they dont like Malaysia, nobody is stopping them to migrate to another country.

salam Tun..

By Genesis Yew  on [January 11, 2010 3:23 PM](#)

Dear Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad,

My mother and I agree with your comments on the translation of the word "God" for Christians it should be translated as "Tuhan".

The Catholics should just retract the Bibles and reprint and replace the words with "Tuhan" instead of "Allah".

Just some thoughts:-

Since the Bible is being translated into "Bahasa Malaysia", the Christians should use the word "TUHAN" instead of Allah. Do not use the word "Allah" if it offends certain parties. If they are translating the whole Bible into "Arabic", then they "may" possibly have the right to translate the word as "Allah"; otherwise, it is a different story for another day.

Strange enough, even among Christians and Jews, the name of God has also been sometimes violently contested in the past and many times it has to do with Bible "Translations" as well.

My mother also said that the Muslims who protested are angry or offended because using the word "Allah" is like as if the Catholics telling them that the God of Abraham is "Three Persons" instead of "One" - which is frankly quite scary to any monotheistic believer. I am a Christian and I disagree with those Christian Denominations that divide God into 3 separate persons (Father, Son and Holy Spirit).

Christians have so many different and some false denominations dissecting God into 3 persons "All Because Of Wrong Translations and Misinterpretations".

When all the while, all of God's Children should look to the Jews for direction and guidance because Jesus said that salvation is of the Jews (but meanwhile I think half of the Jews are still asleep - but while we wait for them to wake up let us continue to try our best do what is "right" in the eyes of God) because the God who created the Heavens and the Earth told Moses that His name is "THE GOD OF ABRAHAM, ISAAC AND JACOB" and that this name shall be a memorial unto all generations

(in the Book of Exodus 3:15 -

"And God said moreover unto Moses, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, the LORD God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, hath sent me unto you: this is my name for ever, and this is my memorial unto all generations.")

Personally, as a Christian, I do not use the word "Allah" because firstly I do not know how to speak Arabic and secondly, I prefer to refer to the name of God as "The Lord" or "Tuhan" or "The God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob".

Let's continue to hope in the Lord to spread the truth and wipe out falsehood in the end when Jesus returns to rule and King of the Jews.

By prof_ridcully  on [January 11, 2010 2:48 PM](#)

Dengan izin Tun,

ekompute

No, throwing firebombs and paint at churches is not what Islam is all about.

I wouldn't stop trying to learn more about Islam if I were you because clearly you need to learn more about Islam.

For someone who, like my 6 year-old niece, is able to switch on the computer, use the keyboard and get on the internet, you appear to be extraordinarily under-informed and yet have a well-developed opinion of Islam. In other words, you're an ignorant bigot.

Did you miss the part in the news where Muslims condemned the attacks and more than 100 Muslim NGOs, including several "hard-line" ones, offered to help churches police and protect their premises? Here is the [link to the news item](#) at the Bar Council's site in case you missed it. The reason they offered to do that is because Islam forbids violence against houses of worship and they fear a third party (maybe Muslim, maybe not) with an agenda of their own, may want to further inflame the situation by mounting attacks on churches regardless of their denomination, and let the blame fall on Muslims who held only mild protests after Friday prayers within the vicinity of mosques.

Muslims abhor violence against houses of worship. When offered by the Patriarch of Jerusalem, Caliph 'Umar al-Khattab (may Allah be pleased with him) declined to pray in a Christian house of worship when he liberated Jerusalem in 638 CE out of fear that other Muslims might want to follow his example and take over the church. He thus prayed on the ground outside the church.

'Umar's action then ensured that the [Church of the Holy Sepulchre](#) remained open for use by Christians till today and since 'Umar's time the keys to the church are kept by a Muslim family save for a period of about a century when Jerusalem was under Crusader rule.

Open your mind, ekompute. My 6 year-old niece is doing that with the computer. You and people like you should too.

By Kelly  on [January 11, 2010 2:48 PM](#)

Tun, Peace be unto you.

Your wisdom hath proven to be true. It is indeed unfortunate that our Malaysian people still has a (LOOONG) distance to go before debates of a sensitive nature can be held in peaceful manner without someone becoming angry and destructive. I met with a few Christians after the bombing incidents. I playfully said to them "Are you not afraid of being bombed?" One replied to me "Those people who did that are 'kolot'". Another said "If they don't want me to call my God, Allah.. oklah.. I can call Him, Father in Heaven". Some showed anger on their faces, and some made light jokes on the whole affair even though it is easy to tell that they too are disappointed. I am saddened thoroughly. To me Allah/YHVH/Father in Heaven is but ONE and the same. So to me stopping a group of people mentioning the name of AlMighty God is like lifting a finger against AlMighty GOD.

Reading through the blog I can see words of the following nature: 'sudah naik kepala', 'menumpang.', 'Yahudi bangsat', 'keji' etc etc.

Thinking through all this made me come to this conclusion. It seems our PRIDE is the stumbling block which prevented us to see right for right and wrong for wrong.

(The following is courtesy of Kelly Norain (One which was born not of flesh and blood nor hath a soul... LOL)(LOL means laughing out loud)...

"O believers, take not PRIDE as raiment. Better it be to thee, if thou spend thy time in praising the AlMighty (Allah/YHVH/Father in Heaven). Make not mischief on the earth if thou be true to your faith. For Satan (Syaitan) seeks to bring you to your doom in the same manner in which he was doomed."

"O believers, give thanks to God (Allah/YHVH/Father in Heaven) every minute of your life. For God hath chosen you to life. Think thou that your righteousness which ye send before thee to God be enough to secure you life? Think thou your righteousness is greater than that of Satan before his fall? (For great was the righteousness of Satan before his PRIDE made him fall from the graces of the AlMighty). Nay, and nay again...It is but the grace of God Almighty (Allah/YHVH/Father in Heaven) that thou receiveth life. Be thankful. Do not let PRIDE bring you to misfortune and everlasting flames (Hell)".

"O you who bombed the church... Do you think you did right in the sight of God (Allah/YHVH/Father in Heaven)? Have you read this? 'And of mankind are some who say: We believe in Allah and the Last Day when they believe not. They think to beguile Allah and those who believe, and they beguile none save themselves, but THEY PERCIEVE NOT. In their hearts is a disease... And when it is said unto them: MAKE NOT MISCHIEF IN THE EARTH, they say: We are but peacemakers only. Beware! they indeed are mischief makers. But THEY PERCIEVE NOT.'(Surah Al-Baqara verse 8-12). It may have been meant for a diferent group of people in olden times. But how know you that this does not apply now?"

Tun, forgive me.

Forgive me for using your space to preach. But I was compelled to this. It saddened me to see my fellows so near to the burning Flames and yet they perceive it not. I had to warn them.

Peace be unto you, Tun.

P/s: YHVH is the name which should not be mentioned without much fear in Jewish tradition.

By Rentap80  on [January 11, 2010 12:56 PM](#)

By kenmasters_413 wrote :

[This issue regarding the use of the "Allah" in non-Muslim organizations is immature on both sides. And that there are many consequences as a result of this debate. But any how here are my views. If anyone finds my research to be inadequate, please comment.]

Dear Kenmasters, another hollow proclamations. According to Peshitta Aramaic Testaments, there are over 30 verses contain the clear message that the divine name of God is YHVH. While in the Greek manuscripts the words "kurios" (Lord) or "theos" (God) were used. So where is the word "Allah"? Please point one out for me.

As to you claim that Arabs Christians are allowed to use the word "Allah", well you have to study the geography, the location and the time that brought about the usage of the word into Arabic churches. The Arab Christians decided to adopt the word in order to curry favor with the Muslims... so that the Muslims left them alone.

As for the Christians in Borneo, similar to Indonesians, somebody made a grave translation, that's all... with vocabularies being very limited. But even so, these Christians are able to "use them properly".

But why the Herald decided to use it and in the process infuriating the Muslims? What's wrong with using Tuhan instead?

By Gopal Raj Kumar  on [January 11, 2010 12:43 PM](#)

There is every need for us not to play into the hands of extremists and incompetent jurists who would seek to exploit the divisions in our society created in the face of what is clearly a provocation on the one hand and an abject misunderstanding of the Federal Constitution on the other even so far as it reaches and infects the bench.

The flawed decision of High Court Justice Bee Lian Lau is analysed in the following article which I commend to your readership:

<http://takemon.wordpress.com/2010/01/11/bee-lian-lau-what-was-she-thinking/>

Gopal Raj Kumar

By hermiacs  on [January 11, 2010 11:39 AM](#)

dear brothers and sisters,
i'm so ashamed of what is happening to all of us.
as a Christian, i'm calling all my brothers and sisters in Christ to stay HUMBLE and remain CALM. love your neighbours as you love yourself.
remember, THOU SHALT NOT TAKE THE NAME OF THE LORD THY GOD IN VAIN.

By Rentap80  on [January 11, 2010 11:25 AM](#)

V for Vendetta wrote:

[The word "Allah" has been used by the Christians for years now, but why has it become an issue only now?]

Please stop making hollow proclamations such as this. There is no such thing. According to Greek Primacies the Bible was written originally in Greek by the Apostles even though Jesus and his apostles spoke an old form of Aramaic. Unless you want to go for the Peshitta Text (and other Aramaic texts) but Church abhors these texts. So what the hell does the word do in the Malaysian Bible for?

By antikmalaya  on [January 11, 2010 10:58 AM](#)

Tun yang dikasihi,

BREAKING NEWS.

- 1) I had recently being informed that PAS will not make a statement in agreeable to UMNO on this issue.
- 2) Hadi of PAS decided to allow the use of Allah by other religions subject to no abuse in usage. Surprisingly, Nik Aziz and Haron Din made a contrary statement. Including Nasharuddin as well. Hassan Ali is now in dilemma whether to accept Hadi's statement because he is worried of his position in PAS. Kesian, pangkat lebih penting dari Allah!
- 3) Which is important now? Politic or Religion?
- 4) PAS is now trapped by his own game of politic. PAS had to give-in on the use of Allah by the Catholic because it wanted to remain popular amongst the rakyat. But, is PAS going to be popular in Allah's eyes? I'm sorry for them. Their politic is killing their future very soon. If what Hadi said is acceptable, then why it was not propagated before. Infact, UMNO was labeled as kafir for associating with MCA. The pig is another issue. Before, PAS condemned UMNO for allowing pig farm but it seems the "HUKUM" has changed for them. What a HYPOCRITE!
- 5) On the other hand, Najib is trapped by his 1Malaysia concept as the rakyat is using this ideology for equality in every aspects inclusive of religion.
- 6) Ha,ha,ha,ha,ha..... They are the clowns of their own doing.
- 7) I trust you have the solution! Melayu itu hebat!

By kenmasters_413  on [January 11, 2010 9:16 AM](#)

Dear Tun,

First of all, it's a great honour to be able to express myself on your blog. You are by far the best PM the country has ever had the privilege to be led by.

This issue regarding the use of the "Allah" in non-Muslim organizations is immature on both sides. And that there are many consequences as a result of this debate. But any how here are my views. If anyone finds my research to be inadequate, please comment.

I am a christian and I'm also taking up the Arab language. I find that there is the word God is Allah in Arabic. I asked some of my Christian-Arab friends and they said that the Arabic word for God is Allah. The word even exist during pre-Islamic times. Further proving that this a question of language and not a question of religion.

We have always used the word Allah in our Bahasa Melayu translated bibles. Why should be a problem now and not back then? All this is because one Muslim thinks it's a big deal one Christian entertaining the idea and further adding fuel to the fire. Personally, I think the Malaysian-Christians can make do without the Allah word and just substitute it for Tuhan instead. I understand that means rewriting all our texts but is it worth fighting for a single word at the expense of national security? The bible says "Give the Caesar that which belongs to Caesar. And give to god that which belongs to God". The name of God should not be our

concern but our faith in God should. If His name is so important, then the Jehovah's Witnesses are right and that we should call God by His given name, Jehovah.

Another thing I would like to point out is the High Court's decision to allow non-Islamic Organizations to use the word Allah. What were they thinking?. I know that it should be allowed because of religious truth but no at cost of religious harmony! Now the government is in a very tight situation. If they continue to stand on their decision, there will more violence, further tarnishing the good name of Islam. But if they were to change their minds and ban the use of Allah word, it will create the perception that if the citizens are not satisfied with the government's decision, they will only have to stage a violent protest and their demands will be met. This is not how we should live.

I hope that tun will bring this matter forward and come to a solution that will solve this problem once and for all. I know that with your wisdom and knowledge you will find a way. To all citizens, I just want to say that it was not Islam that attacked those churches but a group of uneducated uniformed people who resort to violence and terrorism to get what they want. I wouldn't even say it's Islam or a Muslim. Just people. Forgive them and pray for them. We can't blame the religion but the corrupt minds of people who manipulate the religion to their own whims.

My regards to you Tun and your family. Take care and God bless.

By besut  on [January 11, 2010 9:07 AM](#)

"By peterpanda on January 9, 2010 2:34 AM

since they insist on using the word "Allah" for the malay bible, why not use "Siva" or "Krishna" for the tamil bible and "budha" for the mandarin bible?

Arent they gods too? or at least, the ones that people worship.

why not replace the word 'god' in the bible with those words?

oh wait.they wont do such thing. they know the consequences of doing it.

but what is this issue all about then?"

**peterpanda, you have put this issue in the right direction...why dont they??it's simply becoz they also think of sensitivity of their fellow indians and chinese. but when it come to Islam or malays, they will always want to argue with emotions, and undermine the points of Islam.

Please all Christian people..you all need to remove the hatred in your heart with Zam-Zam Water..then only you know why muslims in Malaysia will go all out to defend our points!!!

Besut

By [chukail](#)  on [January 11, 2010 4:49 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum kepada Tun dan pembaca sekalian,

Hi Numenclature, (I hope you can understand Bahasa Melayu)

Objektif penulisan saya kali ini adalah untuk menerangkan kepada orang Keristian Malaysia kenapa ada orang Muslim Malaysia tak setuju dengan penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh gereja Katolik.

Selang beberapa hari kebelakangan ini terdengar pulak berita tentang Surau dan Gereja kena “serang”. Yang menariknya, belum ada tangkapan ke atas mana-mana individu. Kita tidak menolak kemungkinan bahawa orang yang melaksanakan “projek” ini adalah terdiri dari kumpulan yang sama. Surau dwang serang, gereja pun kemungkinan dwang jugak yang menyerang.

Motifnya jelas, sebab untuk melihat Negara ini jadi huru-hara. Proses untuk menjadikan insiden serangan sebagai pemangkin kepada sesuatu yang lebih dahsyat adalah menjadi matlamat jelas mereka. Usaha-usaha “murni” mereka ini mendapat liputan yang meluas oleh media antarabangsa yang rata-rata dimiliki oleh Zionist dan Negara Barat.

Sebenarnya isu ini bukan baharu bagi Negara barat seperti di Eropah atau di Amerika, cuma pendekatan “penghinaan” yang di laksanakan agak berbeza. Di luar Negara mereka mencerca dan menghina Allah secara ‘direct’ tetapi di Malaysia gereja Katolik mengantikan perkataan Lord atau Father kepada Allah. Kita jangan hairan kalau orang bukan Islam tidak faham kenapa masyarakat Islam Malaysia ramai yang tidak bersetuju berbanding yang setuju.

Dan anda orang Keristian Malaysia mungkin terlalu hairan kenapa ada orang Muslim Malaysia tak suka....dengan keputusan Mahkamah yang menentukan kedudukan Pencipta...(teruskan membaca)

Lagi sekali ingin saya nyatakan disini saya ingin membantu sahabat-sahabat saya yang bukan Islam sebab pada pendapat saya orang Islam dah tahu sebab tu mereka tak setuju Gereja gunakan kalimah Allah. Kepada orang Islam anggap lah ini penerangan yang berbentuk “basic” sebab kalau nak lebih advance anda pergi lah tanya sendiri dengan ulamak yang bijak pandai di Jabatan Ugama Islam ok.

SOALAN KEPADA ORANG ISLAM : KENAPA ORANG ISLAM MARAH SANGAT GEREJA NAK GUNAKAN NAMA ALLAH UNTUK UPACARA RASMI YE?

Dalam Islam semua Muslim di wajib untuk belajar mengenal sifat ALLAH dan sifat ini ada 20 semuanya. Kalau saya nak citer panjang so kalau anda (bukan Islam) nak tahu buku penerangan mengenai nya ada di jual di kedai buku atau kalau anda rasa susah sangat nak dapatkan nya pergi je ke mana-mana masjid atau madrasah mintak satu. Kalau ada masjid yang tak nak beri, anda tuliskan dalam laman blog ini nama masjid itu.

Atau anda boleh dapatkan disini: http://joerzack.tripod.com/SIFAT_20.htm

SIFAT 20 ALLAH. Di sini saya hanya ajak untuk lihat sebahagian sahaja ... saya ajak bincang 4 sifat sahaja

#4 Mukhalafatuhulilhawadits : Tidak sama dengan yang baharu (Nothing is like unto him)

#5 Qiyaamuhu binafsih: berdiri sendiri (free from Any Needs)

#6 Wahdaniyah: Tunggal (Unique in Existence)

#7 Qudrat: yang berkuasa. (Exalted in Power)

Saya ingin mengajak saudara-saudara bukan Islam untuk bersama-sama renung makna pada penerangan perengkat “tadika” saya ini dan kita buat comparative yang logik dengan JESUS CHRIST yang anda anggap TUHAN atau ANAK TUHAN itu. Mari kita bincangkan sebahagian sahaja dari SIFAT 20 ALLAH.

#4 Mukhalafatuhulhawadits : Tidak sama dengan yang baharu (Nothing is like unto him)

KENAPA DUNIA ISLAM TAK SETUJU, SEBAB

Ada banyak sifat-sifat Tuhan yang orang Keristian anggap sebagai ...the Ultimate Truth jelas contradicting ... Sila teruskan membaca di bawah ini

#5 QIYAAMUHU BINAFSIH : berdiri sendiri (free from any needs)

Secara Global anda pasti bersetuju bahawa Tuhan wajib mempunyai KUALITI bebas dari segala keperluan.

KENAPA DUNIA ISLAM TAK SETUJU, SEBAB

JESUS CHRIST bukan tuhan sebab beliau ada keperluan seperti makan, minum (mungkin kena pergi tandas untuk buang air besar) , tidor, pakaian, bumi untuk di pijak (banyaknya keperluan beliau) sudah tentu itu bukan sifat-sifat Pencipta. Mengatakan Allah ada anak pun dah contradicting with free from any needs.

#6 WAHDANIYAH : Tunggal (Unique in existence... No beginning ...No End)

Hanya Pencipta sahaja yang ada kualiti/sifat seperti ini.

KENAPA DUNIA ISLAM TAK SETUJU, SEBAB

Jesus Christ ada permulaan dan Beliau di lahirkan melalui faraj wanita (kalau anda normal anda pasti berasa malu ...dapat Tuhan macamtu)..... kenapa anda (bukan Islam) tidak memilih Adam sahaja sebab beliau tiada BAPA tiada IBU.

#7 Quدرات : yang berkuasa. (Exalted in Power) Maha berkuasa atas segala sesuatu.

KENAPA DUNIA ISLAM TAK SETUJU, SEBAB

Seluruh umat Keristian tahu bahawa Jesus telah di belot oleh salah seorang pengikutnya yang bernama Judas. Mudahnya “TUHAN” kena tipu dan “TUHAN” kena buli pulak dengan orang Yahudi yang jahat. Tuhan boleh di tipu oleh "Ciptaan"nya sendiri. “TUHAN” kena tangkap dan masuk “court” kena bicara, “TUHAN” yang didapati bersalah dan akhirnya “TUHAN” yang di hukum SALIB sampai mati? “TUHAN” yang terpaksa menyamar pakai baju tukang kebun sebab takut kena tangkap"TUHAN" yang Penakut ????

Kalau anda (bukan Islam) rasa Anak Tuhan atau Tuhan perlu mati ...

Kalau begitu tidak kah “Kematian” adalah equal or better than God? Fikir kawan-kawan Ku Jika anda berasa “marah” dengan pendedahan makna fakta daripada Bible anda sendiri, anda masyarakat Keristian sepatutnya mampu memahami kenapa orang Mukmin Malaysia marah.

Ini adalah kerana anda akan mengkaitkan Allah sesuai dengan Bible anda sendiri. Ini akan menjadikan seolah-olah Allah juga seperti yang saya sebutkan di atas. Sangat-sangat memual dan mengAibkan samasekali... dan adalah tidak benar kami akan berasa teruja untuk menganut ajaran Keristian anda itu.

You tell us now ... can any Muslim in the right sense be able to accept Christianity usage of "Kalimah Allah" if the above is the kind of God that you want us to swallow ... please ... please ... be more sensible please listen to your heart not to your brain. ... bcoz your heart should be able to feel it

Yes, we do agreed Al Mighty Allah is the Creator for everything in these Universe. Benar Allah adalah Pencipta untuk semua orang but please have respect for the true quality of the Al Mighty.

Be fair ... if you must put a name to your Unnamed God here is the perfect candidate from your own Bible For your Malaysian Catholic Church

“ For this Melchisedec, King of Salem, Priest of the Most High God Without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life: (Hebrews 7:1,3)

Nah di dalam BiBle ada candidate yang jauh lebih hebat dari Nabi Adam Bukan karangan saya tetapi adalah fakta dari dalam BiBle King James Version sendiri.

Kepada Jabatan Ugama Islam ... saya yang jahil ini telah di fahamkan ... elakkan cerita hal-hal comparative Religion Study kepada orang awam... isu sensitive nihhh.... Nah sekarang sampai Gereja dan Madrasah dah pun kena serang ... yang mana lebih sensitive ye ...?

Kalau di izinkan selepas ini saya ingin untuk menulis asal usul The Father ... The Son ... & The Holy Ghost...

Tun, thank you very much for allowing my comment to appear again, please take care, we all love you.

By Lil S  on [January 11, 2010 4:18 AM](#)

Well, I've made a blog post regarding this issue.

Would appreciate it if you take a look at it. (click [here](#) to read)

I'd like to have some comments made in my blog if possible. And really, what is wrong with us?

Thank you for your time.

By V for Vendetta  on [January 11, 2010 3:03 AM](#)

Good Day Tun,

I grew up in this country (80's Baby) under your administration and was always proud to know the wonderful work you've done to make this country what it is today. But I am disappointed in the recent issues that has struck us. The word "Allah" has been used by the Christians for years now, but why has it become an issue only now?. Only one reason. "Paranoia" by a certain community in this country. We have never tried to convert anyone neither do we have a law that says you need to convert into christianity if you marry one. The word Allah is an Arabic word also used by Christians in countries like Saudi Arabia and Dubai, so why are we not allowed to use a word that is not owned or created by this country. We are only interested in minding our own business and worshipping our very own God, but sadly because of what has been given to certain people by the government has only made them think they have the power over us just

because of special rights. We all fought to be here and achieved Independence together, but sadly the majority says we do not belong here, forgetting we're partly the reason this country is what it is today. I feel sorry for them.

By Jebat  on [January 11, 2010 2:41 AM](#)

Assalamuallaikum Tun,

Hari ni saya baca suratkhbar tentang "ganti rugi" berkaitan hal gereja ni..Saya sungguh tak puas hati.

Siapa yang bakar gereja tu masih tanda soal, dah nak bagi RM500,000 untuk renovate gereja. Baru 2 hari peristiwa tu berlaku... cepat sungguh orang Melayu kita ni nak tolong.

Mesjid-mesjid and surau-surau kena curi barang2 ni kira kedengaran di mana-mana dan dah lama kita dengar. Sampai nak sembahyang pun mesjid kena kunci rapat. Tak de pulak dengar orang nak bagi duit untuk ganti. Orang Kristian nak bagi ke... jauh sekali, orng Islam kita pun susah, bila nak pilihanraya baru terhegeh-hegeh nak bagi. Dengan rumah Allah pun nak kira "personel interest"

Kalau duit tu datang dari poket kocek sendiri, saya tak kisah sebab itu dia punya. Jadi jawab le sendiri kemudian hari nanti. Ini nak bagi duit rakyat. Majority adalah orang Melayu, termasuk duit saya jugak. Tak halal dunia akhirat.

Duit rakyat digunakan untuk kegunaan rakyat bukan untuk gunaan individu. Utk mesjid lain le, dah termaktub dalam perlembagaan, Islam agama rasmi. Duit rakyat perlu digunakan untuk melaksanakan apa yang termaktub di perlembagaan....macam sekolah Cina dan sekolah tamil.

Tunggang terbalik jadinya Malaysia ni akhir-akhir.

p/s

- 1) Agak-agak peristiwa Ambon di Indonesia tu, ada tak orang bayar ganti rugi?
- 2) Di Amerika selepas 9/11, banyak mesjid dirosakkan. Ada ke orang Amerika bayar ganti rugi?
- 3) Di Selatan Thailand, banyak mesjid di rosakkan dan berlobang-lobang ditembak, orang Thailand bayar ke ganti rugi?

...Kalau le di bayar, dlm masa dua hari ke?

Pelik-pelik..kenapa tak suruh orang yang rosakkan tu bayar ! KENAPA KAMI YANG KENA BAYAR ????? (tax payer !)

"Ya Allah, lindungilah negara Malaysiaku ini, keluarga-keluarga kami and diri kami dari Syaitan yang direjam."

Wassalam

By mgpunya  on [January 10, 2010 11:26 PM](#)

YABhg Tun,

It would be interesting what our retired Principal an Irish Brother at our former Mission School had to say about all this...

Can't recalled them calling for...Allah..during prayers in any of our School's assemblies back then in the early 60s.

By [Conscience](#) on [January 10, 2010 11:09 PM](#)

Church Attacks In Malaysia

1. Demonstrations have been held by several Muslim organisations to protest the court ruling to allow the word "Allah" to be used in Christian publications
2. The Prime Minister of Malaysia has been reported to say that such demonstrations must be allowed for citizens have a right to freedom of speech & expression. Malaysian Police stood by & did nothing as they should
3. In 2009, when Malaysian Indians demonstrated to protest the demolition of several Hindu temples, Malaysian Police broke the demonstrations up & several Malaysian Indians were arrested under the Internal Security Act
4. Freedom of speech & expression is only for Malays in Malaysia. Different rules apply to Malaysians of other races
5. Molotov cocktails were thrown on Churches in various parts of Malaysia
6. All heads of religious organisations have called for calm & rightfully so
7. I wonder what will happen if molotov cocktails are thrown on mosques by a few irresponsible non Muslims
8. People living in glass houses should not throw stones
9. Malaysia has the potential to achieve so much, but the stupidity of present & glorious past politicians continues to divide Malaysians

By [mgpunya](#) on [January 10, 2010 11:05 PM](#)

YABhg Tun,

FREEDOM WORKS BOTH WAYS.....

By [SherlockHolmes](#) on [January 10, 2010 10:07 PM](#)

Nampaknya umat Islam terutamanya dari semenanjung malaysia masih jahil tentang penggunaan Allah di Malaysia. Umpama seperti katak dibawah tempurung. Perkataan Allah telah lama digunakan oleh umat Kristian di Sabah, Sarawak, Brunei dan Indonesia. Selama ini tidak pernah menjadi isu terutamanya di kepulauan borneo dan sebahagian Indonesia. Orang Kristian di Sabah dan Sarawak hidup aman damai dikalangan orang Islam dan malahan ramai orang Islam di Sabah dan Sarawak tahu bahawa orang Kristian sudah lama menggunakan perkataan Allah dalam Injil bahasa melayu tetapi tidak pernah pun memprotes malah saling menghormati diantara satu sama lain. Nampaknya Malaysia tidak ubah seperti pemerintahan Taliban terutamanya oleh orang melayu di semenanjung Malaysia.

Saya juga ingin menekankan bahawa dalam Kristian hanya Satu Allah yang disembah dan tiada yang lain. Trinity hanya satu konsep keperibadian Allah yang berbeda tetapi merujuk kepada satu Allah Yang Mencipta Langit dan Bumi. Sukar untuk menjelaskan kepada rakan muslim sekalian kerana "mindset" kalian sudah dibentuk untuk mengatakan bahawa Kristian menyembah banyak tuhan dari kecil.

Selama saya hidup hingga kini belum pernah sekalipun saya dengar paderi atau pastor di gereja mengajar umat Kristian untuk membenci atau tidak menghormati agama lain walaupun tidak seiman dengan kami. Ini kerana dalam kitab Injil telah mengajarkan setiap umat Kristian untuk saling mengasihi dan mengampuni diantara satu sama lain sekalipun terhadap musuh. Sesuatu harus dilakukan untuk memperbetulkan salah faham umat Islam terhadap umat Kristian.

Saya secara peribadi akan tetap menggunakan perkataan Allah dalam gereja, penulisan dan dalam doa dalam sepanjang hayat saya sebagai orang Kristian kerana penggunaannya sudah sekian lama sebelum saya lahir walau apapun keputusan mahkamah atau kerajaan selepas ini. Saya tidak akan keliru akan Allah yang saya sembah dalam Kristian dan tahu membezakannya dengan allah agama lain. Kejadian percubaan pembakaran gereja baru-baru ini tidak sedikitpun menggugat keimanan saya malah iman saya makin kuat kerana saya tahu akhir zaman semakin hampir dan sudah dinyatakan dalam Injil bahawa ramai orang akan menentang umat Kristian dimana-mana sahaja. Walaupun orang Kristian di Malaysia umpama seekor domba ditengah-tengah serigala namun umat Kristian tetap teguh seperti Daud menentang Goliath. Sekian.

By wan puteh  on [January 10, 2010 9:40 PM](#)

Saya berdoa semoga Allah memberi petunjuk kepada semua umat Islam di Malaysia. Dan mudah2an penggunaan nama Allah ini tidak berjaya kepada orang2 Kristian di sini. Jika dengan penggunaan nama Allah ini bertujuan untuk menyesatkan kaum lain, maka tidak salah buat kaum lain ini untuk membalas dan berdebat tentang hal yang benar.

Penggunaan nama Allah oleh bangsa Arab memang sepatutnya, kerana bahasa pertuturan mereka ialah bahasa Arab. Dan bagaimana mereka hendak menukar gantikan nama tuhan 'Allah' itu kepada perkataan menggambarkan Tuhan. Sesiapa yang fasih berbahasa Arab, sila jelaskan.

Kepada 'orang Kristian' yang telah mendapat idea untuk menukar nama Tuhan kepada 'Allah' saya berharap tuan2 dapatlah memberi alasan yang logik dan bernas untuk sebab berbuat demikian.

By Shaikino  on [January 10, 2010 8:36 PM](#)

Peace,

In the name of GOD, Most Gracious, Most Merciful.

EVIDENCE PROOFS THE WORD “ALLAH” IN ARABIC (GOD) ARE FOR ALL USERS.

It is surprising to notice that most of these Muslim brothers and/or sisters feels offended and do not know that the word "Allah" is the Arabic word "God". Many of them believe that "Allah" is the name of the Muslim God. They do not realize that the word "Allah" does not belong exclusively to the Muslims and that it has always been used before (and after) Islam by the Arabic-speaking Jews and Christians when they speak about God.

The weaknesses of the Muslims in their religion of Islam is the facts due to the lack of reading in their own language to understand it rather keeping on reading Quran in Arabic texts without understanding it since when they were a young child till an old adult. They even prefer to have Quran reading competition in Arabic rather in their own language. They claimed by reading the Quran in Arabic will eventually make them more righteousness even though they don't understand it. It is like the donkey carrying great works of literature.

[26:198] If we revealed this to people who do not know Arabic.

[26:199] And had him recite it (in Arabic), they could not possibly believe in it.

[54:17] We made the Quran easy to learn. Does any of you wish to learn?

[44:58] We have thus clarified it in your language, that they may take heed.

There is ONLY one God. His name in English is God, in French: un dieu, in Italian: dio, in German: Gott, in Spanish: Dios, in Portuguese: Deus, in Arabic: Allah, in Hebrew: Yahweh, in Aramaic : alaha, and in Malay: Tuhan.

All these are no more than the names of God in different languages To this day the Christian Arabs pray to Allah and talk about Allah. They called Him Allah even before the coming of the Quran in Arabic and they know they were not worshipping any moon god. Those who still speak Jesus language (Aramaic/syriac) call God alaha to this day like Jesus did. Jesus did not pray to a moon god but to alaha (Allah), the One and Only God.

The one who initially submitted in submission (Islam) religion was our father Abraham. He was the one who named Submitter (Muslim) originally for us.

[16:120] Abraham was indeed an exemplary vanguard in his submission (Islam) to GOD (Allah), a monotheist who never worshiped idols.

[22:78] You shall strive for the cause of GOD (Allah) as you should strive for His cause. He has chosen you and has placed no hardship on you in practicing your religion - the religion of your father Abraham. He is the one who named you "Submitters" (Muslim) originally. Thus, the messenger shall serve as a witness among you, and you shall serve as witnesses among the people. Therefore, you shall observe the Contact Prayers (Salat) and give the obligatory charity (Zakat), and hold fast to GOD (Allah); He is your Lord, the best Lord and the best Supporter.

[3:95] Say, "GOD (Allah) has proclaimed the truth: You shall follow Abraham's religion - monotheism. He never was an idolater."

What does Submission (Islam) mean : Submission is a religion whereby one recognizes God's (Allah) absolute authority, and reaches a conviction that only God (Allah) possesses all power; no other entity possesses any power that is independent of Him. The natural result of such a realization is to devote one's life and one's worship absolutely to God (Allah) alone. This is the First Commandment in all the scriptures, including the Old Testament, the New Testament and the Final Testament (The Quran). The religion is called Submission in English, Islam in Arabic, and Samarpaan in Sanskrit, amongst other things -- Whatever the equivalent to Submission to God Alone in its respective languages.

Quran: [4:36] You shall worship GOD alone - do not associate anything with Him.

BIBLE: [Exodus 20:3] (One of the Commandments) "You shall not have no other gods before me."

Quran: [42:9] Did they find other lords beside Him? GOD is the only Lord and Master. He is the One who resurrects the dead, and He is the Omnipotent One.

BIBLE: [Isaiah 46:9] "Remember the former things, those of long ago; I am God, and there is no other; I am God, and there is none like me."

Qur'an: [112:1-4] Proclaim, "He is the One and only GOD. "The Absolute GOD." Never did He beget. Nor was He begotten." None equals Him."

BIBLE: [Isaiah 45:5] "I am the Lord, and there is no other; apart from me there is no God."

God (Allah) had approved "Submission" (Islam) religion since Abraham.

Khalifa:

[3:19] The only religion approved by GOD (Allah) is "Submission" (Islam). Ironically, those who have received the scripture are the ones who dispute this fact, despite the knowledge they have received, due to jealousy. For such rejectors of GOD's (Allah) revelations, GOD (Allah) is most strict in reckoning.

Yusuf Ali:

[3:19] The Religion before God is Islam (submission to His Will): Nor did the People of the Book dissent therefrom except through envy of each other, after knowledge had come to them. But if any deny the Signs of God, God is swift in calling to account.

Subsequent generation of submitters (muslims) preached Submission (Islam) the one same Supreme God (Allah).

[2:132] Moreover, Abraham exhorted his children to do the same, and so did Jacob: "O my children, GOD (Allah) has pointed out the religion for you; do not die except as submitters (muslims)."

[2:133] Had you witnessed Jacob on his death bed; he said to his children, "What will you worship after I die?" They said, "We will worship your god; the god of your fathers Abraham, Ismail, and Isaac; the one god. To Him we are submitters (muslims)."

God (Allah) sent down to all messengers and messenger Prophets are one and the same revelation and make no distinction among any of them.

[2:136] Say, "We believe in GOD (Allah), and in what was sent down to us, and in what was sent down to Abraham, Ismail, Isaac, Jacob, and the Patriarchs; and in what was given to Moses and Jesus, and all the prophets from their Lord. We make no distinction among any of them. To Him alone we are submitters (muslims)."

[42:13] He decreed for you the same religion decreed for Noah, and what we inspired to you, and what we decreed for Abraham, Moses, and Jesus: "You shall uphold this one religion, and do not

divide it." The idol worshipers will greatly resent what you invite them to do. GOD (Allah) redeems to Himself whomever He wills; He guides to Himself only those who totally submit.

Example Moses as submitter (muslim).

[2:53] Recall that we gave Moses scripture and the statute book, that you may be guided.

[5:44] We have sent down the Torah,* containing guidance and light. Ruling in accordance with it were the Jewish prophets, as well as the rabbis and the priests, as dictated to them in GOD's (Allah) scripture, and as witnessed by them. Therefore, do not reverence human beings (Muhammad, Abraham, Jesus, Holy Spirit, Moses, Ezra, Buddha, statues and etc); you shall reverence Me instead. And do not trade away My revelations for a cheap price. Those who do not rule in accordance with GOD's (Allah) revelations are the disbelievers.

[10:84] Moses said, "O my people, if you have really believed in GOD (Allah), then put your trust in Him, if you are really submitters (muslims)."

Jewish Arabs also pray to Allah and talk about Allah just like an English person talks about God.

Example Jesus as submitter (muslim).

[4:171] O people of the scripture, do not transgress the limits of your religion, and do not say about GOD (Allah) except the truth. The Messiah, Jesus, the son of Mary, was a messenger of GOD (Allah), and His word that He had sent to Mary, and a revelation from Him. Therefore, you shall believe in GOD (Allah) and His messengers. You shall not say, "Trinity." You shall refrain from this for your own good. GOD (Allah) is only one god. Be He glorified; He is much too glorious to have a son. To Him belongs everything in the heavens and everything on earth. GOD (Allah) suffices as Lord and Master.

[5:46] Subsequent to them, we sent Jesus, the son of Mary, confirming the previous scripture, the Torah. We gave him the Gospel, containing guidance and light, and confirming the previous scriptures, the Torah, and augmenting its guidance and light, and to enlighten the righteous.

[3:52] When Jesus sensed their disbelief, he said, "Who are my supporters towards GOD (Allah)?" The disciples said, "We are GOD's (Allah) supporters; we believe in GOD (Allah), and bear witness that we are submitters (muslims)."

Scholars believe that Jesus spoke mostly Aramaic, although sometimes he spoke Hebrew and he might have spoken Greek on some occasions. If Jesus spoke Aramaic, then he referred to God using basically the same word that is used in Arabic.

Example Muhammad as submitter (muslim) to follow the religion of Abraham.

[16:123] Then we inspired you (Muhammad) to follow the religion of Abraham,* the monotheist; he never was an idol worshiper.

Therefore all (Jews, Christians, and Muslims) preach one and the same Supreme God (Allah).

[29:46] Do not argue with the people of the scripture (Jews, Christians, and Muslims) except in the nicest possible manner - unless they transgress - and say, "We believe in what was revealed

to us and in what was revealed to you, and our god and your god is one and the same; to Him we are submitters (muslims)."

[3:64] Say, "O followers of the scripture, let us come to a logical agreement between us and you: that we shall not worship except GOD (Allah); that we never set up any idols besides Him, nor set up any human beings (Muhammad, Abraham, Jesus, Holy Spirit, Moses, Ezra, Buddha, statues and etc); as lords beside GOD (Allah)." If they turn away, say, "Bear witness that we are submitters (muslims)."

The subsequent generations who follow their respective Prophet translated into their respective languages regardless of their races. They may choose to use the word "Allah" (God) in their own languages. There is no restriction from God. We are one and the same human being generated from Adam.

[41:44] If we made it a non-Arabic Quran they would have said, "Why did it come down in that language?" Whether it is Arabic or non-Arabic, say, "For those who believe, it is a guide and healing. As for those who disbelieve, they will be deaf and blind to it, as if they are being addressed from faraway."

[12:2] We have revealed it in Arabic Quran, that you may understand.*

[13:37] We revealed these laws in Arabic, and if you ever acquiesce to their wishes, after this knowledge has come to you, you will have no ally, nor a protector, against GOD.

[26:198] If we revealed this to people who do not know Arabic.

[26:199] And had him recite it (in Arabic), they could not possibly believe in it.

[44:58] We have thus clarified it in your language, that they may take heed.

[46:12] Before this, the book of Moses provided guidance and mercy. This too is a scripture that confirms, in Arabic, to warn those who transgressed, and to give good news to the righteous.

For those who are truly righteous submitters (muslims) within Jewish, Christian and the converts will have nothing to fear, nor will they grieve.

[2:62] Surely, those who believe, those who are Jewish, the Christians, and the converts; anyone who (1) believes in GOD, and (2) believes in the Last Day, and (3) leads a righteous life, will receive their recompense from their Lord. They have nothing to fear, nor will they grieve.

[6:161] Say, "My Lord has guided me in a straight path - the perfect religion of Abraham, monotheism. He never was an idol worshiper."

[12:38] "And I followed instead the religion of my ancestors, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. We never set up any idols beside GOD. Such is the blessing from GOD upon us and upon the people, but most people are unappreciative.

From all the supporting evidences proof that all the messengers and messenger Prophets preached one and the same religion Submission (Islam) from the same Supreme God (Allah)

regardless what languages they use. For example Moses=Hebrew, Jesus=Aramaic, Hebrew or Greek, Muhammad=Arabic.

The Muslim guardian (leaders, ulamas, teachers, parents, king, scholars, mazhabs and etc) where they make fatwa as judgment for them. The word fatwa mean: Fatwa are formal legal opinions issued by a recognized religious legal authority. Fatwas are most frequently issued in response to questions about living everyday life in accordance with religious law.

[2:170] When they are told, "Follow what GOD (Allah) has revealed herein," they say, "We follow only what we found our parents doing." What if their parents did not understand, and were not guided?

[5:104] When they are told, "Come to what GOD (Allah) has revealed, and to the messenger," they say, "What we found our parents doing is sufficient for us." What if their parents knew nothing, and were not guided?

[6:159] Those who divide themselves into sects do not belong with you. Their judgment rests with GOD (Allah), then He will inform them of everything they had done.

[30:32] (Do not fall in idol worship,) like those who divide their religion into sects; each party rejoicing with what they have.

[42:14] Ironically, they broke up into sects only after the knowledge had come to them, due to jealousy and resentment among themselves. If it were not for a predetermined decision from your Lord to respite them for a definite interim, they would have been judged immediately. Indeed, the later generations who inherited the scripture are full of doubts.

When the Judgment Day of God (Allah), the disbeliever will say:

[33:67] They will also say, "Our Lord, we have obeyed our masters and leaders, but they led us astray.

[34:32] The leaders will say to those who followed them, "Are we the ones who diverted you from the guidance after it came to you? No; it is you who were wicked."

[34:33] The followers will say to their leaders, "It was you who schemed night and day, then commanded us to be unappreciative of GOD (Allah), and to set up idols (Muhammad, Jesus, Mary, saints, Ezra, statues and etc) to rank with Him." They will be ridden with remorse, when they see the retribution, for we will place shackles around the necks of those who disbelieved. Are they not justly requited for what they did?

The majority of the Muslims who gave their comments on this issue "Allah" in this chedet blog do not have solid proofs to support their claimed, they simply blah, blah and blah following their ego hatred anger. They even fabricated lies and attribute to God that the word "Allah" is exclusively to Muslim only. What a blasphemy?

[24:15] You fabricated it with your own tongues, and the rest of you repeated it with your mouths without proof. You thought it was simple, when it was, according to GOD (Allah), gross.

[7:71] He said, "You have incurred condemnation and wrath from your Lord. Do you argue with me in defense of innovations you have fabricated - you and your parents - which were never authorized by GOD (Allah)? Therefore, wait and I will wait along with you."

[18:5] They possess no knowledge about this, nor did their parents. What a blasphemy coming out of their mouths! What they utter is a gross lie.

[43:22] The fact is that: they said, "We found our parents carrying on certain practices, and we are following in their footsteps."

Every human being have brain to use and verify it for themselves but they take their guardian (leaders, ulamas, teachers, parents, king, scholars, mazhabs and etc) as lord to guide them.

[6:107] Had GOD (Allah) willed, they would not have worshiped idols. We did not appoint you as their guardian, nor are you their advocate.

[43:37] Such companions will divert them from the path, yet make them believe that they are guided.

It is the ignorant muslim ego as god.

[25:43] Have you seen the one whose god is his own ego? Will you be his advocate?

[45:23] Have you noted the one whose god is his ego? Consequently, GOD (Allah) sends him astray, despite his knowledge, seals his hearing and his mind, and places a veil on his eyes. Who then can guide him, after such a decision by GOD (Allah)? Would you not take heed?

God (Allah) had told us in the Quran that we are responsible to use our hearing, eyesight and brain to verify the Quran and receive the scripture personally.

[17:36] You shall not accept any information, unless you verify it for yourself. I have given you the hearing, the eyesight, and the brain, and you are responsible for using them.

[74:52] Does each one of them want to receive the scripture personally?

These are the major factors that cause the Muslims far astray toward the religion of Islam (Submission).

The Quran, because its prime and first addressees were the Arabs, used the word "Allah" for the Supreme God, as that had traditionally been the word used for the Supreme God in that language. The same had been the case in the older scriptures. Those scriptures, like the Quran, used those words for the Supreme God, which had already in vogue in those languages, to refer to the Supreme God.

However, there have been scholars of the Arabic language who ascribe to the opinion that "Allah" is the actual name of the Supreme God. It is indeed important to the evidence that they have provided into account. Nevertheless, I feel that to give God a name is a requirement of us, humans. God, being the absolute is in no need for a specific name or belong to any fabricated religions accept the religion (submitted to Him alone) Submission (Islam) that He approved.

[7:180] To GOD (Allah) belongs the most beautiful names; call upon Him therewith, and disregard those who distort His names. They will be requited for their sins.

[2:132] Moreover, Abraham exhorted his children to do the same, and so did Jacob: "O my children, GOD (Allah) has pointed out the religion for you; do not die except as submitters (muslim)."

[16:120] Abraham was indeed an exemplary vanguard in his submission (islam) to GOD, a monotheist who never worshiped idols.

God Bless

Shaikino

By adik adik  on [January 10, 2010 7:56 PM](#)

Allah God of all, Prophet Muhammad S.A.W the Messenger
Use it Do Not Abuse it.

Preach yr religion in your own boundary.
Do Not GO public, to respect and acknowledge each existence
Do it in yr own place of worship that are Democratically provided.
Christian, Catholic Use yr Church
Hindus in your Temple
Muslims in yr Mosque
Jews in yr Synagogue
Don't go public, if need to go public, do it quietly,
respects others believes and existence. You cannot shout loudly.

High Court has no right to approve and should reject such sensitive application for approval to publish ALLAH name in Herald in the first place. Court is a place of Human Justice not Believes.

Likewise if the Muslims NOT the Govt,
were to apply via High Court to disapprove the Catholic request
What will be the Court stands BE then.

I put the High Court responsible for this incidence.
They should not go beyond their foundation.

By mas.kelana  on [January 10, 2010 7:21 PM](#)

assalamualaikum

Tun. Istilah atau pengertian perkaaan Allah pada pendapat saya telah lari dari Al-Husna[99 nama Allah] ! God/Tuhan boleh dikategorikan kepada banyak penganan tuhan-tuhan agama lain - sebab itulah tidak terdapat nama ALLAH kitab Bible dan lain-lain kitab selain dari Quaran dan Hadis Nabi S.A.W. Jelas-lah perkaaan Allah timbul turun temurun dari ADAM dan Hawa, Oleh itu tidak menjadi larangan kepada agama lain menggunakannya. Bagi umat nabi Muhammad S.A.W yang mempunyai tekad Akidah Islamik yang cecal dan pragmatik tidak sekali-kali bertindak diluar batasan Islam ! ... terima kasih Tun

By mas.kelana  on [January 10, 2010 7:18 PM](#)

assalamualaikum

Tun. Istilah atau pengertian perkara Allah pada pendapat saya telah lari dari Al-Husna[99 nama Allah] ! God/Tuhan boleh dikategorikan kepada banyak penganut tuhan-tuhan agama lain - sebab itulah tidak terdapat nama ALLAH kitab Bible dan lain-lain kitab selain dari Quran dan Hadis Nabi S.A.W. Jelaslah perkara Allah timbul turun temurun dari ADAM dan Hawa, Oleh itu tidak menjadi larangan kepada agama lain menggunakannya. Bagi umat nabi Muhammad S.A.W yang mempunyai tekad Akidah Islamik yang cekat dan pragmatik tidak sekali-kali bertindak diluar batasan Islam ! ... terima kasih Tun

By ekompute  on [January 10, 2010 6:51 PM](#)

"Two arson bids in Taiping, black paint hurled at Malacca church," The Star Online says today at <http://thestar.com.my/news/story.asp?file=/2010/1/10/nation/20100110121327&sec=nation>

Is this what Islam is all about? If so, I would rather not want to know more about this religion, lest I would be turned into another unruly hooligan!

By daeng  on [January 10, 2010 6:44 PM](#)

Salam hormat Tun,

Saya amat setuju dengan penjelasan Tun ini terutama :

"Undang-undang tidak mengambilkira soal sensitif atau tidaknya sesuatu, soal mencetuskan ketegangan dan permusuhan antara penganut-penganut agama yang berlainan. Undang-undang mengutamakan maksud undang-undang sahaja."

Satu saja harapan saya Tun,

Saya mahukan UMNO dan PAS bersatu.

Jika ini menjadi kenyataan saya yakin PKR "Pakatan Kelentong Rakyat" akan hancur. Saya ramalkan Melayu akan lari ke UMNO / PAS. Di sini Melayu akan bersatu dan kuat kembali.

Saya menyeru pemimpin tertinggi UMNO dan PAS agar mencari pendekatan baru agar ke dua-dua parti ini kembali bersatu seperti adik beradik. Mungkin agak sukar untuk dicapai selagi PAS masih dikuatkan dengan pengaruh Nik Aziz yang sentiasa pro Anwar Ibrahim.

Pemimpin tertinggi PAS rasanya tiada masalah untuk berbaik dengan UMNO kecuali yg pro pada Anwar Ibrahim saja. Itu pun segelintir, jika Nik Aziz tiada lagi maka saya yakin mereka ini pun akan ikut lenyap taringnya.

Saya hairan mengapa UMNO dan PAS tidak boleh bersatu? Ia amat mustahil. Jika PAS dan DAP yang terlalu jauh berbeza idiloginya boleh duduk semeja tetapi mengapa UMNO dan PAS tidak boleh? Sedangkan mereka seagama dan sebangsa (hampir 100% sama).

Kepada pemimpin tertinggi UMNO dan PAS saya harap buanglah ego mereka dan fikirkanlah PENYATUAN MELAYU DAN ISLAM. Saya tidak mahu nasi akan menjadi bubuh dikemudian hari kelak.

TOLONGLAH.....

By comment  on [January 10, 2010 4:15 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

i'm just a reader of your blog and I would like to voice out a bit about this issue. Basically what we saw in media saying that church has been burned because christian uses the word 'Allah' in their newsletter. Even though they used the word "Allah", Muslims shouldn't be so aggressive until the stage that they have to burn the church.

As all of us know, Malaysia is an example of a good Islamic country to the world. So what would people think if of us if such thing happen? What would the christian thinks? Burning the church is like burning people house.

Eg. If A person burns B person house, don't you think A person will not be angry? Don't you think A person will not take revenge? The next few generations Of A person will sure think that last time, B person burn my ancestors house, then here they don't have unity.

Same goes to this issue, Malaysia is a multi racial country, I remember when I was small, i was told that Wawasan 2020 is to unite all the race in Malaysia. But what I see now, there wont be any unity among all races. All the races will just hate each other and how are we going to unite all of us together?

I just hope that this issue can be solved asap. I was born in Malaysia, and I'm very proud to be a Malaysian. I hope to stay at a peaceful country and not a country that every night I'm worry about attacks after me and stuff. Thanks.

By Melanau Warrior  on [January 10, 2010 4:09 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

Prophet Muhammad's Promise to Christians

The document is not a modern human rights treaty but even though it was penned in 628 A.D. it clearly protects the right to property, freedom of religion, freedom of work, and security of the person, says Muqtedar Khan.

Muslims and Christians together constitute over fifty percent of the world and if they lived in peace, we will be half way to world peace. One small step that we can take towards fostering Muslim-Christian harmony is to tell and retell positive stories and abstain from mutual demonization.

In this article I propose to remind both Muslims and Christians about a promise that Prophet Muhammed (pbuh) made to Christians. The knowledge of this promise can have enormous impact on Muslim conduct towards Christians. Muslims generally respect the precedent of their Prophet and try to practice it in their lives.

In 628 AD, a delegation from St. Catherine's Monastery came to Prophet Muhammed and requested his protection. He responded by granting them a charter of rights, which I reproduce below in its entirety. St. Catherine's Monastery is located at the foot of Mt. Sinai and is the world's oldest monastery. It possesses a huge collection of Christian manuscripts, second only to the Vatican, and is a world heritage site. It also boasts the oldest collection of Christian icons.

It is a treasure house of Christian history that has remained safe for 1400 years under Muslim protection. Now, when that delegation from St Catherine's monastery came to meet with Prophet Mohamad (pbuh), I suppose it's fair to assume that they spoke Arabic to one another. And when they were conversing, surely the word 'God' must have come up. As in "May God Be With You" and such like. What word did the Prophet (pbuh) use for 'God' I wonder? And what did the St Catherinians use in return? For monotheists like them, was there a 'your God' and 'my God' type of situation, or did they understand that they were both talking about the same One?

The Promise to St. Catherine:

"This is a message from Muhammad ibn Abdullah, as a covenant to those who adopt Christianity, near and far, we are with them.

Verily I, the servants, the helpers, and my followers defend them, because Christians are my citizens; and by God! I hold out against anything that displeases them.

No compulsion is to be on them. Neither are their judges to be removed from their jobs nor their monks from their monasteries. No one is to destroy a house of their religion, to damage it, or to carry anything from it to the Muslims' houses.

Should anyone take any of these, he would spoil God's covenant and disobey His Prophet.

Verily, they are my allies and have my secure charter against all that they hate.

No one is to force them to travel or to oblige them to fight. The Muslims are to fight for them. If a female Christian is married to a Muslim, it is not to take place without her approval. She is not to be prevented from visiting her church to pray. Their churches are to be respected. They are neither to be prevented from repairing them nor the sacredness of their covenants.

No one of the nation (Muslims) is to disobey the covenant till the Last Day (end of the world)."

The first and the final sentence of the charter are critical. They make the promise eternal and universal. Muhammed asserts that Muslims are with Christians near and far straight away rejecting any future attempts to limit the promise to St. Catherine alone. By ordering Muslims to obey it until the Day of Judgment the charter again undermines any future attempts to revoke the privileges. These rights are inalienable. Muhammed declared Christians, all of them, as his allies and he equated ill treatment of Christians with violating God's covenant.

A remarkable aspect of the charter is that it imposes no conditions on Christians for enjoying its privileges. It is enough that they are Christians. They are not required to alter their beliefs, they do not have to make any payments and they do not have any obligations. This is a charter of rights without any duties!

The document is not a modern human rights treaty but even though it was penned in 628 A.D. it clearly protects the right to property, freedom of religion, freedom of work, and security of the person. I know most readers, must be thinking so what? Well the answer is simple. Those who seek to foster discord among Muslims and Christians focus on issues that divide and emphasize areas of conflict. But when resources such as Muhammad's promise to Christians are invoked and highlighted it builds bridges. It inspires Muslims to rise above communal intolerance and engenders good will in Christians who might be nursing fear of Islam or Muslims.

When I look at Islamic sources, I find in them unprecedented examples of religious tolerance and inclusiveness. They make me want to become a better person. I think the capacity to seek good and do good inheres in all of us. When we subdue this predisposition towards the good, we deny our fundamental humanity. In this holiday season, I hope all of us can find time to look for something positive and worthy of appreciation in the values, cultures and histories of other peoples.

Dr. Muqtedar Khan is Director of Islamic Studies at the University of Delaware and a fellow of the Institute for Social Policy and Understanding.

Source:<http://pengayau.wordpress.com/>

By al-zarqawi  on [January 10, 2010 3:37 PM](#)

I see many religious plurist and liberals on both sides giving misleading comment on the Allah issue.

GOD in Trinity Christianity Faith will never be the same as GOD in Islam. Especially when it comes to Christians Catholics whom practise trinity in their faith. There will never be such concept as Trinity in an Islamic Tauhid. Where in trinity, god is also part man.

Yes both christians and muslims may believe that we are the decendents of Adams and eve (a very common Pas propaganda). But as long as God is the father,son and holy spirit in Trinity Doctrines as supported by the writings of Mainly ST. PAUL, muslims and Catholics christian are worshipping two very different GOD's here.

The omnipotence of Allah in Islam and God in Trinitarian Christianity carry very different meanings.

Just use the word tuhan would solve the entire problems. Majority of muslims will not accept Allah to be refered as god in a trinity concept in any litreture by the catholic church. To do so will cause problems similar to Indonesia where there have been confusion over the usage of Allah in the Bible translation and trinitarian litreture there. This has been qouted by some muslim scholars there. So should we make the same mistake ? Yes there can always be liberals both muslims and christians saying proper religious education can be used to solve the problem, but the question here should Malaysians here take the risk ? Prevention is always better then cure . Roman Catholics do not be less christian by not using the word Allah. Just use the word tuhan, as that is the Malay translation of GOD. If that is so difficult to ask then who is being intolerant here ?

For some non muslims and muslim liberal commentars how sure are you that the muslims are behind the church bombing acts ?

Why do you only relate the cow head incident to this event but not the Kepala Babi issue being place in a Mosque? or is it only being Malaysian to fight for non muslim in this country ?

What ever it is let the police do their job and the people responsible brought to justice ?

For fellow muslims burning a place of worship belonging even to your enemies at war is unislamic and wrong. What more if we are to do this to our fellow christians leaving in our country.

By Fadzil  on [January 10, 2010 3:00 PM](#)

Assalamulaikum Tun...

Thank you for posting ur thought about this 'hot' matter that have struck the stability of our nation... I really appreciate it as now, I have understand the issue very well... I hope that this matter can be solved peacefully...

As Abdul Ghaffar Khan said:

The Holy Prophet Mohammed came into this world and taught us: 'That man is a Muslim who never hurts anyone by word or deed, but who works for the benefit and happiness of God's creatures. Belief in God is to love one's fellow men.'

May Allah bless you and your family....

By darthtatter  on [January 10, 2010 1:11 PM](#)

Quote Zahidah Muhamed

"So, why Chatholic are insisting in using the word 'Allah'? (Knowing that this will surely cause confusion and discomfort to Muslims). For Muslims, this move is cunning and ada undang di sebalik batu".

Dear Zahidah Muhamed,

There is no "Undang di Sebalik batu". Please do not further raise suspicion and stroke the fire (no pun intended). Christian literature in Malaysia explicitly state that it is "For Non-Muslims Only" (I assume Herald too. Pls verify). There's no need for such self-imposed disclaimer in Indonesia (World's largest Muslim population). There is no ulterior motives of the Christians neither is there a "Crusade" to convert Malaysian Muslims to other religion.

It is just impossible to change certain words in the Bible from Allah to Tuhan.

To quote an article:

"This simple statistical survey shows clearly that the demand by Muslim demonstrators that Christians simply substitute the word Allah with Tuhan is unreasonable since it renders many Biblical references to God and Jesus Christ incoherent. First, the substitution is incorrect since the meaning of Allah and Tuhan are different."

For full article read: <http://www.themalaysianinsider.com/index.php/opinion/breaking-views/48629-allah-cant-be-substituted-with-tuhan-in-bible-translation--dr-ng-kam-weng>

No one is trying to convert Muslims to become non-muslims. The Church are merely exercising their constitutional rights. And it would be absurd to try to change words in religious texts. Christians around the world are using the word "Allah" and Muslims do not get confused.

It is surprising that in this age where facts and information are easily accessible many are still ignorant or choose to be ignorant. Many needs to know how to educate themselves through the internet. Tun, I believe you play an important role too in educating the masses. Pls do not mislead and spread sentiments of hate.

Sim
KL

P.s. Not a muslim/ Christian. I am a peace loving Malaysian.

By abenaz  on [January 10, 2010 12:41 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Sensitif, emosional, demo sana demo sini, bakar sana bakar sini... seakan sudah menjadi sebatian dgn org Islam apabila timbul isu sebegini. Apa kesudahannya? Label Islam PENGANAS tetap tak lekang di bibir masyarakat dunia.

Tanpa melakukan soal selidik, sedikit pembacaan, dan cuba berfikir dengan akal yang waras... kita terus menjadi emosi. Hasilnya? Gereja dibakar! Dan jgn terkejut pula kalau ada masjid pula yang menjadi mangsa selepas ini, semuanya berpunca tindakan kita yang tak matang dan emosional.

Dalam hal yang sebegini, Rujuklah pada yang pakar sebelum membuat apa-apa keputusan atau tindakan. Baca artikel dari Dr. Asri seperti berikut:

Beza antara merebut nama Allah dan mempertahankan akidah:

<http://drmaza.com/home/?p=566>

Sekian.

By memalay  on [January 10, 2010 12:15 PM](#)

Salam Tun and Family yang amat dikasihi,

Saya tidaklah pro sangat dengan kerajaan, tidak pulak terlalu pro dengan pembangkang. Tengok isu lah.

Berhubung dengan Allah yang dibolehkan orang bukan islam menggunakannya. Cuba kita fikirkan. Selepas mendapat hak untuk menggunakan Allah oleh mereka, apa lagi hak yang mereka tuntutan. Ini bermakna mereka ada hak nak letak Allah kat mana mereka suka. Cuba bayangkan jika mereka meletakkan Allah ditengah-tengah dada gambar Jesus. Sanggupkah umat islam melihatnya tanpa merasa apa-apa. Kita memang tidak boleh berkata atau berbuat apa-apa sebab dah diluluskan oleh mahkamah. Kita berhimpun sikit dah pihak parti pembangkang kata, double standard.

Agaknya Anuar Ibrahim dan Nik Aziz akan bertepuk tangan juga sebab dari kenyataan parti masing-masing tidak mempunyai masalah berhubung penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh orang bukan islam. Inilah masalahnya jika dah gila kuasa, biar agama dan hak bangsa tergadai, sanggup bersekongjol dengan golongan kafir yang sesat untuk mendapat kuasa.

Tq Tun. Allah selamatkan Tun dan famili.

By memalay  on [January 10, 2010 12:00 PM](#)

Salam Tun & Famili serta semua rakyat Malaysia

Berhubung dengan penggunaan Allah oleh golongan bukan islam amat meragukan. Kenapa mereka pada saat ini teramat beriya-ya benar hendak menggunakan Allah kita orang islam. Ini bukan setakat hak yang dibenarkan oleh mahkamah. Saya merasakan ada agenda tersembunyi disebalik niat mereka.

Diharap pihak kerajaan, menyiasat sedalam-dalamnya SIAPA DALANG dan APA NIAT SEBENAR disebalik keinginan yang amat kuat untuk menggunakan istilah Allah yang selama ini digunakan oleh orang islam.

Satu yang jelas ialah mereka berjaya membuat provokasi lalu mengecap sebilangan umat islam sebagai eksrimis bila berlaku sedikit ancaman terhadap gereja mereka. Kalau takut terbakar, jangan main api. Atau jangan rela diperKUDA oleh orang lain yang mempunyai kepentingan. Nampaknya benar kata beberapa rakan kita, mereka ni dah besar kepala. Menggunakan undang-undang/mahkamah untuk menghalalkan niat jahat mereka. Dah belajar dari Amerika dan Yahudi bangsat agaknya. Mereka usik pihak Arab, ada tindak balas, mereka kata orang islam pengganas. Ini lah resam orang kafir yang yang kita umat islam mesti faham dan awasi. Kita lihat sahaja Iraq dan Palestine. Ramai yang mati kerana perang atas nama keganasan. Di situ kita boleh lihat dan buat kesimpulan, agama kritian menghalalkan umatnya merompak kekayaan(minyak) dan tanahair orang lain. Tentu penganut kristian tidak mahu ,menerima kenyataan ini. Tapi ini lah yang berlaku pada hari ini.

Tq Tun. Allah bless you and you family.

By Daimag  on [January 10, 2010 10:01 AM](#)

Alamak, leceh betul la father mother nih, tetiba saja nak guna kebebasan beragama untuk merogol keharmonian orang. Keji betul. Siapa yang tiada toleransi di sini? Orang Melayu dah beri cetak dalam bahasa melayu, lagi hendak lebih-lebih.

Disamping isu akidah, ini juga isu bahasa secara teknikal. Perkataan Allah tak wujud dalam bahasa melayu kalau dicari di dalam sastera melayu kuno dahulu kerana waktu itu, majoriti melayu adalah beragama hindu.

Apabila Islam datang, perkataan Allah diterimapakai di dalam bahasa melayu untuk memudahkan pemahaman.

Sekarang apabila Kristian nak masuk juga, kita tidak kisah, kita boleh terimapakai apa jua perkataan baru untuk memudahkan pemahaman, Father-God ke, God ke apa-apa saha. Tetapi, jika hendak menumpang menggunakan Allah juga, ini akan merumitkan pemahaman.

Secara teknikal, menumpang pakai bukanlah cara yang betul dan isu kebebasan agama langsung tidak timbul di sini. Sebab itu saya rasa terkejut besar bila hakim buat keputusan tu. Peguam kita perlu lebih kreatif dalam menyerang hujah.

By TEDDY D.Sagunting  on [January 10, 2010 8:53 AM](#)

Salam sejahtera Ayahanda Tun,

Petikan dari Berita Online 24/01/2010

"Kita hidup dalam masyarakat yang pelbagai agama, pelbagai ekonomi, pelbagai budaya dan pelbagai bahasa... kita tidak seharusnya dipaksa bersetuju dengan apa yang dikatakan peradaban dan pandangan terbuka negara maju.

"Kita telah pun muncul sebagai sebuah negara maju dalam acuan kita sendiri dan ini tidak bermakna bersikap terlalu bebas sehingga mula berjalan ke sana sini tanpa berpakaian dan sebagainya. Itu adalah cara mereka (negara maju) yang menganggapkan diri mereka maju dan bukannya kita," katanya. – Bernama

Berdasarkan petikan komen Tun seperti di atas seperti yang saya petik dari

http://www.bharian.com.my/Current_News/BH/Saturday/Mutakhir/20100109204708/Article/index_html ,

1. Saya rasa perkara yang Ayahanda Tun maksudkan adalah perkara yang bertentangan dengan prinsip rukun Negara yang ke 5. Saya amat bersetuju sekali dengan pendapat Tun.
2. Seperkara saya cuma nak bertanya sekiranya ada golongan yang tidak bertanggungjawab (golongan tak berlesen ini patut diberi kursus yang sewajarnya agar memahami dan menghayati budaya Malaysia serta lebih bertanggungjawab kepada tindakan perlakuan mereka) mencetak gambar-gambar perlakuan pemimpin yang terlibat dengan skandal ?\$#@* dengan memasukkan perkataan UNDILAH SAYA serta ditabur sebar di alam nyata dan di dunia cyber. Adakah prestasi yang baik pemimpin tersebut dapat menutup imejnya yang tercalar dan tidakkah perkara ini sekali gus mengguris gagasan Konsep 1 Malaysia yang mendapat sambutan hangat oleh seluruh rakyat Malaysia pada ketika ini.

By subkiarabi  on [January 10, 2010 8:14 AM](#)

Negara dah kelam kabut. Berbagai taksiran telah dibuat. Gereja menjadi sasaran. Orang Kristian jangan cuba bertindak balas. Jika bertindak balas api ini akan bertambah besar. Seperti mencurah petrol.

Pemahaman penting kenapa kami orang islam marah dan tak membenarkan kalimah allah di gunakan oleh pihak lain. Kenapa seorang Hakim yang tidak mempunyai analisis terpeinci, kesan jika kebenaran ini diberi kepada Khatolik menggunakan kalimah Allah untuk mencetak, menerbit untuk kerja penyebaran mereka. Beliau telah mengucar kacir keadaan. Hakim ini mesti bertanggung jawab perkara yang berlaku semasa in.

Banyak analisis, tulisan yang mentafsir, yang cuba menjadi juara hendak membetulkan pemahaman orang lain. Terutama orang Kristian Khatolik disabab. Mereka mendakwa telah lama menggunakan kalimah Allah sudah sekian lama. Kita tidak boleh menahan mereka untuk menggunakan, menyebut orang Khatolik menyebut Kalimah Allah. Orang Khatolik Kristian boleh menyebut seberapa banyak, bukan sahaja orang Kristian bahkan orang ugama lain pun boleh menyebut. Orang budha, hindu dan siapa sahaja boleh menyebut. Orang islam tidak akan menyekat. Malah menyokong mereka menyebut kalimah Allah, manalah tahu mungkin suatu hari mereka akan mendapat hidayah Allah.

Kebenaran oleh makamah membenarkan Khatolik Kristian menggunakan kalimah ini dengan secara mencetak, menulis mengedar kalimah Allah adalah ditentang secara keras di bumi Malaysia. Kami akan menggunakan apa jua cara untuk menentang.

Sebutan Kalimah Allah yang sama dengan maksud yang berlainan diantara Penganut Islam Dan Kristian akan mengelirukan anak cucu orang islam. Lama kelamaan perkara ini akan terjadi. Ini lah strateginya orang Kristian beriya benar hendakkan pengitirafan menggunakan kalimah Allah. Mereka hendak menyasarkan sebahagian anak cucu orang Islam.

Dalam Bible tidak terdapat sebutan Allah. hanya yang ada sebutan Good, lord, Jesus. Jadi adakah Kalimah Allah diantara satu koleksi terbaru orang Kristian Khatolik. Adakah diseluruh Dunia Orang Kristian menggunakan Bible yang tidak sama ? Orang islam membaca Al-Quraan yang sama di seluruh Dunia. Perkataan Allah terdapat berpuluh ribu kali dalam Al-Quran. Dalam ayat pertama sahaja terdapat 279 kali sebutan Allah. (surah Al-Baqarah)

Allah yang orang islam maksudkan,
ALLAH YANG MAHA ESA

ALLAH YANG TUNGGAL
ALLAH TEMPAT MEMOHON SESUATU
ALLAH YANG TIDAK BERANAK DAN DI PERANAKKAN.
ALLAH YANG TAK BERBAPA
ALLAH YANG TIDAK ADA SEKUTU BAGINYA
ALLAH YANG TIDAK BOLEH DIBANDINGKAN DENGAN YANG LAIN.

Jika orang Kristian di benar untuk mengguna pakai mencetak,menyiar atau sebagainya.Nescaya pergaduhan demi pergaduhan akan berterusan diMalaysia.Ini kerana Kalimah ini akan diletak di dindin gereja.di Lambang yang sedia ada.Pencetakan sebagai mainan,sebagai alat hiasan,anting-anting,rantai dan sebagainya.

Kalimah ini adalah satu kalimah Yang istimewa bagi penganut Islam di malaysia.Orang kristian mesti memahami natijah ini.Jangan persoalkan lagi Satu Malaysia. tiada krompomi dalam soal ini.

By peneroka  on [January 10, 2010 7:19 AM](#)

Salam,

In case you forgot history in Tanah Melayu, British Resident JWW Birch mati di bunuh kerana mencampuri urusan Agama Islam dan Adat Resam Melayu.

So looking for peaceful and harmony in Malaysia?? Don't push Malay Muslim to the limit.

By Jamal  on [January 10, 2010 2:08 AM](#)

Dear Tun,

This is issue is not about religion per se.

Its about culture. Just as Religion - Culture for the Malays is a very sensitive matter. As the saying goes - Biar mati anak jangan mati adat.

It is cultural for Malay Muslim to refer to Allah as the one and only God as defined in Islam. Allah cannot refer to any other God beside that defined by Islam. This the a very deep rooted cultural belief and practice of the MUSLIM MALAYS.

Defying their cultural belief will as sure as day anger them....please refer to the above mentioned malay saying.

So it is the duty of the goverment of the day to enact thru parliment a law to settle this issue.

The courts will then ensure that the law be observed as right now the law if unclear on this issue.

It is not about depraving anyones right but ensuring peace and harmony in this country.

Lets balance the cost...what will the Hearld lose if they use God instead of Allah. What is the cause to society otherwise???

By Bajiz  on [January 10, 2010 1:55 AM](#)

Bismillahhrrahmannirrahim, dengan Nama Allah Yang Maha Pengasih Lagi Maha Penyayang.

Assalamualaikum...buat TUN dan Sekeluarga serta semua bloggers yang beragama ISLAM.

Dari kaca mata saya sebagai seorang MUSLIM berkenaan pertikaian perkataan ALLAH itu sebenarnya adalah satu agenda musuh ISLAM dan juga caturan sebahagian daripada parti POLITIK.

Agenda musuh ISLAM itu memberi kelebihan bagi orang yang telah MURTAD menggunakan perkataan "ALLAH" itu bagi menyebarkan dakyah mereka agar dengan mudah mempengaruhi orang MELAYU. Dengan perkataan "ALLAH" itu dapat memudahkan mereka berdakwah secara halus bagi meMURTADkan sebahagian daripada orang-orang MELAYU.

Dari maklumat yang saya perolehi, benarkah setiap mereka jika dapat meMURTADkan orang MELAYU mereka ini akan menerima GANJARAN?. Dan mereka yang telah MURTAD juga akan menerima HABUAN yang sama.

Ini lah yang sangat merbahaya, jika DUNIA HARTA dan KESERONOKKAN yang menjadi keutamaan. Mereka-mereka ini adalah sasaran UTAMA MELAYU MURTAD.

Dan bagi parti politik pembangkang, ini adalah peluang mereka agar ISU ini terus dicetuskan. Permainan ISU perkataan ALLAH akan disensasikan sehingga ke Pilihan Raya akan datang.

Bagi meredakan keadaan ini, pihak kerajaan pimpinan Dato' Seri Najib mestilah bertindak TEGAS dan BIJAKSANA bagi menyelesaikan ISU yang begitu SENSITIF ini.

Dato' Seri Najib mestilah menggunakan kuasa MELARANG sebarang perkataan yang bolih menyinggung perasaan UMAT ISLAM. Inilah KUASA yang ada pada Dato' Seri Najib agar KEHARMONIAN serta KESEFAHAMAN AGAMA tidak tercetus. Sebagai seorang MUSLIM Dato' Seri Najib mesti BERANI bagi menyatakan HAK ISLAM dan HAK bagi agama lain.

Saya juga ingin memperingatkan diri saya serta semua saudara MUSLIM agar berwaspada terhadap MUSUH Islam yang mempunyai 1001 MUSLIHAT yang TERSIRAT. BERIMAN kita kepada ALLAH dan MOHON perlindungan ALLAH dari musuh ISLAM dan SYAITAN yang di rejam.

Akhir kata saya menyeru semua orang-orang MELAYU yang beragama ISLAM baik daripada UMNO,PAS,PKR,PRM dan semua parti yang lain. BERSATU bersama-sama mempertahankan MARUAH AGAMA, BANGSA dan NEGARA yang tercinta ini.

Sama-sama kita berdo'a supaya KERAJAAN kita memperolihi KEJAYAAN menyelesaikan ISU ini.

AMIN...YA ALLAH YA RAHMAN YA RAHIM.

By faizal  on [January 10, 2010 1:21 AM](#)

I now some of u will not agree with me, but they (Christian) are deserve it for what have they done when they mention " Just A Name ".This is not just a name but more/beyond then that. But do you guys aware that, we Malays are losing power, pride and dignity to non Islam/anti Islam/DAP? PKR and PAS always jadi Pak turut!! Why these things happened? Personally I would blame to Government as this issue should be stop before going the court. Can u just imagine, we as an Islam, have to "merayu kepada mahkamah untuk membatalkan penggunaan

kalimah Allah dalam akhbar The Herald ". " MERAYU!!!" This is unacceptable, I am a Muslim, I have my pride, dignity that I will not tolerate at all when some playing with my Religion and Faith. Blame to the people who come out with this issue. I believe there is "no politic agenda", but there is a "religion agenda out there", and when come to religion...we all becoming sooo sensitive. It's about time for Malays to fully aware that, we give face to non muslim too much. As a Muslim, i will not let things happen to my race/Malays as we own this beautiful country. We all live in a racist world ...just don't deny it..It's a fact.

By Anak kampung  on [January 9, 2010 10:40 PM](#)

Tun yang dimuliakan,

Saya cuba bayangkan jakalau negara ini diperintah oleh orang yang bukan Islam.....Sudah tentu ada 'perubahan' yang lebih ketara!

By mumu  on [January 9, 2010 8:01 PM](#)

Salam, name Allah nak pula, tetapi nabi muhd s.a.w. tidak pula mereka mahu percaya. Do we not see the hypocritical of this people. Dan lagi menghairankan saya apa hak mahkamah tinggi buat keputusan untuk orang Islam diMalaysia ni. Segalanya mereka nak, tiada pride ke mereka ni. 'Hidden agenda' yang mereka plan bertahun2 yang lalu terang2 sudah dibentangkan, 10 tahun akan datang rasa2nya apa yang akan berlaku kepada umat2 Islam diMalaysia ni. Jadi seperti Indonesia lah, tunggang-langgang, tak lama lagi, jadi seperti Aceh, tsunami datang dari sana. Lemah sungoh orang2 Islam dinegara sendiri.

By S..Tan  on [January 9, 2010 7:04 PM](#)

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat. Kiriman saya yg lain untuk melepasi sekatan dgn izin. Banyak sekatan untuk tajuk ini patut, tapi jgn melindungi mana mana pihak...

.....MENYERU ALLAH

Jika bukan Islam tak boleh guna perkataan Allah, maknanya mrk tak boleh minta perlindungan dari Allah kamu? Allah melantik kamu penjaga pintu, siapa boleh dan tak boleh memanggilNya? Allah tak mahu ciptaanNya memanggil namaNya kalau mrk salah agama?

.....KELIRU SATU HALA?

Kalau orang Islam dikhuatiri boleh keliru dgn penggunaan Allah oleh Kristian, orang Kristian pula tak khuatir penganutnya keliru dgn penggunaan Allah dalam Islam? Siapa fanatik dan ekstremis? Apa jadi kalau Islam dilarang guna beberapa perkataan dalam terjemahan Quran dan Hadis ke bahasa lain?

.....LARANGAN BOLEH KAWAL TUJUAN?

Jika tujuan kaum Kristian buruk nak mengguna kalimah Allah, tujuan mrk yg melarang baik? Menjadi negara pertama di dunia mengharam satu perkataan dari digunakan oleh orang lain baik? Tujuan buruk boleh disekat dgn larangan perkataan? Aqidah Islam lebih kukuh kalau satu bangsa saja pakai perkataan ini?

Pada yg guna alasan aqidah, jgn ia diukur dari kuatnya laungan, bantahan dan ancaman? Ada orang mulutnya banyak mengutuk, mengancam, menuduh dari membaca kitab dan Hadis. Mrk inilah yg paling kuat bersuara bila demonstrasi tapi hilang perkataan bila sembahyang. Mrk memalukan agama!

.....BERSELINDUNG

Ini contoh agama diperkudakan. Jgn orang yg paling bengis bukan paling bertakwah yg menentukan aqidah. Bantahan orang Islam disambut tapi cara orang menangguk di air keruh jijik.

By ina  on [January 9, 2010 7:04 PM](#)

Mengadakan demonstrasi amat menakutkan. Apabila sudah mengeluarkan suara lantang dan menampakkan rasa marah maka datanglah bisikan sang Iblis. Demonstrasi itu memberi peluang setengah pihak mengambil kesempatan untuk membalas rasa tidak puashati dan cemburu kepimpinan kerajaan kita berbilang kaum dan agama. Tak mungkin kerana agama mereka buat tindakan menyerang gereja-gereja itu.

Saya harap penganut yang beragama kristian tindak membalas melulu.

Kita tidak perlu buat kacau kalau kita miliki iman agama yang dikata benar. Jangan jadikan contoh penyelesaian negara luar yang huru-hara itu. Biarlah hanya Allah yang mengetahui dan Allah juga maha adil mengadilinya.

Salam juga buat HANAN.

By din73  on [January 9, 2010 5:34 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Bapaku yang dikasihi,

Saya difahamkan hakim yang membenarkan kalimah Allah boleh digunakan oleh penganut Kristian adalah orang bukan Islam, jadi apa sudah jadi ni? Takdekah hakim-hakim yang beragama Islam di negara ni?

Sebenarnya perkataan kalimah Allah itu dirujuk kepada Tuhan oleh orang-orang Arab. Di negara2 Arab yang mempunyai sejumlah besar penganut Kristian seperti di Lubnan, Mesir dan sebuah tempat di Palestin yang ramai orang kristian iaitu Baitulham mereka memanggil 'Allah Al Ab' merujuk pada bapa Tuhan dan 'Allah Al Ibn' anak Tuhan. Di negara-negara barat mereka takpun menyebut Allah tapi perkataan lain seperti 'Oh Lord' dan 'Oh God' bila time berdoa. Paderi-paderi besar di Vatican City takpun gatal2 nak sebut Allah kenapa disini sibuk2 nak guna kalimah Allah dalam journal majalah terbitan pihak Kristian?

Perkataan Allah adalah bahasa yang digunakan oleh orang Arab yang bermakna Tuhan tapi disebelah kita orang melayu ianya merujuk pada nama Tuhan kita dan hak eksklusif untuk orang Islam sahaja.

Orang2 Arab tidak kira yang Islam dan Kristian sudah termaklum akan apa itu Allah dan mereka buat seperti biasa kerana dah faham isu ini tapi bagi bangsa bukan Arab termasuklah kita makna

'Allah' adalah untuk orang Islam sahaja dan 'God' serta 'lord' adalah makna bagi Tuhan orang Kristian walaupun Tuhan yang disembah oleh Islam, Kristian dan Yahudi adalah Tuhan yang sama iaitu Allah kecuali Yahudi yang memanggil Jehovah.

Semalam dah terbakar 3 gereja, saya percaya ini bukan kerja orang kita sebagai orang Melayu dan Islam yang ada sikap timbang rasa tapi pihak yang cuba menaguk di air keruh.

By Babyblue85  on [January 9, 2010 5:21 PM](#)

AN 9 — Jika diamati, Herald mempertahankan penggunaan perkataan “Allah” dan bukannya kalimah Allah. Terdapat perbezaan yang ketara diantara perkataan dan kalimah. Ini boleh membawa kekeliruan dikalangan umat Islam yang mungkin beranggapan kalimah yang terdapat di dalam Al-Quran.

Tidak pernah digunakan kalimah Allah seperti mana di dalam Al-Quran di dalam doa mahupun upacara sembahyang. Perkataan “Allah” bukan tiba-tiba digunakan tetapi telah digunakan sekian lama di Sabah dan Sarawak yang menggunakan Bahasa Melayu di dalam upacara sembahyang.

Tuhan, Bapa, Allah digunakan di Sabah dan Sarawak dan terdapat bukti cetakan dan umat Kristian yang boleh memberikan saksi penggunaannya dari dulu lagi.

Penggunaan “kalimah” adalah propaganda satu saluran media elektronik yang tidak bertanggungjawab yang hanya telah mengibarkan dan menghangatkan sentimen satu pihak sahaja dengan paparan dari pelbagai sudut: pendapat ulama, pendapat ahli politik dan kumpulan bantahan hari demi hari sebagai tajuk utama.

Saya yang berasal dari Semenanjung mengikuti upacara dan sembahyang di Sabah di dalam Bahasa Melayu yang telah menggunakan nama Allah dari turun-temurun.

Suratkhabar Herald adalah suratkhabar yang memenuhi keperluan umat Katolik yang fasih Bahasa Melayu dan mereka yang telah menggunakan nama Allah sebelum kemerdekaan lagi.

Orang Kristian terutamanya di Sabah dan Sarawak keturunan pelbagai etnik yang menggunakan Bahasa Melayu sebagai bahasa pengantara telah lama menggunakan perkataan Allah di dalam doa dan upacara sembahyang seperti mana umat Kristian di negara Arab dan Indonesia. Penggunaan Bahasa Melayu di dalam upacara sembahyang di Semenanjung menyusuli dan ini pun sudah melebihi 10 tahun. Upacara sembahyang Kristian tidak terhad dengan bahasa Inggeris sahaja dan kesedaran ini perlu ada sebab Kristian selalu dikaitkan dengan Negara Barat dan Inggeris sahaja.

Asal usul penggunaan perkataan “Allah” ini adalah di kalangan penganut-penganut Kristian, Yahudi dan Islam yang asal kepercayaan dari Nabi Ibrahim (Abrahamic faith).

Perkataan “Allah” telah digunakan lebih kurang 500 tahun sebelum Islam. Nama bapa Nabi Mohammad sendiri adalah Abdallah yang membawa maksud “Hamba Allah” yang menunjukkan penggunaannya sebelum agama Islam lagi. Orang Kristian Arab menggunakan perkataan Allah. Orang Kristian Indonesia menggunakan perkataan Allah.

Kenapa penggunaan nama Allah didalam satu-satunya media iaitu akhbar Herald dilihat sebagai satu ancaman? Umat bukan Islam di Malaysia tidak ada saluran radio dan televisyen untuk berhubung dengan umat mereka.

Apa yang biasa diperdengarkan ialah negara ini adalah Negara Islam dan kami adalah minoriti. Ditambah lagi, Perlembagaan menjelaskan bahawa perkara ini tidak boleh dipersoalkan (boleh masuk ISA jika dipersoalkan).

Oleh itu umat Islam sahaja boleh menggunakan saluran media elektronik untuk berdakwah. Kenapa khuatir tergugat agama walaupun ada pelbagai saluran media elektronik seperti didalam bentuk dokumentari, cerita tentang Nabi-Nabi, panggilan sembahyang lima waktu, siaran langsung sembahyang Jumaat, forum, drama Islam, nasyid, mengaji Al-Quran dan media cetakan: ruangan khas di dalam majalah dan suratkhbar.

Kenapakah lagu negeri contohnya di Selangor dan Perak mengandungi perkataan Allah? Kenapakah rakyat dari pelbagai bangsa dari kecil lagi telah menyanyi dan menghayati lagu negeri untuk bertahun-tahun lamanya yang mengandungi perkataan Allah?

Bukankah kita sama-sama mendoakan Allah melanjutkan usia Raja-raja kita?

Saya bertuah menjadi rakyat Malaysia kerana memiliki kawan-kawan dari pelbagai bangsa dan keturunan. Mari kita meluangkan masa untuk berdoa supaya persaudaraan dikekalkan, keamanan diberatkan.

By abu sayab  on [January 9, 2010 4:45 PM](#)

Allah: Suara dari Sabah — Zanna Roach

JAN 9 — Jika diamati, Herald mempertahankan penggunaan perkataan “Allah” dan bukannya kalimah Allah. Terdapat perbezaan yang ketara diantara perkataan dan kalimah. Ini boleh membawa kekeliruan dikalangan umat Islam yang mungkin beranggapan kalimah yang terdapat di dalam Al-Quran.

Tidak pernah digunakan kalimah Allah seperti mana di dalam Al-Quran di dalam doa mahupun upacara sembahyang. Perkataan “Allah” bukan tiba-tiba digunakan tetapi telah digunakan sekian lama di Sabah dan Sarawak yang menggunakan Bahasa Melayu di dalam upacara sembahyang.

Tuhan, Bapa, Allah digunakan di Sabah dan Sarawak dan terdapat bukti cetakan dan umat Kristian yang boleh memberikan saksi penggunaannya dari dulu lagi.

Penggunaan “kalimah” adalah propaganda satu saluran media elektronik yang tidak bertanggungjawab yang hanya telah mengibarkan dan menghangatkan sentimen satu pihak sahaja dengan paparan dari pelbagai sudut: pendapat ulama, pendapat ahli politik dan kumpulan bantahan hari demi hari sebagai tajuk utama.

Saya yang berasal dari Semenanjung mengikuti upacara dan sembahyang di Sabah di dalam Bahasa Melayu yang telah menggunakan nama Allah dari turun-temurun.

Suratkhabar Herald adalah suratkhbar yang memenuhi keperluan umat Katolik yang fasih Bahasa Melayu dan mereka yang telah menggunakan nama Allah sebelum kemerdekaan lagi.

Orang Kristian terutamanya di Sabah dan Sarawak keturunan pelbagai etnik yang menggunakan Bahasa Melayu sebagai bahasa pengantara telah lama menggunakan perkataan Allah di dalam doa dan upacara sembahyang seperti mana umat Kristian di negara Arab dan Indonesia. Penggunaan Bahasa Melayu di dalam upacara sembahyang di Semenanjung menyusuli dan ini pun sudah melebihi 10 tahun. Upacara sembahyang Kristian tidak terhad dengan bahasa Inggeris sahaja dan kesedaran ini perlu ada sebab Kristian selalu dikaitkan dengan Negara Barat dan Inggeris sahaja.

Asal usul penggunaan perkataan “Allah” ini adalah di kalangan penganut-penganut Kristian, Yahudi dan Islam yang asal kepercayaan dari Nabi Ibrahim (Abrahamic faith).

Perkataan “Allah” telah digunakan lebih kurang 500 tahun sebelum Islam. Nama bapa Nabi Mohammad sendiri adalah Abdallah yang membawa maksud “Hamba Allah” yang menunjukkan penggunaannya sebelum agama Islam lagi. Orang Kristian Arab menggunakan perkataan Allah. Orang Kristian Indonesia menggunakan perkataan Allah.

Kenapa penggunaan nama Allah didalam satu-satunya media iaitu akhbar Herald dilihat sebagai satu ancaman? Umat bukan Islam di Malaysia tidak ada saluran radio dan televisyen untuk berhubung dengan umat mereka.

Apa yang biasa diperdengarkan ialah negara ini adalah Negara Islam dan kami adalah minoriti. Ditambah lagi, Perlembagaan menjelaskan bahawa perkara ini tidak boleh dipersoalkan (boleh masuk ISA jika dipersoalkan).

Oleh itu umat Islam sahaja boleh menggunakan saluran media elektronik untuk berdakwah. Kenapa khuatir tergugat agama walaupun ada pelbagai saluran media elektronik seperti didalam bentuk dokumentari, cerita tentang Nabi-Nabi, panggilan sembahyang lima waktu, siaran langsung sembahyang Jumaat, forum, drama Islam, nasyid, mengaji Al-Quran dan media cetakan: ruangan khas di dalam majalah dan suratkhbar.

Kenapakah lagu negeri contohnya di Selangor dan Perak mengandungi perkataan Allah? Kenapakah rakyat dari pelbagai bangsa dari kecil lagi telah menyanyi dan menghayati lagu negeri untuk bertahun-tahun lamanya yang mengandungi perkataan Allah?

Bukankah kita sama-sama mendoakan Allah melanjutkan usia Raja-raja kita?

Saya bertuah menjadi rakyat Malaysia kerana memiliki kawan-kawan dari pelbagai bangsa dan keturunan. Mari kita meluangkan masa untuk berdoa supaya persaudaraan dikekalkan, keamanan diberatkan.

By Babyblue85  on [January 9, 2010 4:27 PM](#)

salam tun,

terima kasih kerana membuka topik hangat ini..pd pendapat sy,penggunaan perkataan allah itu x salah kerana negara arab sendiri membenarkan kristian arab menggunakn perkataan tersebut...kenapa islam d msia mempersoalkn ttg ini??jalan penyelesaian bg mslh ini: sabah dan sarawak patut keluar dari malaysia dan membentuk negaranya sendiri kerana jika sabah dan sarawak msh bersama malaysia,maka isu ini akan tetap timbul..jika sabah dan sarawak ada

negara sendiri, maka mereka bebas menggunakan perkataan itu kerana hanya islam malaysia melarang umat kristian menggunakan perkataan itu..

kepada tun,
sila betulkan ayat: holy ghost kepada HOLY SPIRIT.

By naetto92  on [January 9, 2010 4:22 PM](#)

Tun dan semua,

Lebih baik kita bincang cara menyelesaikan masalah ini daripada saling tunjuk siapa lebih arif.

Kalau tidak pun, kita tunggu tuhan tunjuk jalan pada kita contohnya; gempa bumi ke, tsunami ke, banjir besar ke.

Kemudian kita tunggu lagi mana yang lebih ramai mati.

Kemudian kita tunggu lagi mana yang hulur bantuan ikhlas macam isu palestine, Gaza, Aceh.

Kemudian kita tunggu lagi berapa ramai orang yang ingat isu ini selepas itu.

terima kasih.

By prof_ridcully  on [January 9, 2010 3:17 PM](#)

Salam Tun dan dengan izin,

Saya bersyukur kerana dapat bersalaman dengan Tun selepas solat Jumaat semalam. Saya juga bersyukur kerana tidak sedikit jumaah yang mengucapkan selamat dan mendoakan agar Allah SWT sentiasa memelihara Tun.

SR

Thanks for your speedy reply. As I understand it, you say that the phrase "son of God," when applied to Jesus, should be used in a metaphorical and not a literal sense. Correct?

It's difficult to explain this Trinity conundrum isn't it? (by the way "conundrum" is the description of the Trinity by the Boston Catholic Journal). Trinitarian Christians, both the theologians and the laity, have been trying to explain this ever since the formulation of the Nicene Creed roughly 350 years after Christ. According to that journal and other Christian publications (that subscribe to the concept of Trinity) that I've seen, the Trinity can best be described as "three persons and one substance;" that is to say, the Father is God, the Son is God, and the Holy Spirit is also God. The Father is not the Son or the Holy Spirit. The same relationship applies for the Son and Holy Spirit, ie the Son is not the Father and so on. The implication here, of course, is that the phrase "son of God" is taken in a literal sense and not metaphorically.

From my reading I find that some Christian scholars assert that the concept of the Trinity originated from a pre-Christian philosophy derived from Plato and that many of the Christian celebrations, for example Christmas and Easter, had pagan origins. But we won't go into that as, being a Muslim, it doesn't concern me.

Judging from your answer to the question of what is meant by the "Son of God", I'm not sure if you don't subscribe to the "unitarian" or even the "Arian" view of Christianity.

Another problem when we look at the Christian creed that I quoted earlier, to wit, **"And in one Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, begotten of the Father [the only-begotten; that is, of the essence of the Father, God of God], Light of Light, very God of very God, begotten, not made, being of one substance with the Father"** are the words "very God of very God" in the Nicene Creed above.

From my reading I believe that Christians have many forms of Creed and the phrase "very God of very God" may well just be embellishments; but if they're not, then we have another problem ie, if we believe in the concept of God as a "Supreme Being" or an "Almighty Deity" that is omnipotent, then how can an omnipotent power have another omnipotent power governing it? That phrase "very God of very God" implies the presence of an inferior and a superior God. That would be totally illogical in our notion of God wouldn't it? On the other hand, if they're just embellishments in a Creedal statement, then wouldn't Christians consider the phrase "very God of very God" as being blasphemous, an insult to God?

Another point, our "Father Pecerrillo" is a very nebulous character; no, he's apocryphal actually. If we were to google "Father Pecerrillo", we'll get about 390 pages but not a single news item or an image about him and his findings. This is very surprising to say the least because as you say, he is a "famous Franciscan Archeologist" and would have attracted the attention of other archeologists, Christian, Muslim, and others. All the web pages repeat statements about his finding including the spelling mistake in his job as a Franciscan "archiologist." Try googling it and see. As it is, I'm less inclined to think that there is a Franciscan archeologist called Pecerrillo and that he found those inscriptions that we discussed earlier. It think it (the story of Pecerrillo and his findings) is a *lie* and that you inadvertently picked it up; unless you can point me to a reliable source of the information, of course.

Last but not least, with regards to the fire bombs -- I say as a Muslim and without reservations that attacks against churches or any other house of worship is abhorrent -- we should not succumb to a knee-jerk reaction and point fingers at Muslims. It may well be that Muslims did it because they may have reason to vent their anger but at the moment we don't know for sure. This knee-jerk reaction by RC is exactly what the perpetrators hope to invoke. It could be done to further divide Muslims and Christians in Malaysia, in which case this dialogue that we're having will not be possible at all.

I was at the National Mosque yesterday for Friday prayers. There was a gathering to protest the Herald case. I had a few minutes to spare and I listened to what the protesters had to say. They explicitly said, repeatedly, that the protest will not involve violence against church property and churchmen. There were several Western (read Christian) tourists there too, some in shorts, unfortunately. But the tourists watched (in wonder, perhaps), took photos. They walked freely in the mosque compound and were not disturbed, and in fact one asked me for directions to Lake Gardens which I duly gave.

Cheers

By [DAH Ikhwan](#)  on [January 9, 2010 2:34 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Perkataan Allah di Malaysia biasanya merujuk kepada Tuhan Agama Islam yang Maha Esa, akan tetapi ramai masyarakat Bumiputera penganut Agama Kristian di Sarawak dan juga di Sabah yang menggunakan Istilah Allah untuk Tuhan Kristian. Keadaan ini telah lama berlaku sejak bedekad dekad yang lalu dan perlu diambilkira bagi menjamin keutuhan negara kita.

Malangnya seperti biasa di Semenanjung, sesuatu polemik dalam masyarakat biasanya berfokus kepada sensitiviti masyarakat Semenanjung sahaja, dengan tidak banyak menghiraukan tempas yang menimpa masyarakat di Sabah dan Sarawak. Begitulah hakikatnya selepas 50 tahun merdeka, sebilangan besar masyarakat di Semenanjung, secara tidak sedar masih merasai yang negara Malaysia ini hanya seolah olah wilayah Semenanjung sahaja.

Maka hakikat di atas wajib diambilkira sebelum kerajaan membuat keputusan dalam menangani isu ini.

Kini di Semenanjung, nampaknya Majalah Herald teringin menumpang kalimah "Allah" yang lazimnya untuk Agama Islam sahaja. Maka di sini timbullah soal niat mereka. Adakah mereka benar benar tertarik hati kepada istilah Allah sedangkan perkataan Tuhan lebih sesuai untuk mereka. Atau sebaliknya Majalah ini dikuasai oleh mereka yang hanya gemar kepada Kontroversi untuk tujuan politik.

Sekiranya mereka betul betul tertarik kepada kalimah Allah, alhamdulillah dan diharap ini akan dapat membuka hati orang orang Kristian untuk mendekati Allah yang sebenarnya. Dalam Bahasa Inggeris berbunyi 'It is better to have the real thing than an imitation', dalam Bahasa Melayunya lebih elok berpegang kepada kalimah asli daripada tiruan.

Istilah Allah sebenarnya tidak asing kepada Agama Kristian. Penganut Kristian yang berbahasa Arab sudah lama menggunakan Istilah Allah dengan tiada masalah bagi orang Arab Islam. Di Indonesia juga begitu, justeru itu kitab Bible berbahasa Melayu/Indonesia yang digunakan diperdalaman Sarawak dan Sabah menggunakan Istilah Allah bagi merujuk kepada Tuhan mereka.

Saya tertarik kepada pendapat Dr. Maza yang isu kalimah Allah bukanlah isu aqidah, tetapi lebih merupakan isu sentimen tempatan. Jadi, dalam masyarakat majmuk seperti di Malaysia ini, sepatutnya setiap kaum dan Agama menjauhi kontroversi yang boleh mengeliru dan menimbulkan keresahan rakyat dan mengugat kesetabilan negara.

Oleh itu Majalah Herald dan penganut Agama Katholik dan Kristian harus menjauhi kontroversi. Penganut Agama Islam dan kaum Melayu pun perlu juga bersikap demikian.

Dalam perkara ini saya rasa pendapat yang rasional seperti pandangan Ayahenda Tun dan juga Dr. Maza adalah yang terbaik dalam masyarakat majmuk seperti di Malaysia. Ini bererti istilah Allah boleh digunakan oleh penganut Kristian tetapi dengan syarat syarat tertentu, tidak mengelirukan atau mencabar sentimen Masyarakat Melayu/Islam yang majoriti.

DAH IKHWAN

By vip  on [January 9, 2010 1:27 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum TUN,

takyah lah nak promote 1malaysia, kita ada agama yang menyeru kita menghormati bangsa dan agama orang lain. kalau kita tak extremist dan pakai otak, aman dunia ni. ni tak sikit2 mogok, perhimpunan, nak minum darah sesama manusia. apalah nak jadi dengan orang semenanjung ni? nak kata pandai...pandai, nak kata bodoh....bodoh pun ada. nak kata mementingkan kesederhanaan... tu semua gila pangkat....masing2 nak jadi bos, nak jadi menteri, nak pangkat datuk, tun lah apalah...yang agama tu jangan lah nak campur dengan politik...nahas tu tun...politik dan pangkat ni ialah syaitan yang suka memesong dan menyesatkan manusia. bagus kamu orang ni takyah je lah ada agama.

By jstom98  on [January 9, 2010 1:23 PM](#)

Tun, I'm sure you are aware this is more than just the use of the word 'Allah'. The real issue is does one religion has the right to enact laws to control the behaviour of another religion ? I believe this is unconstitutional.

By vip  on [January 9, 2010 1:15 PM](#)

hello warga m'sia semua, isu sekarang ini ialah tentang perkataan Allah bukan "kalimah allah". apalah nak takut sangat umat islam akan terpesong akidah mereka kerana penggunaan perkataan allah ni. it just name ma..apa....cetek sangat ka agama org melayu sehingga mudah terpesong akidahnya? fikir2 lah... lepas solat jumaat patut ka you orang buat perhimpunan? lepas solat terus buat dosa ka. apalah ko orang ni... kata agama islam agama yang mementingkan peace? peace apa? bodoh mengganggu agama lain... biarlah diaorang nak pakai nama allah. lain kali nak handle isu macam ni pakai otak. tengok org sarawak n sabah.. ok saja. kamu orang semenanjung ni semua extremist. yang imam2 masjid tu nasihat2 la jemaah-jemaah jangan biar mereka buat perhimpunan. takda gunanya. bagus suruh diaorang balik office terus ada juga hasil.

By sujini  on [January 9, 2010 1:11 PM](#)

Assalam mualaikom Tun,

Selamat Tahun Maal Hijrah 1431.

Saya ingin mengajukan beberapa soalan kepada Tun dan juga pembaca ruang ini,

1. Adakah benar Malaysia ini sebuah negara Islam?

Kalau Tun dan pembaca sekalian rasakan ya, saya ingin bertanya lagi..

2. Undang-undang dan Mahkamah kepunyaan siapa yang lebih tinggi, manusia atau Allah swt.?

3. Jika pemakaian perkataan "Allah" boleh diluluskan oleh seorang Hakim Mahkamah Tinggi dimana beliau bukan pula seorang beragama Islam maka apa perlunya kita ada ketua negara iaitu YDP Agong yg melambangkan ketua ugama Islam?

Sekarang ini rakyat telah mengambil tindakan yang drastik dengan membakar 3 buah gereja di lembah Klang pada 08 Jan '10. Saya tidak mempunyai semangat pengganas tetapi kalau pemimpin yang mengaku dirinya seorang Islam mengambil sikap acoh tak acoh untuk

menangani masalah yng telah berputik sejak zaman Tun jadi PM lagi , salahkan mereka bertindak demikian?

Pemimpin kita janganlah terlalu hendak jaga hati orang lain, sehinggakan hati orang Islam dan ugama Islam sendiri di tolak tepi dan diletak di anak tangga kedua.

Jangan pula biarkan hal rakyat dan negara dilepaskan kepada si sang isteri bersuara. Walau pun niat si sang isteri itu baik.

Sekarang ini saya keliru yang mana satu PM , yang mana satu Menteri Luar Negeri , yang mana satu Menteri Pelajaran , yang mana satu Menteri Pelancongan , yang mana satu?.....

By yesmaria  on [January 9, 2010 12:41 PM](#)

"....By Zahidah Muhamed on January 8, 2010 1:55 PM

Since I learnt to read more than 20 years ago, i have learnt the meaning of god in malay is tuhan. Suddenly now the church decided to make their own version of Kamus Dewan , God means ALLAH. Maybe they should change professions as well. Jelas sekali ada udang di sebalik batu...."

to Zahidah Muhamed, memang lah DBP buat terjemahan God tu Tuhan coz yang buat semua tu orang Melayu...kat DBP tu majority orang Melayu Islam kalau mereka terjemah GOD tu ALLAH...mesti dah lama pencen muda or tukar kerja or kena transfer ke Ulu sungai...ada faham kah Zahidah...pasal forum tuh...xpayah le nak bagi orang baca tu semua berat sebelah....mana ada betulll....semua orang tau lah....that what 1 Malaysia mean kah?.....

takut bayangan sendiri...padahal ALLAH tu 1 dan mencipta semua yang ada kat dunia ni...kalau ALLAH nak tunjukkan kuasanya pada rakyat malaysia yang over acting tu baru tahu.....Moga ALLAH Tuhan Kita tunjukan sesuatu kepada Malaysia....Amen

By Bravo Echo Echo Romeo  on [January 9, 2010 11:55 AM](#)

Allah is an Arabic word for God. Moslems and Christians in the Arab speaking world calls God as Allah. Moslems in Malaysia incorporated the Arab word Allah as God into the Malay language instead of Tuhan. I can sense why the Moslems in Malaysia feel intruded in their use of the word.

Doesn't Tuhan or Allah or God really mean the same thing? The believe in a single supreme being is really the same goal that we all may start off at different points and eventually would end up in the same destination. If Tuhan or Allah or God could speak out in a voice that we can all hear, he would be very dissapointed as why we put so much emphasis on his name and not on the goodness that he wants us all to be.

By DrA.A.K  on [January 9, 2010 11:52 AM](#)

Salam Tun,

The milk has spilled.

These sensitive issues should have been dealt with behind doors by the corresponding leaders of the faith and government.

However, the government's blaise approach to the issue has allowed the situation to escalate with potentially disastrous repercussions to the nation.

Now, the government must be seen to do something. If the government remains sluggish in its response, this may emboldened certain quarters to take to the streets if any future judgements does not seem to favour them.

Lets pray now that those in power will put an end to this escalation before it sweeps across the populace.

By wajaperak  on [January 9, 2010 10:33 AM](#)

Smoga Dizinkan Tun..Ribuan Terima kasih..

[[As far as I know you yourself are in confused on knowing what does this word “worship” means? You and your fellow so call Muslim do not worship (Allah, Tuhan, God) himself. You idolize (Allah, Tuhan, God) by putting Muhammad name beside him]]

Dear Kilatberkilau..Oh Dear..

This can go on forever..So..can we conceed ground like agreeing on something first..basic..the way we acquired Islamic knowledge..From childhood..I mean the way we have been educated about Islam..It's ok with you..?

[[Wajaperak you are confused with what you are saying, you worship (Allah, Tuhan, God) with the name of Muhammad during the First Pillar and when you do your prayer, you too mention Muhammad and Abraham . Whereas you and the so call muslim mention Muhammad and Abraham beside (Allah, Tuhan, God) during your worship in the prayer.]]

Please..tell me how do you performs your prayer's..Do you standardized your reading to all Jahar or Sirr and why?....)

[[Wajaperak, does this verse [37:35] not sufficient for you and the so call muslim to surrender to Him alone or you still insist of turning to be arrogant. Those insist of putting Muhammad beside God are hypocrites]]

But just how do you enherited Al Quran? Tell me how?....)

Hoping you will englighten me and all the muslim your ways..

Terima kasih Tun..

By hambayanghina  on [January 9, 2010 10:07 AM](#)

Who is Allah?

What every believer must acknowledge and be thoroughly convinced

The Second Volume

Part 1

Praise be to Allah, the Creator, the First, the Last, the Doer of whatever. He wills, who guides His servants towards the true path, who makes Himself known to men that He exists by Himself

without any partner, He is single without any associate, the Eternal without any before Him and without any beginning, the Everlasting without any end. He is the First, the Last, the External and the Internal; the All-Knowing.

Part 2

He is without body and form, free of restriction, limitation and resemblance, not divisible. Nothing is like Him and He is not like anything. He is not limited by measure, space and time. He is free from diligence, rest and change. Everything is in His grasp. He is above Arsh, above heaven and above everything. He is nevertheless below the deepest depth. Yet He is near, very close to a thing, nearest to the jugular vein of a man. He's not in anything and nothing is in Him. He is beyond space and beyond time. He is now as He was before. He expresses Himself through His creatures and not by existence. He is free from change, increase or decrease.

Part 3

He is Everliving, All-powerful, Almighty, the great Destroyer. He is free from faults and failures, slumber, sleep, disease, death. He is the Lord of the entire universe, angels, and of everything. The heavens are rolled in His hands.

He is unique in creation and unrivalled in every new creation. He fixed the provision and death of created beings and nothing can escape from His power. His power and might are above counting.

Part 4.

His knowledge is without limit and He knows everything.

Whatever happens between the deepest abyss of the earth to the highest heaven is within His knowledge.

The smallest atom in the earth or in heaven is not outside His knowledge. He knows the creeping of an ant and on a solid stone in intense dark night or in the movement of a moist in the air.

Everything open and secret is within His knowledge.

Every thought in the mind, every contrivance of the devil, and every thought good or bad is within His knowledge.

His knowledge is eternal and unlimited without any increase or decrease and without any defect.

Part 5.

Nothing comes into being small or great, good or evil, benefitting or not benefitting, faith or infidelity, known or unknown, profit or loss, sin or virtue without His order, power and will.

What He will comes into being. What He does not will comes not into being.

Not a glance of the eye, not a stray sudden thought in mind is outside His will. He does what He wills. There is none to rescind His command, there is no obstacle to it.

There is no refuge of one who is disobedient to him. There is none to follow His command without His will.

If mankind, jinn, angels and devil want to remove an atom from its proper place, they won't be able to do it without His will.

His will lies naturally in His attributes which are unlimited. There is no precedence or subsequence of any event from its appointed time.

Part 6.

He hears and sees. His hearing and sight are all pervading. Nothing however scanty can escape His hearing and nothing however subtle can go from His sight.

Distance is no barrier to His hearing and seeing; rather distance and nearness are all equal to Him. Darkness can not obstruct His sight.

He sees without eyes, catches without hand and creates without instrument.

His attributes are not like those of the created beings as His being is not like that of the created.

Part 7

Allah speaks without sound. It is eternal, ancient and self-existing, unlike the talks of the created. His talk is without sound. It has got no connection with circulation of air. It does not take the help of words and languages through the movement of lips.

The Quran, the Bible, the Gospel and Psalms are His created books to His Prophets.

The Quran is recited by tongue, written in papers and preserved in heart, nevertheless it is eternal existing with the eternity of God.

Moses heard His words without sound and language and the righteous will see Him in the hereafter without body and space.

Part 8.

There is no creator of actions except He and nobody is outside His judgment. He created everything in its best of make and form and no other form is.

Part 9.

It is to attest to the prophethood of Muhammad. Allah sent the unlettered Quraishite Prophet Muhammad as an apostle to all the Arabs and non-Arabs, to the jinn (genies) and men and by his law he abrogated all other laws. He gave him superiority to all other Prophets and made him leader of mankind and did not make complete any faith with the words of Tauhid (Oneness of Allah) till it was followed by the attestation that Muhammad is His servant and apostle (The Last Messenger of Allah and Seal of the Prophets).

Part 10.

It is Allah who sent Muhammed as the last Prophet and as an abrogator of all previous laws of the Jews, Christians and the Sabians and God helped him with open miracles and wonderful signs, such as splitting up the moon into two parts, the praise of the pebbles, causing the mute animals to speak, water flowing from his fingers etc.

The open miracle with which he guided the Arabs is the Quran, as the beauty of the language throughout the Quran is unparalleled and the Arabs could not surpass it inspite of their eloquence and rhetoric. Though the Prophet was illiterate and did not learn how to read and write, yet God informed him in the Quran about the histories of the previous nations.

The information which he gave about the previous nations in the Quran being an illiterate person, the prophecies he made about the future events and the clue he gave to the unknown things are his miracles.

‘For those who truly search, they will surely find’.

By bangsaMSIA  on [January 9, 2010 9:03 AM](#)

Salam Tun

1. This is in reference to the recent torching and destruction of the Metro Tabernacle Church in Desa Melawati, Kuala Lumpur and the attempted destruction of the Assumption Church and the Life Chapel in Petaling Jaya, Selangor.

2. I personally and totally condemn the action which I believe is the work of irresponsible elements out to tarnish the good name of muslims and the country, one which is totally inconsistent with the fundamental principles of Islam that opposes all forms of violence save in certain clearly-defined circumstances. I and all who feel the same, condemn the perpetrators and call on them whoever they are wherever they are to cease taking the law into their own hands, repent and immediately surrender themselves to the authorities.

3. I urge all parties to also stop all finger-pointing stop the blame-game and instead work towards an amicable solution to an issue which is both extremely sensitive, inflammatory and that which can incite racial and religious hatred. It may also used as an excuse by irresponsible and manipulative external parties to interfere in the affairs of the country.

4. I personally believe in the the freedom of all to speak their minds on any issue but not to go as far as ‘getting physical’ and taking the law into their own hands which is totally unacceptable.

5. I also view negative comments by certain blog-visitors on this blogsite trying to lay blame on Tun to cease doing so. Tun has earned the right the licence, has the absolute freedom and responsibility to share his views on any subject at anytime for the good of all.

6. Finally, I would also like to call on the rc church to reconsider their position and withdraw their insistence on the use of the kalima ‘Allah’ held utterly and deeply sacred to the muslims; that its use in the context of non-muslims viewed as a clear invasion and aggression of the privacy of muslims, faith and space; that its use in the context of non-muslims is clearly

unnecessary unwarranted and instead represents an act of blaspheme and blasphemous in nature to muslims.

bangsaMSIA Inc

By [chukail](#)  on [January 9, 2010 4:07 AM](#)

Hi Numenclature,

I agree with you whole - heartedly, there must be a better way to resolve Allah name disputes among the Malaysian.

You sounded like a very sober Malaysian I must admit it is rather difficult to talk about Islam in the open especially when there is a restriction from the Jabatan Ugama Islam. You has to have the "permit" from the Agency to talk about Islam. Never mind if you are really knowledgeable about the Islamic faith, unless if you get the "permit" first one might get arrested like what happen to Ustaz Dr. Mohd Asri

I have once been asked by a tourist couples from Sweden of why the Malaysian Malays cannot enters The Genting Casino. I told them we have a very "caring" Islamic Authority, the Malays are not allowed to go into the Casino because the Jabatan Ugama Islam want to make sure that no Malaysian Malays go to hell....

If you observed my previous two posting, my message is especially to the Malays. Little did this people (Malays) know that in some country of the world there are places where they study these specific ethnics (Malay) group. I have seen one in Africa where there have special room filled with books about the Malays only. Don't ask me why ... honestly I don't know, but it is true.

The Malays are known to be very loyal to their King and Country, and mind you, this land is named Tanah Melayu whether you like it or not. They can be very peaceful peoples but if you provoke the Malays to the limit I believe you have seen the word amok in almost every English dictionaries, where do you think the words originated from ?

I love Malaysia and its ethnic diversities, but alas "something or some peoples" do not want to see the Malaysian people living in peace and harmony. I strongly believed they won't care less if the Malays were non Muslim. Face it ... in the world today ... it is not easy to become Muslim especially when G. W. Bush had successfully labeled Muslim = Terrorism since 911.

We know what really happen, all the "war" happening around the Globe wasn't really about war .. it is all about business and power. My dear fellow Malaysians open your eye wide and think. Is it really of a paramount important for Churches to use Allah name so bad. No ... I do believe most of the fellow Christian know that ... except that some of the Christian were Malays and of course there are Politicians. Can anyone disagree with me if I said that Politics is dirty?

How about dirty politics on a global scales ?

Can you people in the right set of mind would allowed any politician to use you as a "tool" to achieve their political dream ? What if their ambition is to create instability in our country, can anyone deny that ? There are "Government" in this world that can only "survive" if there is war. They will go all out to achieve that goal.

The most practical way to achieve that is in the name of free speech rights and the freedom to ridicule the Islamic faith, by creating illicit cartoon about Prophet Muhammad and Allah too. There are always common traits to these intend i.e. to produce false flag, to create a possible war. They have tried that many many times before and they will never stop trying. They won't care less even if they have to kill their own people, why would they care about us Malaysian.

They will shunt any Malaysian prominent figure that they see will hamper or delay their event mileage. Why do you think Barry Wain is targeting Tun ... do you think in your most sober mind that this "rascal" really care about our country economy? They don't need us anyway, they will not stop ... not until we start killing each other.

Sahabat ku orang Melayu (Muslim atau Bukan Muslim) anda perlu isikan minda anda dengan maklumat yang betul tentang ajaran Keristian. Jangan biarkan diri anda di peralatkan oleh pihak-pihak yang ingin lihat Negara kita ini hancur.

Wasallam

Tun thank you very much for allowing my comment to appear again in your esteem blog, and please take care.

By Divine Dragoon  on [January 9, 2010 2:59 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Sungguh besar ketawa saya melihat kelatah rakyat malaysia dalam isu ini. Tanpa disadari, akal saya berkata macam Iblis ketawa da...

Saya tahu umat islam di Malaysia ini takut kalau-kalau penyalah gunaan perkataan Allah oleh umat kristian dapat memesongkan akidah umat islam itu sendiri. Ini merupakan penyakit 'ke-takut-an' yang selalu mengganggu umat islam di Malaysia.

Selalu kita lupa bahawa ubat untuk penyakit ini adalah takwa dan iman. Kalau iman kita kuat, walau di kategorikan atau dikatakan bagaimanapun tafsir perkataan Allah itu, kita lebih mengetahui siapa Allah itu pada kita umat islam. Wajib kita tinggatkan iman kita sendiri untuk melawan perkara yang bertentangan pada umat islam. Bukan mengharapkan 'tangan ghaib' untuk membantu.

Saya telah menyelami dan mengalami sendiri perkara-perkara yang menyalahi hukum agama islam dan tahu bagaimana dan apa langkah untuk mengelakkan ianya terjadi atau berulang. Alhamdulillah berkat pertolongan dari Allah, saya lebih teratur.

Kalau di ikutkan banyak yang saya suka kongsi di sini tetapi elok kalau saya mulakan dengan menyeru semua umat islam di Malaysia bertenang, tak perlu berpiket atau berhimpun tetapi memulakan langkah menguatkan iman diri dan ahli keluarga. Juga kepada yang kurang ilmu tu, mulakan menambah ilmu iman didada kamu. Pada saya, imanlah benteng yang paling kukuh untuk mengelak diri dari terpesong.

By jeevan  on [January 9, 2010 2:40 AM](#)

Dear Tun,

I need your help. Kindly come into the picture and stop things before it give disastrous effect for all Malaysian. I believe the Malaysian citizens still listens to you and hope you can put an end to this problem for once for all. If this kalimah issue is not resolved ASAP it will definitely to spark a riot situation and harmony in Malaysia will be interrupted.

By peterpanda  on [January 9, 2010 2:34 AM](#)

since they insist on using the word "Allah" for the Malay Bible, why not use "Siva" or "Krishna" for the Tamil Bible and "budha" for the Mandarin Bible?

Aren't they gods too? or at least, the ones that people worship.

Why not replace the word 'god' in the Bible with those words?

Oh wait. They won't do such thing. They know the consequences of doing it.

But what is this issue all about then?

By S..Tan  on [January 9, 2010 12:11 AM](#)

Dear Tun, salam mesra. Kiriman ini memberi pandangan pada tulisan kurang manis.....

Pandangan pada sdr 'LadyG' on January 6, 2010 9:46 AM

.....PINDAAN RUKUNEGARA

Sila betulkan fahaman Rukunegara yg kamu melaung nak tukar. 'Kepercayaan kepada Tuhan' bukan 'Kepercayaan pada agama'

Boleh jelaskan apa 'heart and soul', kekayaan bumi, hak dan agama kamu, yg orang nak sapu? Jgn buat tuduhan membuta. Sdr menganjur kekerasan (komen sopan santun)?

.....SALAH PAK LAH

Pada yg salahkan Pak Lah, pandangan luar Umno menuduh Umno yg giat menimbulkan atau menghangatkan isu perkauman dan agama (selepas PRU 12) untuk jadi jaguh kembali. Nak menawan hati dan undi Melayu yg mrk hilang krn tamak dan rasuah.

Dua ciri ini (tamak dan rasuah) mrk tak mampu berubah (krn rotten to the core) jadi cara yg tinggal, mainkan isu sensitif. Buka mata dan hati dan waspada.

.....SIAPA UNTUNG

Siapa yg untung kalau huru hara dan undang undang darurat dikenakan? Bayang tiada pembangkang mengacau, tiada Parlimen menyoal, tiada mahkamah menyekat, tiada tindakan boleh diambil terhadap segala perbuatan. Umno rugi paling kecil bila kacau bilau.

Kenapa dia selalu terlibat dgn demonstrasi marah dan ancaman.

By Melanau Warrior  on [January 8, 2010 11:53 PM](#)

Dear TUN,

Hey! I am first among equals--the rest just shut up

Hey! I am first among equals, the rest of you just shut up". It's not just a question of being first among equals, it is, "I am first, the rest no where". That's exactly the position the BN wants in this country. Peaceful and legitimate public assemblies were dispersed with chemical-soaked water cannon. Reason: no permit. Why no permit? Oh.... security threat. But today's proposed protest public assembly is allowed. Reason: that's their constitutional right to exhibit their displeasure with the court's ruling. Other times it would be a total contempt of court to disparage a judge or court's ruling. But for this one, "No". It's okay. It's in exercise of constitutional rights by the protesters.

Again I like to quote my favourite author, Leo Tolstoy, who once said, "God sees the truth but waits". I also like to believe and in fact really believe that, as my late father used to say when my siblings and I were young kids, "No one would live without God behind him. Therefore don't ever oppress anyone or look down on anyone you may think are so poor". [The original Iban version that I remember very vividly was, "Enti orangangat nadai Petara nyau enda idup iya. Anang sekali ngenyak ka orang tauka ngemaroh ka orang ti merinsa"]

What is going on today, it seems, the BN stops at nothing in order to cling to power. One does not need any deep analysis to know how the BN has been instigating naive citizens to go berserk over matters that is of non-issue. It is not today that the Christians have been using the word, "Allah" but for years prior to the court's ruling or prior to the ban of the Catholic Herald. And the constitution explicitly spells out the freedom of religion and honours the private ways each religion choose to perform its worship.

I shall not go into details of things but what Datuk Zaid Ibrahim says herein below explains everything.

From The Malaysian Insider

By Asrul Hadi Abdullah Sani

KUALA LUMPUR, Jan 8 — Datuk Zaid Ibrahim today condemned Umno and urged the government to take full responsibility for the church attacks.

“It has to take full responsibility for the worsening of relations between people of different faiths in this country in its handling of the use of the word Allah.

"The irresponsible conduct of fanning the emotions by Umno leaders has brought about this dangerous situation. What we see today confirms that this country is being governed not by engagement consultation, sophistication or persuasion but by brute and mob force,” he said in a press statement.

Early this morning, a Protestant church was torched while homemade explosives were thrown at a Catholic church next to the Assunta Hospital.

“The Umno-led government has the penchant to use force or flexing of authority in dealing with delicate issues. Take the case of the ban imposed on the Herald. They could easily have engaged in consultation with the church in Sabah and Sarawak. They should try to understand why the need to use the word Allah without any preconceived notions or prejudice. After all, they have been using the word Allah for many years before BN government acted to ban the Herald.

"They could easily have also engaged in discussions with the various Muslim groups about their legitimate concerns on whether the church are surreptitiously converting Muslims and explore ways to address these concerns in spirit of dialogue and peaceful deliberations," he said.

He explained that there are already laws against converting Muslims but Umno instead chose to politicise the sensitive issue.

“There is already a law against converting Muslims anyway. They could have done a lot more. But because of their lack of maturity and sophistication banning is the obvious choice.

“They want instant popularity so fanning the emotions of Muslims becomes legitimate... and when the court ruled otherwise they then fan the Muslims to oppose the ruling. The people of this country will not escape the scourge of Umno-led rule until they decide once and for all to dislodge this government in the next available opportunity.”

PAS has also reminded and warned its members not to engage in any demonstrations organised in the Kampung Baru Mosque, Shah Alam Mosque and the National Mosque after Friday prayers.

The protest is being orchestrated by several Muslim NGOs in retaliation against the High Court's ruling on Dec 31 allowing the Catholic Church to use the word "Allah" in its weekly publication the Herald.

PAS vice-president Datuk Tuan Ibrahim Tuan Man had said that the demonstration on the use of the word “Allah” is Umno’s position and not the party’s.

"We call on all members of PAS in order not to be dragged in the same demonstration sponsored by Umno," he told party’s newspaper.

PAS backs the use of the word Allah by Catholics because it is consistent with the federal Constitution and Islamic principles.

Tuan Ibrahim instead asked the members to join the protest against the Egyptian government's unjust action that prevents entry of the Viva Palestina convoy to Gaza from the country.

The demonstration organised by PAS Youth and other NGOs are expected to protest in front of the Egyptian Embassy after Friday prayers.

Prime Minister Datuk Seri Najib Razak has also defended his government’s decision to allow angry Muslim groups to hold a nationwide protest against the “Allah” ruling, saying they have every right to do so.

However, Inspector-General of Police Tan Sri Musa Hassan yesterday warned that action will be taken against groups planning to stage protest rallies against the use of the word “Allah”.

I like to repeat what I have said elsewhere before, "It is best that we don't maliciously step on each others tails. Do not think others are coward if they turn the other cheek. People do not react because they are exercising wisdom and restraint for the good of all. Because we don't have to fight to be a man. But don't always take things for granted. There are times we HAVE TO FIGHT WHEN WE ARE A MAN".

Peace be to all Malaysian.

Thanks.

By S..Tan  on [January 8, 2010 11:38 PM](#)

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat sejahtera. Pandangan saya pada perkembangan topik panas ini dgn izin....

.....MENJAGA ORANG

Ada orang selalu mengawasi tindakan orang lain dgn syak dan buruk sangka. Tapi lihat kelakuan dia sendiri...

Agama lain tidak pernah berarak atau tunjuk perasaan beramai ramai dgn sorak, laung, ugut, amaran (Kepala lembu)

Orang lain tidak menghalang perhimpunan kamu walau untuk mengugut kaum lain (TPCA, sidang Umno). Tapi perbincangan orang dalam bangunan kena rempuh (Bar council, Apcett)

Berarak membantah kaum lain dan keputusan mahkamah boleh, berhimpun serah surat beritahu masyarakat di pinggir tak boleh (Hindraf)

Orang berkumpul di padang tak boleh, dia berkumpul depan Istana, tepi jalan, boleh (lantik MB, Allah)

Pasang lilin mengganggu ketenteraman awam, memberi amaran dan merempuh tidak?

Orang duduk bincang tak boleh dia mengancam dan mengamuk boleh!

Meluahkan rasa sakit hati? Satu pihak saja tahu sakit hati orang lain tidak? Satu pihak saja manusia berperasaan orang lain?

Hak tunjuk perasaan, berarak, naik marah, hati terguris adalah hak istimewa Per 153?

.....MAKSUD DAN TUJUAN

Maksud Tuhan dalam BM hanya istilah umum, ada berbagai rupa dan boleh diguna semua kepercayaan. Tuhan agama kristian lebih terperinci jadi Allah lebih dekat dgn maksud mrk. Allah bukan perkataan BM, bukan milik orang Melayu dan sudah pun digunakan kaum lain sejak zaman Nabi. Ia sudah lama digunakan oleh setengah orang Kristian di banyak tempat lain.

Apa salah mrk nak minta guna perkataan yg orang lain (Sabah ,S'wak, agama lain di Timur Tengah) sudah lama pakai. Lain lah kalau tiada bangsa, agama dan tempat lain di dunia yg guna perkataan ini. Pada pembaca dalam BM, Tuhan dan Allah tak sama. Mungkin ini sebab Herald pilih Allah. Orang Melayu tak nak pakai Tuhan untuk Maha Esa mrk dalam BM, salah kalau orang kristian tak mahu?

Isu nya ada niat buruk Herald guna perkataan ini tak? Kalau mrk berniat buruk ada undang undang kawalan citak, larangan dakwah, perlembagaan yg mampu sekat mrk, tak payah merusuh.

M'sia nak jadi negara pertama di dunia mengishtihaar Allah tak boleh diguna oleh agama lain. Ia hak mutlak Islam? Heretic or maverick?

Switzerland di kecam melarang menara masjid di negara mrk (menara bukan tuntuan agama). Di sini orang di larang guna perkataan! Siapa baik? Siapa demokratik?

.....OVERWHELMED BY OTHER RELIGIONS

You see what you want to see (Quote Tun). To see temples and churches travel in urban areas, towns and estates. Then scream this country is overwhelmed with temples. They fail to see kampungs and rural areas make up 80% of inhabited area.

Compare no of mosques in urban areas (where 80% non-Muslim) with no of temples in rural areas (where 80% Muslim) then claim you are overwhelmed. Compare ratio of temple over followers per religion with ratio of mosques not combine all other religions places of worship (as one convert professor deceptively did)

Compare with no of mosque (masjid surau) per sq km of land not travel to other communities residential areas and proclaim their places of worship outnumber yours. Do you want your places of worship to outnumber other religions where you are 10% of residents?

Use better arguments not those by a convert professor who is more eager to create controversy(hoping for gains) than propagate his religion. Propagate your religion by study and disseminating the holy teachings not showing who has evil intention. God determines that.

.....SOCIAL CONTRACT

Salah ke minta pengadilan mahkamah? Kan itu cara demokrasi.

Menghormati mahkamah tanggungjawab kontrak sosial semua rakyat! Jgn kuat laung kontrak sosial bila dapat keistimewaan saja! Ini maksud betul kontrak sosial!

By hambayanhina  on [January 8, 2010 10:58 PM](#)

Who is Allah?

What every believer must know.

PART 1

The believer must acknowledge and be thoroughly convinced that He is Single, Unique, Eternal.

Say: "He is Allah, the One! Allah, the Everlasting! He does not beget, nor was He begotten; and there is none comparable unto Him." (112:1-4)

There is nothing like unto Him, and He is the All-Hearing, the All-Seeing. (42:11)

He has no match and no counterpart, no assistant and no partner, no aide and no minister, no peer and no adviser. He is not a physical body, for if He were, He could be touched. He is not a material substance, for if He were, He would be accessible to sensory perception. He is not an accidental property, for if He were, His existence would be impermanent. He is not an entity with a composite structure, nor is He an apparatus and a combination of elements, a constitution and a formal definition.

PART 2

It is He, Allah, who is holding the sky aloft and keeping the earth in place, not some property of nature, not some astronomical configuration, not a darkness that looms, and not a light that gleams.

He is:

Present to all things through cognition; Witness to all things without physical contact; Almighty; Irresistible; Governing; Omnipotent; Merciful; Forgiver of sins; Veiler of faults; Ennobling; Supportive; Kind; Creator; Maker; First; Last; Outwardly Manifest; Inwardly Concealed; Uniquely Worthy of Worship; Living, never to die; Existing from All Eternity, never to pass away; Eternal Ruler of the Kingdom; Everlasting Lord of the Dominion; Self-Sustaining, without ever taking rest; Almighty, never to suffer any harm; Impregnable, never to be overcome.

Thus to Him belong the Splendid Names and all the Noble Talents

PART 3

On high is He established, upon the heavenly Throne, encompassing the kingdom [of heaven and earth], while His cognitive awareness embraces all things.

Unto Him good words ascend, and the righteous deed He does exalt. (35:10)

He directs the business [of His entire creation] from the heaven to the earth, then it goes up to Him in one day, the measure of which is a thousand years by your reckoning. (32:5)

He has created all creatures and their doings, and He has predetermined their means of sustenance and their spans of life. No one can bring forward what He has postponed, and no one can postpone what He has brought forward.

He willed the whole universe and what His creatures would be doing. If He had chosen to prevent them from sinning, they would never have offended against Him. If He had wished them to obey Him entirely, they would certainly have obeyed Him.

He knows the secret and [what is yet] more deeply hidden. (20:7)

He is Cognizant of what is in the breasts [of men]. How should He not know, He who created?

And He is the Subtle One, the All-Aware. (67:14)

He is the One who sets things in motion and the One who brings things to rest.

No concept of Him can be formed by any stretch of the imagination, nor can He be assessed by any effort of the mind. He cannot be judged by comparison with human beings. He is far above being likened to that which He has wrought, or being correlated with what He has invented and devised.

He is the Enumerator of breaths, the One 'who reckons with every soul according to what it has earned.'

PART 4

He is Independent of His creation, Provident to His creatures. He supplies food, but He does not need to be fed. He provides sustenance, but He does not need to be sustained. He offers protection, but He does not need to be protected. Every created being is dependent on Him for all its needs. He created them not for the sake of obtaining some benefit, not to ward off some injury, not because of some motive that prompted Him to do it, and not because of some notion that occurred to Him or some idea that suggested itself, but by sheer Will. As He Himself has said-and He is the Most Truthful of speakers—

He is:

Lord of the Throne, the All-Glorious, Doer of what He will. (85:15,16)

He is Uniquely Capable of inventing material substances, of doing away with damage and distress, of transforming substances and altering conditions.

Every day He is about some awesome business (55:29)

In other words, He is about the business of steering that which He has predestined toward that which He has scheduled in time.

PART 5

He (Exalted is He) is Living in the sense of having life, Knowing in the sense of having knowledge, Powerful in the sense of having power, Willing in the sense of having will, Hearing in the sense of having a faculty of hearing, Seeing in the sense of having a faculty of sight, Consciously Aware in the sense of having conscious awareness, Articulate in the sense of having a faculty of speech, Commanding in the sense of giving orders, Forbidding in the sense of issuing prohibitions, and Communicative in the sense of imparting information.

He (Exalted is He) is Equitable in His ruling and His judgment, and Graciously Beneficent in His gifts and His favours; that He is an Originator and a Restorer, a Giver of Life and a Causer of Death, a Producer and an Inventor, a Rewarder and a Punisher, One who is so Generous that He is never stingy, so Long-suffering that He is never in a hurry, so Heedful that He is never forgetful, so Vigilant that He is never inattentive, so Wakeful that He is never negligent.

He causes feelings of constriction and He causes feelings of expansion. He makes us laugh and He makes us happy. He loves and He hates. He disapproves and He approves. He displays anger and He manifests displeasure. He shows compassion and He forgives. He gives and He withholds.

PART 6

As He has said (Glorified and Exalted is He):

And the heavens are rolled up in His right hand. (39:67)

"He clutches all the earths and all the heavens together, yet not even the very edges of them can be seen to protrude from His grasp."

He created Adam (peace be upon him) in the form proper to him. He planted the Garden of Eden with His own hand, and the Tree of Bliss with His own hand. He wrote the Torah with His own hand, and He delivered it to Moses from His hand to his hand. He also spoke to Moses by addressing him directly, with no intermediary channel and without an interpreter.

The hearts of His servants are between two fingers of the All-Merciful, so He spins them around as He wills, and He instills in them whatever He wishes.

The people of the Garden [of Paradise will actually see His face, and they will behold it without suffering any injury or harm in the process of beholding it.

He will reveal Himself to them, and He will give them what they most desire.

That day will faces be resplendent, looking toward their Lord. (75:22,23)

His servants will all be paraded before Him on the Day of Judgment and Doom. He will take it upon Himself to settle their accounts, and He will not make anyone other than Himself responsible for carrying out this task.

PART 7

Allah (Exalted is He) created seven heavens, one above another, and seven earths, one below another. [The time it takes to travel] from the highest earth to the lowest heaven is five hundred years, and the distance between each heaven and the next is also a journey of five hundred years. There is water above the seventh heaven, and the Throne of the All-Merciful One is above the water. Allah (Exalted is He) is upon the Throne, and beneath Him there are seventy thousand veils of light, of darkness, and of what else He knows best.

Those who bear the Throne, and those who are around it, proclaim the praise of their Lord and believe in Him, and they ask forgiveness for those who believe, [saying]: "Our Lord, You embrace all things in mercy and knowledge; therefore forgive those who repent and follow Your way. Ward off from them the punishment of Hell!" (40:7)

The Throne has a border, which only Allah knows. [In His own words (Almighty and Glorious is He)

And you shall see the angels circling around the Throne. (39:75)169

He (Almighty and Glorious is He) knows what is in the seven heavens and what is between them and what is beneath them, and what is in all the earths and what is beneath them and what is between them, and what is beneath the surface of the land, and what is in the depths of the oceans, and the point of growth of every hair and every tree and every seed that grows, and the

place where every leaf will fall, and the number of all of these, and the number of all the pebbles and all the grains of sand and all the specks of dust, and the weights of all the mountains, and the measures of all the oceans, and the actions of His servants and their secret thoughts and the breaths they breathe and the words they speak. He knows everything. Nothing of this is hidden from Him.

PART 8

He is far above any resemblance to His creation. No place is beyond the scope of His cognizance. He is in Heaven upon the Throne. As He Himself has said (let us extol Him as befits His Majesty!):

The All-Merciful has established Himself upon the Throne. (20:5)

...then established Himself upon the Throne, the All-Merciful..... (25:59)

He has also said (Exalted is He):

Unto Him good works ascend, and the righteous deed He does exalt.
(35:10)

PART 9

When Allah created the creation, He recorded a writ incumbent upon Himself, which is there in His presence above the Throne, [and in it He declared]: "My mercy has overwhelmed My wrath."

"Allah (Exalted is He) has said in the Torah:

I, Allah, am above My servants, and My Throne is above the whole of My creation, and I am upon My Throne, whereon I regulate [the affairs of] My servants, and nothing pertaining to My servants is ever hidden from Me."

Indeed, the fact that He (Almighty and Glorious is He) is upon the Throne is mentioned in every Scripture that has been revealed to every Prophet who has been sent forth to deliver His message. Allah (Exalted is He) is also characterized as possessing the attributes of Exaltedness and Omnipotence, as exercising control and domination [over the whole of His creation from the Throne.

PART 10

There is no god but He [la ilaha illa Hu]. His are the Most Beautiful Names [lahu'l-asma'u'l-husna]. (20:8)

"I am a believer [mu'min] in a Lord who does whatever He wills."

By amanda  on [January 8, 2010 10:51 PM](#)

Oh and by the way Tun,

To educate you further, Christians do not worship 3 Gods but only 1.
And that is in our very 1st commandment.

I will not explain the concept of trinity here because unlike the rest, I will not talk about theology on a whim...get in touch with me, and I'll refer you to some very good theologians...

Take up some theology classes...they may be useful for you and your merry men here....

By ekompute  on [January 8, 2010 10:47 PM](#)

As Sara pointed out, Allah is "a common name for God in Arabic." Since this is a loanword and not an original Malay word, maybe we should refer the matter to the Arabs, as this issue seems to be getting out of hand.

By amanda  on [January 8, 2010 10:46 PM](#)

So, Tun...

I wonder how the Christian monks in Middle East communicated with the Prophet Mohammad (pbuh) when they talk about God....

Don't you think they would have spoken the same language and would then, refer to God as Allah?

Prove the exclusiveness then we'll shut up. Until then, everyone should stop this superiority complex over a term which should not even be an issue in the first place.

By MarilynM  on [January 8, 2010 10:05 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

My 1st time on this site, always been intrigued with your view points. I am shocked to read about the incident that occurred today, churches fire-bombed and protests at mosques, what's going on with Malaysians? I've been away in USA many years and always regarded M'sia as the epitome center of multi-racial center, obviously things have changed quite a bit. I am a born Hindu and converted into Christianity few years ago, Bible never refers to God as 'Allah' and why are these small groups of people making a big deal out of nothing. This is also an absolute embarrassment for the nation and the current leadership isn't doing a good job handling the matter. I pray to 'God' that these people come to their senses before something serious occurs, then they will definitely anger both 'God' and 'Allah'. Come on M'sians, we are better than this!

By aChildOfGod  on [January 8, 2010 9:39 PM](#)

Tun M was wrong in one thing. the translation of God in Bahasa. God means Allah in Arabic. The fact that he raised about Tuhan- Tuhan is a Indonesian term for God since the era of Malay archipelago.

He said that our almighty God is Tuhan, not Allah. That was wrong. Tuhan can be Allah also, Tuhan can be our almighty god. I can still remember the first principle of Rukunegara- Kepercayaan kepada Tuhan

It means, we have to believe the existence of Tuhan. Be it Allah, God, Buddha, Tuhan doesn't mean our Almighty god in Bahasa. Tuhan means God in general. We bersyukur kepada Tuhan, Buddhist pray to their Tuhan, we pray to our Tuhan (almighty god), muslim pray to their Tuhan (allah).

We need a special term in Malay to separate our almighty god with the general Tuhan. Its wrong to use Tuhan in bible. thus, the best word to resemble God in Malay is Allah, the Allah that created Adam and Eve(Hawa). Not any Tuhan. because there is only ONE ALMIGHTY GOD

By yesmaria  on [January 8, 2010 9:18 PM](#)

ALLAH IS BELONGING TO ALL NATIONS....NOT TO MALAY, CHINESE, ARABIAN, INDONESIAN, AMERICAN, EUROPEAN, IBAN, KENYAH...ETC...BECAUSE EVERYONE KNOW THAT HE'S A CREATOR...ALMIGHTY...AND I BELIEVE THAT ALLAH CAN BE ANYTHING HE WANT, HE CAN appear IN ANY KINDS.....BECAUSE HE'S ALLAH....WHO DARE TO CHALLENGE ALLAH IN THIS WORLD?...IF THEY BELIEVED ALLAH IS EXIST..I THINK THIS IS NOT AN ISSUE, ONLY TO EXPRESSES THAT THEY ARE TOOOOO GOODDDDD PERHAPS....BUT ALL MALAYSIAN KNOW WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPEN TO THESE PEOPLES.....TROUBLE MAKER, POLITICAL AND POWER MANIAC...

By [Steve Hutcheson](#)  on [January 8, 2010 9:00 PM](#)

Azlan

The name Abdullah is an extension of Allah yet Abdullah was Mohammad's father, obviously the name was in use before Islam. I go back to my original postulate, there is but one god and Allah is his name. Muslims should be pleased that Christians worship the same god.

By Hazrat  on [January 8, 2010 8:09 PM](#)

Salam para blogger dan Tun Dr.M

Rasanya xder apa yg saya nak komen.. hanya nak pastekan ayat2 yg perlu anda semua tahu. Nilaikan sendiri..

Firman Allah bermaksud:

1. Dan sesungguhnya jika Engkau (Wahai Muhammad) bertanya kepada mereka (yang musyrik) itu: "Siapakah yang menciptakan langit dan bumi, dan yang memudahkan matahari dan bulan (untuk faedah makhluk-makhlukNya)?" sudah tentu mereka akan menjawab: "Allah". Maka bagaimana mereka tergamak dipalingkan (oleh hawa nafsunya daripada mengakui keesaan Allah dan mematuhi perintahNya)?.

Firman Allah bermaksud:

2. Dan Sesungguhnya jika Engkau (Wahai Muhammad) bertanya kepada mereka (yang musyrik) itu: "Siapakah yang menurunkan hujan dari langit, lalu ia hidupan dengannya tumbuh-tumbuhan di bumi sesudah matinya?" sudah tentu mereka akan menjawab: "Allah". Ucapkanlah (Wahai Muhammad): "Alhamdulillah" (sebagai bersyukur disebabkan pengakuan mereka Yang demikian), bahkan kebanyakan mereka tidak memahami (hakikat Tauhid dan pengertian syirik).

Firman Allah bermaksud:

25. Dan Sesungguhnya jika Engkau (Wahai Muhammad) bertanya kepada mereka (yang musyrik) itu: "Siapakah yang menciptakan langit dan bumi?" sudah tentu mereka akan menjawab: "Allah". Ucapkanlah (Wahai Muhammad): "Alhamdulillah" (sebagai bersyukur

disebabkan pengakuan mereka yang demikian - tidak mengingkari Allah), bahkan kebanyakan mereka tidak mengetahui (hakikat Tauhid dan pengertian syirik).

Firman Allah bermaksud:

3. Dan demi sesungguhnya! jika Engkau (Wahai Muhammad) bertanya kepada mereka (yang musyrik) itu: "Siapakah yang mencipta langit dan bumi?" sudah tentu mereka akan menjawab: "Allah". Katakanlah (kepada mereka): "Kalau demikian, bagaimana fikiran kamu tentang yang kamu sembah yang lain dari Allah itu? jika Allah hendak menimpakan daku dengan sesuatu bahaya, dapatkah mereka mengelakkan atau menghapuskan bahayanya itu; atau jika Allah hendak memberi rahmat kepadaku, dapatkah mereka menahan rahmatNya itu?" Katakanlah lagi: "Cukuplah bagiku: Allah (yang menolong dan memeliharaaku); kepadaNya lah hendaknya berserah orang-orang yang mahu berserah diri".

Firman Allah bermaksud:

4. Dan Demi sesungguhnya! jika Engkau (Wahai Muhammad) bertanya kepada mereka (yang musyrik) itu: "siapakah yang menciptakan langit dan bumi?" sudah tentu mereka akan menjawab: "yang menciptakannya ialah Allah Yang Maha Kuasa, lagi Maha Mengetahui".

Firman Allah bermaksud:

5. Dan Demi sesungguhnya! jika Engkau (Wahai Muhammad) bertanya kepada mereka: "Siapakah yang menciptakan mereka?" sudah tentu mereka akan menjawab: "Allah!". (jika demikian) maka Bagaimana mereka rela dipesongkan (dari menyembah dan mengesakanNya)?

"Ayat-ayat tersebut di atas mendedahkan bahawa orang-orang bukan Islam yang menganut berbagai agama itu mengaku wujudnya Tuhan yang bernama Allah mengikut naluri dalam Tauhid Rububiyah (Adanya Tuhan Pencipta Alam) dan ianya hanya sekadar itu. Namun kepercayaan mereka sebegini tidak menepati hakikat dari makna perkataan Allah mengikut maknanya yang sebenar, iaitu supaya mengesakan Allah dalam ibadah dan cara hidup seluruhnya."

Kesimpulannya: Perkataan ALLAH adalah untuk sesiapa sahaja yg bergelar makhluk.. yang penting tanya diri kita sendiri adakah kita mengenali ALLAH ataupun hanya kenal sama mcm org2 bukan ISLAM..

wallhu`alam

By ekompute  on [January 8, 2010 7:59 PM](#)

Hi Rentap80, please read my response at thread marked "January 8, 2010 1:12 AM".

By taurusgal  on [January 8, 2010 7:41 PM](#)

Arent you all ashamed when u say the usage of the word Allah by the christians can confuse the muslims? Dont u think that it shows your lack of confidence on your own religion? With due respect to the islamic religion, i think it is time for you all to know that all religion teaches to love. The same word allah is uttered by the terrorists before they kill innocent souls and at that time you think the usage was right? Isnt it saddening to see the name of God being used to justify

those violence? whereas when the christians use the word to refer to God, you think that is not right.

Tun, referring to your 11th statement, i would like to humbly clarify that people who do not believe in religion doesnt only exist in US. I have met many people who were born as Muslims who doesnt have any religious faith. So, i think that statement is rather illogical.

Religious difference apart, i feel ashamed to see people going on streets to 'protect' the word Allah. Do we think we small humans are in a position where we need to protect God? Are we trying to potray that The Almighty needs to be helped by us humans? All God taught us was to love each other but even that small princip we cant practice but here we go demonstrating and burning places of worship. Please i beg you, do not misuse the name of God.

Tun, maybe its time for you to start considering the views of all especially of the younger generation of all ethnics because as a former leader, we would like to look up on you as our idol. Perhaps you can start from your daughter marina.
Thank you.

By slashed  on [January 8, 2010 5:48 PM](#)

Tun,

I respect you but today I am appalled by the LACK OF RESEARCH that you have done or perhaps you simply PICKED AND CHOSE what suited your point? A simple search on the word "Allah" prompts results that throw into question whether or not the word "Allah" is solely owned by the Muslim faith. An easy example: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Allah>

If I had more free time in my hands I'd go to primary sources but for now secondary sources like wiki will do. And in fact they appear enough since all the arguments I have heard so far from the other side ARE SPECULATIVE AND UNSUBSTANTIATED.

"Allah" is associated with Islam but it is not appropriated by it. At what point does simple association become acquisition? More than that, Muslims will surely agree that there are more than one name for the God of Islam. And the Arabs themselves do not contend that there is a restriction on the word (Mind you, I stress WORD, and away from the Almighty Himself) itself. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arab_Christians - READ THE LAST PARAGRAPH TITLED DOCTRINE) (Again, SIMPLE SEARCHES. so what is wrong with you)

Indeed, the word "Allah" was used PRE-ISLAM although obviously the MUSLIM GOD ALLAH is different. It is the SUBSTANCE not the mere mention of WHAT NOW SEEMS TO BE A COMMON AND GENERIC ARAB TERM that makes Islam what it is.

A lot of Muslims here consider this a sensitive issue. It seems that it is sensitive only because YOU are sensitive and I dare say alot of you are ignorant. When the top says something you listen and obey without even taking time to identify the truth? It seems that in Malaysia trust begets laziness. This is why there are so few Malaysian born-bred-educated academics worth mentioning. No one bothers to check anything. The irony is that Islam places an emphasis on knowledge.

I embrace God and therefore I have no fear of what comes from the outside. Are you embracing God? Or are you embracing FEAR?

By [shazli](#) on [January 8, 2010 4:37 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

I almost forgot what I wanted to write.

I love it when the bloggers keep saying "Its all UMNO's fault" or " BN's fault" or "Tun's fault". I would not say UMNO is completely innocent but don't these people look in the mirror?

Waiting for the next "Blame UMNO: comment.

By [shazli](#) on [January 8, 2010 4:29 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

The wise court decided that giving permission for Herald to use Allah will not be national security issue. Now, arsonists have attacked a church in Malaysia. Since, this issue is related to Islam, the case should have been worked out with the Syariah court. Just as the whole Muslim world reacted to cartoons of Prophet Muhammad, Salman Rushdie, how did the court did not take this into account?

As Tun mentioned, there is no verse in the Bible or the Torah that mentions Allah swt. However, in the Quran, Allah is the focal point of the whole book which is submission to Him. There is even a surah that describes Allah which is Al-Ikhlâs and is known by all Muslims.

In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful

1. Say: He is Allah, the One and Only;
2. Allah, the Eternal, Absolute;
3. He begetteth not, nor is He begotten;
4. And there is none like unto Him.

From this description, Allah is not what other religion think of God. Therefore, for peace, my Christian friends, just use Tuhan, God, Yesus, Kristus or other names you are comfortable with.

Shazli

By [hermiacs](#) on [January 8, 2010 4:16 PM](#)

dear Tun (and everyone else who reads this),

sad... so sad. what is happening our beloved country? what's wrong with us?

people (especially the foreigners) are laughing at us. are we trying to be smart that it makes us look stupid or are we just plain narrow-minded community?

there are many types of religions in this very small world but every religions want their people to do good things for mankind.

we (Christians & Muslims) have the same Creator, came from the same Adam and Eve, live in the same world and see the same sun everyday. why can't we share that one name since we believe that God is one? most Malaysians are well-educated, right? so, confusion should not exist if we all have good upbringing with solid religion background.

let me tell you my experience about this issue. being a Sarawakian, i feel so lucky because my colleagues and i (we all come from different backgrounds; Malay, Bidayuh, Iban, Jawa, Melanau, etc...) can actually sit, have a nice hot cup of coffee and talk about this issue without

being too serious. after talking about it, we still can talk and laugh about other things like 'kahwin lagi' or more important things like how much money we have to spend for our children to have a good education, or how much powdered milk costs nowadays. yes, there are more important things in this life that we have to worry about.

right now i'm looking at four guys sitting at the corner, chit-chatting about mosquitoes, dengue & malaria. i know them. they are my colleagues. 2 Malays, 1 Chinese and 1 Bidayuh. i'm smiling, proud that this 'Allah' issue never bothers them.

to all of you (especially the narrow-minded people), learn from us, the Sarawakians. that's why we love Sarawak very much.

By EAH  on [January 8, 2010 4:10 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum w.b.k.t

Ayahanda Tun Dr Mahathir dan Bonda Tun Dr Siti Hasmah

Pemimpin lemah membawa kepada pentadbiran lemah. Pentadbiran lemah membawa kepada kompromi melampau. Kompromi melampau melumpuhkan ketamadunan dan kesalingan. Bukankah kita sudah terlalu banyak berkompromi? Dimana kedudukan Yang Di Pertuan Agung dalam hal perihal agama Islam? Melayu Islam akan terus berkompromi hingga ke soal aqidah dan maruah?

Kekeliruan malah pembobongan fakta mengajak kepada perdebatan yang keterlaluan dan melampau di laman siber tiada pemantauan dan terusan menyelewang malah memberi gambaran salah dan jelak tentang isu sebenar. Tiba-tiba semua pihak jadi 'intelek' bersenang-senang dengan tulisan masing-masing dipapar dan dikongsi ke hulu hilir tiada langsung mengambil kira sensitiviti dan kesan 'sebang-sebang' mereka. Terlalu ramai yang ingin bercakap, memberi jawapan yang memenat, sesat lagi menyesatkan. Amalan flip flop berterusan. Yang seharusnya berkawal dan mengawal keadaan beroleh rezqi tidak amanah kerna keadaan terus berkucaran.

Hak-hak kaum majoriti kini mudah benar dibahas lantang kaum minoriti malah lebih menjelakkan dicemuh dikecam kaum sendiri terus hanyut dari pembelaan. Perpecahan berterusan, bermula dengan teras sentimen dan ideologi kepartisan puluhan tahun di tanam dalam setiap antara kita oleh penjajah. Kini digoyah tiang aqidah Melayu terusan berlagaan tiada membawa kepada penyelesaian. Sedikit acuhan dari musuh Islam/ bangsa sudah cukup mampu menyala permusuhan saudara yang berpanjang. Di mana aqal dan nafas Melayu? Graduan kedoktoran Melayu yang terlalu ramai itu dimana simpannya?

Ayahanda Tun, kalau lah ayahanda Tun masih memerintah rasanya perkara-perkara memalu, memual dan mengaibkan bangsa dan agama ini pasti takkan berlaku. UMNO/PAS/PKR/PRM bukan lagi pembela bangsa Melayu. Mengaku dan hanya tahu berbaju Melayu tapi soal-soal kepentingan dan maruah bangsa mereka gagal senada. Mereka Melayu yang terus lupa dan terus memalukan! Sudah tiba masa kita bersatu dalam satu Pertubuhan/Kesatuan baharu!

Emer

By NeverUnderstanD  on [January 8, 2010 3:52 PM](#)

Adakah kita dalam usaha melaungkan slogan "1Malaysia" akan mencapai matlamatnya jika hal sebegini terus dijadikan isu? Jika orang Islam telah benar utuh pegangan agamanya di negara ini dan mereka mempunyai kadar alim ulama yang ramai untuk membantu membentuk akidah

rakyatnya, kenapa hal sebegini perlu ditakuti? Ataupun kini akidah orang Islam telah goyah & rapuh hingga ia mudah dipengaruhi dengan isu sebegini. Orang Kristian tidak pernah memaksa orang Islam untuk menukar agama mereka. Sebaliknya orang Islam mewajibkan orang dari agama lain untuk menukar agama mereka jika berkahwin dengan orang Islam. Tidakkah ia suatu bentuk paksaan (walaupun ia telah ketahui umum ia termaktub dalam perundangan negara). Fikirkan - fikirkanlah : ADAKAH INI SATU USAHA UNTUK RAKYAT 1MALAYSIA UNTUK MENIUPKAN ANGIN-ANGIN MENGULANG SEMULA perang salib?

By max  on [January 8, 2010 3:44 PM](#)

Dear Tun

Good sermon... i am sure you have further confused the confused Malaysians.

I hope with this kind of information you have shared, to the regular readers of ur blogsite will be very enlightened.

I do not believe into taking one view as the supreme (bulat-bulat), and i would like more sources around the world including Muslim scholars worldwide who will be much well versed with many in Malaysia giving comments here.

But of course in Malaysia, every single "S**T" can be turned very sensitive if anyone wants to. I am not sure if this is really imporant for us to argue and for some to go to the extent of dropping bomb in front of churches.

And those cowards behind this indispicable act should be "MAN" enough to come forward and take responsibilty.

May the Lord (in whatever name) be with us

By TheForeignPatriot  on [January 8, 2010 3:30 PM](#)

I will not pretend to understand the depths or intricacies of Islam or Christianity here. People may take comfort in facts, figures and historical references but it doesn't take into account the epic failure, and I mean 'epic', of people. It's just ironic that decency to your fellow man seems to be the furthest from people's minds though both religion preaches that.

I understand the sensitive nature of this issue, religion has always been a very sensitive subject, especially in a country like ours. But I'm simply amazed, truly floored at how people reacted to this issue. Muslims are ever so sensitive these days and it does more harm to the religion then it does any good.

The proper translation for God in BM is Tuhan, as it has been posted over and over above, and Allah is His name. This isn't the Middle-East, this isn't Arab country, this is Malaysia. Now in that context it is not hard, nor is it even remotely beyond the capacity of a simple layman to comprehend, that the word 'God' in our country (where BM is the national language) is and should be translated as 'Tuhan' and not Allah. How people in the Middle-East practice the word is honestly irrelevant, it shouldn't concern us. We're in a different environment, we're a different people, we're a people of 3 very distinct races and we should start acting like it, because if you look anywhere else in the world, no other country has what we have.

I argued about this issue with a friend of mine. Because honestly I did not see the great tragedy of non-Muslims using the word Allah. I honestly didn't. Christians and Muslims believe in the same God, just that the way we both chose to worship Him is different. To me it seemed like we are reserving God for ourselves, strictly for us Muslims. I argued from a philosophical stand point, that if this continues it'll only serve to separate us even further from our non-Muslim countrymen, and it may just be me, but that's the last thing we'd want. I thought that we were being petty, hoarding every scrap we can to ourselves as Muslims and telling the rest of the world to go get their own God, Allah is ours.

But then my friend spoke about 'respect'. And in that context I understand why Muslims feel insulted by non-Muslims using the word Allah. It is simply disrespectful and against that argument I cannot win. If non-Muslims use the word Allah in place of God it can be misleading. So from what I gathered, if you wish to use the word Allah, you'll need to worship Him the way He's decreed it, which is the path of Islam. I found it difficult at first to recognize his argument, but then he gave the simple analogy of countries, a sort of 'spiritual sovereignty'.

When you are in a country you are bound to the laws of that country, and as you travel to a different country you are then bound to the laws of the country you just traveled to. Similarly each religion has their own guidelines and principles, their own laws. It's a package, so for you to believe in Allah you have to be a Muslim in the country of Islam. So a non-Muslim using the word Allah would be like a foreigner insulting the laws of the country of Islam. If you want to be a part of a country, you must first be a citizen. A rather crude analogy I suppose, but it gets the message through.

So I understand why Muslims feel the way they do, but for the life of me I can't agree with what's happening to us. I simply can't. Parading cow's heads in Shah Alam and burning churches. I'm ashamed, truly. What did these people think? They thought they were being heroes of the faith? They betrayed the faith. How? By reinforcing the notion that Muslims are violent and intolerant, as if there isn't enough of that going around in the world to stereotype us, now we have ignorant people turning to violence in the name of Islam in our country. What these people did is WORST than a non-Muslim using the word Allah. I repeat WORST. And if it wasn't enough, just in case - for added effect, I'll say it again - WORST.

Is this the kind of Muslims we are striving to be? Is this what Allah would want us to do? Openly discriminate against his other children? These people have no concept of decency, only fanaticism and I shudder at the thought of what may become of us Muslims if nothing is done to address this oversensitivity we have. The non-Muslims are not destroying our religion, they don't need to. We're doing a bang up job of that all by ourselves.

If the opposing argument is simply "But they used the word Allah" then truly, we are lost if burning a church is the best method of teaching people about Islam. According to our religion, the Jews strayed, after which the Christians strayed and now with us acting the way we do, I suppose it's our turn because there's nothing enlightening about what has transpired, nothing at all when we've forgotten how to be decent people.

"Racist? You dare call me racist? Well I say unto you, what does it matter the colour of a man's skin if witnesses perjure themselves, if a prosecutor enlists the perjurers, when a district attorney throws a man to the mob for political gain, and men of the cloth, men of God, take the prime

cuts? Is that justice? Let me tell you what justice is. Justice is the law. And the law is man's feeble attempt to set down the principles of decency. Decency! And decency is not a deal, it isn't an angle, or a contract, or a hustle! Decency... decency is what your grandmother taught you. It's in your bones! Now you go home. Go home and be decent people. Be decent."

- Judge Leonard White played by Morgan Freeman, "The Bonfire of the Vanities".

By Baby Boy  on [January 8, 2010 3:18 PM](#)

Dalam isu ini, saya lebih suka melihat gelagat manusia akan respon-respon mereka. Ada yang:

1. Tidak pasti tetapi oleh kerana menganut agama ini, ikut saja rentaknya.
2. Ada yang saja-saja ikut bising, tidak peduli siapa yang betul
3. Ada yang KERNA KEPENTINGAN POLITIK.
4. Ada yang ta' kesah, apa yang terjadi, walau gempabumi mendatang.
5. Dan lain-lain lagi, you name it.

Pada saya, saya lebih takut akan AMARAN daripada Allah Yang Maha Esa, Pencipta Langit dan Bumi. Hal ini, pada pendapat saya, jika manusia tidak dapat menyelesaikannya dengan sempurna, vice versa, penyelesaian yang memudaratkan dibuat, akan datang AMARAN nanti. Kalau pun bukan Tsunami, mungkin Tanah Runtuh, Bangunan Runtuh oleh disebabkan oleh malapetaka alam. Takutlah akan kekuasaan Allah Yang Maha Esa.

Tanah Malaysia yang subur indah ini, janganlah dimurkai oleh-Nya, dan AMARAN kepada kita oleh-Nya.

By kilatberkilau  on [January 8, 2010 3:18 PM](#)

Peace Be Upon You,

Attention: Wajaperak

By wajaperak on January 8, 2010 9:41 AM

"It is true that Allah does not exclusively belong's to anybody. But after thorough analyzing Al Quran..you will understand that Allah allows only them who truly worship Allahself is acknowledged as Allah servant."

Wajaperak, this is your comment on chedet blog topic "Allah". As far as I know you yourself are in confused on knowing what does this word "worship" means? You and your fellow so call Muslim do not worship (Allah, Tuhan, God) himself. You idolize (Allah, Tuhan, God) by putting Muhammad name beside him.

In Islam (Submission) First Pillar is "Laa Elaaha Ella Allah [There is no other god beside GOD]."

The above First Pillar is where (Allah, Tuhan, God) bear witness and so do the angel and those who possess knowledge.

[3:18] GOD bears witness that there is no god except He, and so do the angels and those who possess knowledge. Truthfully and equitably, He is the absolute god; there is no god but He, the Almighty, Most Wise.

(Allah, Tuhan, God) had decreed that during our worship to Him, we must mention Him (Allah, Tuhan, God) alone in the First Pillar.

Wajaperak you are confused with what you are saying, you worship (Allah, Tuhan, God) with the name of Muhammad during the First Pillar and when you do your prayer, you too mention Muhammad and Abraham . Whereas you and the so call muslim mention Muhammad and Abraham beside (Allah, Tuhan, God) during your worship in the prayer.

When someone worship (Allah, Tuhan, God) during prayer are exclusively to Him alone and do no call anyone else beside Him.

[72:18] The places of worship belong to GOD; do not call on anyone else beside GOD.

[1:5] You alone we worship; You alone we ask for help.

God has decreed Islam (Submission) as the religion for you. The word Islam (Submission) means: Submission is a religion whereby one recognizes God's absolute authority, and reaches a conviction that only God possesses all power; no other entity possesses any power that is independent of Him. The natural result of such a realization is to devote one's life and one's worship absolutely to God alone.

[3:19] The only religion approved by GOD is "Submission" (Islam). Ironically, those who have received the scripture are the ones who dispute this fact, despite the knowledge they have received, due to jealousy. For such rejectors of GOD's revelations, GOD is most strict in reckoning.

Majority of so the call muslim do not follow exclusively what (Allah, Tuhan, God) had commanded to do, whereas the prefer to follow the footstep of the Satan. This Satan are their leaders, ulamas, teachers, parents, king, scholars, mazhab and etc which they make fatwa for them. The word fatwa mean: Fatwa are formal legal opinions issued by a recognized religious legal authority. Fatwas are most frequently issued in response to questions about living everyday life in accordance with religious law.

According to the majority of so call muslim their religious legal authority issued their fatwa that the First Pillar is "Laa Elaaha Ella Allah [There is no other god beside GOD],"and "Muhammad Rasulullah [Muhammad messenger of God]."

When God only First Pillar mention, majority of the so call muslim oppose it.

[37:35] When they were told, "Laa Elaaha Ella Allah [There is no other god beside GOD]," they turned arrogant.

Wajaperak, does this verse [37:35] not sufficient for you and the so call muslim to surrender to Him alone or you still insist of turning to be arrogant. Those insist of putting Muhammad beside God are hypocrites.

[63:1] When the hypocrites come to you they say, "We bear witness that you are the messenger of GOD."* GOD knows that you are His messenger, and GOD bears witness that the hypocrites are liars.

GOD Bless

Kitlatberkilau

By Rentap80  on [January 8, 2010 3:00 PM](#)

Dear Numenclature,

Please do understand, to go to court just because the Herald is not able to spell "Tuhan" and in the process beleaguering the Malaysian muslims is just unacceptable. You normally go to court under some criminal context.

And another thing, I don't think that theres anyone here out to persuade another to become a muslim. Honestly I don't really care what you believe in.

I hope that is Civil enough.

"Death is caused by swallowing small amounts of saliva over a long period of time." - George Carlin

By kwing  on [January 8, 2010 2:58 PM](#)

Many Muslims in Malaysia have refused to accept the argument that "Allah" is an Arabic word that predates Islam, and that it is used by Christians in countries such as Egypt, Syria, Lebanon and Indonesia regularly in their worship.

But for god sake (sounds appropriat here), I dont think he mind what we call him or other use his name as their own, as long as you have faith in him, he will be happy. (i think?)

By ekompute  on [January 8, 2010 2:56 PM](#)

"Prime Minister Datuk Seri Najib Tun Razak has condemned the attacks on the two churches in the Klang Valley," says the Star Online today.

It is really amazing. Islam teaches tolerance and what do these people learn? They are merely letting loose their animalistic base instinct in the name of Islam. Obviously, Allah don't need this kind of people to protect Islam. Protecting a religion that they themselves don't practise is the height of human irony.

Apparently, many Muslims seem to think that if you abstain from pork, pray 5 times a day, go to mosque on Fridays, and fast during Ramadan, then you are a good Muslim. The truth cannot be further. Going through the motions of rituals without understanding its essence is not being religious.

Many Malays tell me, "Alamak, teruklaaa Ramadan nak sampai. Kena simpan wang." I asked them why, when fasting should end up spending less. And they say, "Siapa cakap kurang, lebih banyak! Mungkin dua tiga kali lebih banyak!" So why fast then and for what purpose? Can one empathise with the less fortunate in this world when one is spending double or triple?

By tehtarikkurangmanis  on [January 8, 2010 2:43 PM](#)

Dear Numenclature,

Please watch this video, and find any other related to this person.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KfzFYEOVDgM&feature=related>

By Melanau Warrior  on [January 8, 2010 2:21 PM](#)

UMNO man says non-Muslim use of Word "Allah" would stir racial tension.

UMNO MP for Pasir Salak, Datuk Tajuddin Abdul Rahman, said the High Court judgment delivered after Christmas in allowing the word "Allah" to be used in the Bahasa Malaysia section of the Catholic Herald will stir racial tension in the country.

Some years ago a high-ranking officer of an Islamic religious body/authority was quoted claiming that several thousands Muslims in Malaysia had renounced Islam and embraced Christianity. There is however little proof to substantiate the claim. From then on there has been constant fear among the Islamic religious authority and Muslim scholars and masses that the word "Allah" shared by Muslims and Christians in the text of their holy books may confuse Muslims into believing that the word "Allah" in the holy bible or in other Christian publication refers to the same God worshiped by Muslims. Hence giving the impression to Muslims that Islam and Christianity worship the same God, which the Muslims take exception to, that they believe would have the effect of enticing Muslims to embracing Christianity. Therefore objection among Muslims population in Malaysia as to the use of the use of the word "Allah" or "Allah Taala" by Christians in their religious text or publication increase by the day. The Muslims prefer the word "Tuhan" as substitute. At one time the Iban Bible translated from English was banned by the Ministry of Home Affairs for containing the word "Allah" therein. And later, the bi-lingual Catholic Herald, was refused renewal by the Ministry for the same reason, that had caused the Catholic Church to take the matter to court to have it resolved, the judgment of which favoured the Plaintiff. Whilst many Christians were still excited about the judgment that was delivered before the closing of 2009, many Muslims in the country have mixed feeling about the impact of the judgment, and one of them was UMNO MP for Pasir Salak, Datuk Tajuddin Abdul Rahman. The UMNO MP averred that the judgment will stir racial tension in the country.

Studies have shown that the word "Allah" does not originate from the Malay language. It is more of Arabic in origin and in the holy Koran the word refers to the name of the God. In fact in Islam, God is also known by several other names although Allah is by far the most common and popular. Arabic as we all know is a language open to all to speak. Christianity that also started from the Middle East region has its holy book written in Arabic and also uses the word "Allah" in reference to God. In Christianity God is often referred to by the name of "Yahweh" and sometimes "Elohim" or "Eloh". The root word of Elohim, which is eloh, is indeed a cognate form of the word allah, the designation of deity used by the Arabs. I believe when the translators translated the English Bible to Iban or Malay the most convenient or suitable word was no other than the already widely used word in the Arabic Bible, "Allah".

Again as I have said above, those are mere words taken from a particular language either Arabic or Hebrew. Language is universal and accessible to all. It would be silly to think that only a particular ethnic race or religion has the exclusive use of certain words that are open to all to speak. And it would be more silly to stir racial tension because someone else is using words that are open to all to speak. Yes, it could be a divine word, but who are we to stop others from speaking a particular language and for that matter Arabic? I believe it is acceptable to be silly sometimes but it must be confined to certain situations. It is unthinkable to stop someone from enjoying the sunshine or breathing the fresh air that is freely given simply because we believe

that someone is a criminal. Hence only good men alone are entitled to breathe fresh air so to speak, would that make sense? It is absolute nonsense.

I think what is more pertinent is to focus our thought and energy to solving our economic problem. There is nothing or simply no reason for Muslim to fear about others using universal word or words in their worship. What is important Muslims keep their faith going and be faithful to their religious values. How other people use certain words in their worship leave it to those other people as long as they don't step on your tail. Using the word "Allah" can never be considered stepping on others tail. God alone knows what is right or righteous and thus leave it to God to judge at the end of the day.

Merry Christmas to all Christians in Malaysia and all over the world. To all the Muslims I wish you belatedly Selamat Menyambut Hari Maal Hijrah and may you all be blessed. To all Malaysians I like to wish all of you a very Happy and Blessed New Year 2010. Let peace and freedom reign in our country. With peace the world would be a better place.

Thanks

Source: <http://selangaump2008.blogspot.com/>

By Zahidah Muhamed  on [January 8, 2010 1:55 PM](#)

Since I learnt to read more than 20 years ago, i have learnt the meaning of god in malay is tuhan. Suddenly now the church decided to make their own version of Kamus Dewan , God means ALLAH. Maybe they should change professions as well.

Jelas sekali ada udang di sebalik batu.

For further reading please read HUAH MENOLAK PENGGUNAAN KALIMAH 'ALLAH' OLEH KRISTIAN by JAKIM. If anybody interested just give me your email n I'll send it to you.

By Kisio  on [January 8, 2010 1:29 PM](#)

Dear Tun Mahathir,

Recent events has shown that a non-issue has now taken a nasty turn into something ugly. They show the failure to use common sense nowadays that is so prevalent among some Malaysians. As per the sensitive nature of topic, not because it was important, it should have been brushed under the carpet long ago. How many Christians in Malaysia do actually use the term publicly to proselytise? I do not think that the government can regulate anything being said in private. I am always amazed that some minor issue can always be escalated to full blown religious, political and bickering about citizen's rights in Malaysia. Indeed if there's contention about those issues in Malaysia the issues surrounding the use of a single word, no matter how profound it is, cannot be the line in the sand, it's simply too ridiculous! I'll say it again God does not need us to fight His battles for Him! Stop giving it media coverage, stop stirring the people up, stop all the focus on it. Give it a rest. The government should really be above of all this. A gentle whisper in the Herald's editor's ears not to blow this issue up, just thinking about how it can spiral away into something ugly, would have been sufficient, I wonder why this did not happen right at the beginning. Now actual damage is being done instead of just wounded prides and I truly hope nothing else calamitous happens.

By darthtatter  on [January 8, 2010 1:18 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

As a much respected leader, I think it is of utmost importance that you exercise responsibility when blogging/making statements on sensitive issues. You know that you have a large legion of fans/followers and your words alone can be a catalyst for chaos or a change for good.

Look at what happened this morning. 2 churches attacked so far, one successful, one unsuccessful. Hopefully this will be the end of it.

When you say something such as, "11. Di Semenanjung Malaysia kita tidak pernah mendengar orang Kristian menggunakan kalimah "Allah" apabila bercakap berkenaan God dalam bahasa Melayu. Kenapa pula kita sekarang akan mengguna kalimah ini?" you knowingly specify West Malaysia because you do know that it has been for a long time the christian Bumiputeras in East Malaysia have been using the name Allah when addressing God. Why should it be any different for Christians in the Semenanjung.

But Tun, a man of your calibre knows that even great men can err at times

You have indeed erred again in regards to this topic. Historically, Allah has been used by Arabs before the existence of Islam. Even Christian Arabs today uses the name Allah to call their God. It is a pronoun that is not exclusive to any religion. Please read this for a clear definition:

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Allah>

Other Abrahamic religions in the Arab countries have always used the name Allah when referring to God.

Yes, you have a reputation for being a loose cannon, you even said before that when you resign, you can then say whatever you want (in 2003). I admire your courage but I am pleading you as a peace loving Malaysian that you should consider the consequences before uttering a statement..

"8. Mungkin juga kalimah "Allah" dapat menyamakan agama Kristian dengan agama Islam kerana menyembah Tuhan yang sama. Dengan ini penerimaan agama Kristian oleh orang Islam boleh jadi lebih mudah. Terjemahan ini salah. Sepatutnya perkataan "Tuhan" digunakan untuk God."

Tun, you are implying that Muslims in Malaysia will be confused if other religions start using Allah. Seriously, do not undermine Malaysian Muslims. I have many Muslim friends who are well read and they can't be easily confused if an Arab Christian were to tell them, "I worship Allah the Father". There is no mission by Malaysian Christians to "spread" the Gospel among Muslims. In fact, Christian reading materials in Malaysia explicitly states that it is "For Non-Muslims Only".

As a man known for being "well read" you seem to have a very "bigotry" mind set. It is true that there are 2 types of readers"---a.) Those who read with an open mind b.) those who read merely for information. The latter will not be open to new ideas in a book but instead will try to distort facts to suit his dogma/his belief.

Tun, with all due respect, you are entitled to your own opinion (despite historical facts and evidence may disagree) but I am pleading you to use the power of your words responsibly.

Your words alone can break or make Malaysia (to a large extent).Blog to bring changes for good, not further divide Malaysians. You once said that without the politicians, inter-racial harmony is eminent.It is very hypocritical seeing what you are insinuating in this blog post.

They say you can't teach old dogs new trick. But I have faith that you will re-evaluate your mind set (tribal mind set) and realise the important role you are still playing for the Rakyat with the "power of the pen"(forgive the cliché).

Some will accuse you of sinister intents. Prove them wrong.

Sim
KL

By tklim09  on [January 8, 2010 12:44 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Some churches has been attack today, do you think that the PM that encourage Muslim to do the Demonstration and put the Country in disorder should be step down as PM.

When Tengku Abdul Rahman failed to control May 13, 1969 incidents, Tuan ask Tengku to step down; do you think this is the time Najib should step down.

This "Allah" issue will be reported worldwide today, and the whole world, including Arab-speaking Muslim will see how the Malaysia government use their wisdom to handle this issue in Islamic way.

May our country in Peace and harmony.

By ABadri  on [January 8, 2010 12:21 PM](#)

YAB Tun,

What use is great debates if the fabric of harmony is razed - literally? Come on, the issue is not on debating religion or language but of living peacefully together. Opinions on who is the lesser mortal is of no use if our children no longer can play together.

By Shahrizal Shahnan  on [January 8, 2010 12:03 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

To my fellow Malaysians,

1. Don't let this matter escalates to an age old un-winnable battle between religions. When it is just a matter of language.

2. When a person converts to Islam, he/she must utter a declaration of belief, the shahadah (unfortunately, I cant type out Arabic script here)
But phonetically it sounds like this: ašhadu ‘alaa ilāha illallāh (pardon my mistakes)

Translated in english: “I bare witness that there is no other god but Allah”

Translated in Malay: “Aku naik saksi, tiada tuhan selainkan Allah”

In Arabic (which is a tricky language to master) the first “alaa” in the shahadah means God. The last word “allāh” is the name of God Allah.

3. There’s a famous article by Farish A. Noor (google it), he was surprised when he was in Cairo that non muslims uses the word ”Alla” frequently in their everyday life. So he concludes that the word “Allah” is not exclusive to muslims. When in actual fact its the word “alla” means God. It comes from an Aramaic (the language spoken by Isa a.s./Jesus) word "elahn", which translates as God.

What is spoken and what is written, is totally different thing. Especially when its written in the Arabic script (Like in the shahadah).

It may not look like a big deal between “alla” and “Allah” just missing a letter “H” in the Roman alphabetical. But in Arab Script there is a lot of difference. As the english word “no” and “know”. In mandarin you may hear the same pronunciation, but when it’s written, it’s totally different meaning. So the Roman alphabet don't do justice to it. But there is no conflict in the Arab world because of the written script. They can easily tell them apart.

4. Like Dorothy in the wizard of oz says to Toto “We are not in Kansas anymore”. We are not in Arab anymore. We have our own language unlike in Arabic where the word God and the name Allah may sound similar. We have the word god in our language which is Tuhan.

5. But still the Question remains... why use the Arabic word “alla” (which roman alphabets don't do justice) to translate the word god. If the orang asli don't speak english, believe me, they don't speak Arabic either. Use the word Tuhan.

6. If the reason is because “We want to use the Aramaic word as spoken by Jesus” then by all means use it for all languages. “Pin Yin” the word ‘alla” in Mandarin and in Tamil (I could be wrong here, could someone who can read mandarin and tamil tell me does the word god translated to something that sounded like alla?) Use the aramaic word in English section as well. Don't just use it for the malay section. We dont speak arabics.

7. “If Allah is God of all mankind, what is wrongwith us using His name?”
Because whatever you are preaching is not His teachings. You cant fry your own chicken and use KFC’c name because the spices you used is different.

8. Let me post a question (forgive me, I dont mean to offend anyone, just pretend this scenario) Let us say that everyone excepted the usage of Allah’s name by anyone. Let’s pretend one day a Christian stood up in a plane and yelled out “For ALLAH!!” and blew himself up. Will he be labeled as a Christian terrorist or a Muslim terrorist?

To my fellow Muslim

1. I’m glad. To see so many of you spoken up regarding this matter. But remember to present your facts clearly. Do not just spit things out without considering peoples feelings and beliefs. Just to prove a point, the Cow Head incident. That was a totally shameful and should be condemned. That act by a small group of muslims represented Islam! Respecting people’s belief doesn't mean you believe in their beliefs. We are supposed to be “umat contoh” so be careful of what you'd do or say. Your actions are representing Islam.

2. Just found out about arsons on Churches. If you hate Islam to be link to terrorism. MUSLIMS, STOP ACTING LIKE ONE!!!

By khalilmatdom  on [January 8, 2010 12:00 PM](#)

Salam Tun & All,

I'm a Malay Muslim and feel very much embarassed with my own race on this issue. Malay Muslim are very jumpy on issues like this and I feel sorry for my friends from other religions and race.

I personally have no problem for others to use the term Allah since I know & truly believe that Allah is great. Why must we Muslim feel & become very 'kiasu' about this issue and what are trying to prove?

Its no surprise to me that many Muslim are very jumpy and make a very big noise about the issue. I sincerely hope that we should all be rationale & civilised, do not easily jumpy for trivial issues and do not let ourselves to be influenced by others especially the politicians.

Please go back to the basic - embrace and refer to the 5 Pillars of Islam (Rukun Islam). Letting others to use the term would never be against the 5 Pillars, letting others to use the term will never make us 'menduakan Allah' (which is the biggest sin of all).

We, Malay Muslim claim that we are Muslim and very sensitive for every issues that we think will damage our religion. But, the fact - we claim we as Muslim but many of us rarely pray 5 times a day, gamble, go for sex outside marriage (when ever got the chance), go to see & believe in bomoh (black magic), drinks, cheat, drugs and the list go on.

For ALLAH sake please let us be rationale and united. We can argue with each other based on our opinion, kitab, holy books, history, riwayat, academic bla bla .. but where are heading to?

Let us address other issues which are more productive & realistic. In my humble opinion we Muslim have many others bigger issues and together we should put our effort & time to issues as below:

1) 1st & foremost we must change. If we want others to respect us we shld start by respecting others 1st irrespective what. Talk to others nicely & others will talk nicely back to you sonner or later, insyAllah. Simple huh ... but difficult because our ego is too high. Bring it down .. follow like Nabi Muhammad (SAW)

2) Together we should tackle the biggest issue now which the Government (the politicians actually) has been keeping it under the carpet. The problem is DRUG ABUSE & ADDICTION. The problem has been getting from bad to worse. We all know that more than 80% of the drug addicts are Malay = MUSLIM.

3) More & more religious centres, schools, institutions are being adopted in the education system. Malay Muslim are very 'kiasu' by sending the kids to religiuous classes and education centres with Islamic oriented. BUT despite that SOCIAL PROBLEMS among Malay Muslim are getting worse.

4) We shld embrace the truthful about the beauty of Islam. More girls & women cover their heads but not their aurat. Many just don't understand what & why we have to cover ourselves actually.

5) Many so called 'ustaz & ustazah' brainwash kids to pray, memorise doa, surah, teach the do's & don't's but do not teach the meaning the reasons of the practice. End results - Muslim with empty inside & do not understand the objective of live. Islam is actually the way of life but one must understand it deeply and not just put the sticker with that motto at the rear screen on your cars.

Many Muslim will not agree with me and will curse me. I don't mind since this is my little dakwah to others. We should all follow a simple way doing things in life since life is simple actually and Allah is great.

My apology to my fellow Christians as well as to other religions. We should all forgive and be forgiven.

khalilmatdom

By adik adik  on [January 8, 2010 11:53 AM](#)

SR

Don,t blame the idiots that torched
Blame your idiots that plays with sensitive issues,
Bringing sensitive issues to court for approval.
Like there is no foundations in their religion.

ALLAH is God of all,not just men,animals the whole universe
belongs to ALLAH. Use it, don,t abuse it,
better still Sahadah it, to Muhammadurasullulah.

Why the need to go court.To get protection,to blame UMNO,Govt
if matters goes out of control.
Blame your idiots that ignite the flames in the first place.
Having read yr many blogs, You are a better idiots to put off the flames.

By Norme  on [January 8, 2010 11:33 AM](#)

Assalamualikum Ayahanda & Bonda serta semua...

Ni kali pertama saya masuk dan sungguh hangat subjek yang diujahkan ni. Pertama sekali saya setuju yang amat sungguh dengan LadyG kalau mereka yang beragama lain dari Islam dah kemaruk dengan istilah 'ALLAH' tukar rukunnegara kita. Padan muka..Dahlah tak reti bahasa. Bahasa yang digunakan pun tak betul. Ilmu pulak dahlah cetek nak bersyarah pula..Dinasihatkan supaya selidik betul2 sebelum buat andaian yang perkataan 'ALLAH' boleh digunakan sewenang2.

Tulah Pak lah dah suruh turun siang2 tak nak lepas tu buat macam2 'stupid things' yang ruginya kami (Generasi Muda) yang kena pertahankan..ishk...ishk...

Semoga panjang umur pada ayahanda/bonda...

By Numenclature  on [January 8, 2010 11:05 AM](#)

Hi to all,

The issue here has gotten a heck of a lot 'hotter'! But really now, where are the well-worded and persuasive debates? All I see in here is a bunch of kids huffing and puffing stuff like 'beri betis mahu paha'. And lots of 'why don't you all become muslim?'

Don't deny it. It's getting immature.

Yes the topic is sensitive, and very emotional. However, being angry doesn't show you are religious, quite the contrary. I enjoy reading some persons comments about their faith. You know, I want to tell you frankly. It is very hard for a Christian to find a muslim to discuss about faith.

I want someone who can talk to me seriously without getting highstrung. One who did their homework. one who at least knows that the Nicea council decision was long ago overruled and a no issue today. You tell us to understand you 1st before commenting, well, I ask you to do the same.

Or at the very least, someone who doesn't claim to know all there is to know about another person's faith (note that I do not use the word religion). Because my dear good people, if you did then I will doubt you really love your own.

Please may the comments on this blog return to some semblance of civility? Pls use words like 'please, if you may' or 'sila'. Shouting down people is not going to get you anywhere.

If you are right, persuade me. Don't twist my arm. Please.

So, are there any takers? Care to have a real mature discussion?

By azlan95  on [January 8, 2010 10:54 AM](#)

This is my response to Steve Hutcheson's comment.

Steve,

Thanks for stating your comment here. Many others like yourself are not given the right information about this issue.

To clarify the matter, this issue is about the translation of the word "God" into the Bahasa Melayu language. And for your information, in Bahasa Melayu the word "God" is translated as "Tuhan" instead of "Allah."

Examples:

1. God for the Muslims is called Allah.
translated as: Tuhan orang Islam ialah Allah.

2. God for the Hindus is called Krishna (if I'm not mistaken).
translated as: Tuhan orang Hindu ialah Krishna.

Both Allah and Krishna are defined differently, thus the different names. But "Tuhan" remains constant in both sentences above because the term is generic.

Most likely the terms "Allah" and "Krishna" are taken from original scriptures, namely the Arabic Quran and Hindu scriptures. As for the Christian faith I would assume the name is taken from the original Bible.

Azlan
KL

By rohim  on [January 8, 2010 10:52 AM](#)

Kehadapan Tun dan rakan2 semua,

Sepanjang hidup saya, gambaran yang jelas mengenai Melayu khususnya ialah agama Islam saja yang dapat menyatupadukan mereka ke arah satu tujuan yang sama iaitu memperjuangkan Islam, mempertahankan Islam dari diperlekeh atau di persendakan oleh pihak lain.

Apabila timbul isu penggunaan kalimah ALLAH dari penerbitan mingguan kritian, ada pemimpin dan umat Islam sendiri berbahagi pendapat. Itu memang tak heran, apabila politik dan menjaga hati teman berpolitik itu melebihi segalanya.

Isu ini bukan baru, dah lama. Telah lama diselit atau diguna pakai dalam penerbitan dan ceramah agama di gereja-gereja di Sabah dan Sarawak. Ianya menjadi isu yang membangkit rasa tidak selesa umum apabila isu penggunaan kalimah ini di bawa ke Mahkamah dan seterusnya berlaku interpretasi berbeza oleh semua pihak. Media elektronik, media cetak serta media alternatif (blog dan lain2) mensensasikan isu ini.

Yang paling malang, apabila cerita sebuah gereja di Desa Melati di lempar dengan bom api, isu ini akan terus menjadi hangat. Apakah yang bakal berlaku seterusnya. Adakah orang Islam akan di tuduh melakukannya dan dilabelkan sebagai pengganas?

Mahkamah telah menetapkan penangguh kehakiman tentang penggunaan itu demi kepentingan umum. Sayang, mahkamah yang membuat keputusan berdasarkan faktor perundangan bukan faktor kepentingan awam apabila sensitiviti rakyat di ketepikan...bukan sensitiviti umat Islam tetapi juga Kristian. membenarkan dan seterusnya menangguhkan kehakiman itu lebih mengelirukan. Apa seterusnya.

Another flip and flop but now in the court of justice.

By Rentap80  on [January 8, 2010 10:30 AM](#)

Again to SR who wrote:

[The Bible is written in Hebrew, Aramaic and Greek....]

Where did you get the idea that theres a Bible in Aramaic?? Jesus spoke Aramaic, in its ancient form.

“There is no original Aramaic version of the New Testament or original Aramaic version of the Bible because the New Testament was composed entirely in Greek,” - Dr. Brian Schmisek, professor at the University of Dallas.

By nazaruddin  on [January 8, 2010 10:01 AM](#)

Dear Tun,

As expected there are a lot of comments, the pros and the cons and I prefer not to get into these arguments. I believe there is a simple solutions to this.

I questioned the need for them to get a court injunction to use the word in their translation in their Bahasa Malaysia edition, is it just to show that Malaysian is truly a democratic country by doing so or just to show the previous minister has exceeded his authority by prohibiting them to use the word? Has this got anything to do with religion? Well they have got their ruling, its done. Our governments action to appeal is another constitutional matter.

If I am not mistaken, the argument is based on some minority (but not the least) has been using the word to represent god since before we attained our independence. I think here lies the answer, after more than 50 years on independence, can't the Church teach all their brethren the correct translation for god is tuhan? They can start now. Bahasa Malaysia is the official language of this country. Their periodical in Bahasa Malaysia is using the official language of Malaysia, the correct the translation in Bahasa Malaysia for god is tuhan

By Rentap80  on [January 8, 2010 9:59 AM](#)

Dear SR,

Gospel of Barnabas prologue, another Gospel being thrown into Church bins:

True Gospel of Jesus, called Christ, a new Prophet sent by God to the world: according to the description of Barnabas his apostle.

Barnabas, apostle of Jesus the Nazarene, called Christ, to all them that dwell upon the earth desireth peace and consolation.

Dearly beloved, the great and wonderful God hath during these past days visited us by his prophet Jesus Christ in great mercy of teaching and miracles, by reason whereof many, being deceived of Satan, under pretence of piety, are preaching most impious doctrine, calling Jesus son of God, repudiating the circumcision ordained of God for ever, and permitting every unclean meat: among whom also Paul hath been deceived, whereof I speak not without grief; for which cause I am writing that truth which I have seen and heard, in the intercourse that I have had with Jesus, in order that ye may be saved, and not be deceived of Satan and perish in the judgement of God. Therefore beware of every one that preacheth unto you new doctrine contrary to that which I write, that ye may be saved eternally.

The great God be with you and guard you from Satan and from every evil. Amen.

- I'm always relieved when someone is delivering a eulogy and I realize I'm listening to it -

By wajaperak  on [January 8, 2010 9:41 AM](#)

Assallammualaikum warah matullah hi wabarokatuh..

Semoga di izinkan Tun..

Everybody..

It is true that Allah does not exclusively belong's to anybody. But after thorough analyzing Al Quran..you will understand that Allah allows only them who truly worship Allahself is acknowledged as Allah servant.

Argument have been there since Adam. So..we should avoid it when necessary. We never condone any unjust act in name of Allah and religion. There is no justification for that. Ever..

But they who plays with fire should understand the folly of it. It will burn you at the end.. Ambon tragedy should be use as a lesson. Our neighbour's have been using Allah name's unofficially since ages..

That's what it's all about.. We resent Christian using Allah Holy Name officially.. We do not acknowledge you officially and you can adress us as so..

This can go forever but we should respect each other's believe because Allah decreed it as so..

So..you played with fire you'll get burned.. Don't blame us.. We did not condone any wrongdoing in name of Allah.. but if you aks for trouble.. they will surely comes your way..

Have you heard about the story about..

Something Evil This Way Comes??

It can well be avoided..and we should do just that..

Many thank's Hanan because you have been able to let Israeli's Authorities letting through some Aid Convoy to Gaza.. Thank's again..

Terima kasih Tun..

By William Wang  on [January 8, 2010 9:00 AM](#)

Dear Wajaperak

[[I see no controversy in using the word 'Allah', to either Muslim or christians.]]

Your comment: There is.. You just cannot tells the different from sand and silicon..

Surely we can also see the similarity of sand & silicon.
Best regards...

By Rentap80  on [January 8, 2010 8:34 AM](#)

SR wrote on January 7, 2010 5:11 PM :

[Question three: The Bible is written in Hebrew, Aramaic and Greek. And we can get into this further but the fact that the council of Nicaea put in three languages was much to do with the circumstances of the time. The Old Testament is written in Hebrew as this is the same bit shared with the Judaism and Islam . No why there wasn't a Gospel of Jesus is simple, The gospels are historical events put together by others that were thought by Jesus. The dead sea scrolls show that there are many Gospels that weren't put into the bible.]

The Hebrew Bible are fragmentaries, whereas the oldest record of COMPLETE text survives in Greek Translations. And Jesus spoke Aramaic.

As for why the dead sea scrolls are not considered biblical is because they do not help support Christian stands. If fact they "dissolves" Christians claims. The Bible talks of one messiah coming while in the Dead sea scrolls talks of TWO messiah (according to Qumran scholarship),

The first was best known to them for his "religious" or "priestly" works which he would perform. The second was best known to them for his "kingly" works; his bringing of an epoch of peace (Islam).

- Miak Sarawak -

By SR  on [January 8, 2010 8:29 AM](#)

Tun,

This is out of my character but i must say it, YOUR IDIOTS have gone and torched a church, what do you say about that, Im not a christian but i can see an act of provocation unfolding in front of me, God forbid someone was to do the same to a mosque, there will automaticaaly be bloodshed regardless of who did it...

In your own Book the Malays Dilemma, You aknowledge thay are sheep and cant think for themselves... Leaders like you should condemn such acts...These people listen to the likes of you, i dont know what, but i personally hold you as one of the responsible should this issue blow out of proportion..

I hope you and others like you, bloody instigators are proud of your selves.

A disheartened Malaysian:

SR

By [Steve Hutcheson](#)  on [January 8, 2010 8:25 AM](#)

I get the impression that those that would argue that Christians should not use the term Allah when referring to God are in fact arguing that there is more than one God?

I am not a Muslim but isn't there a phrase that goes along the lines

"There is only one God and Allah is his name."

By bobrashid  on [January 8, 2010 7:40 AM](#)

Well,Malaysia is a Islamic state,should abide the state legislative and theirs' directive.Obligation to be follow.And why sudden,the"Catholic Herald need to use "Allah" as a translation for God.This rules and regulation had been table for many,many years ago.For instances,to recap some good reference,such as a book writer,Salman Rushdie,"Satanic Verses",which give an international eye opener.Imam Ayatollah Khomeini instructed a death sentence to him, caught "alive or die".Sadly,the MI5 and Scotlandyard had involved in this scam.

There are few concrete evidence such as :-

1.Say "See ye?If(this teaching) be from Allah, and ye reject it and a witness from among the Children of Israel testifies to its similarity (with earlier scripture) and has believed while ye are arrogant, (how unjust ye are!) Truly, Allah guides not a people unjust. (Surah 'Ahqaaf (46:10))

2.The seperation process resulted in the formation of multiple worlds, a notion which crops up a dozen of times in the Qur'an,once it has formed the first verse in the : "Praise be to Allah, the Lord of the worlds!" (Surah Al Fatiha (1:1))

3.The fact that we today possess the mummy of this Pharoah, which to be exact, was discovered in 1898, is one of paramount importance.The Bible records that it was engulfed in the sea, but does not give any details so as to what subsequently became of the body.The Qur'an, in the Surah Yunus, notes that the body of the Pharoah, who was to be damned, would saved from waters."This day shall We save thee in thy body,that thou mayest be a Sign to those who come after thee!But verily, many among mankind are heedless of Our Signs!" (Surah Yunus (10:92))

4.The most amazing thing about his selection is that he has put our Nabee-e Kareem, the Holy Prophet Muhammad (pbuh) as No.1, the first of his "100!". Thus confirming, unknowingly,Allah Own testimony in his Final Revelation to the World: "Most certainly,you have in the messenger of Allah an excellent pattern (of Behaviour) who hopes in Allah and Final day and who remember Allah much!" (Surah "Ahzaab 33:21)

Jules Massermann says that"Leaders must fulfil three function:-"

- 1."The Leader must provide for the well-being of the lead..."
- 2."The Leader or would be leader must provide a social organization in which people feel relatively secure..."
- 3."That this Leader must provide his people with one set of beliefs..."

TIME, JULY 15, 1974.

Abdullah Yusuf Ali say:-

There's still with us much sorrow and sin,
Injustice,opression,wrong and hate,
Still does arrogance deaden Conscience,
Rob struggling souls of e'en the crumbs,
Of pity, and make, of loathsome flesh
And crumbling dust,fair -seeming Idols
for worship.Still does Ignorance blow
A mighty Horn and try to shame
True Wisdom.still do men drive Slaves,...
Protesting smoothly the end of Slavery!
Still does Greed devour the substance
Of helpless ones within her power.
Nay,more,...the fine Individual Voice
Is smothered in the raucous din
Of groups and Crowds that madly shout
What they call Slogans New,...
Old Falsehoods long discredited...

The National Fatwa Council says this SHOULD ONLY BE USED BY MUSLIMS IN MALAYSIA,IT IS TRUE STATEMENT.As abovementioned scholar from different school of thoughts and ideologies had agreed upon the rights and legislative of the Muslims.

Source(s):

- 1.The Choice Voloume 1 & 2, pg 3 paragraph 1.

- 2.The Qur'an and Modern Science,Commonwealth Institute London, pg 7 paragraph 2.
- 3.The Qur'an and Modern Science,Commonwealth Institute London, pg 20 & 21 paragraph 2.
- 4.Muhammad the Greatest, pg 4 &5 paragraph 3.

By Hanan  on [January 8, 2010 6:01 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum Dear Tun Dr. Mahathir and bloggers.

I was informed with bad news from KL. A church was set on fire just because of using the word of Allah as the definition of God.

http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20100107/ap_on_re_as/as_malaysia_allah_ban

It is a sad and bad event for all reasonable people. The herd has no tolerance and respect. Is God's name the property of humans or the property of God? If someone is misusing His name, let Him punish those who misuse His name. Why must humans protect God? Doesn't God have the power to protect Himself. Does any religious person, a Muslim, a Christian or a Jew, think that God needs the human protection? Does someone think that if God see his sons qurelling about His name, He will like it?

Even there might be a just or not a just reason, burning a holy place of a different faith is a shame.

People may argue and discuss the differences, but when a court of judges decides upon an issue, all people must respect their decision.

People are missing their friendly emotions and replace it with hatred and brutality.

I don't think Allah - God likes when He sees his children on earth, I mean all children, Muslims, Christians and Jews.

**Wassalam and grace,
Hanan, Jewish,
Israel.**

Visit Friendship prior Peace

<http://friendship-prior-peace.blogspot.com/>

By idea2009  on [January 8, 2010 2:44 AM](#)

Salam Tun,

Yes, I fully agree with you.

TO THE CHURCH: For the sake of unity in this country, I appeal to the Church to stick to your terms of reference in preaching Christianity - please stick to the word God or Tuhan and NOT Allah. Please do not use the court to wield your influence on us. You should be grateful that this country has allowed all religions (including Christianity) to practise, just look at what the damned Israeli's government is doing to the Palestinians. By going to the court, I felt "tersinggung" or offended that you would go to the court on something that the Muslims in Malaysia are really, really close to their heart. Please note, you started it....and now the Muslims are really seething in anger. Please, oh please...just do your preaching quietly with your God.

By AZ  on [January 8, 2010 1:31 AM](#)

Kenapa sekarang baru beria ia mereka hendak gunakan nama Allah sebagai ganti Tuhan? Takkan orang lain tak faham maksud tuhan. Allah tidak ada 2 atau 3, hanya satu, maka penggunaan Allah di dalam agama kristian adalah menyalahi bahasa. Hanya Tuhan Bapa bermaksud Allah. Yang lain2 spt nabi isa dan ruh kudus itu bukan Allah. Kita di malaysia tidak pernah menggunakan perkataan Allah untuk merujuk kepada Tuhan yang tidak specific contohnya berhala, binatang2 tertentu yg dianggap suci dan jelmaan tuhan bagi agama tertentu.

Adalah jelas pihak kristian mahu mengguna Allah untuk cuba memesongkan agama islam orang2 muda yg dilihatnya semakin bebas bergaul, pergi clubbing, minum arak, seks bebas, dadah, merempit dan lain2 lagi. Dari segi moral orang melayu telah jauh dari Islam dan lebih senang untuk dihasut ke agama lain. Bila ianya membolehkan mereka menggunakan kalimah Allah untuk Tuhan Kristian yang salah maknanya ini maka lebih senang orang Islam menerima dan mahu membaca tulisan2 mereka. Situasi ini berlaku telah lama di indonesia dan sekarang orang islam/melayu di indonesia dengan senang bertukar agama ke kristian kerana mereka sangka Allah Islam dan Kristian itu sama, walhal jauh berbeza. Allah tidak boleh disyirikkan (there is no plural of Allah), padahal jelas sekali agama kristian adalah penyembah 3 Tuhan tetapi mereka tidak mahu mengaku mereka menyembah 3 Tuhan kerana dilarang dengan tegas oleh kita taurat (Old Testament) dan dilarang Nabi Isa sendiri.

Apabila umat islam lemah dari semua segi, ekonomi, pelajaran dan sebagainya dan hanya tinggal pengaruh politik, di masa inilah pihak2 Kristian (yg disokong oleh duit yg banyak dari sumber luar negara) akan cuba menguji keberanian kita. Pada perkiraan mereka, di waktu yg lemah inilah mereka perlu bertindak (attack when the opponent is weakest). Tanpa kekuatan ilmu dan ekonomi umat Islam, pengaruh politik jugak tidak mungkin akan kekal.

By ekompute  on [January 8, 2010 1:12 AM](#)

Since this is a religious issue, it is very important not to politicize it if one is truly religious. Just go strictly by the al-Quran and see if it specifically forbids Christians from using it, period. If it does not, those who are sensitive ought to be educated. You can't go against the al-Quran just because some people are sensitive.

Sara is truly amazing. When she quotes that "the dictionary specifically put ARAB, because the word Allah is Arabic.. its a common name for God in Arabic," one would expect that she agrees that Allah, being a common word for God, should therefore be allowed to be used by Christians and the Jews. But she came to a diametrically opposite conclusion even as she is aware that Allah is a common word for God!

Just imagine the power of rationalization! I think in law, they call it "distinguishing the case", using some perceived differences in circumstances, real or imagined. It seems that the human mind is so creative that black can be termed white too. Isn't that the reason why Lee Kuan Yew decided to dispense of the jury system? According to him, lawyers can lead (or rather mislead) a layman jury panel with their power of argument.

By checker  on [January 8, 2010 12:35 AM](#)

Salam Tun & CheDet fellowbloggers,

Here we go again. One after another we Malaysians are being tested for its proclaimed national unity as aspired in 1Malaysia concept introduced by DSN. First about national language, followed by race on the ketuanan Melayu & kaum pendatang issues. Now religion (HINDRAF for Hindu, now Herald for Christian) to complete the puzzle on which Malaysia for the last 50 years have been enjoying peace & harmony among its population of multi-racials & multi-religions societies. It has become the envy of the world. Now it is in great danger because the government (UMNO/BN) is viewed as in "weak" position.

Are there hidden hands at play (local or foreign) to sabotage our national unity & harmony which has been the envy of the world? Or it is just a coincidence? Therefore, please all Malaysians should be wise enough to think about it for the sake of our future generation. So we hope the government should resolve this with great wisdom. Seek the views of those experts on the matter from both sides, Muslim & Christian leaders to arrive at an amicable solution, through close door discussion. I fully agree to what Tun have said " going to court " is not the answer. Discussing it through the media will also not help to resolve but in fact may increase further tension as people may become too emotional about it. The opportunists will try by all means take advantage by adding oil to the fire and we majority peaceful Malaysian should not be cheated. Identify them and send them into oblivion.

I don't know from where she, the High Court Judge, in her oral deliberation to say that ALLAH is not exclusive to Islam. True what Tun have said that as a doctor, you when as PM would like to heal the sick but for the learned judge, he/she is more interested to decide right or wrong by his/her own interpretation of the law, irrespective of the outcome to the beloved peaceful nation whether it goes to the dog or not especially when the issue is not as simple as black and white but grey. It is here where the wisdom of our judges is being tested for the people to judge.

May Allah bless us all.

By anak tanah merah  on [January 8, 2010 12:30 AM](#)

Ayahanda Tun sekeluarga, assalamualaikum.....

Pandangan yang ringkas tapi padat kandungannya. Kepada mereka yang menggelarkan diri mereka sebagai ulama dan yang buat2 tak faham tu buangkanlah sifat2 munafik anda. Kebersihan adalah sebahagian dari iman. Hati juga memerlukan kebersihan. Terima kasih Ayahanda Tun. Syukur alhamdulillah

By [zermeye adnan](#)  on [January 7, 2010 11:33 PM](#)

slam tun,..

saya rasa benda macam ni sepatutnya tidak di bawa ke mahkamah.
Benda yang sama akan terjadi seperti kes Lina joy n natrah sekitar tahun 50-an dulu.
Sepatutnya mahkamah syariah yang menentukan hukum tersebut tetapi bidang kuasa mahkamah syariah yang begitu terbatas, maka mahkamah persekutuanlah yang menjadi senjata untuk mereka merialisasikan agenda jahat terhadap orang Islam ini.
Sekadar pandangan.
Sekian.~

By onesoul  on [January 7, 2010 9:32 PM](#)

... just use the word "Tuhan" just understand the situation ...

By ina  on [January 7, 2010 9:09 PM](#)

Ada sesuatu yang saya hendak berkongsi dalam penggunaan kalimah Allah ketika saya bertugas di Saudi Arabia. Di sana kalimah Allah itu biasa di sebut oleh semua orang tidak mengira apa pun agamanya. Apabila saya membaca sarikata yang dialihkan dalam bahasa Arab perkataan "Allah" ditafsirkan sebagai makna tuhan walaupun dialog itu dari filem bukan islam. Orang kristian percaya tuhan itu satu dan di luar negara sudah lama mereka menerima Allah sebagai gantinama tuhan/god yang satu erti kata Allah adalah nama Tuhan melainkan mereka hanya tidak menerima nabi Muhammad nabi yang akhir juga tidak mempercayai alkoran.

sebagai umat Islam kita patut sedar dan bangga bahawa Islam adalah agama yang BENAR dan tidak ragu-ragu seperti agama lain yang banyak cuba menyamai ajaran islam seperti contoh ada agama lain yang melakukan sembahyang 5 waktu sama waktu solat Islam 5 waktu.

Orang Malaysia Islam amat sensitif membalas mahu pun memberi salam pada orang bukan islam tetapi ianya digalakkan kepada semua agama di tanah suci islam itu juga.

Saya harap umat islam di negara kita bertenang berhadapan dengan ragam mereka. Mereka sudah dapat mencari kebenaran tetapi seperti Allah berkata maka laknatlah kaum itu membelakangi kebenaran alquran.

Kita patut berikan pendidikan berterusan agama islam kepada generasi agar tidak terpengaruh atau keliru perubahan ini. Bak kata jangan harap bina banyak masjid tetapi tidak ada siapa yang solat lima waktu di dalam masjid itu.

Yang membezakan orang Islam dan kafir adalah sembahyangnya.

Saya bangga lahir dalam Islam agama yang benar dan beramalan tanpa ragu-ragu.

Terima kasih Tun dapat memberi nasihat untuk kesejahteraan.

By [Khairuddin Muhamad](#)  on [January 7, 2010 5:54 PM](#)

By gunse007 on January 6, 2010 6:03 PM

i reply to this guys comment

By Khairuddin Muhamad on January 6, 2010 1:07 PM

1. Christianity is not a single religion like Islam. They consist of mainly Catholic, Protestant and Eastern Orthodox. All these denomination are western based denomination (respectively from Rome, Germany and Constantinople, present day Turkey)

if you say christianity does have different denomination, what about Islam with sunni, syiah, sufi, wahhabi, ahmaddiya etc.... over 30 types of IslaM....u can call them sesat or whatever.....

my reply

Worldwide, Christians are divided, often along ETHNIC and LINGUISTIC lines, into SEPARATE churches and traditions. Technically, divisions between one group and another are defined by DOCTRINE and church AUTHORITY. Issues such as the nature of Jesus, the authority of apostolic succession, and papal primacy separate one denomination from another. The denomination consist of staggering app 38,000 branches. The nature of inter branch relation always hostile because they consider people out of their denomination are heretic. Some of example to proof that statement are: War of Religion in Europe, North Ireland conflict, Crusade (

when Catholics also killed and looted Orthodox in Constantinople), Inquisition etc.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Christian_denominations

Islam does not have denomination, but it has madhabs. The nature of denomination and madhabs is different. Madhabs are juristic and academic in nature. Madhabs are not generally seen as distinct sects, as there has been harmony for the most part among their various scholars throughout Islamic history. All Muslims, despite differences in madhabs pray to one Allah, one direction (Kiblah), read one Quran, use one liturgical language (Arabic) and share the same article of Faith (rukun iman) and article of Islam. Muslims of different madhabs pray together (eg: Syafie Malay pray with Hanafi Tami Muslim in Masjid India in KL)an intermarry. We do have conflicts, but mostly sporadic, unlike regional in Christianity.

Wahhabi, Salafi, Osama etc are basically Islamic splinter group which don't represent the mainstream Islam, just like Ku Klux Klan, People Temple, Heaven Gate of Chuck Humpny, David Koresh and Jim Jone Suicide Cult did not represent mainstream Christianity.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Suicide_cult

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ku_Klux_Klan

Thank you

By Kelly  on [January 7, 2010 5:51 PM](#)

Peace be unto you,

ex-sic wrote:

[Memang benar, Allah adalah Tuhan semesta Alam dan Tuhan bagi seluruh makhluk, tetapi adakah Tuhan yang disembah oleh penganut-penganut agama lain adalah Allah yang Esa yang disembah oleh orang-orang Islam. Jawabannya adalah tidak. Allah yang dimaksudkan oleh agama Kristian tidak sama maksudnya dengan Allah Yang Maha Esa.]

This is unfortunate for I feel you have only partial understanding. Read again Surah Al-Maeda verse 69: "Lo! those who believe, and those who are Jews, and Sabaeans, and Christians - whosoever believeth in Allah and the Last Day and doeth right - there shall no fear come upon them neither shall they grieve." Ponder and seek the truth. Ask this question 'If the Christians/Jews/Sabaeans/or anybody else, does not believe in the same Allah (Maha Esa) as that of the Muslims Allah, would the rahmat of Allah be given unto them?'. What does the verse say?. Or is Allah telling untruth? I would rather take you for having partial understanding than say Allah tells untruth. Allah tells the truth but it seems you have not grasp the full meaning of this verse.

If you continue reading the Holy Quran you will find this passage "If Allah wills it He could have made you (Muslims/Jews/Christians) as one community, but He wants to test which of you are better in doing good works". I beg you please read. And seek the truth. For this Religion is the Religion of Truth. And Allah enjoins us to judge according to what He has revealed. May Allah's rahmat be with you.

Peace be unto you.

By SR  on [January 7, 2010 5:11 PM](#)

Dear prof_ridcully

ill try my best to answer you on you questions previously :

SR

Thanks for your comments. I just have two or three questions to clarify and I hope you will help me here.

You said, 2. Jesus is The messenger of God, Son of god is a concept of endearment which derives from the concept that the creator is hence our father and we are all his children. In the Trinity The concept of Father (God, Allah), The Son (does not ONLY refer to Jesus but rather all creation) this is not contradictory to Surah Al Ikhlas

OK, you say son of God is a concept. How does this reconcile with the Nicene Creed (around 350 CE). The Nicene creed is the original creed of the Christians and says,

"And in one Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, begotten of the Father [the only-begotten; that is, of the essence of the Father, God of God], Light of Light, very God of very God, begotten, not made, being of one substance with the Father"

My reply:

Christian understanding of Jesus as God's Son, that Christ is never addressed as "God, the Son," only as "the Son of God." Accordingly, this takes a major theological shift, since many personalities are commonly referred to in Scripture as the Son of God without any notion of divinity being attached to it. Hence, the phrase "Son of God" is seen as metaphor expressing one's closeness to God due to one's righteous conduct before the Creator. Proponents of such thinking claim that it is in this manner that Christ is referred to as God's Son, having no divine connotations whatsoever.

The Greek term for "only-begotten" in the King James version is "monogenes" which means "one of a kind," "one and only," "unique." Christ is portrayed as the unique or the one and only Son of God. That this is the more correct meaning of the term as opposed to "only begotten" is readily seen in the following citation:

"By faith Abraham, when God tested him, offered Isaac as a sacrifice. He who received the promises was about to sacrifice his one and only son (monogenes)." Hebrews 11:17 NIV

To say Isaac was Abraham's only-begotten son would make no sense since Abraham fathered Ishmael before Isaac and had six other children as well. (Cf. Genesis 16:15-16, 25:1-6)

Therefore, monogenes can only mean "unique" in status, and does not necessarily imply generation or birth. Scholars are basically unanimous in their view that monogenes refers to uniqueness in position over generation:

Dr. James R. White notes,

The key element to remember in deriving the meaning of monogenes¹ is this: it is a compound term, combining monos[1], meaning only, with a second term. Often it is assumed that the second term is gennasthai/gennao¹, "to give birth, to beget." But note that this family of terms has two nu's, "vv," rather than a single v found in monogenes¹. This indicates that the second term is not gennasthai¹ but gignesthai/ginmai¹, and the noun form, genos¹. G.L. Prestige discusses the differences that arise from these two derivations in God in Patristic Thought

(London: SPCK, 1952), 37-51, 135-141, 151-156.

Genos¹ means “kind or type,” ginomai¹ is a verb of being. Hence the translations “one of a kind,” “one and only,” “of sole descent.” Some scholars see the - genes element as having a minor impact upon the meaning of the term, and hence see monogenes¹ as a strengthened form of monos¹, thereby translating it “alone,” “unique,” “incomparable.” An example of this usage from the LXX is found in Psalm 25:16, “turn to me and be gracious to me, for I am lonely (monogenes) and afflicted: (NASB) (White, *The Forgotten Trinity* [Minneapolis, MN, Bethany House Publishers, 1998], pp. 201-202, fn. 27)

Newman and Nida’s *A Translator’s Handbook on the Gospel of John* (New York: United Bible Societies, 1980, p. 24) state:

Only son is the rendering of all modern translations (i.e., John 1:18 -ed.). There is no doubt regarding the meaning of the Greek word used here (monogenes); it means “only” and not “only begotten.” The meaning “only begotten,” which appears in the Vulgate, has influenced KJV and many other early translations.

James Hope Moulton and George Milligan, in *The Vocabulary of the Greek Testament* (Grand Rapids, Eerdmans, 1930, pp. 416-417), concur:

Monogenes¹ is literally “one of a kind,” “only,” “unique” (unicus), not “only begotten,” which would be monogennetos¹ (unigenitus,) and is common in the LXX in this sense... The emphasis is on the thought that, as the “only” Son of God, He has no equal and is able to reveal the Father.

George Beasley-Murray in his *the Word Biblical Commentary on John* (Waco: Word Books, 1987, p.14), says:

monogenes¹, lit., ‘the only one of its kind,’ unique in its genos, in the LXX frequently translates... (yahid)...

Hence the preceding references clearly demonstrate that monogenes does not mean generation, that Christ was God’s firstborn or first creation. Rather it speaks of uniqueness, that Jesus’ shares a unique relationship with God as Father from all eternity

This should cover the second question as well : now why it says Allah as the only one as you put it is simple. Where these etchings were found were Arab lands, where people spoke Arabic. The concept of trinity if you understand the point I am trying to make is a separate concept. The word for God was Allah in the Arab lands, I don’t think much more needs to be said about that. The point that’s made here is that Allah the word predates Islam as in it was a word commonly used in that geographic region.

Question three: The Bible is written in Hebrew, Aramaic and Greek. And we can get into this further but the fact that the council of Nicaea put in three languages was much to do with the circumstances of the time. The Old Testament is written in Hebrew as this is the same bit shared with the Judaism and Islam . No why there wasn’t a Gospel of Jesus is simple, The gospels are

historical events put together by others that were thought by Jesus. The Dead Sea scrolls show that there are many Gospels that weren't put into the Bible. This was decided by the council of which King Constantine headed. The teachings of Christ were put to use to bring the people of the faith together.

Most misunderstanding is because they are lost in translation. For example Jesus is also referred to as Jesus of Nazareth. Now in actual fact there was no such place as Nazareth and it has never existed. What it actually says is Jesus the Nazorean which is a tribe to which Jesus belonged to. In Arabic they are called Nasrani.

I hope I managed to answer to your expectations, there is just too much intertwined to put it all here to give a deep concise explanation. I could write a book trying to explain all of this

By tklim09  on [January 7, 2010 5:08 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Faktor Sejarah dan Faktor Geografi merupakan dua faktor yang tidak dapat diabaikan oleh golongan profesional untuk mencari kebenaran.

Dari faktor Sejarah, kami tahu keturunan Shem (Hebrew: שם, Modern Šem Tiberian Šēm ; Greek: Σημ, Sēm; Arabic: سام, Sām ;) telah lama menggunakan kalimah "Allah" sebelum Nabi Ibrahim, Yesus Kristus (Jesus) dan Nabi Muhammad sehingga hari ini. Oleh yang demikian, bagi golongan Muslim yang profesional (Pas), mereka menerima hakikat ini dan menyatakan sokongan mereka.

Dari faktor Geografi, kebanyakan negara-negara Asia Barat dan Mediterranean adalah keturunan Shem (Arab, Israel, Ethiopia, Iran, Iraq, Cyprus, Armenia, Greek dll), mereka masih menggunakan kalimah "Allah" sehingga hari ini.

Semenjak isu ini dibawa ke Mahkamah, Gereja Katholik telah menerima surat sokongan dari Diplomat dan warganegara dari negara-negara Arab dan Mediterranean yang memberi sokongan terhadap Gereja Katholik berkenaan isu ini.

Oleh yang demikian, isu ini sekarang bukan lagi jadi isu dalam negeri, tetapi sudah jadi "Laughing Matter" antarabangsa, khasnya negara-negara keturunan Shem. mereka tunggu dan lihat samada Kerajaan Malaysia dapat menyelesaikan masalah ini dengan cara yang lebih profesional, rasional dan menghormati faktor sejarah.

Kerajaan Malaysia boleh meminda sejarah Malaysia sesuka hati, tetapi bukan sejarah dunia. Sebuah Negara yang tidak hormat sejarah dan kebenaran tidak akan dihormati oleh bangsa dan negara lain, dan kerajaan akan dianggap sebagai kerajaan penipu.

Sebagai penganut Katholik yang bukan bumiputra, saya sebenarnya bukan begitu ambil berat terhadap kalimah yang digunakan dalam bahasa Malaysia, sebab saya jarang guna bahasa Malaysia di gereja.

Tetapi, bagi Bishop-bishop (uskup) yang keturunan India atau Sri Lanka, mereka selama ini memberi keutamaan terhadap kegunaan Bahasa Malaysia di gereja Katholik di semenanjung

Malaysia, mereka tidak menggalakkan kami guna bahasa lain walaupun bantahan dibuat dari kaum China, India ataupun mereka yang bertutur Bahasa Inggeris. Mereka selalu menggalak kami menyokong kerajaan Malaysia dalam usaha mengutamakan kegunaan Bahasa Malaysia.

Tetapi, apa yang dilakukan oleh Tun dan ahli-ahli umno telah memberi tamparan yang kuat kepada golongan uskup katolik ini. Tun telah memberi message kepada golongan ini supaya elak menggunakan Bahasa Malaysia di gereja supaya tidak menimbulkan keliruan di kalangan muslim.

Bagi golongan Katolik yang bukan Bumiputra, saya sebenarnya tidak anggap ini satu isu, tetapi hal yang sebaliknya di Malaysia Timur.

Christian di Malaysia Timur sekarang sudah mula meluahkan ketidakpuasan mereka terhadap kerajaan semenanjung, ini termasuk Ahli Parlimen yang sangat berpengaruh di Malaysia Timur.

Saya faham, kadang-kadang ada ahli politik yang sengaja mempermainkan isu untuk mendapat sokongan dari golongan tertentu.

Tetapi, apa yang sebenarnya berlaku di beberapa hari ini adalah luar dari jangkaan. Kebanyakan Christian di Malaysia menganggap Parti Pas dan Keadilan lebih profesional dan rasional dalam hal ini, mereka lebih mudah dibincang berbanding dengan UMNO yang suka guna cara mogok untuk menyelesaikan masalah. Pas dan Keadilan mampu mengasuh, mendidik dan membetulkan penyokong mereka dalam keadaan yang tidak tentu, tetapi UMNO tidak dapat buat begitu.

Oleh yang demikian, kebanyakan Christian seperti saya berpendapat: " Why not we give Pas and Keadilan another chance to run the government in next election"

Adakah UMNO dapat sokongan yang lebih besar dari permainan isu ini? Saya berpendapat tidak, kawan-kawan saya yang sokong Pas dan Keadilan tetap dengan sokongan mereka.

Sebaliknya, ada tanda-tanda menunjukkan BN Malaysia Timur sekarang sudah mula bergoncang. Sekiranya UMNO masih mempermainkan isu ini, saya tidak hairan BN Malaysia Timur akan mengalami kekalahan yang teruk di pilihanraya yang akan datang. Tun perlu belajar dari apa yang berlaku oleh Harris Salleh dan Parti Berjaya di Sabah sebelum ini.

Sebagai seorang penyokong Tun, inilah nasihat yang dapat saya berikan : "Hormati faktor sejarah, anda akan dihormati dalam sejarah."

Dari sejarah Katolik, Gereja Katolik menjadi kotor dan lemah selepas campurtangan Raja-raja dan ahli politik Eropah.

"Allah" adalah mahakuasa, dia tidak perlu bantuan tangan manusia untuk melaksanakan kehendaknya. Sekiranya, anda menggunakan cara manusia untuk mempertahankan "Allah". anda sebenarnya menghina "Allah" yang mahakuasa. Jangan mengulangi kesilapan yang dibuat oleh Gereja Katolik pada masa yang lampau.

Only the Invisible can do the Impossible.

By Sara  on [January 7, 2010 4:54 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

This is a very sticky topic indeed.. If the Govt wins after the appeal, the Christians would not be happy and again we have that break in our 1 Malaysia..

And I was reading the comments in here.. Some are headstrong where others seemed to be more rational...As to me, I'll try to put in points and put aside all the arguments on 'Confused Muslim Scenario'.

The word Allah is distinctively written in the Quran.. If you googled the definition of the word Allah, most of the definitions would refer the word as the Muslim God.. Even in Webster and Oxford, it refers to the same thing.. However, oxford also pointed out that the same word is also used to refer to GOD for the Arab Christians.. The dictionary specifically put ARAB, because the word Allah is Arabic.. its a common name for God in Arabic.. As the word God in English and Tuhan in Malay.. So, my conclusion: The word should not be utilised if the target audience are not Arabs.. Why not use the other common words to refer to GOD? And since the Herald wants the translation to be in BM, why not use TUHAN.

I was going through the web to read on different views on the issue, and I come across this website: <http://www.arabbible.com/t-Allah.aspx> ... I believe that this website offers the readers a well balance defense of why it has chosen not to use the word Allah; and instead have used the word "al-ilaah" to refer to Christian God.

Some commented that Allah for Muslim is the same God as the 1st Christians as well as the Jews. That is to a certain extent, true; but the same scenario does not apply to Christians and Jews- to them, the God that belongs to them is different than the God or Allah of Muslims.

Some of the blog readers also argue that the word Allah is being displayed all around; being sang by the non-muslims, shown on tv and the list goes on... Mind you my fellow friends that the constitution said that Malaysia is an Islamic country.. We have Hindu temples and their bells.. and we have the chinese temples and their giants insence.. We are lucky to be able to practice or faith freely here..Its just like we have Jews in Palestine but we don't hear of them; and worst still, they too suffered the battle with Israel.. I believe not many know that we have Jews in Palestine itself.. Or like Islam in the US or Australia, we don't hear them because it's a Christian community, or the Japan where the majority follow Shintō or Buddhism, but we do have Muslims there and a mosque in Tokyo..

So peeps, peace out!

By ekompute  on [January 7, 2010 4:41 PM](#)

BangSIA says: " It was reported in an English tabloid today that,"Editor: Church agrees 'out of love for the nation'." If indeed the church really 'loves the nation' as claimed, then it would NOT have started this controversy in the first place!"

I am no Christian. I once tried to be a Christian but gave up because I don't agree with what they preach in the Church, eg. if you believe that Jesus is the Son of God, you will go to Heaven. If only it were so easy!

Religion is a very hard thing to practise. I think if anyone could follow its essence (not its rituals which even a fool can do that) as much is taught, even 10%, that religion would have served its purpose. But as it is, I see many people miss its essence. How many religious people are humanitarian (i.e. see humans as one race) and how tolerant are religious people? In fact, the more religious they are, the less tolerant they become!

So coming back to BangSIA's point, i.e. "If indeed the church really 'loves the nation' as claimed, then it would NOT have started this controversy in the first place!"

Truth be said, the controversy was not started by the Christians. It was started by some UMNO goons, sorry to say that. In Indonesia, the Christians use the word "Allah" for "God", but wherein is the controversy? Creating a controversy and then accusing the other party of creating it is the worst kind of human behaviour in this world, sad to say.

By ex-sic  on [January 7, 2010 4:31 PM](#)

Tun yang dihormati,

Keputusan mahkamah Tinggi menengguhkan penggunaan kalimah Allah adalah tepat sementara menunggu keputusan Mahkamah Rayuan.

Bagi kita umat Islam, kalimah Allah adalah hak mutlak kita. Tiada agama lain yang boleh menggunakannya. Memang benar penganut kristian diSabah dan Sarawak telah menggunakan perkataan Allah sejak lama dulu, tetapi amalan itu bersumberkan terjemahan Bible dari Indonesia sahaja, bukannya dari Injil sebenar yang menggunakan perkataan 'God'.

Memang benar, Allah adalah Tuhan semesta Alam dan Tuhan bagi seluruh makhluk, tetapi adakah Tuhan yang disembah oleh penganut-penganut agama lain adalah Allah yang Esa yang disembah oleh orang-orang Islam. Jawapannya adalah tidak. Allah yang dimaksudkan oleh agama Kristian tidak sama maksudnya dengan Allah Yang Maha Esa.

Kita harus mencari jalan penyelesaian terbaik agar nanti jika pihak lain juga boleh menggunakan nama Allah, sudah pasti akan berlaku kekeliruan dikalangan umat Islam itu sendiri. Sudah pasti nama Allah yang suci akan digunakan dengan sewenang-wenangnya dengan cara yang tidak sepatutnya.

Kepimpinan DSN perlu bijak mengambil jalan penyelesaian agar tidak timbul ketidaktentuan dalam negara, jika Mahkamah Rayuan telah membuat keputusan nanti.

Kepada rakan-rakan bukan Islam, janganlah cuba membincangkan tentang Islam jika anda tidak mengetahui tentang agama ini..

Sekian terimakasih..

By mriard  on [January 7, 2010 4:12 PM](#)

Salam Tun,
Peminat anda.

Kalau ta silap saya di S'pura pekara ni sangat sensitiv, ada understanding perkataan ALLAH ta di benar kan dalam risalah/kitab melayu mereka. Sebab nya ada Religious Harmony Acts.
Sila baca.

<http://ccbs.ntu.edu.tw/FULLTEXT/JR-EPT/khun.htm>

http://statutes.agc.gov.sg/non_version/cgi-bin/cgi_retrieve.pl?&actno=Reved-167A&date=latest&method=part

By tklim09  on [January 7, 2010 3:33 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

This is an explanation from Wikipedia about "Allah".

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Allah>

Allah (Arabic: الله, Allāh, Turkish: Allah, IPA: [ʔ alˤːɑːh]) is used by Arabic-speakers of all Abrahamic faiths, including Christians and Jews, in reference to "God". The term was also used by pagan Meccans as a reference to the creator-god, possibly the supreme deity in pre-Islamic Arabia.

The term Allāh is derived from a contraction of the Arabic definite article al- "the" and 'ilāh "deity, god" to al-lāh meaning "the [sole] deity, God" (ho theos monos).[4] Cognates of the name "Allāh" exist in other Semitic languages, including Hebrew and Aramaic.[3] The corresponding Aramaic form is ܐܠܗܐ 'Ēlāhā in Biblical Aramaic and ܐܠܗܐ 'Alāhā or 'Ālōho in Syriac.[10]

The contraction of al- and 'ilāh in forming the term Allāh ("the god", masculine form) parallels the contraction of al- and 'ilāha in forming the term Allāt ("the goddess", feminine form).[11]

Arabic-speakers of all Abrahamic faiths, including Christians and Jews, use the word "Allah" to mean "God".[3] The Christian Arabs of today have no other word for 'God' than 'Allah'.[6] (Even the Arabic-descended Maltese language of Malta, whose population is almost entirely Roman Catholic, uses Alla for 'God'.) Arab Christians for example use terms Allāh al-'ab (الله الأب) meaning God the father, Allāh al-ibn (الله الابن) mean God the son, and Allāh al-rūḥ al-quds (روح القدس الله الر) meaning God the Holy Spirit (See God in Christianity for the Christian concept of God).

Arab Christians have used two forms of invocations that were affixed to the beginning of their written works. They adopted the Muslim basm-Allah, and also created their own Trinitized basm-Allah as early as the eight century CE.[24] The Muslim basm-Allah reads: "In the name of God, the Compassionate, the Merciful." The Trinitized basm-Allah reads: "In the name of Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit, One God." The Syriac, Latin and Greek invocations do not have the words "One God" at the end. This addition was made to emphasize the monotheistic aspect of Trinitian belief and also to make it more palatable to Muslims.[24]

According to Marshall Hodgson, it seems that in the pre-Islamic times, some Arab Christians made pilgrimage to the Kaaba, a pagan temple at that time, honoring Allah there as God the Creator.

In other scripts and languages

Allah in other languages is spelled in the same way, among others.

- Bengali: আল্লাহ Allah
- Bosnian: Allah
- Chinese: 阿拉 Ālā, 安拉 Ānlā; 真主 Zhēnzhǔ (semantic translation)

- Greek: Ἀλλάχ Allách, Θεός Theós (God)
- Hebrew: אֱלֹהִים Allah
- Maltese: Alla
- Russian, Ukrainian, Bulgarian: Алла́х Allakh
- Serbian, Belarusian, Macedonian: Alah, Алах

As such, "Allah" is not only used by Arabic-speakers of all Abrahamic faiths, "Allah" also used by descendants of Shem (in Semitic languages).

Shem (Hebrew: שֵׁם, Modern Šem Tiberian Šēm ; Greek: Σημ, Sēm; Arabic: سام, Sām ;) was the eldest sons of Noah in the Hebrew Bible.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shem>

Shem is the eldest son of Noah, from whom the Jews, as well as the Semitic ("Shemitic") nations in general have descended. The Nations Descended from Him occupied Syria (Aramaic), Palestine (Canaan), Chaldea (Arpachshad), Assyria (Asshur), part of Persia (Elam), and Arabia (Joktan).

Five Sons of Shem (see map below):

1. Elam (Arabia)
2. Asshur (Assyria)
3. Lud (Lydians)
4. Aram (Aramaic, Armenia, Mesopotamia, Syria)
5. Arphaxad (From which Abraham descended)

Semitic is commonly used term for the Semitic languages, as a subset of the Afro-Asiatic languages, denoting the common linguistic heritage of Arabic, Aramaic, Akkadian, Ethiopic, Hebrew and Phoenician languages.

By [chukai1](#) on [January 7, 2010 3:28 PM](#)

Salam Ayahanda Tun dan keluarga dan juga para pembaca blog sekalian,

Saya dengan rendah hati ingin menyambung komen saya tentang isu hangat sekarang ini. Saya tak banyak idea ... jadi izinkan saya hujah secara “responsive” dengan yang bukan Islam.

Bismillah

Keno87

.....Iman terletak dalam hati, bukan terletak dalam undang-undang. Agama Kristian memisahkan dunia dengan kerohanian. Sebab itu, bagi saya.. Agama Kristian tidak tercemar oleh pengaruh duniawi. Ia tulus dan halus hingga kita tidak sekalipun terfikir untuk mengawal manusia dengan undang2 :).....

P/S ... bukan setakat nama Allah jer...hmm perkataan iman pun depa pakai gak punya la universal bahasa Melayu/Arab. Memang Kristian tidak praktik sangat bab-bab hukum dan undang-undang ni sebab ... didalam kitab bible New Testament King James Version.. Jesus Christ sendiri pun tak mahu melaksanakannya ... buktinya apabila seorang wanita penzina dibawa menghadap utk di hukum Jesus ada menyebut ... “those who have no sin shall cast

the first the stone...” tak ada sesiapaupun yang berani merejam batu itu terhadap wanita itu termasuklah Jesus sendiri.

Sebab itu kalau ada perkara-perkara baharu didalam ajaran Christian itu sendiri mesti ada agenda disebaliknya. Think not I come to bring you something new for I come just to fulfill... (The Holy Bible).

Menurut saudara Keno87 mereka punya asas yang kuat untuk menggunakan nama Allah sebab dah 400 tahun mereka dah gunakan katanya. Kalau nombor menjadi rujukan maka ketahuilah orang Islam sudah gunakan nama itu lebih dari 1400 tahun dahhh.

Keno87

....Kami memang ada menyebut nama Tuhan Yesus. Tuhan Yesus merujuk kepada firman Allah yang hidup, yang telah menjelma menjadi manusia melalui kandungan Maria tanpa persetubuhan. Firman itu datang dan hidup ditengah-tengah manusia. Setelah selesai dengan karya penyelamatanNya, dimana Dia mati untuk menebus dosa manusia, Dia diangkat ke syurga tempat asalNya. Yesus adalah firman Allah yang hidup. Dia sudah ada sebelum alam semesta diciptakan. Melalui firmanNya yang hidup inilah Allah mencipta segala sesuatu. Allah sendiri berkata kepada manusia, bahawa Yesus itu anakNya. Tetapi masih ramai orang beranggapan bahawa konsep Anak dan Bapa ini sama konsepnya dengan manusia beranak pinak. Anggapan ini tidak tepat.....

P/S Jika anda rujuk kepada kitab Bible King James version (yang berbahasa Inggeris).... Kita semua akan dapati Jesus Christ tidak mati . Saya pernah berbicara dengan seorang Paderi Keristian orang India di Cheras saya lupa namanya. So ...kalau Jesus tidak mati ...secara teknikal perkara seperti diatas tak boleh terlaksana. So my Christian friends you still have sins. Nobody is there to die for your sin

Pada kesempatan yang ada ini, saya pernah di pesan oleh Arwah Mr. Deedat to deliver the information. Inilah kalimah ”Keramat” yang selalu digunakan oleh Arwah Ahmad Deedat (Al Fatihah):

(Luke 24:39) "Behold my hands and my feet, that it is I myself: handle me, and see; for a spirit hath not flesh and bones, as ye see me have... have you here any food to eat and they did give him honey comb and broiled fish.

Kenapa Jesus minta makanan ? Anda ingin tahu teruskan membaca. Kepada pembaca yang saya hormati objektif penulisan ini adalah untuk menganalisa apakah Jesus memang mati menurut The Holy Bible King James version sekarang ini.

Saya hanya menulis berdasarkan buku Bible New Testament King James Version. (So Jabatan Ugama Islam tak ada isu mintak tauliah kan ?) Kalau la JAIS mintak tauliah jugak Hmm elok la di tukar je nama Jabatan yang satu ni ke Jabatan Agama Malaysia.

Mungkin tak ramai yang tahu bahawa Jesus hidup sezaman dengan Julius Caesar. Mungkin jugak ada orang keristian yang tak pernah tahu bahawa Jesus adalah berbangsa Israel. Mereka ingat Jesus adalah orang British atau American sebab The Holy Bible adalah didalam bahasa Inggeris. Don't blame them... I also don't know before

Ramai orang Islam, khususnya orang Melayu dah sangat-sangat terkeliru apakah kaitan antara nama Jesus Son of Mary dan Nabi Isa Ibni Mariam. Kepada pakar Bahasa mari kita lihat apakah

nama Jesus ini mirip nama orang Israel ? Tanya diri anda sendiri, pernahkah anda terjumpa orang yahudi yang memberi nama anak mereka Jesus ?

Jawapannya adalah TIADA sebab nama Jesus lebih mirip kepada nama orang Sepanyol.

Tetapi kalau sebelah Filipina memang ramai yang bernama Jesus. Kenapa ya? Sebab Filipina pernah di jajah oleh orang Sepanyol dan mereka (Spanish) jugak lah pengasas Gereja Catholic. Jadi nama Jesus lebih mirip kepada nama orang Spain, apa dah jadi ? Kita percaya sebarang terjemahan bahasa dari yang asal ke bahasa kedua sedikit sebanyak akan terdapat kecatatan dari segi bunyi dan maksud.

Nama Nabi Isa juga tidak terlepas dari menjadi mangsa. Di dalam bible (Bahasa Inggeris) ada nama-nama seperti Father Abraham (Nabi Ibrahim AS), John The Baptist (Hazrat Yahya AS), David (Nabi Daud AS), Solomon (Nabi Sulaiman AS), Jacob (Nabi Yakub AS) dll. Mari kita lihat transformasi nama Jesus, anda cuma perlu buangkan huruf yang hujung sebelah kiri dan kanan. Huruf “J” dan “S”.

Sekarang baru lah terserlah nama ESU mirip nama Arab dan Israel. Kalau nama pun boleh bertukar bolih kah mereka (Keristian) bagi kita jaminan yang lain masih “original”. anyway that was just the tip of the iceberg..

Berbalik kita kepada cerita berikutnya

Pada hari Khamis malam Jesus dan Pengikut-Pengikutnya berkumpul di dalam satu taman yang bernama Gethsamane. Mengikut ceritanya mereka telah dibelot oleh salah seorang pengikut yang bernama Judas. Beliau lah yang memberi maklumat tempat persembunyian Jesus kepada orang-orang Yahudi yang jahat dan pihak Penguasa Rom di Jerusalem.

Didalam dunia Keristian, Judas dianggap jahat macam setan sebab itu lah agaknya nama Judas Priest di pilih oleh sebuah kumpulan musik Heavy Metal pada awal 80an.

Pendekkan cerita Jesus di tangkap pada hari Jumaat pagi oleh orang Yahudi dan tentera Rom. Di dalam Bible kita di beritahu kesemua Pengikut (Disciple) Jesus cabut lari semasa Jesus di tangkap pada pagi itu....and they all fled and run away ... (the Holy Bible). Jesus telah dibicarakan didalam mahkamah pada hari yang sama dan terdapat dua orang penjenayah lain (perogol & perompak) didalam mahkamah ketika itu.

Pontius Pilate seorang Governor Rom di Jerusalem yang hadir bertanya kepada mahkamah... “....apakah kesalahan orang itu?” sambil merujuk kepada Jesus. Mahkamah Rom terkedu sebab Jesus adalah seorang warganegara yang baik dan seorang Yahudi yang tolong jawabkan. “Kesalahan beliau (Jesus) adalah atas tuduhan ... mengaku dirinya Tuhan atau Anak tuhan”. (Wal hal bagi fahaman masyarakat Rom, mereka dah ada banyak tuhan, Jupiter ..Mars ...Zeus..Thor etc) add one more God won't make much different isn't it? Jesus di tanya oleh Pontius Pilate. Apakah kamu seorang Raja? Jesus jawab “ I am the King of the Spiritual Kingdom...” (..aku adalah Raja pada Alam Kerohanian)

*Dari satu sudut mungkin sebab Demokrasi berasal dari Roman Empire, Pontius Pilate tidak berasa terancam dengan jawapan itu. Jesus tak mungkin masuk untuk bertanding pilihan raya di N666 Jerusalem. Jadi confirm tak merbahaya buat Pontius Pilate(*My thought thinking)

Pontius Pilate berpendapat Jesus tidak bersalah sebagaimana tertera didalam Matthew 27:24, he washed his hands in front of the crowd in an effort to disassociate himself from any responsibility for the decision.

Tetapi Mahkamah tetap menjatuhkan hukuman bunuh keatas Jesus bersama-sama dengan2 orang penjenayah itu. Cara Kerajaan Rom ketika itu untuk menghukum /membunuh penjenayah adalah di Salib. Ada 2 cara Salib, cara cepat mati dan cara lambat mati. Kalau nak cepat penjenayah itu dimatikan kayu Salib harus dilalukan di bawah ketiak, dan cara lambat mati adalah tangan dan kaki di pakukan.

Untuk lebih jelas lagi anda yang berminat cari la filem lama "The Sparthacus", anda boleh lihat sendiri macamana "cruxifiction" dilaksanakan.

Petang itu juga (Jumaat) hukuman dilaksanakan, yang hadir hanyalah pengikut-pengikut biasa.

Jesus ditangkap atas hasutan orang-orang Yahudi yang benci terhadapnya, secara logiknya anda semua pembaca blog sudah pasti dapat teka Jesus akan di salib cara yang mana ? . Pukul 12 tgh malam adalah hari Sabtu dan juga adalah hari kebesaran orang Yahudi yang di panggil Sabbath Day.

Menurut ajaran kitab Judaism jika ada penjenayah yang di hukum jatuh pada Hari Sabbath Day, penjenayah itu mesti di lepaskan. Menyedari hal itu, kesemua pesalah diturunkan oleh mereka yang ada di situ.

Jesus di kuburkan pada hari Sabtu.... Yang menariknya disini kubur orang Yahudi semasa itu hanya lah sebuah lubang liang lahad yang di letakkan sebuah meja batu didalamnya. Mayat dibaringkan diatas batu dan bahagian sebelah atas kubur cuma ditutup dengan batu (seperti pintu sky light). Tiada tanah dikambus kedalam kubur itu. Pada awal 70an ketika itu saya masih sekolah rendah, saya masih ingat majalah "The Plain Truth" di edarkan ke rumah-rumah orang Islam secara percuma. Didalamnya ada tajuk "Who Moved the Stone ?" Rupa-rupanya, dunia Keristian masih terkeliru tentang siapakah yang mengalihkan batu yang menutupi batu kubur (bilik?) Jesus.

Pada hari Ahad pagi Mary Magdalene telah pergi ke kubur dan rasa terperanjat kerana batu yang menutupi kubur Jesus sudah berganjak dari tempat asalnya. Beliau menangis kerana jasad Jesus pun dah tiada di dalamnya. Beliau bertanya kepada seorang tukang kebun yang kebetulan berada di kawasan itu samada beliau ternampak sesiapa yang telah membawa "Master Jesus" dari situ.

Holy Bible ada menyebut and He (Jesus) disguised as a Gardener. Soalan kepada dunia Keristian ... kenapa Jesus perlu menyamar pakai baju tukang kebun? Apakah orang yang sudah mati bila hidup kembali akan dapat uniform macam itu. (jika anda nonton filem "Ghost" anda akan lihat karektor malaikat maut yang pakai baju ala tukang kebun mencabut nyawa watak-watak didalam filem itu)

Saya yakin pembaca sudah faham jawapannya kan. Kalau tak menyamar nanti kena cekup semula betul tak ?

Pada hari Ahad pagi kita di beritahu Jesus muncul secara tiba-tiba (ala star trek) di dalam rumah Pengikut-Pengikutnya yang melarikan diri dahulu....Apakah perasaan mereka agaknya Gembira atau Takut ?

..... they were afraid, for they thought they have seen a Ghost.... (the Holy Bible)

Jesus atau Hazrat Isa Al Masih bukan la calang orang, beliau tak perlu kashaf untuk memahami kenapa mereka jadi takut.

Hari Jumaat – Kena serbu dan Jesus kena tangkap, yang lain sempat lari. Jesus dijatuhkan hukum mati (Salib).

Hari Sabtu - Pengikut dapat tahu Jesus kena “tanam”, so confirm mati....

Hari Ahad - Tetiba Jesus muncul, dalam rumah pulak tu alahai

Disini la ayat ... Luke 24:39 dapat di fahami maksudnya. "Behold my hands and my feet, that it is I myself: handle me, and see; for a spirit hath not flesh and bones, as ye see me have... have you here any food to eat and they did give him honey comb and broiled fish".

Jesus faham ...pengikut-pengikut setianya didalam ketakutan sebab mereka ingat yang datang tu adalah HANTU dan bukan Jesus sendiri. Dgn itu Jesus pun mnyeru kepada mereka “....peganglah tangan dan kaki ku kerana ROH tidak mempunyai daging dan tulang seperti aku ini. Adakah sebarang makanan buat ku... dan mereka memberinya madu dan ikan bakar untuk di makan...”

Kenapa beliau minta makanan ? Apakah orang yang sudah mati perlu pada makanan?

Jawapannya adalah Sudah 3 hari Jesus tak makan ...dah tentulah lapar. So ... my Christian friend ... our Jesus Christ (Peace Be Upon Him) is still alive just as what the Quran had ealier mentioned and the Muslim had perceived and now your Holy Bible King James Version.

Ini adalah fakta yang jelas di dalam kitab anda sendiri, macamana anda masih beranggapan Jesus mati walhal The Holy Bible sebaliknya. Kepada saudara saya orang Melayu Islam kita semua harus peka dan jangan cepat melatah.

Paderi Keristian itu mahu tahu lebih lanjut tentang Islam dari saya.

Saya beritahu tak boleh, saya tak layak ...dia tanye kenape ? Sebab saya tak ada tauliah dari Jabatan Ugama Islam. Saya syorkan beliau pergi ke Perkim sahaja.

Insyallah next comment asal usul Trinity ...more to come.

Kepada Tun please take care.

We all love you.

By Shahrizal Shahnan  on [January 7, 2010 3:19 PM](#)

To Tun,

Assalamualaikum. Just to let you know how proud and grateful I am for all your contributions to this country.

To my fellow Malaysians,

1. Don't let this matter escalates to an age old battle between religions. When it is just a matter of language.

2. When a person converts to Islam, he/she must utter a declaration of belief, the shahadah (unfortunately, I cant type out Arabic script here)

But phonetically it sounds like this: ašhadu ‘alaa ilāha illallāh (pardon my mistakes)

Translated in english: “I bare witness that there is no other god but Allah”

Translated in Malay: “Aku naik saksi, tiada tuhan selainkan Allah”

In Arabic (which is a tricky language to master) the first “alaa” in the shahadah means God. The last word “allāh” is the name of God Allah.

3. There’s a famous article by Farish A. Noor (google him and the article), he was surprised when he was in Cairo that non muslims uses the word ”Alla” frequently in their everyday life. So he concludes that the word “Allah” is not exclusive to muslims. When in actual fact its the word “alla” means God. It comes from an Aramaic (the language spoken by Isa a.s./Jesus) word "elahn", which translates as God.

4. What is spoken and what is written, is totally different thing. Especially when its written in the Arabic script (Like in the shahadah). It may not look like a big deal between “alla” and “Allah” just missing a letter “H” in the Roman alphabetical. But in Arab Script there is a lot of difference. As the english word “no” and “know”. In mandarin you may hear the same pronunciation, but when it’s written, it’s totally different meaning. So the Roman alphabet don't do justice to it. But there is no conflict in the Arab world because of the written script. They can easily tell them apart.

5. Like Dorothy in the wizard of oz says to Toto “We are not in Kansas anymore”. We are not in Arab anymore. We have our own language unlike in Arabic where the word God and the name Allah may sound similar. We have the word god in our language which is Tuhan.

6. But still the Question remains... why use the Arabic word “alla” (which roman alphabets don't do justice) to translate the word god. If the orang asli don't speak english, believe me, they don't speak Arabic either. Use the word Tuhan.

7. If the reason is because “We want to use the Aramaic word as spoken by Jesus” then by all means use it for all languages. “Pin Yin” the word ‘alla” in Mandarin and in Tamil (I could be wrong here, could someone who can read mandarin and tamil tell me does the word god translated to something that sounded like alla?) Use the aramaic word in English section as well. Don't just use it for the malay section. We dont speak arabics.

To my fellow muslim brothers

1. I’m glad. To see so many of you spoke up regarding this matter. But remember to argue with facts. Do not just shout and yell things out, because “just cannot lah!” is not an argument. When you scream out, people don't hear the words. They just see your action. The first word from Allah was “ikraa” - Read. Knowledge is power.

2. I'm sad. To see not so many of you spoke up and protested regarding the Cow head incidents. We should have condemned the shameful act that represent Islam in such an atrocious way. Totally no regards in respecting of other people's beliefs and feelings. Respecting people's belief doesn't mean you believe in their beliefs. How can we expect for others to respect your belief otherwise? Not to mention the way a dead carcass is treated. We are supposed to be "umat contoh" so be careful of what you'd do. Your actions are representing Islam.

P.S. Tun, I do hope to meet you in person one day, just to shake your hand, it will be a great honour.

By [Dr. Patau Rubis](#) on [January 7, 2010 3:07 PM](#)

asalamualaikum tun..... saya amat kagum dengan pemikiran yang ditulis oleh tun selama ini. cuma saya ada sedikit komen

tun menulis:

5. Sebenarnya kalimah "Allah" tidak terdapat dalam kitab Taurat atau Talmud Hebrew atau kitab Injil Kristian dalam bahasa Latin, Greek atau bahasa-bahasa Eropah. Nama bagi Tuhan dalam bahasa yahudi ialah "Yahweh", yang diterjemahkan kepada bahasa Inggeris sebagai "Jehovah".
9. Tetapi dalam agama Kristian terdapat konsep "Trinity" yang mana terdapat "God the Father, God the Son and the Holy Ghost". Jika dalam bahasa Melayu terdapat dalam kitab Injil atau syarahan berkenaan "Allah sebagai Bapak, Allah sebagai Anak dan Roh yang Suci", maka tentulah ini akan ditentang oleh orang Islam. Dalam Islam Allah tidak ada bapak, tidak ada anak. Ia tidak dilahirkan dan Ia tidak melahirkan sesiapa. Allah hanya satu. Ia tidak boleh disekutu dengan sesiapa.

jawapan saya:

sekilas pandang, nampaknya tun memperolehi maklumat itu daripada wikipedia. umum mengetahui bahawa wikipedia terkenal dengan taglinenya:
"SESIAPA SAHAJA BOLEH EDIT"

The major points of criticism of Wikipedia, an online encyclopedia, are the claims that the principle of being open for editing by everyone makes Wikipedia unauthoritative and unreliable (see Reliability of Wikipedia), that it exhibits systemic bias, and that its group dynamics hinder its goals.

sesiapa saha boleh edit maklumat, dan tidak mustahil ianya boleh digunakan untuk memaparkan maklumat mengelirukan bagi tujuan tertentu. hari ini maklumatnya mungkin masih seperti sediakala, tetapi apa akan terjadi jika saya sign in dan mengedit maklumat itu dan menukar maklumat. sebagai contoh:

hari ini:

The Talmud (Hebrew: תַּלְמוּד talmūd "instruction, learning", from a root lmd "teach, study") is a central text of mainstream Judaism, in the form of a record of rabbinic discussions pertaining to Jewish law, ethics, customs and history.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talmud>

jika hari ini saya sign in dalam wikipedia dan mengedit maklumat itu dan menukar maklumat itu menjadi seperti ini:

The Talmud (Hebrew: תלמוד talmūd "instruction, learning", from a root lmd "teach, study") is a central text of mainstream Arab, in the form of a record of rabbinic discussions pertaining to Arabic law, ethics, customs and history.

itulah yang akan terpapar keesokan harinya. jika sesiapa yang mengklik link Talmud tulisan tun no 5, timbul kekeliruan.

harap tun lebih berhati2 dalam memilih sumber untuk rujukan.

tun menulis:

11. Di Semenanjung Malaysia kita tidak pernah mendengar orang Kristian menggunakan kalimah "Allah" apabila bercakap berkenaan God dalam bahasa Melayu. Kenapa pula kita sekarang akan mengguna kalimah ini?

jawapan saya:

sepanjang menjadi perdana menteri, tun hanya datang ke sarawak jika ada undangan rasmi. selain itu, tun jarang ke sarawak, apatah lagi untuk mengetahui perkembangan agama di sana. kebanyakan pemimpin muslim "takut" even mendekati ke gereja kerana blogspot sekarang lebih tajam dari mata pena. tun dulu mengunjungi pope john paul dulu pun dah hampir dianggap keluar agama oleh sesetengah pihak yang tak bertanggungjawab.

kalimah "Allah" amat berleluasa digunakan di Malaysia Timur Sabah/Sarawak terutamanya sembayang hari minggu digereja-gereja yang gunakan bahasa Malaysia walaupun jarang kedengaran disemenanjung Malaysia. Disemenanjung Malaysia, majoriti Gerejanya ialah bersembayang dalam bahasa English, Chinese dan Tamil maka memang kalimah "Allah" jarang atau tidak disebut langsung kerana masyarakat Kristian hanyalah terdiri dari kaum cina dan India.

Sejak zaman british, rakyat sabah/sarawak yang beragama Kristian menggunakan kalimah "Allah" dalam bahasa melayu tanpa ada kontroversi dan ini bukanlah baru dipraktikkan sekarang melainkan sudah ratusan tahun dipakai guna. isu tersebut tidak timbul di sabah sarawak.

harap kerajaan bijak menangani isu ini...

By [Zul Abdul Rahman](#)  on [January 7, 2010 2:34 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum warahmatullahi wabaralkatuh to everyone and Salam Sejahtera to my non-muslim fellow friend, Salam hormat to Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad & Tun Siti Hasmah, Salam hormat and Salam penuh kasih dan sayang to everyone,

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

"ALLAH"

لا إله إلا الله محمد رسول الله

"La ilaha illAllah Muhammad rasul Allah".

"There is no god but Allah Muhammad is the prophet (rasul) of Allah, [1*]

KONTROVERSI KEGUNAAAAN KALIMAH "ALLAH"

[Quote: By Dr.Mahathir Mohamad on January 5, 2010.]

Alhamdulillah, Tun Dr.Mahathir telah mengupas melalui pendapat beliau dengan sedaya upayanya dan dengan yang sebaik mungkin kepada kita bagi mudah untuk kita fahami. Dengan nada yang tenang dan tegas, Tun Dr.Mahathir, sebagai seorang muslim dengan penuh rasa bertanggungjawab, juga telah tampil kehadapan bagi memberikan pandangan sekaligus pandangan kepada kefahaman yang merangkumi aspek yang menyeluruh bagi negara yang mempunyai masyarakat berbilang bangsa, negara yang berbagai-bagai agama dan anutan, negara yang makmur, negara yang berada di bawah dua lindungan angin besar 'The land below the wind', yang dilingkungi dengan banjaran-banjaran gunung-ganang pelembut dan pemecah angin, banjaran-banjaran yang diam dan tiada mengancam dengan letupan volcano, negara yang dirahmati, negara Tanah Malaysia yang sangat kita sayangi ini, dengan rasa syukur dan rendah diri, serendah-rendah kepayahan hati, marilah kita semua bersama-sama berlapang dada, bersama-sama dengan pemerintah dan pemimpin-pemimpin negara kita, memberikan pandangan dengan sebaik-baik pandangan, bersama-samalah menjernihkan keadaan ini bersama-sama, insyaAllah,

"ALLAH" in the SYAHADAH

لا إله إلا الله محمد رسول الله

Marilah kita semua sama-sama memahami 2 kalimah Syahadah ini. Syahadah: 'The First of The Five Pillars of Islam'. Syahadah is the Muslim profession of faith, expressing the two (2) simple kalimah, fundamental beliefs that make one a Muslim.

The 1st Syahadah by accepting (have faith) and believe there is no God but Allah, then the 2nd Syahadah by accepting (have faith) and believe Muhammad is the prophet (rasul) of Allah, wajib kita kepercayaan kepada kedua-dua kalimah Syahadah ini, yakin dengan sebenar-benar keyakinan, yakin dengan teguh hati dan tiada lagi berpaling-paling,

maka dengan itu, bagi sekalian mereka yang telah mahu menerima "ALLAH", maka sudilah menerima bagi kedua-duanya kalimah Syahadah ini, maka sudilah menerima bahwa Muhammad adalah Rasul Allah yang terakhir, maka sudilah merenung kembali kepada kitab Al-Quran, maka bersetujulah bahwa Al-Quran adalah kitab akhir zaman yang telah dibawa oleh Nabi Muhammad Rasul ALLAH,

KONTROVERSI KEGUNAAAAN KALIMAH "ALLAH"

Marilah juga sama-sama kita merenung, bertanya dan memikirkan, apakah tidak pernah terlintas di hati kita untuk bertanya 'Siapakah Kristian?'

Penganut Agama Kristian terdiri daripada banyak pecahan-pecahannya. Definasi kepada pecahan-pecahan kepelbagaian yang dimaksudkan itu adalah pecahan-pecahan melalui perbezaan-perbezaan pendapat maka oleh kerana itu maka timbullah Kristian Katolik, 'Kristian Protestant', 'New Testament', 'Old Testament/Hebrew Bible' dan semuanya adalah pecahan-pecahan melalui perbezaan pendapat untuk membahaskan ajaran yang telah dibawa oleh Nabi Isa ‘alaihis

salam(a.s). Nabi Isa (a.s) diterjemahkan sebagai 'Jesus' didalam 'Holy Bible' [2*, Mark Hamilton],

PERBEZAAN PENDAPAT

Siapa Kristian?

[Quote: Wikipedia] 'The issue of "who is a Christian?" can be very controversial. Christians often disagree over this issue due to their differences in opinion on spiritual matters. It is not possible to provide a statement that all readers may agree with, therefore, a definition will not be provided. Even very traditional doctrines such as the belief that Jesus Christ is God, or that God consists of the Father, Son, and Spirit, are not common to people who claim Christianity as their religion.'

Many Christians choose to go to church. A majority practicing Christians believe this to be a sign of their religious devotion to God and an act of worship. However, some Christian groups think that one can be a Christian without ever going to a church. Though there are many different viewpoints on the issue, most Protestants believe all Christians are part of the spiritual church of Christ, whether or not those Christians go to an actual church each week. On the other hand, Catholics believe that the Holy Catholic Church is the only true church.'

–[3*, Wikipedia, further reading: <http://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christian>]

[Quote: Dr.Mahathir Mohamad on January 5, 2010:

6. Dalam kitab Injil, Jesus (Isa) dan God adalah sama. Tidak ada kalimah Allah dalam kitab Injil dalam bahasa-bahasa yang disebut di atas.]

Perbezaan-perbezaan pendapat bahawa siapa Kristian? apakah ajarannya? Siapa Jesus atau Yesus ini dicetuskan hasil mula daripada perbezaan pendapat yang telah ditulis di dalam penulisan 'The Gospel of Barnabas'. [4*, Ragg, L&L]. Barnabas [5*, Cross,F.L] adalah yang paling hampir dengan Jesus, Yesus atau Nabi Isa (a.s) yang telah menulis 'The life of Jesus' [4*Ragg, L&L],

Perbezaan-perbezaan pendapat itu kemudiannya pula menjurus kepada penafian bahwa, Nabi Isa (a.s) ada menyebut tentang Nabi Muhammad (Ahmad, Muhammad, Mohamed) Rasul ALLAH (s.a.w). (Barnabas 97:9-10), Kemudiannya berlaku lagi akan perbezaan-perbezaan pendapat diantara Paul dan Barnabas [6*, Wiegers, Joosten, Ragg L&L] dan sebagainya.

Jikaulah mahu kita perbincangkan disini, maka akan timbul pula kesangsian kepada penulisan-penulisan 'The Gospel of Barnabas', Barnabas. Maka marilah kita merujuk kepada perbezaan-perbezaan kepada kesemua kitab-kitab yang ada iani kita Taurat, Zabur dan Injil, dan apabila kita perbincangkan, maka akhirnya kita akan dibawa kepada kitab Al-Quran, iani kitab akhir zaman yang disampaikan oleh ALLAH kepada Nabi Muhammad Rasul ALLAH (s.a.w) melalui malaikat Jibril [Gabriel (Arab: جبريل, Inggris dan Holy Bible: Gabrie] (Malaikat Jibril : Surah Al Baqarah ayat 97-98 dan At Tahrir ayat 4).

"ALLAH"

"La ilaha illAllah Muhammad rasul Allah".

"There is no god but Allah Muhammad is the prophet (rasul) of Allah, [1*]

Keseluruhan perbezaan-perbezaan dan kepincangan ini adalah persoalan tentang 'akidah' dan kepercayaan. Akidah Umat Nabi Muhammad Rasul Allah (s.a.w) (iani Umat Islam, muslim) mengucap dua kalimah Syahadah iani 'Tiada tuhan selain Allah, Muhammad itu Rasul Allah'. Dengan pengertian Syahadah ini jelaslah bahwa ALLAH adalah Esa (Satu), dan tiada tuhan selain ALLAH, [Q.S: Al-Ikhlâs, Ayat 1-4], manakala bagi kepercayaan penganut Agama Kristian pula menyatakannya sebaliknya didalam konsep 'trinity', iani God the father, God the son and the Holy Ghost.

[Quote: By Dr.Mahathir Mohamad on January 5, 2010:

'9. Tetapi dalam agama Kristian terdapat konsep "Trinity" yang mana terdapat "God the Father, God the Son and the Holy Ghost". Jika dalam bahasa Melayu terdapat dalam kitab Injil atau syarahan berkenaan "Allah sebagai Bapak, Allah sebagai Anak dan Roh yang Suci", maka tentulah ini akan ditentang oleh orang Islam. Dalam Islam Allah tidak ada bapak, tidak ada anak. Ia tidak dilahirkan dan Ia tidak melahirkan sesiapa. Allah hanya satu. Ia tidak boleh disekutu dengan sesiapa.]

Perbezaan "ALLAH" di antara Umat Nabi Muhammad Rasul Allah (s.a.w) dan Penganut Agama Kristian adalah dari segi akidah, iani Umat Nabi Muhammad percaya kepada 'Tiada Tuhan selain Allah', dengan tidak menyekutukan ALLAH, iani dengan tidak menduakan ALLAH, manakala Penganut Agama Kristian percaya dengan konsep 'Trinity', iani 'God the father, God the son and the Holy Ghost', disebut di dalam kitab 'bible' bahasa melayu iani "Allah sebagai Bapak, Allah sebagai Anak dan Roh yang Suci",

"ALLAH"

لا إِلَهَ إِلَّا اللَّهُ مُحَمَّدٌ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ

"ALLAH" in the SYAHADAH

لَهُ مُحَمَّدٌ رَسُولُ اللَّهِ لَا إِلَهَ إِلَّا هُوَ

Marilah kita semua sama-sama memahami 2 kalimah Syahadah ini,

The 1st Syahadah by accepting (have faith) and believe there is no God but Allah, then the 2nd Syahadah by accepting (have faith) and believe Muhammad is the prophet (rasul) of Allah,

Wajib bagi kita kepercayaan kepada kedua-dua kalimah Syahadah ini, yakin dengan sebenar-benar keyakinan, yakin dengan teguh hati dan tiada lagi berpaling-paling,

maka dengan itu, bagi sekalian mereka yang telah mahu menerima "ALLAH", maka sudilah menerima bagi kedua-duanya kalimah Syahadah, maka sudilah menerima bahwa Nabi Muhammad adalah Rasul Allah yang terakhir, maka sudilah merenung kembali kepada kitab Al-Quran,

maka bersetujulah bahwa Al-Quran adalah kitab akhir zaman yang telah dibawa oleh Nabi Muhammad Rasul ALLAH,

Sama-samalah kita semua saling bantu-membantu, bacalah Al-Quran [7*] dengan penuh perasaan cinta, kasih sayang dan dengan air mata, jikalau ada kesalahan-kesalahan fakta mahupun ada seandainya terlebih bicara, maka dimohon akan kemaafan dan dimohon agar kita berlapanglah dada dan memperbetulkannya dengan sebaiknya,

untuk itu, salam hormat, salam penuh kasih dan sayang kepada semuanya.

References and further reading:

[1*]

1.1: 'The First of The Five Pillars of Islam' as Syahadah, Kitab Al-Quran.

[2*]

2.1: "From Hebrew Bible to Christian Bible" by Mark Hamilton on PBS's site From Jesus to Christ: The First Christians.

2.2: Halpern, B. the First Historians: The Hebrew Bible. Harper & Row, 1988, quoted in Smith, Mark S. The early history of God: Yahweh and the other deities in ancient Israel. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co.; 2nd ed., 2002. ISBN 978-0802839725, p.14

[3*]

3.1: BBC - Religion & Ethics - Christianity. BBC. Retrieved on 11 July 2008.]

3.2: <http://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christian>

[4*]

4.1: Ragg, L & L (1907). The Gospel of Barnabas. Oxford. xiv. [3]

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gospel_of_Barnabas

[5*]

5.1: "Barnabas." Cross, F. L., ed. The Oxford dictionary of the Christian church. New York: Oxford University Press. 2005]

[6*]

6.1: Wiegers, G.A. (April-June 1995). "Muhammad as the Messiah: A comparison of the polemical works of Juan Alonso with the Gospel of Barnabas". *Bibliotheca Orientalis* LII (3/4): 274.

6.2. Joosten, Jan (January 2002). "The Gospel of Barnabas and the Diatessaron". *Harvard Theological Review* 95 (1): 73–96.

[7*]

7.1: Al-Quran. Iqra' - 'read', bacalah.

By bangsaMSIA  on [January 7, 2010 1:36 PM](#)

Salam Tun.

1. It was reported in an English tabloid today that, "Editor: Church agrees 'out of love for the nation'." If indeed the church really 'loves the nation' as claimed, then it would NOT have started this controversy in the first place! The church would, out of 'love for the nation', from the very onset considered the feelings of muslims in this country and not capitalize on the fragile political situation to its advantage...so what 'love for the nation' is the church talking about...its all crap, satanic, full of deceit and hypocrisy in its elements..and just because the Sabahans and the Sarawakians have been using the term ALLAH there for years doesnt make it right, doesnt make

it ok for the term to be used at all by non-Muslims or here in Peninsula Malaysia...then again...u Christians cannot really distinguish between right or wrong can u?

2. As for PAS declaring that its ok for non-muslims to use the kalimah ALLAH...itu ikut suka dia lah..PAS adalah parti yang sanggup menjual maruah agama demi kepentingan parti demi kepentingan dunia. Ia adalah sebuah parti yang sesat dan menyesatkan..They are not and cannot be taken seriously for all intents and purposes. Pendirian mereka sentiasa berubah-ubah dari semasa ke semasa. Satu ketika dulu bermati-matian dia dok tuduh UMNO kapiak kufuk sb terbaik dengan MCA dan MIC...tak boleh berimamkan imam UMNO, tak bolih solatkan jenazah orang UMNO, taghut dan berbagai-bagai tuduhan liar yang meliarkan. Sekarang tengok, buntut DAP yang menentang agenda perjuangannya dia dok cium...halal...sama sama pegang lilin macamlah orang-orang Kristian orang Yahudi...halal. Bukankah Nabi dah berpesan jangan kita tiru cara hidup orang Yahudi dan Nasrani! Bodoh sangat ke PAS ni? bebai sangat ke parti ni? Inilah dia sebuah parti yang surga neraka dalam tangannya pangkah pas surga pangkah umno neraka...gemar menghalalkan yang haram mengharamkan yang halal ikut suka dia...sekarang tengoklah siapa yang termakan perangkap sendiri...sape yang kapir siapa yang kufur siapa yang sebenar taghut! Sape yang sebenarnya munafiqun! Siapa yang subahat!

3. As for PKR, its has been and will always be a party of opportunists, headed by an opportunist and opportunistic in leadership and perpective. Saya nak tanya apanama ni...si Azimat PKR ni...adakah dengan beberapa ayat yang dikemukakan yang jelas TIDAK langsung menyatakan bahawa Islam membenarkan penggunaan kalimah 'Allah, memadai di halalkan dan dema ni pulak yang menghalalkan penggunaan kalimah tersebut dikalangan orang-orang bukan Islam? Bukankah jugak di zaman Nabi, rata2 org kafir musyrik amat gemar mengejek2 Nabi mempermain2kan mempersendakan Islam termasuklah kalimah Allah itu sendiri..kita nak biar bende yang sama berlaku di negara kita ke? gila ke PKR ni? Tapi tak peliklah, dia mesti liberal, dalam parti tu banyak orang-orang bukan Islam yang menyokong agenda parti keparat ni...

4. Hujah yang mengatakan bahawa kalimah tersebut di gunakan di negara2 Arab berpuluh2 tahun sebagaimana seorang penulis - A Rahman kalau tak salah, dalam blog Malaysiakini laknatullah tu ada kata, antara lain, bahawa kawan2 dia di beberapa negara Arab gelak ketawa membaca bantahan orang2 Islam di Malaysia terhadap penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh orang Kristian dan bahawa Malaysia ni seolah-olah lebih teruk dari negara2 yang dilabelkan sebagai pengganas...buka mata anda sebesar mungkin dan tengok sendiri betapa bergolaknya bumi Arab dari dulu hinggalah kini dengan pepecahan, pembunuhan, peperangan, penindasan sesama sendiri dan oleh regim Zionis laknatullah yang tidak berkesudahan...mungkinkah ini satu bala ALLAHswt kepada satu kaum yang menyamakan ALLAH swt dengan ALLAH agama lain..na'uzubillah.. Begitu juga di Indonesia, bala demi bala malapetaka demi malapetak dengan gempa buminya, banjir besarnya gunung berapinya masalah ekonomi sosial dan lain2 di sebuah negara di mana ALLAHswt di samakan dengan ALLAH kristian ...kalimah Allah di gunakan oleh orang-orang Kristian berleluasa..na'uzubillah...tapi anda A Rahman...orang yang berpendidikan tinggi, intelek, kuat iman dsbgnya sb itulah anda berani mengatakan apa yang anda telah perkatakan..bagi saya, anda seorang yang amat cetek dan kolot pemikirannya..

5. Back to the rc church..back off ... leave us Muslims alone ... u want to worship your three gods and continue to lie about Jesus Christ so be it but leave us muslims to decide for ourselves our future our destiny..our ALLAHswt.

bangsa MSIA Inc

By Baiyuensheng  on [January 7, 2010 1:31 PM](#)

Rentap80 wrote:

[["No: Abraham in truth was not a Jew, neither a Christian; but he was a Muslim and one pure of faith; certainly he was never of the idolators." (III - The House of Imran: 60)

"O believers, take not as your friends those of them, who were given the Book before you, and the unbelievers, who take your religion in mockery and as a sport..." (V: The Table: 60)

"O believers, take not Jews and Christians as friends; they are friends of each other. Whoso of you makes them his friends is one of them..." (V: The Tables: 55)

- Melayu Sarawak -]]

Well my fellow Sarawakian,

You are probably more knowledgeable than those from The Islamic Society of North America (ISNA).....sigh

By Rentap80  on [January 7, 2010 1:24 PM](#)

Ekompute wrote:

[Hi Rentap80, can you explain to me what al-Quran, Surah 3:3-4 says? Maybe my understanding of it is warped, or at least one of us.]

Ok, so we have:

"ALLAH is HE besides Whom there is none worthy of worship, the Living, the Self-Subsisting and All-Sustaining. HE has sent down to thee the Book containing the truth and fulfilling that which precedes it; and HE has sent down the Torah (Law of Moses) and the Gospel (of Jesus) before this, as a guidance to the people; and HE has sent down the Discrimination (judgement between right and wrong)." —Qur'an, Surah 3:3-4

But the thing is this, the Gospel that you have is not the Gospel of Jesus.... they are Gospels according to Mark, Gospels according to Luke, Gospels according to John etc. I think your mind have been seriously "warped" by St Paul who was a lawyer and a Roman pagan.

So do you get it or not?... that what you have as religion is remotely far from my Islam. For example your Jesus which you declare to be God can never be my Jesus because my Jesus is not God. Now, for centuries you have gotten away with that name so this time you are not going to get away with "Allah."

- Melayu Sarawak -

By Kelly  on [January 7, 2010 1:14 PM](#)

Tun Peace be unto you,

This is the phrase that Jesus the Messiah saith to his disciples when he met them before he was ascended to his Father. I hope you can accept it from me too.

I have read much of the comments and I find the comments by one 'ilah din' to be seemingly from a person who has read much of the Scripture and the Quran. Of course some of his comments I would differ with but most I would feel to be truthful. But that is another matter.

With regard to point no. 6 "Dalam Kitab Injil, Jesus(Isa) dan God adalah sama. Tidak ada kalimah Allah dalam Kitab Injil dalam bahasa-bahasa yang disebut diatas.", this is not necessarily true. What I mean is, there are verses in the Kitab Injil (Gospel) where Jesus specifically said he and God (Father) are different and that the Father (God) is his God and that he is sent from God. As example, the Gospel of John 20:17 "Jesus saith unto her (Mary), Touch me not; for I am not yet ascended to my Father; but go to my brethren, and say unto them, I ascend unto my Father, and your Father; and to my God, and your God.". Then in John 20:21 "Then said Jesus to them (the disciples) again, Peace be unto you: as my Father hath sent me, even so send I you." I believe that is enough proof that point no. 6 is flawed. Not all Christians take Jesus as God nor believe in the Trinity. I beseech all God-fearing people (Jews, Christian, Muslims etc) please read the Holy Scripture as enjoined by our God. Read them with right reading and thou shalt find the truth. Let God judges us of things where we differ. That is His and so let it be His alone.

With regard to the word "Allah". I find this controversy to be somewhat pointless and yet disturbing. So I have no choice but to give my comments on this also. The first question I would ask is "Why did God reveals Himself to mankind?". I feel part of the answer to be so that mankind would exalts Him, praise Him, mention His name oft-times etc etc. Much remembrance of our God and much mentioning of His name is basic to what God ask us to do. So the question arise, 'Why do we want to stop people from mentioning the name of Allah?' Is not that (mentioning the name of Allah) what God wants us to do? Ponder on this if you be God-fearing. As 'ilah din' said "Allah is God for all minkind, not for Muslims only". As example, in the Quran Surah Al-Maeda verse 69: "Lo! those who believe, and those who are Jews, and Sabaeans, and Christians - whosoever believeth in Allah and the Last Day and doeth right - there shall no fear come upon them neither shall they grieve." Now in Surah Al-Haj verse 60: "... Did not Allah check one set of people by means of another, there would surely have been pulled down monasteries, churches, synagogues, and mosques, in which the name of Allah is commemorated in abundant measure....". So now, the question will be, 'Do we fear God or do we fear man?' In the Gospel, it is mentioned "...Fear not man who can kill your body but rather fear God (the Father) who can kill both your body and your soul..." Another question is 'If Allah said in the Quran that His name of mentioned often in the churches, synagogues, monasteries etc, who are we to stop people mentioning His name there?. Are you one who aids Allah in His cause or are we one who try to impede it. Ponder on this carefully if thou be God-fearing people. Do not be like the Pharisees and the scribes. Do we fear God or do we fear the imaginary chaos that we put up as reasons to be unjust?.

Tun, I thank thee for the space given me to express my opinion. It is mine alone and will answer for it here and in the hereafter. But I wish to add, easy it is to be a follower of mammon, difficult it is to be a true follower of Jesus the Son of man. We have to love our fellows unconditionally even if they hurt us much. This is the mark of the true follower of Jesus the Messiah whose Kingdom is in Heaven. We cannot look at a woman with lusts for fear of committing adultery. And we have to pray in secret (even in a closet) for fear that we be praying to be seen of men. But if we love Jesus as much as he has loved us, this is light burden indeed. Fear not for God

choose His own. Those that are not of His will be gathered at the Harvest as the tares are gathered and will be burned. If thou be a reader of the Gospel thou understand.

Peace be unto you, Tun

By loveoneanother  on [January 7, 2010 1:11 PM](#)

dear Maniz,

Christian never pray to three Gods as written by you. Never.

-->'Allah' dalam kristian rc adalah salah satu dari 3 tuhan sebagaimana konsep 'trinity'.

i doubt that u understand deeply the trinity concept when u was a Christian. i'm no theologian scholar but i have my own understanding of it. for me, it is 3 entities dwell in one. its not 3 separate entities. well, that's what i understand.

then again, regarding this issue, it has cost lot to the peaceful relationship between Christian-Islam in Malaysia.

i firmly believe in my belief and have great confidence in it. i know the word Allah since i was small. during my younger days at school, i know that the Muslims use it too. the thing is i never get confuse of it in term of the usage for i know where my ground is.

God loves you and me. be blessed.

By 6 Jahanam  on [January 7, 2010 12:53 PM](#)

Allah is the name of everybody,s God.

Not just humans,animals,plant,the whole universe belongs to ALLAH.

Use it not abuse it.

Nothing wrong,nor the Muslim be against it.

But what I don,t understand Why the need to go to Court.

As if this religion is made by men as in the
Law OF Justice,its Human Law made by Men.

Today this,Tomorrow that.

Or is there any political agenda,foreign influence,or what....

This needs a dig up, and transparency.

By The Hidden Secret  on [January 7, 2010 12:17 PM](#)

Salamunalaykum Tun and others.

Malaysian Muslims thought that the word “ALLAH” is exclusive for them and it exclusively refers to the god of the Muslims. Whether the Malaysian Muslims like it or not, these are facts about the word ALLAH :-

1. Perkataan ALLAH digunakan juga oleh orang-orang yang menolak ajaran Nabi Muhammad [6:91].

2. Perkataan ALLAH digunakan juga oleh orang-orang Kristian Arab dari sebelum Nabi Muhammad sehinggalah sekarang. Kita boleh membaca sendiri secara online Arabic Bible ini dan lihat sendiri perkataan “ALLAH” ini yang ditulis di dalam tulisan Arab.

<http://www.arabicbible.com/>

3. Perkataan ALLAH digunakan juga oleh orang-orang Kristian Indonesia. Banyak program-program TV Kristian disiarkan di Indonesia sempena hari Natal. Kita boleh lihat penggunaan perkataan ALLAH di dalam program-program TV ini.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Ft4Rp96jW0>

3. ALLAH (di dalam bahasa Arab) = TUHAN (di dalam Bahasa Melayu) = GOD (di dalam bahasa English).

4. ALLAH bukannya nama khusus untuk tuhan orang-orang Islam. ALLAH adalah perkataan Arab bagi perkataan TUHAN. Sebab itulah orang-orang Arab yang menentang Nabi Muhammad [6:91] serta orang-orang Kristian Arab juga menggunakan perkataan ALLAH.

5. Penggunaan perkataan ALLAH bukanlah sesuatu yang eksklusif untuk orang-orang Islam sahaja. Sesiapa sahaja boleh menggunakan perkataan ALLAH. Pernah atau tidak Nabi Muhammad, Abu Bakar, Umar, Uthman dan Ali melarang orang-orang yang tidak mahu menerima Quran dan orang-orang Kristian Arab dari menggunakan perkataan ALLAH? Adakah kerajaan Mesir, kerajaan Iran serta kerajaan negara-negara Arab lain melarang rakyat mereka yang beragama Kristian daripada menggunakan perkataan ALLAH? Pernah atau tidak kerajaan Indonesia melarang rakyat mereka yg beragama Kristian daripada menggunakan perkataan ALLAH?

6. Memang benar perkataan “ALLAH” tidak terdapat di dalam kitab asal Taurat atau Injil kerana KITAB ASAL TAURAT DAN INJIL DITURUNKAN ATAU DITULIS DI DALAM BAHASA HEBREW DAN ARAMAIC, BUKANNYA DI DALAM BAHASA ARAB. Akan tetapi, perkataan “ALLAH” terdapat di dalam Old Testament dan New Testament yang telah diterjemahkan ke dalam Bahasa Arab. Perkataan “ALLAH” terdapat di dalam Quran kerana perkataan “ALLAH” ialah perkataan Arab dan Quran diturunkan atau ditulis didalam bahasa Arab. Orang-orang Kristian dan Yahudi yang bukan berbangsa Arab bertutur di dalam bahasa ibunda mereka sendiri dan mereka tidak menggunakan perkataan Arab "ALLAH" apabila mereka bertutur di dalam bahasa ibunda mereka, akan tetapi, perkataan "ALLAH" digunakan juga di dalam Quran apabila merujuk kepada pertuturan mereka. Ini adalah kerana Quran ditulis di dalam Bahasa Arab, oleh yang demikian perkataan Arab "ALLAH" (Arabic) digunakan bukannya "YAHWEH" (Hebrew) ataupun "ALAH" (Aramaic). Contoh seperti di bawah :-

[6:91] THEY NEVER VALUE GOD AS HE SHOULD BE VALUED. Thus, THEY SAID, "GOD (ALLAH in Arabic text) does not reveal anything to any human being." Say, "Who then revealed the scripture that Moses brought, with light and guidance for the people?" You put it down on paper to proclaim it, while concealing a lot of it. You were taught what you never knew - you and your parents. Say, "GOD (is the One who revealed it)," then leave them in their heedlessness, playing.

[2:116] THEY (THE CHRISTIANS) SAID, "GOD (ALLAH in Arabic text) has begotten a son!" Be He glorified; never! To Him belongs everything in the heavens and the earth; all are subservient to Him.

[5:18] THE JEWS and the CHRISTIANS SAID, "We are GOD's (ALLAH in Arabic text) children and His beloved." Say, "Why then does He punish you for your sins? You are just humans like the other humans He created." He forgives whomever He wills and punishes whomever He wills. To ALLAH belongs the sovereignty of the heavens and the earth, and everything between them, and to Him is the final destiny.

[9:30] The JEWS said, "Ezra is the son of GOD (ALLAH in Arabic text)," while THE CHRISTIANS SAID, "Jesus is the son of GOD! (ALLAH in Arabic text)" These are blasphemies uttered by their mouths. They thus match the blasphemies of those who have disbelieved in the past. ALLAH condemns them. They have surely deviated.

[10:68] THEY (THE CHRISTIANS) SAID, "GOD (ALLAH in Arabic text) has begotten a son!" Be He glorified. He is the Most Rich. To Him belongs everything in the heavens and everything on earth. You have no proof to support such a blasphemy. Are you saying about GOD what you do not know?

God of the Arabic Bible is called "ALLAH". I do not think you believe that there is a god for the English Bible and another god for the Arabic Bible and a third for the Italian bible...etc. It would be too naive. There is only one GOD. His "name" in English is GOD, in French: UN DIEU, in Italian: DIO, in German: GOTT, in Spanish: DIOS, in Portuguese: DEUS, in Arabic: ALLAH, in Aramaic : ALAHA and in Malay : TUHAN.

All these are no more than the names of GOD in different languages. To this day the Christian Arabs pray to ALLAH and talk about ALLAH. They called Him ALLAH even before The Quran was revealed to Muhammad and they know they were not worshipping any moon god. Those who still speak Jesus language (Aramaic/syriac) call God ALAHA to this day like Jesus did. Jesus did not pray to a moon god but to ALAHA (ALLAH in Arabic), the One and Only God.

The Arabic Bible, use the word ALLAH for God.

If you want to verify it, call any Arabic church in the USA or any English speaking country and ask them what they call GOD in their Arabic Bible and whether they still use the word ALLAH in their Bible or not. You may even check it yourself from the online Arabic Bible.

Jewish Arabs also pray to ALLAH and talk about ALLAH just like an English person talks about GOD.

Apakah perasaan orang Islam apabila "God is dead" diganti dengan "Allah is dead"?

Bagaimanakah perasaan orang Islam apabila seseorang menyebut "God is dead"?

There is something wrong with the Muslims if they are sensitive when someone said "Allah is dead" but not sensitive when someone said "God is dead" as both have the same meaning and refer to the same GOD/TUHAN/ALLAH.

GOD bless.

By maniz  on [January 7, 2010 12:02 PM](#)

Salam YABhg. Tun.

Saya ada satu cerita.

Cerita mengenai diri saya sendiri.

Saya seorang mualaf dari Sabah yang sebelumnya beragama kristian katolik (Roman Catholic - RC). Penganut kristian rc merupakan kumpulan yang memohon menggunakan kalimah Allah dalam penerbitan mereka. Salah seorang pemimpin atasan kumpulan tersebut pula berasal dari Sabah.

Saya sembahyang di gereja hampir setiap hari Ahad sejak kecil hingga berumur 22 tahun. Dalam gereja penggunaan kalimah Allah dalam percakapan dan penulisan adalah lumrah. Cuma sebutannya sahaja yang berbeza iaitu 'a-lah'.

Saya membaca kitab injil terjemahan Bahasa Melayu Indonesia. Dalam kitab tersebut juga terdapat kalimah Allah yang merujuk tuhan bapa. Ia adalah berdasarkan kepercayaan 'trinity' dalam rc iaitu terdapat Allah (tuhan bapa), jesus/yesus (tuhan anak) dan rohul kudus (roh kudus (dalam BI disebut sebagai holy spirit bukan holy ghost)).

Malah semasa membuat 'tanda salib' menggunakan tangan bermula di dahi, dada dan kedua belah bahu mereka akan menyebut "atas nama bapa, dan putera, dan roh kudus" (in the name of the father, and the son, and the holy spirit).

Dalam konteks Islam Allah adalah Tuhan Yang Maha Esa. Tiada tuhan yang disembah melainkan Allah. Tidak terdapat istilah tuhan bapa. Tiada juga tuhan anak melainkan Nabi Isa pesuruh Allah. Dan tiada roh kudus melainkan Malaikat Jibril.

Ini jelas menunjukkan 'Allah' mengikut kepercayaan kristian rc bukan Allah Yang Maha Esa yang disembah orang Islam. 'Allah' dalam kristian rc adalah salah satu dari 3 tuhan sebagaimana konsep 'trinity'.

Surah Al-Ikhlâs : (Katakanlah) wahai Muhammad "(Tuhanku) ialah Allah Yang Maha Esa; Allah yang menjadi tumpuan segala makhluk untuk memohon sebarang hajat; Ia tiada beranak dan ia pula tidak diperanakkan; Dan tiada sesiapaupun yang serupa denganNya."

Persoalannya adakah kalimah Allah hak milik Islam secara total?

Adakah anda pernah mendengar kalimah Allah dituturkan oleh pelakon-pelakon dalam drama/filem asing terutama sekali hollywood? Kecuali watak-watak yang memperlekehkan Islam seperti orang Arab yang digambarkan sebagai pengganas, memang tidak pernah mendengar kalimah Allah kecuali perkataan 'god', 'jesus christ', 'jehovah', 'yahweh' dan kata-kata pujian seperti 'halleluyah/hellelujah'.

Sekiranya terdapat kalimah Allah dalam kitab injil pasti sesekali kita terdengar pelakon-pelakon hollywood terutama sekali yang melakonkan watak pope (ketua paderi), paderi dan seumpamanya akan menyebut kalimah Allah. Ironinya, ia tidak pernah berlaku.

Ini menyokong tulisan YABhg. Tun bahawa kalimah Allah tidak terdapat dalam mana-mana kitab melainkan Al-Quranul Karim. Ini jelas menunjukkan kalimah Allah memang milik Islam secara total.

Bagaimanakah kalimah Allah boleh wujud dalam kitab injil terjemahan Bahasa Melayu Indonesia? Dan bagaimanakah kristian rc terutamanya di Sabah boleh menggunakan kalimah Allah?

Allahualam.

Bagi blogger yang membaca tulisan ini, fahamilah sesuatu isu sebelum membincangkannya. Sebab saya dapati ramai orang termasuk yang berpendidikan dalam bidang agama tidak menyedari 2 senario yang saya bincangkan. Mereka beranggapan penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh kristian rc di Malaysia adalah isu baru yang sengaja diadakan untuk mencabar orang Islam di Malaysia masa kini.

Hakikatnya kalimah Allah telah lama digunakan oleh mereka sejak bertahun lama dahulu.

Mengakhiri cerita saya; SIAPA LAGI YANG PERLU MEMPERTAHANKAN ISLAM MELAINKAN ORANG ISLAM ITU SENDIRI. Tanpa mengambil kira perbezaan fahaman mazhab, politik, bangsa dan seumpamanya, marilah kita sama-sama BERJIHAD MEMPERTAHANKAN KALIMAH ALLAH SWT. Wassalam.

By ekompute  on [January 7, 2010 11:36 AM](#)

Hi Rentap80, can you explain to me what al-Quran, Surah 3:3-4 says? Maybe my understanding of it is warped, or at least one of us.

By Rentap80  on [January 7, 2010 11:18 AM](#)

Baiyuensheng wrote:

[The Qur'an is quite explicit that Muslims worship the same God recognized by Christians. The Qur'an commands Muslims to declare that the God they worship and the one worshiped by the followers of revealed books, including Christians, is one: "... and say: We believe in that which has been revealed to us and revealed to you, and our God and your God is One, and to Him do we submit." (Qur'an 29:46)]

Please read this:

"No: Abraham in truth was not a Jew, neither a Christian; but he was a Muslim and one pure of faith; certainly he was never of the idolators." (III - The House of Imran: 60)

"O believers, take not as your friends those of them, who were given the Book before you, and the unbelievers, who take your religion in mockery and as a sport..." (V: The Table: 60)

"O believers, take not Jews and Christians as friends; they are friends of each other. Whoso of you makes them his friends is one of them..." (V: The Tables: 55)

- Melayu Sarawak -

By wajaperak  on [January 7, 2010 11:18 AM](#)

Semoga Di izinkan Tun..Terima Kasih..

William Wang

[[I see no controversy in using the word 'Allah', to either Muslim or christians.]]

There is..You just cannot tells the different from sand and silicon..

[[the word allah has no meaning to the faith & belief]]

Forgive me for being a speech therapist.But you should say..

According to me..In my opinion..I believe that..

Then..it must stay within your opinion..and stays like that..

Terima kasih Tun..

By abil2010@yahoo.com  on [January 7, 2010 10:59 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum,

isu nama ALLAH ini jelas menunjukan kerajaan yang ada ini dipimpin orang lemah. nampaknya selepas paklah lagi teruk kepimpinan kerajaan. tiada apa yang berubah melainkan kepimpinan. menteri2 pun sama juga.. yang bodoh dan tidak berakhlak masih lagi menjawab JAWATAN menteri. satu malaysia untuk apa? rasanya slogan potong..potong..potong akan ditukar kepada kalih...kalih..kalih.. untuk pru ke 13 nanti..hehe.. SAYANG ANAK TANGAN ..TANGANKAN... SAYA ,MELAYU MUNGKIN TINGGAL..TINGGALKAN UMNO TUN. T.KASIH TUN

By Numenclature  on [January 7, 2010 10:15 AM](#)

hi, just want to reply to khadijah,

Referring to your comment at the end about the 'rusuk' of Adam being used to make Eve(Hawa). Sorry, I express myself better in english so bear with me.

I'm no muslim so I'm not gonna pretend to know anything about what Islam says about it but I want to share the Christian perspective of this rib issue.

Khadijah expressed that the rib is used as an excuse for Jews to belittle their women. Actually its more like they missed the point, the significance of the location of the rib.

Eve was not made from a bone from the foot, because she was not to be a servant to Adam; she was not made from a bone from the head, because she was not to be the master of Adam either. The rib is located in the middle (torso) of the human so her position is to be his equal.

But there is more! I speculate that the rib came from the left side of the body (not sure because I can't read Hebrew) which is close to the heart! This means that a woman is to be dear to a man and the protector of his heart(integrity, self esteem, soul..insert appropriate word).

Also, the rib lies below the shoulder of a human, meaning a person can 'wrap his arms around himself(the ribcage)'. So while a woman is protecting the heart of her man, he in turn protects her with his arms(strength? Shelter?).

This is why Eve was not created from soil as was Adam, because God intended man and his woman (or woman and her man if you prefer) to not be two but a singular unit as they were from 'one flesh'. In fact, Adam upon seeing the woman who was to be his wife said, 'Here is flesh of my flesh, bone of my bone and she shall be called 'woman'(means 'of man'). How can you not love something of your own flesh? Just ask any mother. Adam had the privilege of being the only man to 'give birth' to another human! Without sex!lulz!

Its beautiful, utterly beautiful! Everything God does has such depth of meaning, so many levels of understanding beneath each little thing he does, it will take eternity to fathom. Thank God for the internet as now we can share with others the little revelations He gives to each of us. God I tell you has so much fun telling us just enough to make us hungry for more, and He doesn't mind making it more personalised for each of us. Ha Ha, what a wonderful God we have!

Well, speaking as an atheist who became a Christian via logical deduction(no offence intended to all those Christians who had supernatural experiences cause I envy you), I have to say it is very hard to confuse a muslim into becoming a Christian. Why? from my personal experience if you were to listen to a Christian talk about all their faith, you would call them nuts! You would be so scared you wanna run away! At face value it seems so threatening, it only becomes truth when you dig below for the real meaning. I have no idea why God did it in such a way, its kinda like having hidden folders and invisible files.

My guess is, its because He said, 'I have hidden Myself, but those who SEEK My face will find it.' So ya, unless you actively and consciously want to become a Christian, its impossible to be one, so please, my dear muslim friends, don't get so excited. Reading bible doesn't make you a Christian, going to church doesn't make you a Christian, listening to their songs of worship doesn't make you a Christian. Heck, even saying you are a christian will not make you a Christian! Just saying that Jesus is your Lord and Saviour will not make you a Christian. Praying to Jesus doesn't make you a Christian! We just raise our eyebrows and ask 'Oh yeah? Prove it!'

Only if you believe(in what? hehe, ask Him yourself) in your deepest soul, mind and heart can you become a Christian. Its that simple and its that hard. So all this issue of by what name we call our beloved God...I think we are just missing the point, just like the rib issue.

Oh my, I'm so sorry this post is soooo long. Haha, I guess I got too excited. Sorry Tun, I just hope you enjoyed reading this and it wasn't a bore.

By espada20  on [January 7, 2010 9:57 AM](#)

All Malaysian should "UNITE", live with "PEACE" & "HARMONY".
Love U Malaysia.....

By Triple A  on [January 7, 2010 9:53 AM](#)

Salam Tun

Saya mmg menyokong penuh (100%) dan kita sebagai org beragama Islam di Malaysia ini perlu bersatu-padu tanpa mengira bangsa atau fahaman politik dlm menangani masalah penggunaan kalimah "ALLAH" ini.

Di sini terletak kewibawaan dan kebijaksanaan Dato Seri Najib Tun Razak dan Majlis Raja-raja untuk menyelesaikan secara bijaksana tanpa ada pertumpahan darah atau ketegangan yg mana

mungkin berlaku bila2 masa atau pada zaman akan datang (Thn 2020 ke atas). Zaman itu di mana anak cucu kita akan menyalahkan kita atas sbb mengapa kita sekarang tidak bertindak mengguna kuasa politik dan kuasa raja2 terhadap kepentingan Agama Islam sbg agama rasmi kita.

Mengapa mereka (puak Herald) yg terlalu berminat ingin mengelirukan agama Islam sebagai agama rasmi dengan sengaja ingin menggunakan kalimah ALLAH. Jelas sekali seperti apa yg Tun M katakan perbezaan Tuhan dan ALLAH secara keseluruhannya amat berbeza antara agama Islam dan Kristian.

Dengan ini saya berharap agar kita semua ketepikan seketika segala fahaman politik kita demi mengukuhkan pegangan kita bahawa ALLAH hanya boleh digunapakai untuk org Islam di negara ini tanpa boleh dirunding atau digunapakai oleh puak2 yg berniat jahat (bukan Islam dlm agama mereka) dan mungkin mempunyai agenda memurtadkan anak bangsa kita kelak.
ALLAHU AKHBAR!!! (3kali)

Ingat lah wahai saudara/i islam saya semua, sekiranya kita hanya berpeluk tubuh dan tidak bersatu-padu dalam menyelesaikan perkara ini secepat mungkin maka nescaya Allah pasti akan menurunkan bala bencana kepada negara kita ini. Jangan biarkan org bukan Islam yg berniat jahat ini sewenang-wenangnya memperlakukan dan memainkan kalimah ALLAH ini. Gazet kan saya di parlimen bahawa larangan penggunaan kalimah "ALLAH" ini. Ingat lah kepada janji ALLAH kepada sesiapa yg mempertahankan agama ALLAH ini.

Wassalam

By art nuclear  on [January 7, 2010 9:51 AM](#)
asalamualaikum..

sy x bgus dlm agama sgt..tp sy x berapa setuju dgn komen islah din dengan pendapat menyatakan (Tuhan Allah itu adalah Tuhan semua manusia).. cuba baca surah al kafirun..ayat pertama bernaksud.1.katakanlah(wahai muhammad)wahai orng2 kafir. 2 aku tidak sembah apa yang kamu sembah. 3 dan kamu bukan penyembah-penyembah tuhan yang aku sembah. 4 dan aku bukannya penyembah tuhan yang kamu sembah. 5 dan kamu juga bukan penyembah-penyembah tuhan yang aku sembah. 6 untuk kamu agama kamu untukku agamaku.. sudah jelas bahawa Allah tuhan yang kita sembah bukanlah tuhan untuk org kafir..oleh itu Allah hanya boleh diguna oleh org islam.. klau digunakan oleh org kafir maknanya kita sembah tuhan yang sama padahal dalam surah ni berulang-ulang kali menyatakan kita tidak menyembah tuhan yang mereka sembah.. islah din menyatakan lagi bahawa (Cuma ada manusia yang tidak atau belum dapat menerima hakikat. Tuhan Allah bukan hanya milik orang Islam sahaja) surah al kafirun di atas menyatakan mmg Allah yang kita sembah hanya untuk org islam bkn untuk org kafir.. jd org kafir tidak layak menggunakan nama Allah sebagai tuhan mereka.. kerana tuhan kita berbeza.. sbb itu surah al-ikhlas guna Allah dan al kafirun guna tuhan.. sbb untuk membezakan tuhan yang kita sembah.. sy memang sngat x bersetuju org kafir guna nama Allah.. sy berpendapat tuhan Allah bukan hanya untuk semua manusia ia hanya untuk org islam jka mengikut surah al kafirun menyatakan berkali2 tentang tuhan org islam sembah tidak sama dengan tuhan org kafir..
wallahua`lam..

By Razor  on [January 7, 2010 9:50 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum dan salam sejahtera...

Let me explain why the word 'Allah' is only exclusive only for Islam. GOD is the anonymous word for any religion used to represent the entity that is most powerful and must be obey. If the word GOD is replace with Allah, sure it will come to this chaotic situation.

Allah is one of the 99 name (Asma ul Husna) for Allah in Islam. This Asma ul Husna mean good name and represent the Allah himself. If one of the holly name is use by the Catholic, it like playing with someone faith. This faith is not someone like us to play around.

Why not stick using the word GOD instead using word Allah? Why now? Why not when we declare the independent from British 50 years ago when Perlembagaan still in it construction? There are something fishy about this.

What we fear and concern is individual faith toward religion. Young people easily tend to distract by this so called issued by you all, and their faith is not strong enough to face the challenge in believing in religion.

Yes you all can say, there no forbiding using word Allah for any religion, but we what discussing now is in the context of present time situation. Nowadays, how many of our people apply to JPN (Jabatan Pendaftaran Negara) to change and convert to other religion? Ask JPN people. Then you will know why we bring so much pressure in this issue. Yes, it's their right to choose as they turn over 18th, but think of the implication of the action carefully. It will effect the future generation as they hard to find the light for their life. You can think, 'Ah! At that time moment, I had already go to heaven!'..But what you feel if you put yourself in their shoes? It will cause confusion and headache.

Let be GOD to be GOD of yours, and Allah to be Allah for muslim. Let not ask for such thing to use other GOD name to your publication. We already here harmony for 50 years, why must we take this harmony for our own sake not for future generation? Let end to this issue with solution that both side satisfy and become friendly again.

Like the time when Prophet Muhammad S.A.W. migrated to Madinah as He construct the 20 Piagam Madinah in order to make peace among people there, as the people there back time got Christian, Jews, Muslim, Majusi and others. They can live happily and worship their respective GOD without fear and they respect each others. If you to be respect, if you want your church to be respect, then, you must respect us also. If each other respect each other and understanding each other, the true peace will come forward.

I like to ask for your kindness, never bring again this issue. Let Allah only exclusive for Muslim. Ask for detail to our Ulama' or Ustaz as they are more wise and understand the concept of GOD name.

Peace to all, Praise to Allah....Assalamualaikum...

By Baiyuensheng  on [January 7, 2010 9:32 AM](#)

I quote for those mentally-challenge:

The Islamic Society of North America (ISNA) commends the landmark ruling by a Malaysian Court on December 31, 2009, that affirms the religious freedom of Malaysian Christians. The ruling asserts that Christians have the right to use the word “Allah” to translate “God” into Bahasa Melayu, the Malaysian language. It strikes down a government ban that was placed in 2007 on the use of the term in Christian literature.

The opponents of the use of the word Allah in reference to God have argued that the term “God” is usually translated by Malaysians who follow faiths other than Islam as “Tuhan” in Bahasa Melayu, not “Allah.” They insist that “Allah” should only be used to refer to God in Islam. This argument is contrary to both Islamic understanding and practice.

The Qur’an is quite explicit that Muslims worship the same God recognized by Christians. The Qur’an commands Muslims to declare that the God they worship and the one worshiped by the followers of revealed books, including Christians, is one: “... and say: We believe in that which has been revealed to us and revealed to you, and our God and your God is One, and to Him do we submit.” (Qur’an 29:46)

With regards to actual and historical practices, Christian Arabs have been using the word “Allah” to refer to God in their religious sources since the inception of Islam, and have never been challenged by private Muslims or Muslim governments on this ground. Islamic law is clear that followers of the Christian faith have the right to practice their religion according to their own religious teachings.

We call on the Malaysian government to uphold the religious freedom of Christians and to let the court ruling stand. We also urge Muslim NGOs to respect Islamic teachings and long-held Islamic traditions, and to withdraw their opposition to the use of the word “Allah” by their Christian compatriots.

Louay Safi, Director of Communications and Leadership Development

By [RA](#)  on [January 7, 2010 9:05 AM](#)

Salam hormat YBgh Tun,

1. Agak menghairankan saudara Islam dalam Parti Keadilan Rakyat (PKR) sepakat menyokong penggunaan Kalimah suci "Allah" dalam penebitan Herald-The Catholic Weekly dengan alasan-alasan yang begitu lemah sekali dari sudut pandangan Syariat Islam itu sendiri. Janganlah perjudikan agama Islam untuk mencari keuntungan politik kepartian. Banyak isu berkaitan agama Islam PKR mengambil ketetapan yang berbeza dari pandangan umum berteraskan syariat Islam dengan tujuan untuk terus mendapatkan sokongan politik dari penyokong bukan Islam.
2. Manakala Pas pula berpandangan agama lain boleh guna kalam suci "Allah" kerana hukumnya harus, asalkan jangan disalahgunakan. Yang lebih penting dari itu kita kena fikir ada kemungkinan pihak-pihak agama lain yang ingin menggunakan kalam suci "Allah" atas kepentingan tertentu yang boleh menjurus kepada penyalahgunaan maksud kalam suci "Allah" itu sendiri. Selama ini tiada sesiapa pun individu atau pihak-pihak tertentu (penganut agama selain dari Islam) di Malaysia berminat mahu menggunakan kalam suci "Allah" dalam sebarang urusan mereka. Kalam suci "Allah" eksklusif untuk penganut Islam sahaja kerana membawa maksud tersendiri bagi penganut agama Islam. Jadinya kalam suci "Allah" mestilah sentiasa terpelihara kesuciaannya mengikut perpektif Islam yang sebenar.

Sekian, terima kasih dan Wassalam untuk YBhg Tun.

By zafri azran  on [January 7, 2010 8:56 AM](#)

assalamualaikum Tun..

..Setuju dengan Tun.. terima kasih diatas sokongan dan penerangan Tun yang tepat..

By Mohd Faried  on [January 7, 2010 8:51 AM](#)

Salam Tun dan Toh Puan,

Penjelasan yang cukup jelas dan terang , singkat tetapi padat, mcm dah menjelaskan segala-galanya.

Kenapa kerajaan tidak berfikir mcm Tun?

Tq. Idea Tun amat berguna sampai sekarang.

HIDUP TUN!

By Rentap80  on [January 7, 2010 8:45 AM](#)

MissMurray wrote:

"Go to the Middle East (I am sure you have been there) and mingle with also the non-muslim minority in those countries. The Catholics in Jordan for example call God Allah and they also say Insyah-Allah, Ya-Allah and Allah is Great just the way a Muslim here does."

This goes to show just how much you know and what ticks for Malaysia. Middle East is Middle East and Malaysia is Malaysia.

And she wrote:

"So, as much as you believe the word is just for the Muslims I beg to differ. God is God. God in any name will always be God. Its a pity you and many in the world chose to differ YOUR GOD to MY God when honestly we are all Children of the same Abraham."

And God promises that everyone who believes in Jesus Christ will be saved (John 3:16; Romans 10:9-10), so are you kiddin me?

"Don't sweat the petty things and don't pet the sweaty things."- George Carlin

By Rentap80  on [January 7, 2010 8:24 AM](#)

I would like to ask the Christian Herald to point out where, which part of the Bible specifically spells out the word Allah for me if you can. At the same time I ask, if you are prepared to use the word Allah then why are you not prepared to use the word "Ahmed" in place of the word "paracletos" in John 14:26? Why the unintelligible word "comforter" is used instead?

"Dusting is a good example of the futility of trying to put things right. As soon as you dust, the fact of your next dusting has already been established." - George Carlin

By PeaceSalam  on [January 7, 2010 8:24 AM](#)

Brother and sisters, lets pray for God's peaceful ways.

You are the parent of two sons. They are fighting over who get to call their father as "Papa" so they can get more attention. How do you peacefully resolve this?

Over the right to call God as Allah, we children of Abraham fight, delighting the devil, saddening God. Would it be great everyone learn, know, and call "Allah"? Extend God's grace to those who use it in vain, and for ill intent. Treat others more as family rather than stranger. Peace.

By thejedalim  on [January 7, 2010 8:23 AM](#)

tq.faiht is a matter of to beliv nt by fact..
Jesus always said "hw little faiht you had"..

By William Wang  on [January 7, 2010 8:00 AM](#)

Dear Malaysians,

I see no controversy in using the word 'Allah', to either Muslim or christians. Is it not that such faith was inculcated from the same region in this world and from human of similar race or human-kind? The word Allah, referred to the 'one' almighty and to all human from this historic region is the same. This ancient religion from the middle east region had evolved through thousands of years and had not only started from the time of Nabi Isa or Prophet Muhammad. It had been interpreted differently through the passage of time both for personal and political reasons but all in all "Allah" referred to the same God. Jesus or Nabi Isa(as seem by musilms)is the 'son of god' as believed by human of christian faith while the muslim believed he is just another prophet. So, what is the controversy? We are all talking about the same subject afterall. Religious believes took different forms in other regions of the world, like in Asia, in Buddhism or Taosim, the word allah has no meaning to the faith & belief.

By TheMultitudinousOne  on [January 7, 2010 7:12 AM](#)

Dear Tun

Your commentary that the issue cannot be resolved solely via the legal process because of its 'sensitivity' is certainly accurate. But I am certainly disappointed that though the issue was already emergent during your time as Prime Minister, you did not manage to leave a legacy in the Malaysian mindset where such issues really do not become an 'issue' at all. In this, you and all our past leaders, and, I despair, our current and foreseeable future leaders, have and will sadly fail the nation unless we are brave and bold enough to face up to the truth and to fight to uphold the truth, no matter the political costs.

For the truth is we have allowed religion, of whatever faith or denomination, to be hostage to and held to ransom by the demands, powerbroking and self-interests of political parties, clergy and clerics, organisations and individuals. In the context of Malaysia, because of the dominant majority, the script has mainly centered around Islam - Islam under threat from the non-Muslims, the more 'Islamic' under threat from the less 'Islamic', restrictions and policing against activities deemed as 'unIslamic', etc. Flashback to medieaval Europe and you see the same script, albeit under the banner of Christianity and the Church (for those who would deny that Islam has a clergy, explain the role of the present day imams, muftis, ayatollahs or even the Jabatan Agama Islam).

Where has government and societal leadership failed in this? It is to be found in the frequent easy, expedient and self-serving action-choices to repress and restrict, rather than to nurture and foster debate, criticism and the thinking man. Thus the end-product is a Malaysian (and unfortunately Muslim) mass mentality which suffers from a lot of 'sensitivities' which then translates into a security issue if not properly handled.

The Holy Prophet brought Enlightenment into the repressive and ignorant Arab jahiliah society. How ironic and tragic that Enlightenment is fast disappearing from the Muslim world of today.

The Prophet's father preceded 'Islam' and carried the name of Abdullah. The present day Malay translation of the Arabic 'Abdullah' is 'Hamba Allah' and the English equivalent perhaps is 'Slave of God (Allah?)'. But what did Hamba Allah or Slave of Allah mean to the pre-Islamic Arabs? What did Allah mean to them?

What does the word Allah mean to present day Muslims? What does it mean to one present day Muslim and to another present day Muslim? Does it mean the same? Maybe at the level of language - as just an 'istilah' - it has the same 'meaning' but where it touches the heart and mind, is it the same for both? At the conceptual, thought level, given the varying degrees of understanding, perceptions, psyche, etc., can it be the same?

The Holy Prophet did not introduce the istilah 'Allah' to the Arabs, rather he brought the Enlightenment of who the Creator is. Even then, scooping up a fistful of desert sand, he acknowledged that if all the grains of sand in the desert were to represent humanity, only so much of mankind as represented by the fistful of sand in his hand, would be able to receive and understand the Enlightenment or Makrifat.

In the scheme of things, at the level of language, both the Prophet and the Quran continued to use the word 'Allah'. Beyond language, the understanding and recognition of 'Allah' is privy only to those who are able to achieve and receive such understanding.

So why are we, imprisoned as we are at the level of the 'istilah', quarrelling and fighting over use and ownership of the 'istilah'? Does it matter if it is used by Christians, Sikhs, pre-Islamic Arabs, one modern day 'ignorant' Muslim or another? Why do the Government and society's leaders allow this to become a sensitive issue and to continue to be a sensitive issue? How many 'sensitive' issues do Malaysian society want to continually be encumbered with? When will we be able to grow up and face up to our sensitivities?

To fellow Muslims especially I would like to enjoin them to rise up above the pettiness of what we have been taught or think to be matters of the religion and seek the real path of Truth and Enlightenment as was sought by the Holy Prophet.

By [MAZAI](#)  on [January 7, 2010 6:14 AM](#)

Ybhg. Tun.

1. Syukur Alhamdulillah. Tun telah membantu memberikan penjelasan dan memberikan peluang kepada umat Islam memberikan maklumat yang amat jelas kenapa kalimah ALLAH tidak sesuai digunakan oleh penganut agama lain.

2. Alasan yang paling pokok ialah tuhan yang dimaksudkan oleh orang Keristian ialah Tuhan itu ayah, dan mempunyai anak dan roh suci. Maka ianya bukanlah tuhan yang dimaksudkan oleh Muhammad Rasulullah alaihisalam dan yang dipercayai oleh umat Islam. Iaitu Allah itu tuhan yang satu, tidak mempunyai anak dan tidak diperanakkan, tiada rupa, tidak ada awal dan tidak ada akhir dan tidak bertempat.

3. Inilah juga tuhan yang dimaksudkan oleh Nabi Isa Rasullullah Alaisalam apabila menjelaskan Allah yang satu. Tapi ajaran ini tidak diikuti oleh orang Keristian kerana orang Keristian mengamalkan agamanya mengikut ajaran St. Paul.

4. Justru walaupun Allah SWT adalah tuhan yang mencipta semua makhluk termasuk orang Keristian namun Allah bukanlah tuhan yang dipercayai oleh mereka. Jadi tidak wajar nama Allah digunakan oleh mereka. Kalau kita membenarkan mereka menggunakan kalimah Allah untuk merujuk kepada tuhan mereka maka ianya satu unsur pencemaran dan penyelewengan terhadap kalimah yang khusus untuk tuhan mengikut kepercayaan umat Islam. Jika saudara-saudara yang bukan beragama Islam begitu berminat untuk menggunakan kalimah Allah maka marilah kita mengucapkan "La illah ha ill Allah, Muhammad rasulAllah".

5. Disamping itu jika ada mana-mana negara yang bersetuju membenarkannya, itu adalah hak kedaulatan negara masing-masing. Ia bukanlah satu yang mesti diikuti kerana kita juga mempunyai hak dan kedaulatan sendiri dan perlu membuat keputusan berdasarkan suasana sosiobudaya negara ini.

6. Samalah seperti kita tidak boleh menghalang jika ada negara yang menaganggap sah disisi undang-undang jika seseorang berbogel dikhalayak ramai atau melakukan seks diluar nikah atau seks sesama sejenis. Begitu juga kita tidak boleh mempertikaikan jika ada negara yang memotong tangan bagi orang yang didapati mencuri, merejam orang yang berzina.

7. Di Malaysia keluruhan Perlembagaan yang memberi hak Islam sebagai agama resmi (agama Persekutuan) negara ini mestilah dihormati dan tidak dicemari.

8. Semoga Allah memberikah hidayat kepada mwereka... amin.

4. Marilah sama-sama kita menjaga keharmonian dengan menghormati hak orang lain.

By [Larry Jay](#) on [January 7, 2010 3:57 AM](#)

Mahathir, you are totally wrong & ignorant on this!!!

Your comments are potraying that the Muslims in Malaysia are so fragile, that they can be easily influenced by others with sense of insecurity in their own faith and that they should be watched over day in & day out in ensuring that they would not drift away from their religion. Are you implying that the Malaysian Muslims are so immaturred that they are required to be monitored closely all the way through their years till they end up in graves.

Come on Mahathir...the actual fact & reality in history & other scriptures is that, the word 'Allah' refering to God have been used by the Christians, Jews & Pagans hundreds of years before the Islamic civilization.

I bet my last dollar that you are fully aware of that fact but you are trying to manipulate the truth to your whims & fancies...and as usual, your diehard fans (satay kings/queens @ Raja Kipas) will support & agree with you blindly like zombies

Mahathir, just because you were the ex-PM doesn't warrant that all you write & say is correct & indisputable. No Mahathir, you yourself during your rule is far more disputable than anything else in this nation.

By ayu_87  on [January 7, 2010 2:36 AM](#)

assalamualaikum Tun

izinkan...

saudara Keno87..

Jika Bible versi Indonesia yang saudara gunakan maka terjemahan serba sedikit adalah seperti berikut:

dalam Yahya fasal 5 ayat 30:

"Sesuatupun tiada Aku dapat melakukan menurut kehendakku sendiri, melainkan Aku menjalankan hukum sebagaimana yang aku dengar, dan hukuman itu adil adanya...kerana bukannya Aku yang mencari kehendak diriku Melainkan kehendak Dia yang menyuruh aku" Jelas bahawa nabi Isa disuruh oleh Allah sepertimana Nabi Muhammad Saw(kedua-dua adalah pesuruh Allah)

dalam Yahya fasal 17 ayat 3 :

"Inilah hidup yang kekal, iaitu supaya mereka mengenal Engkau, ALLAH YANG ESA dan Jesus Kristus yang telah engkau suruhkan itu"
(ALLAH TUHAN YANG ESA MERUJUK KEPADA ALLAH ITU SATU DAN TUNGGA)

dalam Markas, fasal 12 ayat 29:

"Hukum yang terutama ialah dengarlah olehmu hai Israel, adapun Allah tuhan kita ialah TUHAN YANG ESA".

dalam Ulangan fasal 4 ayat 35:

"Maka kepadamulah Dia ditunjuk, supaya diketahui olehmu bahawa Tuhan itulah ALLAH, dan kecuali Tuhan Yang Esa tiadalah yang lain lagi.

dan masih banyak lagi jika diperhatikan nabi Isa mengatakan dia adalah pesuruh Allah (RASUL ALLAH)

dalam Matius fasal 15 ayat 24:

tiadalah aku disuruhkan kepada yang lain hanya kepada segala domba yang tersesat dikalangan Bani Israel.

(Nabi Isa diutus hanya untuk Bani Israel)

jika orang-orang Kristian ingin menggunakan perkataan Allah dan jika dibahaskan diperingkat tertinggi pun perkataan Allah ini harus digunakan bersama maksudnya..dan tidak boleh dipisahkan..

(ALLAH TUHAN YANG ESA)

By [chukai1](#) on [January 7, 2010 2:29 AM](#)

Salam Ayahanda Tun dan keluarga,

Saya rasa terpanggil untuk memberi komen, mudah-mudahan dapat membantu mengurangkan ketegangan rakyat Malaysia. Seperti kenyataan PM kita “ rakyat diutamakan” so kalau ade Jabatan Kerajaan yang kena sindir, redha aje lah ok.

Saya tak banyak “idea” jadi saya memilih untuk “responsive” terhadap persoalan yang di timbulkan oleh pembaca bukan Islam. Saya cuma ingin membantu orang Keristian membuat pemilihan yang bijak . Bismillah

Sarawakian Allah

... This ALLAH word was already an issue when you are still the PM and that time Leo Moggie was still the cabinet minister. It is so obvious that the BN (UMNO) are arrogance and selfish and that they mishandle this issue. They decided to threatened the churches and banned the imports of 20,000 units of Kitab Injil which carries the word Allah. This matter should have been resolved amicably.

P/S: sebenarnya jabatan Ugama Islam yg sensitif sangat Dulu mereka hanya muncul bila Ahmad Deedat berceramah di Dataran Merdeka suruh stop awal ... guna mahkamah berebut mayat dengan orang Hindu, tangkap bekas Mufti Perlis sebab tak ada tauliah utk berceramah, denda dan penjarakan orang yang takut untuk berzina kerana memilih untuk bernikah di Thailand, depa lebih rela menjadi juara Islam Hadhari Hmm tapi bila timbul isu macam ni entah la. Woi

So bila tak ada usaha penerangan yang bernas dari Pihak Jabatan Ugama Islam yang bijak pandai mungkin sebab itu la hal seperti di atas berlaku ...

Sarawakian Allah

...It's too easy for one to enrol into Islam, but far to difficult to achieve being a Catholic Christians. Being Catholic Christians, it just like entering Harvard University or MIT. Catholic church does NOT simply converts a person to being a Catholic Christians. That kind of quality we are looking at.

P/S Meh kita pakat tanya ramai-ramai Your Jesus Christ tu ...orang Catholic ka ? Usah kata nak masuk jadi orang Catholic, Jesus sendiri pun tak pernah menyebut pun suruh orang masuk Christian didalam New Testament BiBle King James version. Wahai orang-orang Melayu...bertenang, jangan kalut there is no verses or statements anywhere in the Bible ... yang menyebut Jesus suruh orang masuk Christian.

Keno87

Penggunaan nama Allah dalam Alkitab dibuat oleh mereka yang datang menyebarkan Injil di Borneo beratus tahun dahulu. Orang-orang ini datang dari Australia dan Britain. Saya sendiri tidak tahu mengapa mereka memilih nama Allah sebagai terjemahan God dalam Alkitab.

Kemungkinan mereka merujuk kepada Alkitab bahasa Arab dan memutuskan untuk menggunakan nama yang sama seperti yang digunakan oleh orang Kristian Arab. Lagi pun orang Kristian Arab muncul lebih awal daripada orang muslim Arab.

P/S Anda sendiri (Keno87) tidak tahu kenapa nama Allah di pilih oleh org Australia / British. Bolehkah sesuatu anutan ugama di buat bersandarkan ...ask no question just obey oh no my friend. If people could scrutinize closely looking for defects to every knits and thread in a T-shirt that they are buying ...why not faith ? Don't tell me Faith is cheaper than a piece of shirt.

New Testament King James Version... Jesus memanggil tuhan nya didalam bahasa Aramic Jews Eloi Eloi (Nama Tuhan) Lama Sabathani ... terjemahan didalam bahasa Inggeris ...O my Father why have thou forsaken me ...

Saya ingin mengesyorkan kepada Justice Lau Bee Lan supaya nasihatkan The Herald gunakan ELOI sebagai nama tuhan agama mereka, sebab Jesus gunakan nama itu. Anda kan orang Kristian pengikut Jesus Christ... so tak payah gaduh-gaduh dalam court. Justice Lau Bee Lan, jangan jadi hakim yang membuat keadaan huru-hara. The Herald huru-hara no problem, kalau Malaysia huru-hara you pun ikot susah nanti.

Better still, please refer to comment made by Dr. R. Albert Mohler, Jr., president of The Southern Baptist Theological Seminary posted by shaiktamby. The theologian pointed out that the Qur'an explicitly denies that Allah has a son, and Islam considers the idea of a triune God to be blasphemy.

“Thus, from its very starting point Islam denies what Christianity takes as its central truth claim – the fact that Jesus Christ is the only begotten Son of the Father,” wrote Mohler on his web blog Wednesday.

“If Allah has no Son by definition, Allah is not the God who revealed himself in the Son. How then can the use of Allah by Christians lead to anything but confusion ...and worse?”
Remember when Jesus says....those who follow me shall have eternal lives.... The Holy Bible. So, logically, my dear Christian friends if you want that life you should follow Jesus Christ.....(. He called his lord Elohim, or Eloi)

To our Christian friends which choice would you prefer ? The Herald and Lau Bee Lan or your revered Jesus Christ ? If you still prefer them (Lau Bee Lan & The Herald) then you should all consider open up a new church bearing their names.

Kalau mahu gunakan nama Allah boleh jugak tapi anda belajar jadi orang Islam.

Saudara Keno87 & Sarawakian Allah

...if you feel intimidated by the above taboo questions and statements in Christian then believe me there is a lot more discrepancies yet to be reveal, because no Jabatan Ugama Islam can stop me in the name of sensitive issues.

More to come

Cheers

By [Jalaluddin Ahmad Ar-Rowi](#)  on [January 7, 2010 1:59 AM](#)

Ya Ayyuhal Ikhwan,

Lafaz Allah adalah katanama bagi menyatakan Zat yang Wajib WujudNya serta memiliki segala sifat kesempurnaan dan suci lagi bebas dari sebarang sifat kekurangan dan kelemahan. Allah adalah Esa tidak berbilang-bilang. Allah adalah tempat pergantungan sekalian makhluk. Dia tidak beranak dan tidak diperanakkan. Dan tiada sama sekali bagiNya sesuatu apa pun yang dapat menyamaiNya. Allah adalah nama bagi Zat Yang Maha Hidup yang tidak mati dan Maha Teguh Berdiri dengan SendiriNya.

Bagaimana mungkin boleh dibenarkan lafaz Allah digunakan oleh orang-orang kafir yang mengatakan bahawa Tuhan itu tiga? Lalu menggambarkan keadaan Tuhannya dalam keadaan lemah dan tidak berdaya di palang Salib dengan keadaan terhina. Adakah layak berhala yang mereka anggap Tuhan itu mereka namakan sebagai Allah?

Maka, apabila kemungkaran telah jelas ternyata di hadapan mata, maka Sang Pemimpin dan Penguasa adalah bertanggungjawab untuk membentarnya dengan kekuasaan yang ada padanya, manakala Para 'Alim 'Ulama hendaklah menegakkan segala dalil dan hujjah dengan dakwah dan hikmah demi menegakkan kemuliaan Kalimah Allah pada kedudukan yang tinggi lagi suci.

Hamba yang dhaif faqir dan haqir ini hanya mampu membenci di dalam hati kerana lemahnya tahap keimanan dan taraf kedudukan, hanya doa yang dapat mengiringi Jihad yang paling utama ini, iaitu berjihad bagi menegakkan ketinggian Kalimah Allah.

Wa Kalimatullahi Hiyal 'Ulya.

By anakmsia  on [January 7, 2010 1:18 AM](#)

Never mindlah, wait till we all die and face to face with Allah and let Him tell us who can call Him Allah and who cannot.

What for we all fighting for using Allah name in the first place? I think we all have to examine our thoughts and actions, are we clean and pure in heart?

If our thoughts and actions like the devil, so how can we call Allah name? isn't Allah name is Holy? Don't be hypocrite la

If Allah name is Holy and so powerful and He created us all, we should fear Him, because our life is control by Him and he can call us any time He likes, that where we meet dead.

So while still living on earth do GOOD.

By S..Tan  on [January 7, 2010 1:03 AM](#)

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat sejahtera. Kesan sampingan melonjak ke isu ini ialah 'hits' melambung. Saya pun nak tumpang kemeriahan topik ini dgn izin...

.....MENANGGUK DI AIR KERUH

Ada orang ambil kesempatan jadi jaguh dgn melebarkan keputusan mahkamah sebagai mengancam Islam dan menghina bangsa. Mahkamah tentu sudah periksa penggunaan Allah oleh Herald sebelum buat keputusan. Kalau Herald guna perkataan itu cara salah(menghina) dan niat tak baik (berdakwah pada Melayu) tentu sekatan akan dikenakan.

Tapi kalau tak ada bukti jahat pada niat mrk, kenapa mahkamah harus larang mrk guna perkataan yg sudah dipakai sejak dulu. Niat jahat mungkin terletak pada pihak yg nak menghalang.

Ada orang sengaja nak mengelirukan keadaan dgn menuduh kaum lain niat jahat nak menggugat Islam. Islam di lindung agama rasmi dalam perlembagaan, akta hasutan, undang undang negeri (murtad dan anti dakwah), mahkamah syariah, ISA, PM dan berpuluh menteri Islam, 60% rakyat M'sia, berbillion wang zakat untuk dakwah. Ini semua tergugat oleh satu Herald, satu perkataan, satu kelompok kecil (9%).

Islam dan penganutnya begitu rapuh? Baik berusaha kuatkan iman pada 60% dari sibuk melawan 9% yg kecil itu!

.....NIAT DAN HAK

Mahkamah membenarkan rayuan Herald tak bermakna semua orang bebas guna perkataan Allah sesuka hati. Mahkamah buat keputusan benarkan Herald berdasar cara penggunaan mrk (untuk Kristian saja). Mahkamah tidak buat keputusan mengenai penggunaan cara lain (berdakwah pada Muslim, menghina)

Siapa berani guna sesuka hati boleh diheret ke mahkamah. Islam ada perlindungan anti dakwah dan larangan murtad. Siapa ingkar boleh di dakwa. Kenapa perlu larang membata?

Mahkamah buat keputusan berdasarkan niat pihak merayu. Kalau penggunaannya tidak menyalahi undang undang, tiada niat buruk atas dasar apa mrk harus di sekat?

Salah kementerian tak bijak siap sedia dan kemas kini hujah mrk. Hanya tahu melatah ini membuat Melayu marah, menghina Islam tanpa bukti kesalahan orang.

Mahkamah boleh junjung tuduhan tanpa bukti? Mahkamah bukan tempat orang guna kuku besi tapi tunjuk bukti!

.....WHATS IN A NAME

To argue the word will confuse Muslims is a bit boggling. The Christians don't fear it might confuse their followers? They are not about to prohibit Muslims from using Holy, Almighty etc. to avoid confusion.

A rose by any other name will smell just as sweet. Does this mean anything to the protagonists in this issue? Please check your scriptures in whatever language whether it compels you to behave violently in defence of a word which is not indigenous to both of you!

.....TYRANNY OF MAJORITY

We are about to witness a tyranny of the majority on a scale far worse than Switzerland! Here they are bordering on intimidation and contempt of court. In Perak case they accuse the protestors what?? Pulang paku buah keras!

By Helary piul  on [January 6, 2010 11:48 PM](#)

Pendapat Tun saya sangat hormati.dan saya amat setuju dengan pendapat beliau dan penerangan beliau.perkara ini perlu di tengani dengan berhati2 supaya negara kita sentiasa aman & damai.

By Nothing But Wind  on [January 6, 2010 11:47 PM](#)

Dear Tun Dr M,

I am neither Christian nor Muslim. However, I believe I should use my freedom of opinion and freedom of expression.

I do not see any adequate and demanding reason for the Catholic Church to use the word "Allah" in their practice. The argument that many of their followers in the East Malaysia are weak in English is unacceptable and it creates room for suspicion of their real motive. It is quite unacceptable that they could not remember and spell only one word i.e. "God" or "Lord". Why only one Arabic word they desperately want to use in their prayers? If they can't give a logical reason then their demand should be eliminated.

By yesmaria  on [January 6, 2010 11:10 PM](#)

Saya sokong komen ISLAH DIN....cuma

"Kepada penganut Kristian pula, jangan terlalu berbangga dengan keputusan hakim. Jika Tuhan kita memang sama, Allah yang Maha Esa, kamu semua harus menerima Islam, sebab itu yang diinginkan oleh nabi Isa. Sesungguhnya sang penghibur itu sudah datang. Dan banyak yang dikatakan kepada kamu apa-apa yang belum disampaikan kepada kamu selama nabi Isa hidup. Nabi Isa sudah wafat. Jangan pergi terlalu jauh, sebab jika kamu semakin jauh dari ajaran agama yang benar, suatu masa nanti jangan sampai merasa seperti yang pernah dirasakan oleh Nabi Isa dahulu; Eli Eli, lama sabakhtani, Tuhanku, kenapa Engkau meninggalkan aku."

Perenggan ini kurang sesuai, n ada ussur penghinaan kepada nabi Isa...tapi saya bangga kerana ISLAH DIN mengkaji BIBLE semoga mendapat rahmat daripadanya....carilah kebenaran jangan penghinaan, kerana di dalam BIBLE tidak ada penghinaan kepada agama lain....cuma menerapkan kasih sayang antara Tuhan Pencipta dan manusia serta ajaran untuk saling mengasihi semasa manusia.

...Belated Hamran Ambri Tokoh Ulama Indonesia yang terkenal...jika sudi pergi lah ke Medjugore untuk melihat & merasai kebenarannya.

salam perdamaian dari bros and sister in Christ to all mosulim.

By jackacd3245  on [January 6, 2010 11:03 PM](#)

Tun,

Saya rasa tiada masalah sangat sama ada agama lain nk guna perkataan Allah, sebab Allah memang Tuhan untuk seluruh manusia.

Sekarang ni yg takut confuse adalah orang Islam yg tak memahami Islam jer.

Orang Islam yg jelas tentang Islam tiada masalah, pasti sangat jelas fahamannya.

By anak-biduanda  on [January 6, 2010 10:49 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Semua yang berlaku ini adalah disebabkan oleh ketidak-upayaan barisan DS najib untuk bertindak. lebih menjurus kepada kelemahan pentadbiran yang amat ketara, dimana isu yang sangat-sangat sensitif pun dapat di manipulasi oleh golongan-golongan tertentu untuk menghancurkan kestabilan ekonomi dan hubungan sesama kaum yang terjalin erat selepas sejarah hitam 13 mei. Sepatutnya DS najib sudah mengambil tindakan perlu semasa dan pada ketika isu ini mula-mula terbit. Ini dapat menghalang dari tersebarnya berita dan provokasi-provokasi kepada umum. Seingat saya, dizaman tun dulu, pernah satu ketika salah sebuah pertubuhan Cina cuba bermain api, tapi dengan ketegasan dan kecekapan serta kepantasan Tun menangani isu tersebut amatlah saya puji. Ada ketika, kita perlu menggunakan kuasa-kuasa veto yg diberi untuk menstabilkan negara dan menghalang dari perkara-perkara sebegini berlaku dan khususnya tragedi hitam 13 mei tidak sama-sekali berulang. Kuasa veto ini bukan hanya cuma untuk mendapatkan tender-tender perolehan kerajaan oleh kroni-kroni serta sahabat-handai. Apabila seseorang dilantik sebagai PM, sewajarnya beliau harus peka dan dapat merungkai segala permasalahan, kerna beliau diberi cukup kuasa untuk bertindak.

"Kami amat cintakan tanah melayu, dan kami tidak rela mereka-mereka ini menjahanamkan sama sekali kemakmuran dan kestabilan yang dikecapi oleh tanah melayu selama ini. kami bersumpah dan berikrar akan mempertahankan tanah melayu yang tercinta ini walau dengan apa cara sekali pun. kami tidak rela berputih mata, ingatlah kami senantiasa bersiap-sedia, cuma panggilan yang belum menjelma.."

Kami, Anak-anak murid kiyai haji saleh.

nasihat saya kepada semua, Janganlah mulakan provokasi, Cintailah keamanan yang telah dikecapi, sama-samalah menjaga kemakmuran kurnian illahi.

Kepada Kerajaan, khususnya Y.A.B. PM, tangani lah isu ini dengan penuh tanggungjawab dan kesungguhan, janganlah menjadikan mahkamah sebagai medan untuk mereka memperlekehkan AGAMA ISLAM TERCINTA.

dan kepada yang berkenaan, janganlah cuba bermain dengan api, kelak akan membakar diri. Sama-samalah kita menjaga dan menghormati Hak dan sensitiviti yg telah diberi. Cintailah keamanan, saya percaya TIADA satu pun agama di dunia ini yang menggalakkan perpecahan dan perbalahan serta peperangan, kecuali satu, YAHUDI yg dilaknati.

By zaman  on [January 6, 2010 10:47 PM](#)

Salam Sejahtera kepada Tun dan keluarga.

- 1)Dulu semasa Tun menjadi PM negara aman dan tenteram.
- 2)Perkara perkara yang sebegini dapat di atasi dengan baik dan cemerlang.
- 3)Kami sangat berharap Tun dapat membimbing kerajaan yang tengah kecundang.
- 4)Kami juga berharap Najib dapat menerima teguran teguran Tun.
- 5) Jalan yang sebaik baik nya adalah Tun memimpin rakyat Malaysia sebagai PERDANA MENTERI semula.

May God Bless You Tun.

By wealhtynas  on [January 6, 2010 10:27 PM](#)

AsSalamualaikum wbt.Tun

We Invite You To ISLAM

Hi and welcome,

This website <http://wechooseislam.wordpress.com/> is dedicated especially to our not-yet-Muslim friends, so that they can learn from their friends' experience of converting to ISLAM. The compilation of articles and videos in this website is based on their true stories published in various sources of books, magazines, websites etc. I hope this tiny effort can be a motivation for those who are interested in knowing about ISLAM, to unfold further and seek the truth of ISLAM.

I invite you to embrace ISLAM and may ALLAH guide us to the truth, ameen.

“...Verily, the Right Path has become distinct from the wrong path.” (Al-Qur'an 2:256)

VIDEOS ON CONVERT/REVERT TO ISLAM

20,000 New Converts Each Year in America – NBC News

Priests and preachers entering Islam

Dr Ian Webber from England Converts to Islam in Beirut

Twenty Two (22) Australians Converting To ISLAM .. Live

British Catholic Priest Converted To ISLAM

People Reverting To ISLAM in Texas

Iman (Monica) Aparicio Christianity to Islam

A German Physician and His Wife Converted to Islam

Australian Woman Converts To Islam

European Scientist Converts to ISLAM

Canadian Woman Converts To ISLAM

My Choice by Shah Kirit Kumar

I Believe in Philosophy of ISLAM

Some Converted Australian Muslim In Australia

Muslims In Australia

A Young Indian Woman testified Her Shahadah In Public After Satisfied With Zakir Naik's Answer

Prof. of Mathematics (ex-Atheist) Reverted to ISLAM

US Guantanamo Guard Revert To ISLAM

Family Member of 9/11 Converts To ISLAM

Islam Sweeps Through The West. But Why?

BABA ALI Telling His Story of Converting To ISLAM

ARTICLES ON CONVERT/REVERT TO ISLAM

Ex-Christian Businessman & Preacher Enters Islam – Chaplain Yusuf Estes

Girl Becomes A Muslim

Could I Deal with God Directly? – By Hayat Anne Collins Osman

Abdul-Lateef Abdullah (Steven Krauss)

How I came to Islam – by Yusuf Islam (Formerly Cat Stevens)

How Aisha Bhutta Converted her Parents, Family and 30 Friends to Islam
Finding Women's Liberation in Islam: An Interview With Yvonne Ridley
Mexican-American Discovers Truth of Islam
From Pork to ISLAM
Pilgrimage to ISLAM – by Michael David Shapiro
Dutch Women Embraces ISLAM – Live
Muhammad Aman Hobohn: A Diplomat, Missionary & Social Worker (Germany)
Former Christian Abdullah Delancey Becomes A Muslim
Thousands Of British Elite Embrace Islam: Study
Silma Ihram, Pioneer of Muslim Education in Australia.
Why I Shed Bikini for Niqab: The New Symbol of Women's Liberation
Macon Mayor, Jack Ellis, Announces Embracing Islam

110:1 When comes the Help of Allah, and Victory,
110:2 And thou dost see the people enter Allah's Religion in crowds,
110:3 Celebrate the praises of thy Lord, and pray for His Forgiveness: For He is Oft-Returning
(in Grace and Mercy).

فَسَبِّحْ بِحَمْدِ رَبِّكَ وَاسْتَغْفِرْهُ إِنَّهُ كَانَ تَوَّابًا

110:3 maka bertasbihlah dengan memuji Tuhanmu dan mohonlah ampun kepada-Nya.
Sesungguhnya Dia adalah Maha Penerima tobat.

Ameen ! Qabul !

By Kisio  on [January 6, 2010 10:15 PM](#)

Dear Tun Mahathir,

Please allow me to add a few of my thoughts on your blog. Pertaining to the use of the word "Allah", I personally believe it's a non-issue. If indeed it angers The Almighty, it will be dealt with eventually. Who are we mortals to regulate the will of The Almighty? Nevertheless, the involvement of religion has charged the issue up much more than it should have been. I am of the opinion that, one, the government does not need to restrict the usage of the word officially and two, Christians do not need to openly ignorant of the sensitivities involved.

At the end of the day, most of us are not Christians in this country and we don't really care for why they insist on using the word when a ready, non-sensitive substitute word (Tuhan) is available. I hope that the Tun sees that freedom of speech is the real issue, and I would also implore that the Tun sees that it must be upheld together with respect for the rule of law and impartiality of the judiciary. This is the real concern for many Malaysians. I hope the Tun also shares our concern and will suggest an alternative solution to this that is acceptable to all.

By hannibalceno  on [January 6, 2010 10:01 PM](#)

salam tun.....

bismillah hirrahmanirrahim.....

dengan nama Allah yang maha pengasih dan penyayang.....

semua perlakuan muslim didahului dengan ucapan ini....

subhanallah...

takpayah la dok pening kepala nak pikir kenapa kalimah Allah ini penting kepada kita muslim...
sekian tun...
jaga diri semua...

By anjez  on [January 6, 2010 9:59 PM](#)

To all bloggers pls understand one thing.No racist mark here.Think properly first before making any comments.The word "allah" cant be used by non-muslim community.Ok fine.How about malays using white man name such as for boy///mohammed daniel,and for girl lisa,use la al-abdullah or al-kamariah? and other fancy whiteman name?White man did not make noise of you malays using their names then why are you all making so much noise of the word "allah".What I see in this country over the years since Dr.M there are no changes.You malays get discounts for buying house at 7% the non-muslim dont get any shit.Did the non-muslim make noise?For you different law and the non-muslim different law is it?I guess Dr.M should just keep quite on his opinion.Let the law take its toll.Let Najib and the King decide.All those moron who support Dr.M should just shut up.The fanatic malays are all out into this but why the high class malays dont bothered?For many years the non-muslims are discriminated in MALAYSIA.Just because of the word "allah" in herald the whole bloody fools making noise.Common get your acts together go and look after your family and your parents.This world is temporary only.Save yourself to face GOD or ALLAH.No point talking but no action.I also have many malay friends work in Malaysia but looking at the privileges the malays get is just too hard to accept.I also born in MALAYSIA have MyCard but why we as non muslims are treated like 4th class citizen?Jawab itu dulu Dr.M sebelum cakap pasal lain hal.

By faroki  on [January 6, 2010 9:53 PM](#)

salam..

Semua yang berlaku mengikut kehendak Tuhan Yang Maha Esa./Allahu Ya rabbi

Persoalan lagi dalam..

- 1)Siapakah nama Allah?
- 2) Siapakah Nama Tuhan Yang Maha Esa itu?
- 3) Siapakah Tuhan Bapak???
- 4) Siapakah Tuhan Anak?
- 5) Apa beza Allah dan Tuhan,
- 6) apakah nama Tuhan yang digunakan oleh Nabi Musa as berhadapan deng Firaun sehingga sihirnya tidak menjadi?

Semua jawapan adalah di dalam Al-Quran.

Ada ayat yg suruh menyebut nama Tuhan- 73-8&9

Dalam Injil, Kingdom of God-(Kerajaan Tuhan/Allah), dalam Al-Quran-Al-Mulk,Dalam Astro 106- Mulkillah- Kerajaan Allah.

Dalam azan, terjemahan melayunya- tiada tuhan disembah melainkan allah, walhal Tuhan itu ada ,rujuk ayat -73-8&9. Org non muslim kata/baca terjemahan azan mengatakan org islam tiada

Tuhan.

Jadi bagaimana.kesudahannya ini???perlu diperbetulkan islam dari dalam..??

Namun atas kelalaian umat islam perkara ini timbul..

Barangkali Zuriat Nabi Muhammad saw sejati mampu merungkai permasalahan ini..Zuriat sejati tetap ada, jika tidak ,org tidak akan baca.."ila hadrotin ...mustapha saw wa alihi wa zuriatihi wasohbihi....."

Lembah kelang.

By [Dr Novandri Hasan Basri](#)  on [January 6, 2010 9:44 PM](#)

Keputusan Muzakarah Jawatankuasa Fatwa Majlis Kebangsaan Bagi Hal Ehwal Ugama Islam Malaysia Mengenai Isu Tuntutan Penganut Kristian Terhadap Penggunaan Kalimah Allah

Bil. Muzakarah : Kali Ke-82

Tarikh : 5 Hingga 7 Mei 2008

1) Ulasan/Hujah

2. Perkataan Allah yang digunakan oleh umat Islam adalah merujuk kepada Allah Yang Maha Esa dan lafaz Allah yang telah digunakan oleh orang-orang Kristian adalah merujuk kepada 'Tuhan Bapa' iaitu salah satu oknum daripada akidah trinititi. Ia berbeza sama sekali dengan apa yang dimaksudkan oleh Reverend Datuk Murphy Pakiam, Archbishop of Kuala Lumpur bahawa perkataan Allah telah lama digunakan oleh orang-orang Kristian sejak sebelum kedatangan Rasulullah s.a.w. Selain daripada itu, lafaz Allah juga tidak pernah disebut di dalam teks bahasa Greek yang merupakan bahasa asal penulisan Bible. Ini adalah kerana perkataan Tuhan di dalam bahasa Greek adalah 'Theos' bukannya Allah.

2. Perkataan Allah juga tidak pernah terdapat di dalam bahasa asal Perjanjian Lama yang dikenali sebagai Taurat dan Perjanjian Baru yang dikenali sebagai Bible. Ini adalah kerana Perjanjian Lama ditulis dalam bahasa Hebrew manakala Perjanjian Baru ditulis dalam bahasa Greek. Perkataan Hebrew yang membawa maksud Tuhan ialah El, Elohim dan juga Yhwh.

3. Kalimah Allah Lafz al-Jalalah adalah khusus dan mutlak untuk agama Islam dan mafhumnya berbeza dengan mafhum Allah yang digunakan oleh agama lain seperti Kristian.

4. Perintah mahkamah yang dipohon oleh Catholic Herald Weekly untuk mengisytiharkan bahawa larangan penggunaan kalimah Allah yang telah dikeluarkan oleh Kementerian Dalam Negeri adalah bercanggah dengan Perlembagaan Persekutuan dan bukan eksklusif kepada agama Islam boleh memberi kesan yang besar kepada kedudukan agama Islam sekiranya orang Islam sendiri tidak peka dan perhatian yang sewajarnya tidak diberikan oleh pihak berkuasa agama di negara ini.

5. Walaupun dari segi sejarah kalimah Allah telah digunakan sejak sebelum kedatangan Islam lagi, namun penggunaannya adalah berbeza dan perlu dilihat dari segi substansinya. Kalimah Allah yang digunakan oleh Kristian adalah bersifat Taslis dan syirik, sedangkan bagi Islam ia bersifat tauhid.

6. Kalimah Allah merupakan lafaz suci yang perlu dijaga dan berkait dengan akidah. Umat Islam perlu peka dan bertanggungjawab dalam isu ini. Sikap membenarkan sesiapa sahaja menggunakan kalimah tersebut semata-mata untuk menunjukkan bahawa Islam meraikan agama lain hanya akan mendatangkan mudharat yang lebih besar kepada agama dan umat Islam.

7. Umat Islam perlu tegas dalam menjaga kesucian dan identiti agama kerana bersikap terlalu terbuka sehingga membenarkan perkara-perkara yang menjadi hak Islam disalahgunakan oleh agama lain adalah amat merbahaya kerana matlamat utama Kristian menggunakan kalimah Allah adalah untuk mengelirukan umat Islam dan menyatakan bahawa semua agama adalah sama.

8. Kalimah Allah sebenarnya tidak ada di dalam Bible, yang digunakan ialah perkataan God. Tetapi di dalam Bible yang diterjemahkan ke bahasa Melayu, perkataan God diterjemahkan sebagai Allah.

9. Isu penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh agama bukan Islam ini melibatkan isu berkaitan Siasah Syar'iyah dan Kerajaan wajib menjaga kesucian agama dan umat Islam. Fatwa perlu dikeluarkan oleh Jawatankuasa Fatwa Negeri supaya kesucian agama dan akidah umat Islam dapat dipertahankan.

10. Pertimbangan Jawatankuasa dalam melarang penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh agama bukan Islam bukan hanya dilihat dari aspek keselamatan, tetapi faktor utama adalah berasaskan kepada akidah dan kesucian agama Islam.

11. Dalam keadaan di mana agama dan umat Islam dihipit dengan pelbagai gerakan yang cuba menghakis kedaulatan Islam sebagai agama rasmi negara, umat Islam perlu bersatu dan menunjukkan ketegasan dalam menjaga maruah agama.

12. Larangan terhadap penggunaan kalimah Allah ini telah diputuskan oleh Jemaah Menteri pada 16 Mei 1986 yang memutuskan empat (4) perkataan khusus iaitu Allah, Solat, Kaabah dan Baitullah tidak dibenarkan penggunaannya oleh bukan Islam. Pada masa ini terdapat 10 buah negeri telah memperuntukan larangan penggunaan kalimah Allah dalam Jadual kepada Enakmen Kawalan Dan Sekatan Pengembangan Agama Bukan Islam Kepada Orang Islam kecuali Sabah, Sarawak, Pulau Pinang dan Wilayah Persekutuan yang masih dalam proses menggubal Enakmen ini.

2) Keputusan

1. Setelah meneliti keterangan, hujah-hujah dan pandangan yang dikemukakan, Muzakarah bersetuju memutuskan bahawa Lafaz Allah merupakan kalimah suci yang khusus bagi agama dan umat Islam dan ia tidak boleh digunakan atau disamakan dengan agama-agama bukan Islam yang lain.

Continue :- <http://novandri.blogspot.com>

By haniza  on [January 6, 2010 9:31 PM](#)

yang dikasihi Tun,

...izinkan...

saya fikir.. dalam isu ini umat Islam akan bersatu-padu, isu ini akan menjadi sebab utk org melayu beragama Islam sama-sama berjihad memelihara kesucian agama Islam. Malah tok guru nyanyuk nik aziz yg pernah menyatakan Allah juga mencarut, turut sama2 menentang perkara ini.

Tetapi tidak si pengkhianat negara bangsa. Al..si pengecut yang bacul kini mengkhianati agamanya sendiri pula!!

By Sahabat dari Chicago  on [January 6, 2010 9:05 PM](#)

Dear Tun: I am glad that Malaysians are now open to discuss many things either contraversial or not openly in the public. Citizens are mature and may respect other people opinions although it may not in line with theirs. It shows Malaysians are now politicaly matured to face the more challenging issues at home and global.

Regards

By mod  on [January 6, 2010 9:05 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Tun memang terkehadapan sedikit tentang penyelesaian perkara ini.

Pada hemat saya penerbit majalah Herald-The Catholic Weekly yang menuntut penggunaan kalimah "ALLAH" dalam penerbitannya serta Kementerian Dalam Negeri (KDN) perlu duduk semeja. Perbincangan secara berhemah serta mengambil kira sensitiviti masyarakat perlu diutamakan.

Jika sebelum ini pihak 'HTCW' tidak menggunakan kalimah tersebut pada penerbitan dan tiada masalah yang timbul, maka ada baiknya untuk terus tidak menggunakannya serta menarik balik tuntutan yang telah dibuat bagi menjamin kesejahteraan negara.

Tak perlulah kita menunjukkan kehebatan dengan menggunakan mahkamah jika keadaan hidup diluar agak tegang dan kurang selesa. Fikirkan keharmonian hidup bermasyarakat berbilang kaum dan kepercayaan.

Terlalu banyak perkara yang sensitif dan juga yang boleh membawa kemudaratan kepada penduduk sangat menguntungkan dari segi kos jika dilakukan, contohnya jenayah alam sekitar, siber, rompakan dan lain-lain lagi. Tetapi kita masih lagi boleh mengelakkan dan menghindarkannya jika mempunyai kesedaran tentang hak-hak orang lain serta mempunyai kesopanan dalam tindakan.

Kehebatan perundangan tidak menjamin kepada kesejahteraan. Fikirkan lah.

Wassalam

By Henrietta  on [January 6, 2010 9:04 PM](#)

Hello Dato,

Happy New Year Greetings to you and lovedones.

I am a catholic and I cannot understand why the big controversy about the usage of the word Allah, is the god that you and I believe in really interested in how he is being addressed? Definitely not....I feel the controversy is actually a power play, not for the glory of god but for the glory of man, goodness imagine the amount of money wasted on this ridiculous case when in actual fact we have more important pressing issues to deal with like health care (which is skyrocketing) unemployment, polarization, the money wasted can be used for the citizens of the country who really need it. We believe in the one and same god, no matter how he is addressed he created all of us equally to love him and each other, so please let us not split hairs and create a wedge in our peaceful society. I feel so blessed to be a Malaysian, we are able to still enjoy peace and harmony in this diversified nation.

May our god bless Malaysia always.

By arjunasakti  on [January 6, 2010 8:55 PM](#)

Salam Tun dan semua pembaca,

Apa pendapat penganut agama kristian jika kerajaan mewajibkan nama-nama penganut agama mereka menggunakan nama-nama Islam jika mereka bersungguh-sungguh inginkan Tuhan mereka menggunakan nama Allah? Ataupun mewajibkan semua rakyat Malaysia menggunakan nama Melayu sebagai nama mereka? Adakah mereka semua ini akan bersatu menentang kerajaan ataupun mengadakan parti untuk meraikannya?

Kepada pihak penuntut yang membuat tuntutan ini di mahkamah Ketua Paderi Roman Katolik Kuala Lumpur, Tan Sri Murphy Pakiam, tukarkan nama anda kepada Abdullah atau nama-nama yang bersesuaian dengan jiwa anda.

By Danyaen  on [January 6, 2010 8:52 PM](#)

Greetings Tun,

That's the main issue now isn't it? Race and religion again. What a nice political card to play to stir up discord among the rakyat.

So when are the christians and pejuang islam going to start sharpening their knives and begin killing each other? I want front row seats. I remember your daughter saying that the faith is so fragile that muslims would go to arms over every single perceived insult (the cartoon incident).

This is the REAL MALAYSIA. There is no ONE Malaysia. As long as we still use religion (not race) to set ourselves apart, we will always have this problem. There are still those in power who believe that Malaysia still belongs to Malays only and that the other races are just visitors. As long as we have this mindset, we will never move forward and will always be stuck with our bickering over religion.

As I have said in an earlier post, Malaysia is in the 21st century but our thinking is stuck back in the 20th century. Leave all your religious talk at home but bring those values out to work with you. Do you talk about religion with your colleagues? Of course you dont. I work with muslims and hindus and christians and buddhists. We have never talked about religion. We talk about our work and our children and how we hope the economy will get better. We talk about putting food on the table. We have such a good time with each other.

Let's be honest. Religion has never brought about any good when we force our belief on someone else. Your religion is always better than mine, no? Then what is all this talk of hatred and violence over one word? One name. Allah the almighty. I think Allah would be unhappy that His name is the cause of so much anger and violence.

By yesmaria  on [January 6, 2010 8:21 PM](#)

To all comentor, pls be calm...do not make any statement as instruct by the High Court today....if not u will be in trouble...

Just for sharing with all our brothers and sisters,

Prophet Muhammad's promise to Christians:

In 628 AD, a delegation from St. Catherine's Monastery came to Prophet Muhammed and requested his protection. He responded by granting them a charter of rights, which I reproduce below in its entirety. St. Catherine's Monastery is located at the foot of Mt. Sinai and is the world's oldest monastery. It possesses a huge collection of Christian manuscripts, second only to the Vatican, and is a world heritage site. It also boasts the oldest collection of Christian icons. It is a treasure house of Christian history that has remained safe for 1400 years under Muslim protection.

The Promise to St. Catherine:

1. "This is a message from Muhammad ibn Abdullah, as a covenant to those who adopt Christianity, near and far, we are with them.
2. Verily I, the servants, the helpers, and my followers defend them, because Christians are my citizens; and by God! I hold out against anything that displeases them.
3. No compulsion is to be on them. Neither are their judges to be removed from their jobs nor their monks from their monasteries. No one is to destroy a house of their religion, to damage it, or to carry anything from it to the Muslims' houses.
4. Should anyone take any of these, he would spoil God's covenant and disobey His Prophet. Verily, they are my allies and have my secure charter against all that they hate.
5. No one is to force them to travel or to oblige them to fight. The Muslims are to fight for them. If a female Christian is married to a Muslim, it is not to take place without her approval. She is not to be prevented from visiting her church to pray. Their churches are to be respected. They are neither to be prevented from repairing them nor the sacredness of their covenants.
6. No one of the nation (Muslims) is to disobey the covenant till the Last Day (end of the world)."

So please think about it for the sake of our 'ill world'...May God Bless U all....and grant us peace.

By AziziNET  on [January 6, 2010 8:20 PM](#)

Perkataan 'ALLAH' hanya untuk mereka yg islam, dan tidak boleh diberi hak penggunaannya keatas agama yg lain. Hal ini demikian kerana penggunaan 'ALLAH' oleh agama lain selain

islam boleh menimbulkan salah faham terhadap sesuatu isu agama dan ianya akan memberi kesan kepada agama Islam itu sendiri.

Saya tidak pasti tetapi penggunaan kalimah 'ALLAH' oleh agama Kristian mungkin merupakan satu taktik mereka agar memudahkan orang beragama islam menukar haluan kepada agama Kristian. Selain itu, ia juga akan memudahkan umat islam pada zaman yg akan datang untuk terpesong.. kerana pada akhir zaman nanti agama Islam akan berpecah kepada beberapa haluan dan hanya satu haluan sahaja yang benar.. mungkin penggunaan kalimah 'ALLAH' oleh agama Kristian yg akan menyebabkan haluan2 ini wujud pada zaman akan datang, dan sesungguhnya haluan2 itu telah pon wujud pada zaman ini..

Dan pastinya kalimah ALLAH hanya untuk agama ISLAM!!!

Dan persoalan Ahli Kitab yakni mereka yg beriman kepada kitab2 asal, ianya kepada mereka yg beriman kepada kitab asal, dan bukannya kitab yg telah diolah dan diubah manusia.

Jikalau ada salah maafkan dan betulkan saya.

By Hafiz Azmi  on [January 6, 2010 8:01 PM](#)

Salam Ayahanda Tun,

Sudah lama saya menunggu Tun membicarakan mengenai isu kalimah Allah. Saya selalu mengikuti perkembangan terbaru Tun.

Sebagai umat Islam di Malaysia, saya menentang keras penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh kaum yang bukan Islam. Bagi menjaga kesucian agama dan kalimah itu sendiri.

Pengguna kalimah Allah oleh Majalah Herald Catholic atau orang kristian yang lainnya boleh mendatangkan kekeliruan pada umat Islam generasi akan datang.

Rindu rasanya semasa dibawah pemerintahan Tun, umat Islam di Malaysia berasa begitu selamat sekali.

Jasamu amat dihargai
KedahKeKL.blogspot.com

By kali  on [January 6, 2010 7:56 PM](#)

Dear respected Tun,

If we want to know the various methods on "How to squander 100 billions to impoverise all Malaysians" we would need to seek your expert opinion. But on Islamic matter, PAS has more authority and specialization than you. PAS has more authority to represent the Malays in this area. You are formenting trouble between the groups and magnifying the differences. We all know religion is important but food on the table is even more important. You are wealthy beyond ordinary but you do not know the hardship of the average malaysian struggling to survive. In your glimmering mercedes or SUV when you stop at the traffic light, please take a look at the increasing number of motor bike riders at the forefront. We are turning into Cambodia. What do you know in your palatial home? Do you know our number one concern ? How can we improve our livelihood, Tun?

By omarbaharom  on [January 6, 2010 7:50 PM](#)

Selama ini apabila "urusan Melayu" yang diganggu, kita masih berlembut, tapi sekarang "urusan Islam"... macammana ni? Terima kasih Tun kerana bersuara.

By Mis_bah  on [January 6, 2010 7:47 PM](#)

Assalamu Alaikum Tun,

I just want to give some comment on response posted by MissMurray on your blog on this issue. I don't know which country is he/she comes from. But from the way she/he writes his/her comment, I think he/she doesn't come Malaysia. He/she doesn't understand what is the meaning of Allah in Islam, especially for Malay Muslims.

Actually what you have said only to prove your real motive of supporting the use Allah among the non Muslims. So actually you are not suggestion for good but for bad things.

By Jo  on [January 6, 2010 7:30 PM](#)

Why politicize this 'controversy'. At the end of the day, it is up to the faith of a believer. Why doesn't the whole Muslim world revolt when the Christians insist that Isa is son of God. Isn't that blasphemy to any Muslim in the world, when it clearly contradicts what Surah Al-Ikhlās states. Just refer to the surah Al-Kafirun, that tells us enough.

Say (O Prophet): O ye who reject faith
I worship not that which ye worship
Nor worship ye that which I worship
And I shall not worship that which ye worship
Nor will ye worship that which I worship
Unto you your religion, and unto me my religion

As it is, many must admit that many of the Muslims in Malaysia are only Muslims by virtue of birth and only in name. Lest we see so many of our young Muslims and more so of our not so young Muslims patronizing pubs and clubs and brothels and spas and gambling outlets and whatever not. It's all in the heart, the faith (Iman). Allah Almighty doesn't need heroes.

By Hajar  on [January 6, 2010 7:25 PM](#)

Salam YAB dan diKasihi Tun,

1. Satu penjelasan yang tepat dan amat mudah difahami. Terima kasih Tun.
2. Kita MESTI/WAJIB mempertahankan supaya perkataan "ALLAH" tidak boleh digunakan oleh penganut agama lain kerana KEPERCAYAAN / pemahaman mereka bertentangan/berlainan dengan ajaran ISLAM. Penggunaan "ALLAH" oleh penganut agama lain boleh memesongkan aqidah sesetengah orang Islam yang jahil.
3. Mengapa perkataan "ALLAH" mahu digunakan walaupun perkataan "Tuhan" adalah terjemahan bahasa Melayu yang TEPAT bagi penganut agama lain? Dalam Rukun Negara pun kita guna "KEPERCAYAAN KEPADA TUHAN".
4. Jangan pula kita rujuk kepada orang Indonesia & Sabah/Sarawak (yang lebih condong ke arah budaya orang Indonesia). Di sana, celaru sikit. Bila ibu-bapa kahwin campur (Islam & Kristian),

anak-anak pula yang terkeliru, sebab ibu & bapa dari dua agama berlainan. Memang bercelaru pegangan agama sesetengah dari mereka. Kita WAJIB elakkan perkara ini dari berlaku di Malaysia.

**** Semoga Tun sekeluarga sentiasa sihat sejahtera dan diberkati Allah SWT ****

By EZGuy  on [January 6, 2010 7:22 PM](#)

Salam Ayahanda,

It's time now - PLEASE MAKE A COMEBACK, for the sake of our beloved country.

Yang tersirat sudah mulai tersurat.....

Yang celik sudah mulai kabur dan buta.....

By musato  on [January 6, 2010 7:21 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun.

Dalam artikel yang dulu pun saya ada komen pasal penggunaan perkataan Allah oleh agama Kristian.

Tetapi komen saya telah disekat oleh Tun pada masa itu kerana sensitif.

So,sekarang Tun pun dah terangkan dengan terperinci.Saya sama pendapat dengan Tun.

Dulu nama lain,sekarang kenapa nak tukar?Adakah anda masih lagi beragama Kristian?

Terima kasih Tun.

By onesoul  on [January 6, 2010 7:21 PM](#)

there is no problem and no issues ... its our mind that is fooling you ... in this issues ... some would have noticed it ... if words can bring tension with ourselves ... then words are not the truth to seek ... but seek the loving kindness with others would be the right path ...

By arik  on [January 6, 2010 7:11 PM](#)

Tok det

pandangan saya selagi orang beragama kristian yg ingin menggunakan nama Allah utk tujuan memuliakan Allah , memuji Allah atau memuja Allah ianya tidaklah menjadi satu kesalahan .

kerana orang yg beragama islam semua tahu kitab injil yg sebenarnya telah diubah dan..... org islam hanya menyembah Allah dan org kristian ada tiga tuhan.....

dan jikalau mereka ingin menggunakan nama Allah utk tujuan memuliakan Allah saya rasa tidak menjadi satu kesalahan.....tetapi kalau tujuan mereka utk membuat sesuatu kerosakan ...saya rasa org yg beragama kristian pasti akan mendapat jawapan dari pihak orang yg beragama islam...

cuma kita berikan mereka peringatan....jgn sekali-kali bermain dgn api..... buruk akibatnya...kerana ini adalah negara Malaysia org islam yg punya.....

yg terakhir....tak pernah pula saya dengar orang yg kuat pada agamanya menghina tuhan....harap-harap tidaklah pada org yg beragama kristian .

By prof_ridcully  on [January 6, 2010 6:27 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Thank you for putting this topic up in your blog. There are several comments and it is an opportunity for me, a Muslim, to read the opinions of Roman Catholics on the issue of "Allah".

I don't know a lot about Christianity, not because of apathy or lack of interest, but because I've always held that Christianity belong to the Christians and Islam to the Muslims and we each worship our own way as per the directive in Surah al-Kafirun (Surah 109).

The Herald matter has changed my attitude somewhat though and I'm trying to learn a bit more about RC and Christianity in general.

SR

Thanks for your comments. I just have two or three questions to clarify and I hope you will help me here.

You said, 2. Jesus is The messenger of God, Son of god is a concept of endearment which derives from the concept that the creator is hence our father and we are all his children. In the Trinity The concept of Father (God, Allah), The Son (does not ONLY refer to Jesus but rather all creation) this is not contradictory to Surah Al Ikhlas

OK, you say son of God is a concept. How does this reconcile with the Nicene Creed (around 350 CE). The Nicene creed is the original creed of the Christians and says,

"And in one Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, begotten of the Father [the only-begotten; that is, of the essence of the Father, God of God], Light of Light, very God of very God, begotten, not made, being of one substance with the Father"

This creed's statement clearly states that the Son is not a concept. It (the Son) is begotten and it refers to only one Son, namely Jesus Christ according to Christians. Further, if we accept 'the Son' to be referring to the Prophet 'Isa or Jesus (peace be upon him) both the Bible and Al-Quran record his birth and that his mother is Maryam (Mary) [I'm more comfortable using Arabised names rather than Anglicised ones. I hope you don't mind].

You asked, *Were there Jewish and Christian Arabs before Islam*

Yes of course there were but in the time of the Prophet Muhammad (peace and blessings of Allah be upon him), most of them were pagans. The Jews who were practicing a form of Judaism, didn't bother to remind the pagan Arabs to abandon idolatry and return to worshipping Allah.

You also said, *Recently also, Father Pecerillo, a famous Franciscan Archeologist, found from the Forth Century (200 years before Islam) houses in Syria, Lebanon, Iraq and Palestine with this inscription in Arabic : "Bismi Allah al-Rahman al-Raheem" which means in Arabic "In the name of ALLAH, the Most Merciful and the Most Gracious"*

This is interesting including the emphasis you put on the fact that Christianity predated Islam -- 600 years in fact. No arguments there. It is a fact of history.

Now, coming to Father Pecerrillo's finding, doesn't the inscription point to the fact that Allah is only One? There's no mention of the Son or the Holy Spirit. How do we reconcile that with the concept of the Holy Trinity?

Shouldn't the inscription at the very least say (in English), "In the name of Allah, the Son and the Holy Spirit" because the Christians' article of faith testifies [if I may intrude into the Christian religion] that **"I believe in one God, the Father Almighty, Creator of heaven and earth, of all things visible and invisible. And in one Lord Jesus Christ, Son of God, the only-begotten, born of the Father before all ages. Light of light, true God of true God, begotten, not made, of one substance with the Father, through whom all things were made. Who for us men and for our salvation, came down from heaven, and was incarnate from the Holy Spirit and Mary the Virgin, and became man"**?

Many people like to say that Judaism, Christianity and Islam (the only religion among the three to have its name mentioned in its holy book; indeed the Qur'an is the only book to have its name mentioned in its text) are descendents of the Abrahamic tradition. I've no problem with that but if you say "Islam accepts many aspects of Christianity as part of its faith", I'll have to disagree. There are no aspects of Christianity that we follow save common narratives about the Prophets and some history. Our Creed and Practices are entirely different (Belief in One God, Allah, without reservations and Belief in the Prophet Muhammad's (pbuh) Message).

I'm not an Arabic grammarian, much less a grammarian in Classical Arabic, but I do know that Arabic has sun and moon letters and "Al-Rahman" may be spelt that way but should be pronounced as ar-Rahman, just as the emphasis should be on the second 'l' in "Allah." Further, Arabic nouns and verbs have different endings depending their position in the sentence.

Last question, as we're talking about languages: In what language was the Bible revealed and are there any living Christians, RC or otherwise, who use the language today? Why don't Christians use the Bible in its original language? (with side-to-side translation in another language so that in case of disputes, reference can be made to the original text which can act as a normative text as in the Law). If something simple such as the difference between letters in an alphabetic system can cause problems, can you imagine the havoc when translations of a book mainly written in Greek and Hebrew (and perhaps Aramaic) is translated into other languages? Talking about holy books, why is there no gospel called the "The Gospel According to Jesus" in the Bible that was revealed to Jesus?

Thanks for the time.

By asasin  on [January 6, 2010 6:13 PM](#)

those who really interested creating religious tense should be put to 'sleep'.

By Razor  on [January 6, 2010 6:05 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum dan salam sejahtera...

Merujuk kepada Tun, memang benar apa yg Tun huraikan mengenai isu ini. Isu yg mana boleh menggugat keharmonian Malaysia apabila ada pihak-pihak tertentu yg mahu menjatuhkan martabat Islam dan mengelirukan umat Islam terutama golongan muda yg masih mentah dan terari-cari identiti agama mereka.

Bukan niat saya unk mengapikan lagi isu ini tetapi lupakah kita pada konsep 3G yg dibawa oleh Portugis semasa mahu menguasai tanah Melayu pada kurun ke 15? 3G (Gold, Gospel and Glory). Menggunakan nama Allah bagi menggantikan perkataan GOD di dalam Herald sudah pasti akan mengelirukan semua orang. Konsep Trinity kristian akan disalah erti dan konsep ke-Esa-an Allah dalam Islam juga akan disalah erti. Kesannya, masyarakat akan hilang kepercayaan kepada agama.

Mungkin ada sesetengah pihak mengatakan ia cuma satu perkataan. Tapi sedarkah kita, api yg kecil boleh membakar sebuah bangunan. Impak dari penggunaan nama Allah dalam agama lain sangat besar pada masa hadapan. Kita mahu mencegah dari berlakunya kekeliruan, kes murtad dan mcm2 lagi yg mungkin timbul dari kerana satu perkataan ini.

Jangan menggunakan isu agama sesuka hati kerana ia merupakan satu isu yg sangat2 sensitif yg boleh menyebabkan pertumpahan darah seperti kes Natrah di Singapura dahulu. We live in Malaysia, we must respect each others. Jangan secara sengaja mahu mencari isu sensitif untuk publisiti murahan. Ia akan menjejaskan keharmonian yg kita sudah kecapi sejak 50 tahun dahulu.

Bagi orang bukan Islam, artikel ini bukan untuk menghalang kebebasan bersuara dan bukan menghalang dalam bentuk apa jua perkara. Tetapi artikel ini menyeru agar kita semua tidak kira orang Islam mahupun bukan Islam, bersatu padu dlm menjaga keharmonian dan keamanan negara. Untuk bersatu, kita perlu hormat menghormati dan saling faham memahami.

Jika anda mahu memahami Islam itu sendiri, tidak perlu menggunakan nama Allah bagi menggantikan perkataan GOD, tetapi carilah ulama-ulama atau pergi ke institut-institut dan jabatan-jabatan Islam yg berdaftar bagi mengetahui dan mengenali Islam itu sendiri dengan lebih terperinci. Saya bukan menyuruh anda menukar agama selepas itu kerana itu adalah hak anda, tetapi saya menyeru kerana mahu anda kenali Islam itu dan segala keindahan di dalamnya.

By kaw002  on [January 6, 2010 6:04 PM](#)

Firstly, it is a waster of tax-payer money with this court proceeding. Secondly, it is a waste of resources which can be gainfully utilize to develop Malaysia and aim towards a developed contry. To justify that the use of Allah can confuse Muslim is an insult to Malaysian Muslim. A devout Muslim knows the truth. It is about time the government to stop acting like a guardian and speak on behalf of other Muslim. Put your resources and time to good use.

By gunse007  on [January 6, 2010 6:03 PM](#)

i reply to this guys comment

By Khairuddin Muhamad on January 6, 2010 1:07 PM

1. Christianity is not a single religion like Islam. They consist of mainly Catholic, Protestant and Eastern Orthodox. All these denomination are western based denomination (respectively from Rome, Germany and Constantinople, present day Turkey)

if you say christianity does have different denomination, what about Islam with sunni, syiah, sufi, wahhabi, ahmaddiya etc.... over 30 types of IslaM....u can call them sesat or whatever.....

link http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Islamic_schools_and_branches

By ex-sic  on [January 6, 2010 4:38 PM](#)

Tun yang dihormati.

Benar, perkataan Allah tidak ada dalam Taurat atau Injil kerana perkataan Allah hanya wujud ketika Islam yang mana Al-Quran diturunkan di dalam bahasa Arab. Walau pun begitu, didalam terjemahan Bible dalam bahasa Indonesia yang telah diguna pakai oleh orang-orang di Malaysia timur God diterjemahkan sebagai Allah dan mereka telah menggunakan perkataan Allah lama sebelum merdeka lagi. Kita tidak tahu mengapa mereka menterjemah seperti itu.

Lama sebelum akhbar Herald menerbitkan versi bahasa Melayu mereka menggunakan nama Allah, orang-orang di Serawak sudah lama menggunakan nama Allah sebagai tuhan mereka walaupun dengan pengertian dan pemahaman yang jauh berbeza dengan Allah yang orang Islam sembah.

Soalnya mengapa pihak penerbitan akhbar berkenaan masih meneruskan penggunaan nama Allah dalam penerbitan mereka walaupun orang-orang Islam telah menunjukkan sikap tidak bersetuju sehingga mereka mencabarnya di mahkamah dan telah memihak kepada mereka.

Memang benar, penggunaan nama Allah adalah universal dan sesiapa saja boleh menggunakannya, tetapi oleh kerana nama Allah adalah hanya disebut dalam Al-Quran, maka sewajarnya kalimah Allah itu hanya untuk orang-orang Islam. Agama-agama samawi lain yahudi dan kristian hanya perlu menggunakan "Yahweh dan "God" sahaja.

saya percaya kesempatan ini diambil kerana mereka merasakan pada ketika ini Umat Islam di malaysia telah lemah akibat berpecah-belah. Jadi mereka tidak merasa gerun atau takut.

saya juga bersetuju dengan pendapat Tun bahawa hal ini tidak boleh diselesaikan dengan dimahkamah kerana ia boleh membawa kepada ketidakstabilan. Apa kata jika mahkamah rayuan memutuskan penggunaan nama Allah dibenarkan oleh akhbar tersebut. Bagaimana reaksi orang-orang Islam nanti dan bagaimana juga jika mahkamah rayuan melarang penggunaannya. Apakah reaksi orang-orang disabah dan Serawak.

Hal ini adalah amat sensitif..

By RA  on [January 6, 2010 4:11 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum WBT YBGH Tun,
Nampaknya ramai pihak yang respond terhadap isu menggunakan Kalimah "ALLAH" yang dibenarkan oleh mahkamah tinggi (Yang Arif Hakim Datuk Lau Bee Lan) baru-baru ini dalam penerbitan Herald The Catholic Weekly dan YBhg. Tun bangkitkan.

Pendapat dan pandangan Tun mungkin berasaskan sumber-sumber yang benar mengenai penggunaan Kalimah "ALLAH". Alangkah baik dan sempurnyanya jika semua hakim-hakim di Mahkamah Malaysia disamping mengendalikan kes-kes perundangan di mahkamah juga

berpengetahuan luas tentang aspek berbagai keagamaan yang wujud dan diamalkan di negara Malaysia sebagai garis panduan dalam menentukan sesuatu keputusan yang akan dibuat oleh mereka. Malaysia agak unik kerana dihuni oleh berbagai bangsa/etnik dan menganuti berbagai agama, budaya dan adat resam yang berlainan dan yang indahnya boleh menjalani kehidupan dengan aman damai. Memang sukar senario seperti di Malaysia boleh didapati persamaannya di negara-negara lain di dunia. Oleh itu hakim-hakim dan pihak-pihak yang arif tentang aspek perundangan di Malaysia mestilah peka terhadap sensitiviti agama yang diamalkan di Malaysia dalam mereka mengendalikan kes-kes yang berkaitan dengan perundangan yang menyentuh soal agama. Sekiranya sensitiviti keagamaan tidak diambil kira ianya boleh mengundang berbagai masalah dalam negara Malaysia dan sudah tentunya boleh menjurus kepada masalah pergeseran antara kaum yang berlainan anutan agama secara serius dan amat merbahaya. Memang benar seperti kata YBhg Tun bahawa mahkamah hanya mengambil kira dari sudut perundangan tanpa mengambil kira perkara-perkara lain yang mungkin wujud dan merubah suasana selepas sesuatu keputusan dibuat oleh sesuatu mahkamah. Institusi kehakiman mungkin harus meneliti secara mendalam dan mencari sesuatu kaedah yang baik bagi menangani isu-isu yang berhubungkait dengan keagamaan supaya dapat dihindarkan berlakunya perkara yang boleh menjadi isu sensitif oleh masyarakat berbagai anutan agama di Malaysia. Saya tidak bermaksud sama sekali tidak menghormati keputusan mahkamah yang telah membuat keputusan membenarkan kalimah suci "ALLAH" dalam penerbitan Herald The Catholic Weekly. Kita berusaha mencari jalan terbaik bagi negara dan rakyat Malaysia untuk terus hidup aman dan damai sepanjang zaman tanpa diganggu gugat oleh isu-isu sensitif yang boleh meruntuhkan keharmonian negara dan rakyat Malaysia.

Mungkin juga kerajaan Barisan Nasional dibawah kepimpinan YAB Dato' Seri Mohd Najib Tun Razak dengan bijaksana dan penuh hikmah dapat berusaha mencari sesuatu kaedah yang terbaik dan berkesan seperti mewujudkan undang-undang supaya sesiapaupun rakyat Malaysia tidak boleh atau dibenarkan sama sekali samada secara lisan atau bertulis atau berbagai cara yang lain mempertikaikan, memperlekehkan, mempersoalkan status dan kewibawaan sesuatu agama yang wujud dan menjadi anutan rakyat Malaysia. Semangat toleransi beragama, adat dan resam yang berlainan antara kaum di Malaysia dipertingkatkan. Tiada individu, pemimpin politik, ketua-ketua agama dibenarkan memainkan sentimen keagamaan dalam gerak kerja masing-masing. Ringkasnya jika ini dapat dijelmakan dan menjadi realiti dalam kehidupan rakyat Malaysia, insyaallah saya penuh yakin negara dan rakyat Malaysia akan terus aman dan maju.

Setiap kebebasan bersuara mestilah ada hadnya, supaya sensitiviti sesuatu kaum itu terus terpelihara dalam negara yang mengamalkan demokrasi seperti Malaysia. Demokrasi tidak bererti bebas secara mutlak untuk berkata, menulis dan bertindak yang boleh menjejaskan hubungan antara kaum yang berlainan anutan agama, budaya dan adat resam di Malaysia. Dewasa ini penyebaran maklumat dengan berbagai cara moden yang ada dari berbagai pihak samada individu, NGO dan parti-parti politik tertentu dengan bebas menyuarakan pandangan dan pendapat yang kadangkala terlalu sensitif, lebih-lebih lagi yang bersifat tanpa berasaskan fakta yang benar. Rakyat Malaysia pada umumnya sepatutnya sudah berfikir ditahap pemikiran nombor satu bukan Third Class Mentality selepas kita Merdeka lebih dari 52 tahun yang lalu. Berhujahlah secara profesional dan berintegriti dengan minda kelas pertama. Berpolitiklah secara matang, profesional dan berintegriti tinggi.

Isu agama tidak akan selesai dengan tuntas secara perundangan semata-mata seperti pandangan YBhg Tun. Toleransi kepimpinan berbagai agama di Malaysia boleh menyumbang kepada kesetabilan dan menyekat isu-isu agama dari terus berleluasa dipermainkan oleh pihak-pihak yang berkepentingan.

Sekian, terima kasih dan Wassalam YBhg Tun.

By [mae](#) on [January 6, 2010 3:40 PM](#)

1. After this, PAS and PKR would allow christians to pray in the mosque since christians also worship Allah.

2. The Christians in sabah / sarawak started to use Allah after Abdullah Munsyi told them that the translation for God in the English bible is Allah in Malay. This is a wrong info by Abdullah Munsyi. Christians in Sabah/sarawak should make correction if they really want to use Bahasa Melayu in their worship. The correct term to use is Tuhan.

By [nazrimalik](#) on [January 6, 2010 3:30 PM](#)

Nak cakap pasal agama, memang tak ada sapa2 akan menang. Tiap-tiap orang ada guru masing-masing dan taksub pada guru tersebut.

Tun bercakap apa yang dia tahu. Kalau Tun tersalah pendapat, why not? Haha!

Tak payah nak cakap pasal ugama lain, dalam Islam pun mcm2 pendapat.

Macamana orang dari ugama lain nak respek Islam. Asyik bergaduh semata-mata nak tunjukkan dia lebih Islam.

Kesimpulannya, tak ada orang yang lebih arif dalam agama masing-masing. Setiap individu ada falsafahnya tersendiri berkenaan dengan ugama dan kepercayaan masing-masing.

Najib pun silap. Najib sepatutnya panggil orang Herrald tu pi jumpa dia, cakap eloq2 bahwa apa2 keputusan yang akan dibuat mahkamah tidak akan membawa kebaikan kepada sesiapa.

Bila berperang soal agama, do or die! Tak ada keamanan.

What la Najib! Najib should do something wise when the matter still in early stage at court. Careless Najib!

nm

By [promelayu](#) on [January 6, 2010 3:20 PM](#)

Salam Tun

Mahkamah Tinggi Kuala Lumpur membenarkan permohonan Peguam Negara (AG) untuk menangguhkan perintah yang membenarkan penggunaan kalimah Allah dalam penerbitan mingguan Herald-The Catholic Weekly sementara menunggu rayuan di Mahkamah Rayuan

By [Melayu Allah Sarawak](#) on [January 6, 2010 3:03 PM](#)

Kami golongan Melayu di Sarawak sudah lama mengamalkan sikap toleransi, multiracial harmony di kalangan orang Kristian Iban, Kenyah, Kayan, Berawan, Kelabit, Bidayuh, Melanau,

Kiput, Kajangs, Kejapils, Penan, Punan, Bukitan, Suluk, Sambas, Cina, India. Ini puak minoriti yang mengamalkan perkataan Allah dalam doa mingguan mereka dengan perkataan Allah.

Malah kami Melayu di Sarawak ini, tiada masalah langsung jika agama lain hendak menggunakan perkataan ALLAH untuk maksud Tuhan mereka. Kami Melayu Sarawak tak nak patuh dengan pendirian dan pemikiran sempit org Melayu Semenanjung yang kononnya memperjuangkan dan menjadi jaguh orang Islam and merungkaikan isu yang remeh ini. Izinkan saya Tun:

1.The word “ALLAH” is not exclusively for ISLAM.It CAN be used by all ABRAHAMIC RELIGION such as ISLAM,CHRISTIANITY and JEWS

2.The word “ALLAH” itself literally mean “GOD”

3.Even in Arabs speaking countries,CHRISTIANS are using the same word

4.In fact we were all CONVERTS,regardless you are CHRISTIAN or ISLAM as these 2 Abrahamic Religion itself originated from the Middle East for which we are TECHNICALLY IMPORTED the Holy Quran,Holy Bible from there

5.There is another 99 name of GOD other than ALLAH

6.Even during Prophet Muhammad days inn Mecca,CHRISTIANS and JEWS were using the exact word in their Prayer and Worshipping

7.There is no discrimination or supression agaistn the Christians and Jews during Prophet Muhammad Days in Mecca eventhough ISLAM is the Majority

8.Christians and Jews were given Freedom of Religous during the Great Islams Era and ISLAM itself has never ever force those Non Islam to follow their teaching and forced them to convert into Islam

9.In Islamic perspective,we are all brother and sisters in the context of we are all descendant of Adam and Eve which being create by God

10.In the context of Malaysia,we are not Arab speaking countries and we had to use Holy Quran in its original language which is Arab

11.There is only one GOD by whatever names that we might call HIM

12.Islam believe in one God which is Allah

13.Islam believe in Muhammad as the Messenger Of Allah

14.Islam believe in all 25 Prophets including Jesus Christ as Messenger Of Allah

15.Islam believe that to denied Jesus Christ is equivalent to denied Allah itself

16.Islam believe that “Bahawa aku naik saksi tiada Tuhan lain selain Allah dan Muhammad itu Pesuruh Allah””

17.There is no verse in the Holy Quran which said that only Muslims can use the word Allah

18. But what that make ISLAM and CHRISTIANS differ was our UNDERSTANDING of God (Allah)

19. In CHRISTIANITY, we believe in the Holy Trinity which is The Father, Son and the Holy Spirit

20. But in ISLAM, "Allah itu tidak besekutu dan tidak di sekutukan"

21. These two are the MAJOR differences between ISLAM and CHRISTIANITY

Islam tidak melarang penggunaan perkataan Allah di kalangan bukan Islam tetapi dengan syarat, konteks penggunaan itu tidak boleh secara langsung dan tidak langsung nya menimbulkan kekeliruan dan menjurus kepada kesesatan kepada umat Islam.

Apa yang yang dikhuatiri adalah pemahaman tentang Allah itu boleh terpesong kerana dlm Agama Kristian, mereka percaya kepada konsep Holy Trinity yang mana dlm erti kata lain, Allah itu ada sekutu dan di sekutukan

Tetapi dari segi Islam, Allah itu hanya satu dan tiada sekutu ataupun di sekutukan. Oleh itu, ini lah yang menimbulkan kebimbangan jika penggunaan perkataan Allah tersebut oleh bukan Islam, boleh memesongkan akidah umat Islam jikalau salah dari segi PENGUNAAN nya.

Walaupun begitu, soal pokok nya di sini adalah AKIDAH dan PEMAHAMAN seseorang ISLAM tentang agama nya sendiri.

Penggunaan perkataan Allah oleh bukan Islam tidak membawa apa-apa kesan kepada umat Islam jikalau umat Islam itu sendiri tinggi AKIDAH nya

Di pihak umat Islam sendiri, pendidikan akidah Islam tidak ditekankan secara yang sepatutnya. Kita lebih banyak menumpukan soal fekah sehingga melupai pokok yang lebih penting iaitu akidah.

Inilah sebabnya ramai orang melayu sekalipun bersolat, ada yang mudah terpengaruh dengan khurafat, bomoh, tahyul dan seumpamanya.

PEACE BE WITH YOU ALL

By shameed  on [January 6, 2010 3:00 PM](#)

1. What Chedet meant was that the Bible and Torah in its ORIGINAL language did not refer to word "Allah" as God.

2. Allah is a word for God in Arab speaking countries. So of course the Arabic translation of these holy books will refer to God as Allah.

3. And this why and rightfully so, we see the use of word Allah as God in this region.

4. But this argument is not relevant in Malaysia. Why use the word Allah in Malay translation? If you are translating a holy book to Malay language, then you need to use Malay word which is "Tuhan". Allah is an Arabic word not Malay. May be I can absorb that if there are translating an Arabic translated Bible to Malay. But I doubt it.

5. The word Allah is not the right word because you are not translating the holy book to Arabic but Malay! The Muslims use the word Allah purely for Muslims God in Malaysia.
6. Nobody is claiming the word Allah exclusively for Muslims use. Anybody can use it as long as it makes sense like in Arabic speaking countries. To use the word Allah in Malaysia for Christian God does not make sense.
7. I agree this can cause confusion and can be very easily see as an attempt to undesired agendas (regardless its true or not).
8. We need to face the fact all of us do not have the same level of education, exposure and environment. So, a "confident" Muslim is very subjective and dependedent on many factors.
9. Do you think the Christian missionaries in suburban African nations will accept if Muslims missionaries to use the word Jesus as God in thier "dakwah" activities with the local people there?

By azrulaziz  on [January 6, 2010 2:58 PM](#)

Salam Tun & lain-lain,

Keputusan Mahkamah berkenaan jelas menunjukkan Hakim yang memberi keputusan tersebut gagal melihat dari sudut yang lain. Tidak dinafikan sekiranya berdasarkan perundangan perlembagaan, ianya adalah hak semua pihak untuk menggunakan perkataan "ALLAH" tetapi sekiranya dilihat dari sudut teologi, ianya tidak sama. Namun keputusan Mahkamah perlu dihormati dan memandangkan tindakan akhbar Herald adalah menerusi perundangan maka penyelesaian perlu dibuat dengan cara yang sama, yakni Notis Rayuan harus difailkan dengan segera (ini menerusi tindakan undang-undang) bagi meyelesaikan isu yang dibangkitkan kini.

Namun jika dilihat dari sudut lain pula, ianya bergantung kepada kekuatan ummah di Malaysia, perlunya ada kesedaran tauhid betapa isu ini boleh mengakibatkan goyangnya ummah serta tauhid masyarakat islam kini sekiranya isu ini berlarutan. Selain itu, ianya juga boleh menyebabkan ramai penganut agama lain akan mengkaji makna sebenar "ALLAH" itu juga, mungkin ini boleh menyedarkan golongan penganut agama lain sedar betapa besarnya agama Islam dan faham apa itu Islam, insyallah.

Wasalam.

By irasyd  on [January 6, 2010 2:46 PM](#)

Ybhg Tun,

Saya terpenggil semula untuk menambah tulisan saya setelah membaca beberapa akbar harian negara pagi ini.

Antaranya daily express dari Sabah dan NST. Dalam DE di muka depan seorang pemimpin negara menyentuh " In English service, he said the term used is God while in kadazandusub, "Kinoingan" and in Bahasa Melayu as " Allah ". Allah adalah tuhan dalam bahasa Arab, Allah ini nama tuhan ia jadi kata Arab sebagai erti tuhan kerana Islam di turunkan di negeri Arab dan kepada orang Arab ia itu nabi Muhammad BPUH. Istillah Allah ini kata-kata

Allah yang merujuk kepada DIA (Allah) sebagai tuhan satunya yang esah yang wajib di sembah oleh semua bukan sahaja manusia tetapi mahluk di alam ini.

Jadi kalau nak memberikan service/dakwa dalam bahasa melayu gunakan Tuhan instead of Allah, kerana Allah itu tuhan dalam Islam yang kesucian dan keesahannya terpilih dalam surah alkaafirun. Dalam NST hari ini seorang pemimpin berkata " If the arguement is to use the word "Allah" because the bible needs to be translated into the national language and sermons are also conducted in the national language, why insist on using " Allah"? You can use the word " Tuhan" and it would still mean God. Saya bersetuju dengan syor pemimpin ini gunakan Tuhan instead of " Allah ".

Itu tambahan yang saya nak berikan terima kasih.

By [samuraimelayu](#) on [January 6, 2010 2:38 PM](#)

SALAM KASIH DAN SAYANG
AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN
IZINKAN,

By wydwy Author Profile Page on January 6, 2010 1:05 AM

SamuraiMelayu,

WHY WAS THERE NO MENTION OF THE WORD 'ALLAH' BEFORE THE COMING OF
PROPHET MUHAMMAD AND THE HOLY BOOK AL-QURAN?

Please check history again. The name 'Allah' was used before by the pagan Arabs, before
Muhammad (s.a.w.) was a Muslim.

From one Malay to another: do some homework and get some English lessons.

MAY WE ASKED WHICH HISTORY BOOK AND ITS AUTHOR, PLEASE SDR. WYDWY.

AND IF THE NAME 'ALLAH' HAD EXISTED LONG BEFORE NABI MUHAMMAD S.A.W
WAS A MUSLIM (ONE WONDERS HOW MUHAMMAD S.A.W. CONVERT HIMSELF),
CUD YOU TELL US WHICH DEITY (AMONGST THE MANY DEITIES THE PAGAN
ARABS WORSHIPPED) WAS KNOWN AS 'ALLAH' OR IS THE NAME 'ALLAH'
HAPPENED TO BE ANOTHER NOUN OF THE ARABS THEN AND ADAPTED BY
MUHAMMAD S.A.W. WHEN THE VERSES OF HOLY QURAN WAS 'CONVEYED' TO
HIM BY ARCHANGEL 'JIBRIL'?...NAUZUBILLAH

PLEASE CLARIFY AND GUIDE THIS CONFUSED 'SAMURAIMELAYU' IN HIS
HOMEWORK.

TIADA TUHAN YANG KU SEMBAH MELAINKAN 'ALLAH' DAN MUHAMMAD(S.A.W)
ITU PESURUH ALLAH!

ALFATIIHAH, AMIN.

By L_J  on [January 6, 2010 2:34 PM](#)

Actually Tun (yg saya memang hormati), the true point of the matter has been elucidated by you more honestly (yet politically correctly) than others. It is not a question of whether others can use the word Allah. Certainly you have already conceded - one way or another - that others have the right to do so. In Singapore, Indonesia, Philippines, Sabah, Sarawak, the Arab world & the West (I mean the rest of the world), non-Muslims who are inclined to, can and do use the word without fear of anything (including confusing those hapless Muslims who are so dim that they might be obfuscated). Even your daughter - who should take inspiration from you - has gotten the point so wisely.

It is only in Semenanjung (Tanah Melayu) that the powerful Malay/Muslim/UMNO faction wishes to impose the ban, which after all is a show of force to back the myth and fallacy (so clearly disproved) of "Ketuanan Melayu".

It must sadden many (of a certain ilk) that PAS has pulled the carpet under Najib/UMNO by categorically declaring that the High Court Judgment was right and that those of the Abrahamic faith have every right in the appropriate context to use the word. Compare that with he who called you racist and the disgusting words that have been uttered by him. (You should finish him off on this alone, Tun).

In the Peninsula the use of the word has nothing to do with religion but everything to do with politics – as you well know – and so you recommend (astutely) that executive and legislative action needs to be taken (given that in law, religion and morality the High Court judge was right).

But the truth does slap you in the face (and your daughter feels it) and shouts: Why is that the whole of the Islamic community in the world is un-offended by the use of the sacred word by non-Muslims, save a very backward, dependent and sinful (rape, incest, drug addiction, rasuah, prostitution, pedophilia & so on) group of people (a rump really) of the Islamic faith?

If you can answer that question honestly, you are a good man and Muslim.

Happy New Year, Tun

LJ

By ekompute  on [January 6, 2010 2:32 PM](#)

"Penyelesaian kontroversi berkenaan kalimah "Allah" juga tidak boleh dicapai dengan membuat rayuan kepada mahkamah rayuan. Undang-undang tidak mengambilkira soal sensitif atau tidaknya sesuatu, soal mencetuskan ketegangan dan permusuhan antara penganut-penganut agama yang berlainan. Undang-undang mengutamakan maksud undang-undang sahaja."

Maaf Tun, sedikit penjelasan tentang apa yang sudah saya katakan tadi. Nabi Muhammad (s.a.w.) berkata dalam perjalanan haji terakhirnya: "Wahai manusia, ketahuilah bahawa Tuhan kamu adalah satu, dan bapa kamu juga adalah satu."

Allah ialah Tuhan. Kalau bahasa Melayu menegaskan bahawa kalimah "Allah" hanya dikhususkan untuk Melayu dan "Tuhan" untuk agama lain, adakah itu bermaksud adanya lebih daripada satu tuhan?

By Rentap80  on [January 6, 2010 2:26 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

The word "Allah" in Arabic can never be equal to the word "Elaw" or "Elli" in Aramaic. Allah is the name for God in Arabic, while "Elaw" or "Elli" or Elah" actually means "God" in Aramaic or simply Tuhan. Allah does not mean "God" in Arabic.

Now imagine in future where we have an Islamic magazine saying Allah prohibits eating pork and then at same time the Herald says Allah allows pork to be eaten??

By sinister  on [January 6, 2010 2:26 PM](#)

Dear All,

The more I read comments in this blog, there more I ask myself if people (muslim or non muslim) really understand the message that Tun had tried to convey.

"Penyelesaian kontroversi berkenaan kalimah "Allah" juga tidak boleh dicapai dengan membuat rayuan kepada mahkamah rayuan. Undang-undang tidak mengambilkira soal sensitif atau tidaknya sesuatu, soal mencetuskan ketegangan dan permusuhan antara penganut-penganut agama yang berlainan. Undang-undang mengutamakan maksud undang-undang sahaja"

As Tun has suggested, this matter should be dealt outside of court and in closed door session as it may cause disunity among malaysian.

Look at list of comments so far, people have their own opinions and put their thots even if it will cause disunity. For example

Sarawakian Allah wrote "Not in Malaysia, because we know most Muslims are less qualified in becoming a Catholic Christians. It's too easy for one to enrol into Islam, but far to difficult to achieve being a Catholic Christians."

What did this blogger talking about? Did he/she knows and understand about Islam to write such a lowly comments about Islam? Has the Al-mighty (which ever name that we want to call) pass His judged on his/her own faith as such he/she can now judge others as not qualified?

Come on, religion is about faith and not about qualification. Faith is judged by Al-mighty and not by human. No matter what religion we are practising, we must first not to belittle others.

I'm a Muslim and ISLAM is beautiful, simple and it's a way of life. Jesus or Nabi Isa is one of our prophet (not God) and as written in Quran, Jesus or Nabi Isa will return to earth to lead us before the Judgement Day. Islam and Christian are almost similar but the HUGE different is devotion of Jesus in the eye of the follower. Using Allah as translation will cause confusion if this issue not well treated or be manipulated.

Just to share the story of Muhammad P.B.U.H during one of his outing in those year. While looking for a place to pray, the priest offered him a place in the church to perform his pray. He thanks the priest but politely refuse. He finally pray somewhere else at the open area. His reason

to the priest is that, he did not want to give the "perception" to the muslim that it is ok for them to pray in church eventhough that they are practising Islam.

In this context using the word "Allah" will give the perception that Jesus or Nabi Isa is the Al-Mighty. Corruption is caused by people like you and me and not by the religion itself.

By Poh  on [January 6, 2010 2:21 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

I have earlier said that we should not be talking about this subject as it would not bring food to the table of the poor.

When we keep talking about it, it becomes more complicated. For e.g. how do you reconcile the word "Tuhan" in our National Anthem? If you substitute the word 'Tuhan' with "Allah", then the non-muslim cannot sing it as it is forbidden for them to use the word. What is the solution?

By [islah din](#)  on [January 6, 2010 2:13 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun dan para pembaca.

isu kalimah Allah ini nampaknya begitu hangat, jadi, saya juga merasa terpanggil untuk memberi pendapat. dalam blog Tun.

Sejauh yang berkenaan dengan istilah agama Islam, semuanya adalah datang daripada Allah Ta'ala, bukannya ciptaan mana-mana ulama atau pemimpin. Dan Allah Ta'ala tidak menetapkan kepada mana-mana kelompok dengan suatu istilah khas. Allah adalah Rabbul Alamin, iaitu Tuhan sekalian alam. Dan tidak ada persoalan bahawa suatu kaum itu boleh menggunakan suatu istilah dari Allah Ta'ala dan kaum yang lain dilarang keras untuk menggunakannya.

Ajaran Islam adalah ajaran untuk semua kaum, namun tidak semua umat Islam mengetahuinya. Allah Ta'ala berfirman dalam Al-Qur'an:-

“Dan tiadalah Kami mengutus kamu, melainkan untuk (menjadi) rahmat bagi semesta alam. (Al-Anbiya:107)

Rasulullah(saw) menyebarkan ajaran yang dibawanya iaitu menyeru ke arah ketakwaan, dan setiap orang bukan sahaja diberikan kebenaran untuk beramal, malah mereka juga dijemput untuk berbuat seperti itu. Allah Ta'ala berfirman di dalam Al-Qur'an:-

Katakanlah: "Hai Ahli Kitab, marilah (berpegang) kepada suatu kalimat (ketetapan) yang tidak ada perselisihan antara kami dan kamu. (Ali-Imran:64)

Di sini tidak istilah tiru-meniru, agar tidak ada perselisihan faham, supaya ada kerjasama antara satu dengan yang lain. Inilah maksud daripada Rahmatul Lil Alamin, iaitu menyebarluaskan rahmat ke seluruh dunia. Rahmat Tuhan meliputi setiap lapisan.

Jika isu penggunaan kalimah atau istilah ini berterusan, yang mana harus ada kebenaran dari jabatan agama sebelum menggunakannya, maka orang Yahudi akan menyatakan bahawa Nabi Ibrahim adalah pengasas kepercayaan kami, dan Al-Qur'an menegaskan kebenarannya iaitu

istilah 'Islam' telah digunakan oleh Nabi Ibrahim buat pertama kalinya. Begitu juga dengan istilah 'Muslim'. Di dalam Al-Qur'an dinyatakan bahawa:-

"Ibrahim bukan seorang Yahudi dan bukan (pula) seorang Nasrani, akan tetapi dia adalah seorang yang lurus (Haneef) lagi berserah diri (Muslim) dan sekali-kali bukanlah dia termasuk golongan orang-orang musyrik. (Ali-Imran:67)

Jadi, tidak boleh orang Kristian menyatakan diri mereka Muslim dan orang Islam juga tidak boleh mengatakan diri mereka Muslim. Mereka harus minta kebenaran orang Yahudi untuk mengatakan diri mereka sebagai Muslim.

Persoalan yang timbul pula, kenapa kita harus meminta izin daripada orang Yahudi? Inilah soalan yang asas.

Semua istilah ini adalah kepunyaan Tuhan, dan Allah yang Maha Agung memberitahu kita bahawa setiap perkara yang baik boleh dilakukan tanpa halangan. Tidak ada larangan atas sesiapa untuk meniru sesuatu yang baik.

Kemudian Allah Ta'ala juga berfirman:-

"Ya Tuhan kami, jadikanlah kami berdua orang yang tunduk patuh (Muslim) kepada Engkau dan (jadikanlah) di antara anak cucu kami umat yang tunduk patuh (Muslim) kepada Engkau. (Al-Baqarah:128)

Sebenarnya keimanan kita adalah bermula pada masa Nabi Muhammad(saw). Namun, semua keturunan nabi Ibrahim, termasuk orang yang hidup sebelum zaman Rasulullah(saw), mereka adalah Muslim berdasarkan Al-Qur'an.

Allah Ta'ala berfirman lagi:-

"(Ikutilah) agama orang tuamu Ibrahim. Dia (Allah) telah menamai kamu sekalian orang-orang muslim. (Al-Hajj:78)

Maksudnya, sesiapa pun yang menghubungkan dirinya kepada nabi Ibrahim berhak menamakan diri mereka sebagai Muslim dan tidak ada satu kuasa pun di dunia ini yang patut melarangnya.

Kemudian, Allah Ta'ala berfirman lagi:-

"Berkata ia (Balqis): "Hai pembesar-pembesar, sesungguhnya telah dijatuhkan kepadaku sebuah surat yang mulia. (An-Naml:29)

Sesungguhnya surat itu, dari Sulaiman dan sesungguhnya (isi)nya: "Dengan nama Allah Yang Maha Pemurah lagi Maha Penyayang. (An-Naml:30)

Di sini juga adalah satu perkara yang harus diberi perhatian. Orang Yahudi akan menyatakan bahawa sebenarnya kami adalah Muslim dan lafaz Bismillaahir Rahmaanir Rahiim adalah milik kami.

Dan, akhir sekali Allah berfirman:-

"Bahwa janganlah kamu sekalian berlaku sombong terhadapku dan datanglah kepadaku sebagai orang-orang yang berserah diri (Muslim)". (An-Naml:31)

Kemudian, Allah Ta'ala berfirman lagi:-

"Orang-orang yang berkuasa atas urusan mereka berkata: "Sesungguhnya kami akan mendirikan sebuah rumah peribadatan (masjid) di atas nama mereka". (Al-Kahfi:21)

Ayat ini menjadi bukti bahawa istilah masjid telah digunakan oleh orang Kristian dan itu menandakan istilah masjid adalah milik orang Kristian. Jadi, jikalau lafaz masjid milik orang Kristian dan lafaz Muslim serta bismillah adalah milik orang Yahudi, orang Islam harus berhenti menggunakan istilah itu.

Demikian jugalah dalam isu penggunaan lafaz Allah dalam agama lain. Saya secara peribadi tidak keberatan jika orang Kristian menggunakan perkataan Allah. Sebab saya tidak akan terpengaruh dengan hanya panggilan nama Tuhan Allah. Dan saya sendiri telah dan sedang mempelajari Al-Kitab (LEMBAGA ALKITAB INDONESIA), dan di dalamnya banyak lafaz Allah digunakan, tetapi tidak juga menggugat keimanan saya untuk mengikuti ajaran Kristian, malah semakin ghairah untuk membetulkan kesalahfahaman mengenai konsep ketuhanan dalam Kristian.

Sebenarnya, dalam soal ini saya tidak jumpa alasan apa yang digunakan orang Islam sehingga melarang penggunaan istilah oleh penganut agama lain. Bagi saya, istilah hanya sebutan di mulut sahaja. Kalau penggunaan istilah Allah oleh agama lain itu dikatakan menghina Islam, saya tidak setuju selagi pengguna itu sendiri yang menyatakannya demikian sebab tidak semua ajaran Kristian percaya kepada konsep Trinititi. Ada juga kelompok Kristian yang percaya kepada konsep keesaan Tuhan.

Jadi, sebagai orang Islam, jangan kita terlalu ghairah sehingga membuat berbagai-bagai kenyataan yang boleh mengundang kekacauan. Islam adalah agama perdamaian.

Sebenarnya, dalam tidak sedar, ramai umat Islam yang telah menghina Tuhan sendiri. Tidak kiralah orang Islam itu berpangkat datuk, datin, menteri, orang awam atau bangsawan, ringkasnya, amalan yang tidak berlandaskan Al-Qur'an dan Sunnah Rasulullah, mereka boleh dikategorikan sebagai menghina Allah.

Gambaran Allah dalam beberapa penganut Islam sendiri banyak yang silap. Contoh yang terdekat dan sehari-hari yang dilakukan ialah dalam lafaz niat ketika mendirikan solat. Ada beberapa orang imam yang saya jumpa menyatakan bahawa, jika tidak baca lafaz USALLI dalam solat, tidak sah, sebab ibarat kita pergi jumpa kawan mahu punjam barang, kalau kita tidak cakap, kawan itu tidak tahu apa yang kita mahu. Sebab itu solat pun demikian, kita mesti nyatakan tujuan kita berdiri, nak solat apa, berapa rakaat, mengadap kemana, dll. Saya jawab: tuan imam jangan silap, tuan tidak boleh samakan Tuhan dengan manusia. Tuhan Maha Mengetahui.

Tentang isu ini, janganlah dibesar-besarkan isu seperti ini. Jangan merasa kecewa dengan keputusan hakim. Sebagai orang Islam, kita harus berpegang pada Al-Qur'an, hayati surah Al-

Ikhlas. Serahkan kepada Allah, kalau memang mereka mahu guna perkataan Allah itu, biarkanlah. Mudah-mudahan dengan berkat kata Zat ALLAH itu, hati mereka terbuka untuk menerima Islam.

Dan juga, untuk memperjelaskan suasana, adalah lebih baik kita bersemuka dengan orang Kristian bagi mencari jalan perdamaian. undang-undang tetap undang-undang, apa pun keputusan undang-undang dunia itu, ia tidak akan mengubah kebenaran agama Islam, tidak akan mengubah Tuhan orang Islam. Islam ini milik Allah, tidak akan ada kuasa yang boleh menewaskannya, cuma penganutnya yang banyak kesilapan sehingga mengundang banyak sengketa sesama sendiri.

Tuhan Allah itu adalah Tuhan semua manusia, Tuhan Nabi Muhammad, Tuhan Nabi Isa, Tuhan Nabi Musa, Tuhan Nabi Ibrahim dan Tuhan setiap makhluk di seluruh alam. Cuma ada manusia yang tidak atau belum dapat menerima hakikat. Tuhan Allah bukan hanya milik orang Islam sahaja. Tetapi itulah Tuhan yang kekal abadi. Yang Awal dan Yang Akhir. Jadi, jangan cuba untuk monopoli.

Kepada penganut Kristian pula, jangan terlalu berbangga dengan keputusan hakim. Jika Tuhan kita memang sama, Allah yang Maha Esa, kamu semua harus menerima Islam, sebab itu yang diinginkan oleh nabi Isa. Sesungguhnya sang penghibur itu sudah datang. Dan banyak yang dikatakan kepada kamu apa-apa yang belum disampaikan kepada kamu selama nabi Isa hidup. Nabi Isa sudah wafat. Jangan pergi terlalu jauh, sebab jika kamu semakin jauh dari ajaran agama yang benar, suatu masa nanti jangan sampai merasa seperti yang pernah dirasakan oleh Nabi Isa dahulu; Eli Eli, lama sabakhtani, Tuhanku, kenapa Engkau meninggalkan aku.

Tidak ada paksaan dalam agama, jikalau orang Kristian boleh berdamai, kenapa orang Islam yang menganut agama perdamaian tidak datang secara damai.

Ini hanyalah pendapat dari seorang hamba Allah yang amat lemah.
wassalam.

By Tahir Jumat  on [January 6, 2010 1:56 PM](#)

Salam TUN,

How many times have the bible been revised???

This is the latest revision that is taking place right before their very eyes. Human intervention has corrupted the bible from almost day one more so after the council of Nicea, presided by a pagan ruler.

So catholics and christian please do more research on this topic in order to see what has been done the bible all this while.

How many version of the bible are there today? Numerous, unaccountable, and they keep revising it day after day,

Are these works Gods work or Human?

In Islam there is only one Quran, in Arabic read by billions today even though they may not be Arabs. Translation aplenty, but the original revealed to The Prophet MUHAMMAD by Angel Jibril still stand today as it was more than 1400 yrs ago.

Attempts have been made by zionist element to distort the Quran, but Allah promise that Allah will protects it. Proven so many times.

A few weeks ago the world celebrated christmas, no its not Jesus birthday, its the birthday of one of the Roman god namely the Sun god (Mithra) It has nothing to do with Jesus.

Get the point, you have been deceived, by who else if not the west themselves.

After all who would want to listen to a Malay talking about Jesus and religion, a race that has been look down upon by the non Malays for centuries.

But unfortunately when it comes out of the mouth of orang putih you took it wholeheartedly assuming it was God that was talking to you.

It still happens today in many aspects of our life. Prejudice is everywhere.

Do make an effort to study and compare the position of Jesus from the Islamic point of view too.

May you be enlighten by it.

SALAM TUN

By [Wallace](#) on [January 6, 2010 1:51 PM](#)

Tun,

I was think this "Allah" is just ridiculous problem and became politic games for sure.If u respect and believe something,it was no need matter what people said.

Does any politician know that more thing was that we need worry?While all politician fight for their own interest rather than Malaysian Interest.

Does Malaysia will be truly safe to leave at future?I am worry my kids specially my daughter will be not safe to leave at Malaysia anymore.

For me,i really don't like Malaysia politician .Why?Everyone is fight for their own interest.Self fish and to protect their self only.Their have been forget why their was voted at Election whether PR or BN is same.

We are disappointed and worry.....Why Malaysia will be change?

Regards,
Wallace

By zainorina on [January 6, 2010 1:34 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

1. Saya cadangkan agar pihak KDN menggunakan pendapat/fakta yang dinyatakan oleh Tun di mahkamah nanti. ringkas dan jelas untuk difahami semasa menghujahkan kes ini.

2. orang melayu/islam sekarang ini, perlu bersatu. ini peluang terbaik untuk menyatupadu kembali. bersatu kita teguh, bercerai kita roboh.

3. ahli politik umno perlu pandai menggunakan isu ini untuk menyeru orang melayu/islam kembali bersatu.

By nazrimalik on [January 6, 2010 1:27 PM](#)

Tun, please make a come back! Yes, Tun is somewhere in the 80's, so what?! Even Michael Schumacher is back racing. Come on Tun!

Saya dah tak tau apa nak cakap dengan kerajaan yang ada sekarang...

Saya dah tak tau apa nak cakap pasal Najib...

Adalah lebih aman diri kalau saya tak mau ambik tau hal-hal mcm ni, KALAU BOLEH!

Tubuh parti politik baru je Tun. Sudah 1,000 kali saya dah cadangkan hal ni pd Tun.

TAPI saya rasa Tun sedang timbang perkara ni (hahaha!)sbb keadaan politik Malaysia semakin mengarut!

Whatever!

nm

By Tahir Jumat  on [January 6, 2010 1:14 PM](#)

Salam TUN,

Muslim, please do not let yourself be confused by this episode.

The catholics and christian alike are already confused long time back as far as way back to the day after the council of Nicea decides what to include or exclude from the bible. Human intervention is involves in the present day bible. check it out to confirm for yourself.

So dont fall into the trap, beware

Wassalam TUN DR MAHATHIR. and TUN DR SITI HASMAH

By [Khairuddin Muhamad](#)  on [January 6, 2010 1:07 PM](#)

Salam My beloved Tun.

Indeed I feel honored to be able to write here although there is no guarantee that you will read my comment. What can I expect? You have millions of fans who follow your thought no matter whether they are friends of foes.

Allow me to comment about the usage of kalimah Allah.

1. Christianity is not a single religion like Islam. They consist of mainly Catholic, Protestant and Eastern Orthodox. All these denomination are western based denomination (respectively from Rome, Germany and Constantinople, present day Turkey) . In their respective Bible (yes, they have differentt version of Bible), they use the word God, a noun. It translated to 'tuhan' in Malay and Bahasa Indonesia and 'rabb' in Arabic. Since the word 'god' is a noun, it comes with plural 'gods', tuhan-tuhan and 'arbaab'in Arabic.

2. The word of 'Allah' is a proper noun, kata nama khas (like Mahathir, Kuala Lumpur, Bahasa Melayu etc). It must not be translated per se. That's why there is no plural form for Allah. (Allah-Allah, Allahs ??) However, Christian Arab who predated Islam use the word Allah to refer to their god. Their usage is exceptional and allowed to be used in Arab Islamic context since they are all aware the meaning and the indication. After all, Arab Christians are minority and they fully respect Islamic faith and live with Muslims in peace, side by side for centuries. They even used Bismillah in their Bible. Christian Arab mainly consist of Coptic (Egypt), Maronite (Syria) and Chaldean (Iraq).

3. Chatholic did not come from Middle East and di not derived from Arab Christianity and did not use Arabic. Why they want to use the word Allah? Chatholic in the West never champion to use the word of Allah. They even refuse it for fear of being labelled as pro Islam. Does Pope ever use the word 'Allah' to refer to 'God'?

4. What is their loss if they just maintain the word 'tuhan' as they have been using before? It's nothing to lose because Christianity does not have a specific liturgical language. It can be in any language. That's why Bible is translated to many languages. Unlike Islam, Arabic is the sole liturgical language used in prayer, Quran recital, azan etc. The word 'tuhan' and 'god' carries the same meaning and effect for Christians, while 'tuhan' and 'Allah' carries different meaning for Muslim. For Muslims, Allah is the name, 'tuhan' is the status. The matter is much clearer if we translate the first verse of Al Fatihah of The Holy Quran.

ALHAMDU LILLAHI RABBIL ALAMIN

Segala puji bagi ALLAH, iaitu TUHAN sekalian alam.

bukannya:

segala puji bagi Tuhan, iaitu Tuhan sekalian alam, atau

segala puji bagi Allah, iaitu Allah sekalian alam.

So, why Chatholic are insisting in using the word 'Allah'? (Knowing that this will surely cause confusion and discomfort to Muslims). For Muslims, this move is cunning and ada udang di sebalik batu.

5. In surah Al Ikhlas, Quran states: Katakanlah (Wahai Muhammad) Dialah Allah yang Maha Esa (Tunggal, Satu), Allah tempat tumpuan segala hajat. Dia tidak beranak dan tidak diberanakkan. Dan Dia tidak mempunyai bandingan satupun.

Apa kata bila orang Kristian kata Allah ada anak? Adakah ini tidak menimbulkan keraguan tentang penggunaan Allah? Allah having son is an insult to Islam. Or we should say there are two version of Allah? Just use the word 'tuhan' my my dear. Your god will understand it. He never ask you to use the word 'Allah'.

Khairuddin Muhamad

islamsyukri@yahoo.com

By OrangLama  on [January 6, 2010 1:07 PM](#)

Salam,

Orang Islam mesti ikut keputusan Majlis Fatwa Kebangsaan. Boleh dengar pandangan ulama itu dan ulama ini tetapi keputusan muktamad ialah dari Mmajlis Fatwa kebangsaan.

Maka kerajaan boleh menasihatkan Majlis Raja2 yang mempunyai kuasa dalam adat istiadat agama dan orang Melayu menggunakan fatwa Majlis Fatwa Kebangsaaan sebagai asas menghalang sesiapa pun bawa kes ini ke Mahkamah.

Mungkin nanti kalau Pakatan rakyat menang, habis semua ahli Majlis Fatwa Kebangsaan teridir dari orang PAS kot dengan penasihat undang2 dari parti rakan?

By DIANA M.J  on [January 6, 2010 12:40 PM](#)

Salam Tun dan keluarga.

SYABAS TUN PENDAPAT YANG BAGUS UNTUK D JADIKAN PANDUAN.TP YANG PELIKNYER KENAPA SAUDARA ANWAR IBRAHIM DAN NIK AZIZ SERTA PENGIKUTNYER TAK KOMEN APA2 KAN.

By MissMurray  on [January 6, 2010 12:35 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

I am sad with your very shallow statement on this issue. For a man if your knowledge and experience you have provided a very poor statement and worse of all the facts about the Catholic religion is near wrong.

Go to the Middle East (I am sure you have been there) and mingle with also the non-muslim minority in those countries. The Catholics in Jordan for example call God Allah and they also say Insya-Allah, Ya-Allah and Allah is Great just the way a Muslim here does. However, they are from the Orthodox Church and may I say very staunch Catholics as well.

So, as much as you believe the word is just for the Muslims I beg to differ. God is God. God in any name will always be God. Its a pity you and many in the world chose to differ YOUR GOD to MY God when honestly we are all Children of the same Abraham. I am glad and proud that PAS got this so correct!

These are thoughts of a young Malaysian girl who wishes that people will stop fighting over religion in the name of God/Allah.

By azlan95  on [January 6, 2010 12:34 PM](#)

Lord dan God

Lord dalam bahasa Inggeris boleh dimisalkan seperti yang digunapakai dalam mahkamah ketika memanggil Hakim, "Yes my Lord (referring to the Judge)."

Lord (dalam bahasa Inggeris) bukanlah bermaksud Tuhan (dalam bahasa Melayu).

God (dalam bahasa Inggeris) membawa maksud Tuhan (dalam bahasa Melayu).

Sementara Allah adalah nama khusus untuk Tuhan penganut Islam di Malaysia. Begitu juga, tak salah saya, Krishna adalah nama khusus penganut Hindu untuk Tuhan mereka.

Azlan

KL

By capello08  on [January 6, 2010 12:32 PM](#)

Hari ini dalam The Star,

"United Pasokmomogun Kadamandusun Murut Organisation (Upko) president Tan Sri Bernard Dompok said every community should be allowed to use terms that they are comfortable with.

"Historically, the term has been used for a long time, even before Sabah decided to establish Malaysia with Malaya, Singapore and Sarawak in 1963," said Dompok, who is Plantation Industries and Commodities Minister.

"The term God is used in English, 'Allah' in Malay and 'Kinoingan' in Kadazandusun," he said."

comment saya:

HALO, BAHASA MALAYSIA SHOULD BE 'TUHAN' BUKAN 'ALLAH'.

ALLAH BUKAN PERKATAAN BAHASA MALAYSIA. KENAPA TAK PAKAI SIVA KE, BUDDHA KE??

ALL RELIGION SHOULD NOT INSULT OTHER RELIGION BY USING TERMS THAT THEY ARE COMFORTABLE WITH.

UMAT ISLAM - SILA BACA SURAH ALIKHLAS DAN TELITI MAKNANYA - ALLAH TIDAK BERANAK DAN TIDAK DIPERANAKKAN DAN TIDAK ADA SESUATU YANG MENYERUPAINYA. 'JESUS' TIDAK BOLEH DIPANGGIL ALLAH.

MAHA SUCI ALLAH, SUBHANALLAH..

By Baiyuensheng  on [January 6, 2010 12:22 PM](#)

I see this outburst of protests, and from Dr yourself will not bore well for Malaysia. This will just enforce the negative perceptions of the religion and may put Malaysia in the like of Yemen, Somalia and other extremists' hotbeds. Malaysia may compromise its sovereignty and invite interventions by the like of USA, and the western worlds. If that time comes, Can I accuse you and the rest traitors?

By AIZAT AFFANDI HISAMUDIN  on [January 6, 2010 12:21 PM](#)

KITA NILAILAH SENDIRI

Penjelasan PKR Mengenai Isu Kalimah “ALLAH”

–Kenyataan Akhbar–

Merujuk kepada kenyataan kami pada 22 November 2009 mengenai perkara di atas, maka BIPPA PKR sekali lagi ingin menjelaskan pendirian kami mengenai hal ini.

Kami berpendirian bahawa, keinginan masyarakat bukan Islam menggunakan kalimah “Allah” sebagai Tuhan adalah suatu perkembangan yang positif dan perlu diterima secara terbuka dan tidak perlu pihak-pihak tertentu mengambil kesempatan untuk menjadikan isu ini sebagai agenda politik untuk menampakkan bahawa merekalah jaguh dalam mempertahankan Islam di negara ini.

Sesungguhnya, kami melihat fenomena ini adalah manifestasi dari fitrah insani bahawa manusia memperakui penciptaan dunia oleh Allah SWT sebagaimana firmanNya yang bermaksud:

“Dan jika kamu tanya mereka (orang kafir) siapakah yang mencipta langit dan bumi? Mereka akan berkata Allah...” (Al Quran, Az-Zumar (39):38).

Adalah jelas bahawa penggunaan kalimah Allah untuk mengiktiraf dan membesarkan Allah sebagai Tuhan, adalah hak asasi setiap insan. Ini adalah serasi dengan peruntukan dalam Perlembagaan Persekutuan Perkara 3 (1) yang menjamin kedudukan Islam sebagai agama rasmi

Persekutuan dan lain-lain agama boleh diamalkan dengan aman dan damai. Sesungguhnya, ini juga bersesuaian dengan muafakat Pakatan Rakyat yang diputuskan baru-baru ini.

Selanjutnya, kami berpandangan perjuangan untuk menegak makruf dan mencegah mungkar akan menjadi lebih mudah dan selesa berasaskan firman Allah:

“Katakan wahai Ahli Kitab, mari tegakkan kalimah yang sama antara kami dan kamu iaitu bahawa kita tidak akan menyembah selain Allah dan tidak mensyirikkan (associate) Allah dengan satu apapun serta janganlah ada antara kita yang mengambil tuhan-tuhan selain Allah. Dan jika mereka berpaling maka katakanlah bahawasanya kami adalah orang Islam (menyerah diri pada Allah)” (Surah Ali `Imran(3):64).

Sehubungan itu, demi menjaga keharmonian dan kesejahteraan negara semua pihak perlulah menyelesaikan konflik ini dengan menyuburkan budaya dialog antara agama secara matang dan bijaksana (hikmah) sebagaimana saranan Allah mengenainya (Al Nahl: 125 dan Al Ankabut:46).

By mgpunya  on [January 6, 2010 12:21 PM](#)

YABhg Tun,

....kalau dah lemah dan berpecah - pecah...ini lah jadinya...

we got ourselves to be blame for all this.....

Harap ada hikmah di sebalik semua ini.....

Allah Maha Besar lagi Maha Mengetahui

By ConsciencePit  on [January 6, 2010 12:18 PM](#)

I have deep respect for all religions and I truly believe there are no religions that teach evil and disrespect. I believe your understanding in this matter is shallow as even before the emergence of Islam there were bibles written making reference to God the Almighty as 'Allah'. So stop politicising the issue. This is what causes the negative vibes for your great religion. Remember the caricature incident in Denmark and how the holy Moslems reacted burning down churches and attacking Christians worldwide. These are signs of cowardice and inferiority complex of a small number of Moslem who are misguided and confused. No mosques or synagogues were burnt or bombed after the Da Vinci Code came out. WHY? Because we are not afraid and are confident of our religion. So please, for heavens sake show some confidence and don't belittle my Moslem brothers with such shallow ideas. They are not going to be confused unless you and your puppets manipulate the situation and incite hatred and unrest.

By thejedalim  on [January 6, 2010 12:11 PM](#)

Allah or God is nt exclusive to one group, anyone cn call ur God our Almighty freely even fr Malaysian Malay.. then y ppl's heart r so "closed" n "blocked"..or worried abt d truth..? r u called urself d chosen one?

By pEdAng tiMuR  on [January 6, 2010 12:08 PM](#)

Salam untuk Tun dan Sahabat yang dihormati,

Semoga kita dirahmati oleh ALLAH s.w.t...

Saya amat tidak bersetuju apabila isu penggunaan nama ALLAH swt oleh penerbitan herald diadili dan dihakimi oleh orang bukan Islam di Mahkamah Persekutuan. Pada pemikiran saya

adalah lebih berpatutan dan sesuai masalah ini didengar oleh hakim yang terdiri daripada para ulama seperti yang disarankan oleh Tuan Guru Dato' Haji Nik Aziz Nik Mat. Benar juga pendapat beliau agar masalah ini perlu dibincangkan secara bersama paderi2 kristian di negara ini. Penglibatan Majlis Fatwa kebangsaan juga adalah perlu. Sedikit coretan untuk tatapan dan renungan kita bersama.....

1. Sebutkan satu yang tiada duanya,
2. dua yang tiada tiganya,
3. tiga yang tiada empatnya,
4. empat yang tiada limanya,
5. lima yang tiada enamnya,
6. enam yang tiada tujuhnya,
7. tujuh yang tiada delapannya,
8. lapan yang tiada sembilannya,
9. sembilan yang tiada sepuluhnya,
10. sesuatu yang tidak lebih dari sepuluh,
11. sebelas yang tiada dua belasnya,
12. dua belas yang tiada tiga belasnya,
13. tiga belas yang tiada empat belasnya.
14. Sebutkan sesuatu yang dapat bernafas namun tidak mempunyai ruh!
15. Apa yang dimaksud dengan kuburan berjalan membawa isinya?
16. Siapakah yang berdusta namun masuk ke dalam surga?
17. Sebutkan sesuatu yang diciptakan Allah namun Dia tidak menyukainya?
18. Sebutkan sesuatu yang diciptakan Allah dengan tanpa ayah dan ibu!
19. Siapakah yang tercipta dari api, siapakah yang diadzab dengan api dan siapakah yang terpelihara dari api?
20. Siapakah yang tercipta dari batu, siapakah yang diadzab dengan batu dan siapakah yang terpelihara dari batu?
21. Sebutkan sesuatu yang diciptakan Allah dan dianggap besar!
22. Pohon apakah yang mempunyai 12 ranting, setiap ranting mempunyai 30 daun, setiap daun mempunyai 5 buah, 3 di bawah naungan dan dua di bawah sinaran matahari?"

jawabannya.....

1. Satu yang tiada duanya ialah Allah SWT.
2. Dua yang tiada tiganya ialah Malam dan Siang. Allah SWT berfirman, "Dan Kami jadikan malam dan siang sebagai dua tanda (kebesaran kami)." (Al-Isra': 12).
3. Tiga yang tiada empatnya adalah kesilapan yang dilakukan Nabi Musa ketika Khidir menenggelamkan sampan, membunuh seorang anak kecil dan ketika menegakkan kembali dinding yang hampir roboh.
4. Empat yang tiada limanya adalah Taurat, Injil, Zabur dan al-Qur'an.
5. Lima yang tiada enamnya ialah Solat lima waktu.
6. Enam yang tiada tujuhnya ialah jumlah Hari ketika Allah SWT menciptakan makhluk.

7. Tujuh yang tiada delapannya ialah Langit yang tujuh lapis. Allah SWT berfirman, "Yang telah menciptakan tujuh langit berlapis-lapis. Kamu sekali-kali tidak melihat pada ciptaan Rabb Yang Maha Pemurah sesuatu yang tidak seimbang." (Al-Mulk: 3).

8. lapan yang tiada sembilannya ialah Malaikat pemikul Arsy ar-Rahman. Allah SWT berfirman, "Dan malaikat-malaikat berada di penjuru-penjuru langit. Dan pada hari itu lapan orang malaikat men-junjung 'Arsy Rabbmu di atas (kepala) mereka." (Al-Haqah: 17).

9. Sembilan yang tiada sepuluhnya adalah mu'jizat yang diberikan kepada Nabi Musa yaitu: tongkat, tangan yang bercahaya, angin topan, musim paceklik, katak, darah, kutu dan belalang. Dan bukti-bukti itu ialah angin taufan, belalang, kutu, katak, darah, tongkat, tangan, belah laut, memayungi mereka dengan awan, al-man, al-salwa, batu hingga yang lain daripada tanda kekuasaan Allah yang mereka saksikannya.

10. Sesuatu yang tidak lebih dari sepuluh ialah Kebaikan. Allah SWT berfirman, "Barang siapa yang berbuat kebaikan maka untuknya sepuluh kali ganda." (Al-An'am: 160).

11. Sebelas yang tiada dua belasnya ialah jumlah Saudara-Saudara Nabi Yusuf.

12. Dua belas yang tiada tiga belasnya ialah Mu'jizat Nabi Musa yang terdapat dalam firman Allah, "Dan (ingatlah) ketika Musa memohon air untuk kaumnya, lalu Kami berfirman, 'Pukullah batu itu dengan tongkatmu.' Lalu memancarlah daripadanya dua belas mata air." (Al-Baqarah: 60).

13. Tiga belas yang tiada empat belasnya ialah jumlah Saudara Nabi Yusuf ditambah dengan ayah dan ibunya.

14. Adapun sesuatu yang bernafas namun tidak mempunyai ruh adalah waktu Subuh. Allah SWT berfirman, "Dan waktu subuh apabila fajarnya mulai menyingsing." (At-Takwir: 18).

15. Kuburan yang membawa isinya adalah Ikan yang menelan Nabi Yunus AS.

16. Mereka yang berdusta namun masuk ke dalam surga adalah saudara-saudara Nabi Yusuf, yakni ketika mereka berkata kepada ayahnya, "Wahai ayah kami, sesungguhnya kami pergi berlumba-lumba dan kami tinggalkan Yusuf di dekat barang-barang kami, lalu dia dimakan serigala." Setelah kedustaan terungkap, Yusuf berkata kepada mereka, "tak ada ceriaan terhadap kamu semua." Dan ayah mereka Ya'qub berkata, "Aku akan memohonkan ampun bagimu kepada Rabbku. Sesungguhnya Dia-lah Yang Maha Pengampun lagi Maha Penyayang." (Yusuf:98)

17. Sesuatu yang diciptakan Allah namun tidak Dia sukai adalah suara Keledai. Allah SWT berfirman, "Sesungguhnya sejelek-jelek suara adalah suara keledai." (Luqman: 19).

18. Makhluk yang diciptakan Allah tanpa bapa dan ibu adalah Nabi Adam, Malaikat, Unta Nabi Shalih dan Kambing Nabi Ibrahim.

19. Makhluk yang diciptakan dari api adalah Iblis, yang diadzab dengan api ialah Abu Jahal dan yang terpelihara dari api adalah Nabi Ibrahim. Allah SWT berfirman, "Wahai api dinginlah dan selamatkan Ibrahim." (Al-Anbiya':69).

20. Makhluk yang terbuat dari batu adalah Unta Nabi Shalih, yang diadzab dengan batu adalah tentera bergajah dan yang terpelihara dari batu adalah Ash-habul Kahfi (penghuni gua).

21. Sesuatu yang diciptakan Allah dan dianggap perkara besar adalah Tipu Daya wanita, sebagaimana firman Allah SWT "Sesungguhnya tipu daya kaum wanita itu sangatlah besar." (Yusuf: 28).

22. Adapun pohon yang memiliki 12 ranting setiap ranting mempunyai 30 daun, setiap daun mempunyai 5 buah, 3 di bawah teduhan dan dua di bawah sinaran matahari maknanya: Pohon adalah Tahun, Ranting adalah Bulan, Daun adalah Hari dan Buahnya adalah Solat yang lima waktu, Tiga dikerjakan di malam hari dan Dua di siang hari.

Apakah kunci syurga???????

'Asyhadu An La Ilaha Illallah Wa Aasyhadu Anna Muhammadar Rasulallah'

sumber dipetik dari...

http://www.iluvislam.com/v1/readarticle.php?article_id=491

By [sully](#) on [January 6, 2010 12:07 PM](#)

Salam hormat Tun Mahathir

Ungkapan Tun tajam, jelas dan logis dan saya percaya sebahagian besar rakyat Malaysia paham dan sangat mendukung.

Yang aneh nya kapan2 pendapat Tun di terbitkan di Malaysiakini dapat tentangan dari pembacanya yang dari bangsa asing dan apa pun di terbitkan mereka tetap menentang. Mereka ini lupa bahwa yang selama ini mereka di beri kebebasan dari kaum majority bangsa melayu. Mungkin mereka tidak ada pemimpin memperjuangkan nasib mereka yang tamat sudah diberi petis hendak paha. Kalau mereka kurang senang dengan pemimpin yang memperjuangkan bangsa majority mereka bebas tinggalkan negara ini. Walau bagaimana pun negara tetap di nama kan Persekutuan Tanah2 Melayu kalau mereka lupa. Memang lazimnya ada juga ornag2 melayu sudah lupakan diri kononnya mahu di lihat bersikap adil.

Mereka menulis dengan menggunakan kata2 yang kasar dan kurang ajar, bangsa yang tidak ada sopan santun dan harus di haramkan menulis sedemikian rupa. Pada hal bangsa melau terkenal dengan sopan satunnya, hormat saling menghormati dan budi bahasa yang halus, bukan seperti golongan samsing, tapi kita lihat yang menulis comment di Malaysiakini bangsa yang paling kurang ajar terhadap pemimpin melayu seperti Tun. Saya tidak paham mengapa Malaysiakini terima comment bahsa yang menghina dan kotor. kalau diteruskan begini, akan menghancurkan negara.

By [wangsa](#) on [January 6, 2010 11:58 AM](#)

Di Malaysia KURANG 1 tokoh seperti GUS DUR (mantan presiden Indonesia ke4) yg mampu menyepadukan semua lapisan masyarakat di Indonesia.....

By [babamelaka](#) on [January 6, 2010 11:51 AM](#)

Dear Tun,

Allow me to append below, an article I just read.

==Quote==

By Marina Mahathir (The Star)

There will be those who will be confused, others who will act without restraint, rampaging freely and causing havoc ... they are faceless strangers we have yet to meet.

RECENTLY I conducted a workshop with some university students in which I asked them for ideas on how to protect young women from violence and HIV.

One idea that came up was to fence and guard women's hostels on campuses to prevent men from entering. I then asked the young man who suggested this if, without fences, he would not be able to restrain himself from entering the women's hostels.

"I didn't mean me," he replied, "but other people..."

I often hear that we need laws, rules and regulations because there are people who are bound to need them. Without these, such mysterious people are bound to act without restraint, rampaging freely and causing havoc in society.

Yet when I ask anyone whom do they mean by these others, they don't mean anybody they know. They are inevitably some strangers with weak constitutions that they have yet to meet.

The same is true of that insidious thing called self-censorship. We are constantly afraid that "someone" will get offended, so we make sure that everything we write, say, or do is so devoid of any possibility of offence that it becomes bland and dull.

The trouble with this thinking is two-fold: one is that there is only one group of people we think will be offended, and two, we know that there are some people within that group who will make it their business to seek offence and insults wherever they can.

Are people's lives so empty that it can only be filled with imagining other people are out to hurt them? And why are they so easily wounded at all?

I find it especially puzzling when people are constantly finding their faith, which should be so personal, attacked at every juncture. Yet presumably, between Dec 31 and Jan 1, their beliefs have not changed because of what happened in court.

But it's not about them, they say, it's about all those poor ignorant souls, including children, who will become confused. One wag wondered how to explain why his child has to fast and pray five times a day when their friends call God by the same name but don't have to.

Well, if parents cannot explain the basic tenets of their religion to their own child, then it's not anyone else's fault that the child gets confused.

Similarly, if there are sections of any faith community that have muddled ideas about which is their religion and which is others', then surely that is the fault of their own community rather than others.

I don't understand what is so edifying about claiming that we are always weak and easily confused. How do we on the one hand claim a superior position for our faith when at the same time we admit that we can be so easily influenced? Are the fortifications that we built for ourselves in our hearts and consciences so fragile?

Oh, but I forget, it's not us we are talking about, it's those people, that mysterious group of weaklings and ignoramuses that we have to stand up for. Funny, doesn't it say in the Quran that we all have to answer for ourselves eventually?

If we constantly tell people that their faith is weak, it will become exactly that. If we say that every little thing, including language, the sound of church bells, or where temples are located, can challenge our faith, then they will feel challenged.

If we keep telling them that confusion will reign, they will believe that. Not for themselves but for some imaginary members of their faith community.

Yet, if we polled every single person to ask if they felt confused, they would deny it. Thus, on whose behalf do we bust our guts for in these issues?

Could it be that in fact it's impossible to know what anyone's true faith is, because only God can read anyone's heart? That it is redundant for anyone to try and legislate faith because it is simply not the province of human beings? All we can do is try and make people behave in an orderly fashion.

There are surely more important things to occupy our minds than whether any of our brethren may misread something.

In other countries, our so-called religious kinfolk are killing each other by the dozens, no doubt invoking God's name as they do so. Others are illiterate, starving or dying from preventable diseases.

Demonstrating over a court decision about a word is the privilege of the healthy and prosperous.

==Unquote==

By mgpunya  on [January 6, 2010 11:50 AM](#)

YABhg Tun,

...just the other day, I asked a friend of mine to buy me " Colgate ". He bought and brought back.." Close-up ". Not that " Close-up " is not good...but,for a person like me who had been using " Colgate " for ages..., how can I explain to this friend of mine that it's not the brand that I wanted. And, the fact that he thinks all brand of toothpastes are the same is not helping either.

By [tha-b](#)  on [January 6, 2010 11:43 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum,

Tiada tuhan melainkan Allah SWT.
Nabi Muhammad SAW pesuruh Allah SWT.
Allah SWT yang menciptakan segala-galanya.
Allah SWT menciptakan langit dan bumi dan segala isinya.
Allah SWT memberi manfaat dan mudharat.
Segalanya dari Allah SWT.

Sejak zaman jahiliah lagi digunakan perkataan Allah.
Orang arab jahiliah menggunakan perkataan Allah.
Tetapi orang arab jahiliah tidak beriman pada Allah SWT yang esa.
Orang arab jahiliah percaya yang tuhan bukan satu.
Kita betulkan Iman dan Yakin kita pada Allah SWT Insyallah kita berjaya dunia dan akhirat.

Hanyasanya cara apa yang ditunjukkan oleh Rasulullah SAW mendapat kejayaan dunia dan akhirat.

Hanyasanya cara yang ditunjukkan oleh Rasulullah SAW akan berjaya sehingga hari kiamat.

By Jess  on [January 6, 2010 11:35 AM](#)

Tun,

You are, I believe, one of the most respected icon of this country who has just shattered the image of respect I had on you with this piece of blog post alone for having shown ignorance on your part and like many others who said, created more unnecessary ignorant comments out of your followers' lot.

Why should anyone of any religion be; shall I use the word AFRAID of one's religion, faith & belief being lost over a word? Your daughter chose the word confidence nevertheless which I acknowledge could be a better choice of word.

Your faith and beliefs are what it is irrespective of the word or term used to refer to your God / Tuhan / Allah / Ang Kong / Dewi etc etc. If one's faith is so easily shaken by just a mere word, then it's absolute concrete proof that you're not strong in the faith you hold, it has nothing to do with the word you use to praise and worship your God. Period.

By samuraimelayu  on [January 6, 2010 11:08 AM](#)

Salam,

Kehadapan semua komentar dan blogger sekalian, soalan saya apakah benar apa yang TDM luahkan ataupun beliau hanya terpaksa menjawab atas desakan umum?

Jawapan saya, semuanya adalah atas desakan dan TDM hanya menjawab melalui logik dan sedikit fakta....oleh yang demikian itu tidak perlulah kita mempertikaikannya.....

Baiklah bagi mengurangkan tekanan keatas semua pertelingkahan yang berlaku dikalangan kita dalam ruangan ini, ingin saya memberi sedikit cadangan.....silalah komen kepada hujah dan pandangan saya ini pulak.....

cadangan pertama:-

Khalimat ALLAH kita benarkan mereka guna, ini memandangkan ianya sudah jelas dan nyata bahawa tuhan itu tetap yang satu, tuhan semesta alam dan tidak pernah beranak atau diperanakkan lagi tidak pernah menyerupai apa-apa sebagai alat gantiNYA.

cadangan kedua:-

Pihak kerajaan perlu melaksanakan hukum hudud bagi semua umat islam di malaysia bagi mengawal dan menjaga kesucian agama islam dan umatnya.....ini adalah kerana negara kita jika diikutkan dari perlembagaan persekutuan malaysia adalah sebuah negara Islam dan tiada pertikaian mengenainya.....

Saya pohon ianya diberi perhatian serius,sekiranya menyokong sila nyatakan pendirian anda dan kepada yang tidak bersetuju silakan juga nyatakan hujah anda. Saya juga pohon supaya segala apa yang diperkatakan oleh Tun janganlah perlu diambil serius sangat....ini kerana Tun adalah Tun dan bukan kita.....kepada yang bukan beragama islam saya mohon kemaafan dari segala apa yang telah dipertikaikan.... dan sesungguhnya " kami(orang islam) tidak akan menyembah apa yang kamu sembah dan kamu bukanlah penyembah apa yang kami sembah, kamu dengan agama kamu dan kami dengan agama kami "

sekian, Wallahualam....

<http://www.malaysianviewers.com>

By zaqueman  on [January 6, 2010 11:06 AM](#)

Salam Tun,

Merujuk pada buku Ahmad Deedat The Choice, pernah bible menggunakan Alah sebagai rujukan dan bukannya Allah. Tetapi kemudiannya dipadam. Bagi yg bukan Islam ni, perkataan Allah kalau mereka sebut pun bunyinya Alah. Kalau nak bagi jalan tengah (kalau nak sangat pakai nama tu) suruh ja pakai Alah. Dapat juga kita bezakan dengan Allah kita

By wajaperak  on [January 6, 2010 11:05 AM](#)

Assallammualaikum warah matullah hiwaba rokatuh..

Semoga di izinkan Tun..Ribuan terima kasih..

[[len

I agree with T, that Marina's statement in her blog, makes more sense]]

Now..Read carefully an analogy.

If you go and visit the SAR (Sekolah Agama Rakyat) and madrasah where the young muslim and very young muslim learns..you will notice there is a discrepancy in the teaching methodology..

The younger children are allowed to make 'mistake' in their learning.Mistakes ranging from Allah attributes,prayer's and most of all Islamic comprehension itself.Hence Islam is all about one's understanding to his or her capability.

When this children grows older..Their 'mistakes' and 'error' are gradually corrected by their respective Ustaz and Ustazah.Unfortunately..there is some who refuse to be 'indoctrinated' like 'the reasonable people' that you claimed them to be..

So..Life is all about belief.Muslims all over the world share a same belief.By that token..there is varying degree of methodology in applying those belief..When there is a diferrence,we refer them back to Al Quran and Hadith..

[[the word "Allah" has been using by all Christians since the days of time? and shamefully, now the country and my fellow countryman want to take it away? Yes indeed Tuhan is right, but we have been using is for years. FYI, Christians have been using both Allah & Tuhan]]

Len..you are not thorough in this belief.Not all Christians used Allah in naming their god.If you learns computer deeper through their architechure,you will find and understand Naming convention is an essence.

You will find details in RFC (Request For Comments).

If computer architechure in TCP/IP Transmission Control Protocol / Internet Protocol requires proper naming convention..You will realizes the enormity of naming Allah is god is sacrilege..

I dont have to tell you what havoc computer's malfunction can do..and I will be exaggerating in saying the naming convention can cause it to be so..But something starts somewhere..

In Islam, naming convention starts very early..We learns Allah attributes through his Utmost Sacred Names as Allah named it Allahself..The adage is

Awalludin Makrifatullah..

Meaning the basic and early learning of religion is knowing Allah..

You did not know Allah..the questions is do you?..do you?..

Cares to learns?

Terima kasih Tun..

By [ezani](#)  on [January 6, 2010 10:53 AM](#)

Salam Tun

You should be the judge in this case la instead of Lau Bee Lan. Your wisdom is much, much higher than her. Two paragraphs from this blog article of yours captures the "error" in the judge's decision spot on. I reproduce these paragraphs below :-

"5. Sebenarnya kalimah "Allah" tidak terdapat dalam kitab Taurat atau Talmud Hebrew atau kitab Injil Kristian dalam bahasa Latin, Greek atau bahasa-bahasa Eropah. Nama bagi Tuhan dalam bahasa yahudi ialah "Yahweh", yang diterjemahkan kepada bahasa Inggeris sebagai "Jehovah".

6. Dalam kitab Injil, Jesus (Isa) dan God adalah sama. Tidak ada kalimah Allah dalam kitab Injil dalam bahasa-bahasa yang disebut di atas."

So actually the hujah of the Herald can easily be broken and I did not see why the Home Minister's defence team did not see it. The word "Allah" did not exist yet during the time of the Bible so why should the Herald use it in their publication except either to perhaps cause division in our multireligious society or to confuse the Muslims here.

Personally, I have 3 opinions on the matter :-

1. I am disappointed that Malays in this country especially Malay leaders still cannot see that there is an "agenda" by non-Muslims or non-Malays to overthrow Islam. Right from the time of the BN, the Chinese have been getting rich by getting projects from certain Malay minority who get the projects from the Government but cannot do it or want to have an easy time and thus hand it over to the more hardworking Chinese who gleefully and willingly accept to do the dirty work and as a result become rich. Under BN, Islam also has not been given its rightful place from Day 1 the BN ruled this country.

2. I am disappointed that the highest judge who is responsible for the appointment of judges to preside over cases, who is a Muslim, decided to put a non-Muslim on this highly sensitive case which we can see the disastrous outcome now. I believe the use of fundamental rights to do anything or to use anything is not correct. The judge did not consider the sensitivity of the case as well as the Rukunegara and the provision in law in our country that "Malays" cannot be preached to abandon Islam.

3. Lau Bee Lan and the Herald cannot win as I believe that all Muslims in Malaysia especially from UMNO and the Home Ministry and the NGOs and the public as well as the opposition (such as PAS and PKR) and even the King who is a Muslim are united in this matter and there are avenues "higher" than the High Court that can settle this matter once and for all. I must commend the patience of us Malay Muslims in handling this matter. We did not riot or resort to violence but instead allow the process to properly flow through to the Appeals Court. Congratulations, Muslims of Malaysia! At least Hishammuddin and the BN, now that they have lost 5 states, are willing to come clean and really defend Islam in Malaysia as compared to when BN was dominant and had 2/3 majority - back then, they probably would have swept this whole thing under the carpet. Even better, now that we have a "new" BN and political scenario has changed, it would be better from the Muslims of BN, BA and PAS to work together instead of being split politically if they want to prevent this thing from happening again.

By Numenclature  on [January 6, 2010 10:34 AM](#)

Tun,

Thanks for a wonderful read. I support most of your arguments (except the explanations of the Christian God, which another comment has already addressed). So Tun, it may be a very petty matter but there has been something I have wondered since my school days:

Why in the Selangor state song there is this sentence 'Allah lanjutkan usia Tuanku'? As you have pointed out in this article, Allah belongs to the muslim God exclusively. So is it not offensive for non-muslims to sing this song? Is it not confusing to non-muslims?

After all, how can I ask a God that I do not believe in to help keep the Sultan in good health? It is blasphemous I should say. If we were to substitute Allah for another word, say 'Tuhan' it should be good and well.

So until the lyrics are changed, I refuse to sing this song. It would not do to offend others after all.

Dear Tun, perhaps you should look into this. It could be one of the reasons young selangoreans are very antiBN. Thanks.

By max  on [January 6, 2010 10:21 AM](#)

Malaysians and Malaysian politicians can make an issue out of anything nowadays. They will never change...

If Allah cannot be used by a non-Muslims, then how about many Malays words borrowed from Sanskrit and other non-Muslim sources? I am sure many intelligent people out there have their "views" on this too.

Sure, if people want to create an issue, there is a lot more out there to buy people's precious time. There is nothing there to get confused unless you choose to create a confusion or let someone else to confuse you.

By adik adik  on [January 6, 2010 10:16 AM](#)

1.Siapakah gerangan jejaka yg membawa perkara ini ke Makamah!
Sila Umumkan nama,alamat,supaya kita lebih faham lagi.

2.Apa tujuan beliau sebenar.

Nak mengelirukan umat Islam,
nak test the market,nak cuba cabuli Islam.

Apakah niat dan tujuan beliau sehingga nak menhalalkan tujuan beliau melalui Makamah.Jadi besok lusa Rakyat salahkan Kerajaan sekiranya tujuan beliau didizinkan,nanti kasi betis nak peha.

APA Tujaun gerangan Tuan Hamba !

3.Siapa Mahkamah yg bolih mengizinkan perkara seperti ini.

Bukan kata Mahkamah Tinggi,International Court of Justice pun tak bolih izinkan,merek bolih membuat undang undang untuk mengawal,mengharmornikan negara,bukan mengizinkan untuk mengecokan negara.Hakim Besar pun goal da..a,pun masuk neraka da..a
Urusan Agama urusan kepercayaan mesti saling menghormati.

In Religion We cannot cooperate with different religion.
but we can coexists, to respect each other believes.

By lemand64  on [January 6, 2010 10:16 AM](#)

tun,

malaysia ada negara Islam,model kepada negara-negara Islam lainnya dan dunia.banyak sanjungan,pujian selalu kita baca dan dengar.rasa bangga benar.

1. Isu kalimah Allah buat kita terkejut. ibaratnya dalam poket kita diseluk ikut suka orang. apa lagi selepas ini, kita tak tahu.
2. nampak seperti negara ini bukan orang Islam yang menjadi teraju kerajaan.
3. menunjukkan kepada umum kedudukan Islam sebagai agama rasmi hanya sebagai ungkapan yang tak bermakna.
4. kelemahan kerajaan sejak tahun2 kebelakangan ini. dihambat skandal2 dan isu kontroversi serta tiada wibawa sebagai orang yang memimpin.
5. ulama/tokoh agama semacam tak ada aktiviti mendaulatkan Islam di Malaysia. sibuk dengan halal haram serta pertentangan yang memudaratkan umat. Islam di Malaysia seolah2 hanya pada perlembagaan sahaja kot.
6. mana pi ahli2 bahasa yang tersohor selama ini?
7. terlalu menyalahkan pihak lain membuat pihak2 di atas selesa dengan kesalahan/kelalaian sendiri. orang lain sentiasa melihat ruang yang ada.

setuju dengan abu sayab..apa lagi selepas ini??

By Zulhaiqal Irwan  on [January 6, 2010 10:14 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum...

Satu isu yang perlu diambil perhatian oleh Rakyat Islam di Malaysia.

Di dunia ini terdapat pelbagai jenis agama, dan setiap agama ada tuhan yang ereka sembah...Tapi kita umat islam tuhan yang disembah adalah "ALLAH" " Alif Lam Lam Ha'. Seharusnya perkataan "allah" tidak boleh digunakan sewenang wenangnya dan sesukahati. Seharusnya golongan peguam islam seharusnya bangkit dan bantu dalam mengatasi isu ini...jangan hanya duduk dan lihat, kami rakyat islam akan memberi sepenuh sokongan untuk melihat isu ini selesai dengan baik yang mungkin.

By Sarawakian Allah  on [January 6, 2010 9:55 AM](#)

Finally Tun, you have decided to post this issue in your blog. The Muslims in Malaysia should not feel being threatened if other religious bodies use the word Allah as referring to their God. After all, there's no intention to convert a Muslims to becomes a Catholic Christians. Not in Malaysia, because we know most Muslims are less qualified in becoming a Catholic Christians. It's too easy for one to enrol into Islam, but far to difficult to achieve being a Catholic Christians. Being Catholic Christians, it just like entering Harvard University or MIT. Catholic church does NOT simply converts a person to being a Catholic Christians. That kind of quality we are looking at.

HERALD is merely seeking rights in usage of the word Allah in their weekly publications. How many of you Muslims subscribe to Herald? I wonder? If you Muslims thought that thru this, that all Muslims in Malaysia has become confuse and that causes one to deviate from the true teachings of Islam, then the whole non Muslims in Malaysia would possibly conclude how fragile the faith of a Muslim in Malaysia.

Being non Muslim in Malaysia, we everyday listens to the word Allah being directly spelled:

1. Media- among the non Muslims (Azan Berkumandang di udara)masuknya waktu Zohor etc..; in TV1, TV2, TV3 and radio. Your govt imposed such a compulsory thru the media. Our non Muslim children listens to the word Allah daily.
2. Residential - Mosques were built with loud speakers were install to ensure the word Allah is widely heard in residential areas even there's a majority of non Muslims living there.
3. Schools - during our weekly assembly, the headmasters chooses a Muslims senior (doa selamat)we widely heard the word Allah, without considering the majority are non Muslim students

After years of being summons with the word Allah, we Christians are still tolerance and in strong faith. There's so much more, on the word Allah around us but we Christians are still faithful to our own God (Allah). - Jesus Christ

We Sarawakian, use widely the word Allah especially the ones in the Kitab Injil (Publish from Indonesia). However, if you care to see, most of this versions were cautioned 'UNTUK ORANG KRISTIAN SAHAJA'. I don't see Muslims Sarawakian being converted to Christians. In fact, more and more surau, madrasah, masjid being built to accomodate the growing number of Muslims in the state.

I see this as an UMNO political gimmick. The Muslims that shows their faces and handing memorandum in TV are just political stunt to enjoy the political fame. It does not represents the real reactions of a Muslims in Malaysia on the word Allah.

This ALLAH word was already an issue when you are still the PM and that time Leo Moggie was still the cabinet minister. It is so obvious that the BN (UMNO) are arrogance and selfish and that they mishandle this issue. They decided to threatened the churches and banned the imports of 20,000 units of Kitab Injil which carries the word Allah. This matter should have been resolved amicably.

The KDN, should lower their voice and talk to the Christians leaders and held a close door discussions. Many of this attempts by church leaders to settle off the law with the KDN has failed. On the other hand, govt decided to use the law to punish the Christians. The recent issue of confiscating the CDs and books containing the word Allah at LCCT from a Sarawakian girl, has spark anger among most Sarawakians.

And, with the recent High Court decision, the law is no longer siding the govt, then only govt start to panic and to protest on the roads and saying that the law is inaccurate and irrelevant to the Allah issue. Why now? Why not start not using the law 10 years ago? Why can't you arrogance start to talk close cabinets earlier?

Believe it, no Christians in Malaysia would wanted to write Kalimah Allah on their house frontage despite there's Kitab Injil in their house carries the word Allah.

Believe it, no Christians would profess their faith using the word Allah. We strong believers of Christians would never announce on loud speakers the word Allah.

Believe it, no Christians would summons the word Allah to another fragile faith Muslims, confusing him and deceiving him into Christianity.

In God we Trust

By TEDDY D.Sagunting  on [January 6, 2010 9:47 AM](#)

Salam sejahtera Ayahanda Tun,

Walaupun saya ini orang yang amat daif tentang ilmu agama dan sosial serta hanya tahu sekerat dua sejarah agama Islam, Kristian dan Lain-lain tetapi saya percaya dan yakin yang Allah yang maha kuasa adalah pencipta manusia pertama yakni nabi Adam sebagaimana keyakinan orang lain walau apapun agamanya bahawa Allah adalah pencipta manusia pertama. Dengan MINDA yang SIHAT dan WARAS saya tidak sekali-kali berani mengatakan kepada orang lain bahawa ada lagi tuhan lain yang menciptakan nabi Adam selain Allah kerana saya tahu adalah dosa besar memesongkan fikiran orang lain walau apapun agamanya bahawa ada lagi tuhan lain selain Allah yang mahakuasa yang telah menciptakan manusia pertama.

Siapakah orang yang berani mengatakan bahawa nabi Adam dicipta oleh tuhan selain dari Allah tanyalah hati kita sendiri.

By LadyG  on [January 6, 2010 9:46 AM](#)

To PEMBELA.....

WELL SAID, man!

To Faaz.....

I could not agree more....Let's change Rukunegara to "KEPERCAYAAN KEPADA ALLAH" instead of "KEPERCAYAAN KEPADA UGAMA".....

DAH NAK SANGAT PERKATAAN "allah" itu maka kita paksa semua masuk ISLAM kerana kita nak tukar Rukun Negara...apa macam???

SEDARLAH.....semua depa hendak....heart and soul, kekayaan bumi, hak kita, ugama kita??? pun semua nak sapu....

Mana Anwar Ibrahim ???

Inilah agaknya ketelusan dan keterbukaan yang Pak lah telah mulakan konon untuk mejadi champion dan lebih poplular di kalangan rakyat tapi tergadai maruah, agama, bangsa dan negara...

Pernah ramai orang berkata bahawa kalau isu-isu sensitif sebegini kalau di zaman Tun M dah masuk tong sampah....tapi Pak lah beri layanan sampai inilah jadinya....Pak Lah dan kaum kerabat tak rugi....tapi kita rakyat biasa yang rugi

Kita nak bersopan-santun lagi ke???

By Keno87  on [January 6, 2010 9:45 AM](#)

Iman terletak dalam hati, bukan terletak dalam undang-undang. Agama Kristian memisahkan dunia dengan kerohanian. Sebab itu, bagi saya.. Agama Kristian tidak tercemar oleh pengaruh duniawi. Ia tulus dan halus hingga kita tidak sekalipun terfikir untuk mengawal manusia dengan undang2 :)Sepatutnya soal kerohanian tidak disamakan dengan unsur undang-undang yang hanyalah bersifat duniawi. Biarlah Tuhan menentukan siapa yang benar. Kristian tidak pernah ada tipu muslihat dan memperdayakan umat lain. Tapi banyak orang menuduh sebarangan dan berani memfitnah..Di Sabah dan Sarawak, kami telah lama menjalankan Dialog antara agama. Kmudian, di semenanjung.. pernah sekali namun mendapat tentangan hebat dikalangan umat Islam kerana tidak bersifat terbuka untuk berdialog secara baik... hanya kerana sifat ego. Jika umat Islam di semenanjung mencontohi umat Islam di Sabah dan Sarawak... Tidak mustahil... kesepakatan mudah tercapai.

By krish  on [January 6, 2010 9:42 AM](#)

Since 1988, the Royal Malaysian Air Force (RMAF) used to train its personnel in Korat, Thailand, at a cost of RM2 million per year.

In 2007, the then Deputy Prime Minister approved the leasing of the Aircraft Combat Manoeuvring Instrument (ACMI) from a Malaysian company at a cost of RM21 million per year -- more than ten times what the RMAF was paying to train its personnel in Thailand. The leasing was for 15 years.

The contract was based on 'negotiated without tender' and the company had no prior experience in the business. The negotiated contract was not conducted through the normal procedure nor was it approved through the proper channels. Yet the current Prime Minister approved the contract in violation of procedure and what could be classified as abuse of power.

Malaysia Today kicks off this expose with the documents. More on this matter will be revealed as we go along to the many blatant incidences of abuse of power and violation of procedure perpetuated by the Ministry of Defence under Najib Tun Razak.

By SR  on [January 6, 2010 9:38 AM](#)

Reply to Promelayu on another page in this context :

Dear Pro Melayu,

My understanding of the Surah is perfectly fine, it is afterall the Basis on which Islam is founded.

In the name of God, Most Gracious, Most Merciful

Say: He is Allah, the One and Only!
Allah, the Eternal, Absolute;
He begetteth not nor is He begotten.
And there is none like unto Him.

- translation by Abdullah Yusuf Ali

Al-Ikhlâs is sûrah (chapter) 112 of the Qur'ân, and is said to be one of the earliest revelations that the Prophet Muhammad received from archangel Gabriel.

The Arabic root of the word ikhlâs is kh-l-s which means to be purified or refined. The very concept of refining and purifying signifies the burning away of all impurities, leaving nothing but the very essence of that which was sought, which, in this case, is Allah.

Such a purification is the burning away of all of the worldly misunderstandings, concerns and desires that separate us from the One; the One and Only upon whom we depend, the One and Only who is our foundation rock, the Eternal One and Only.

As a further testimony to the unity of the One who has created all of mankind, note the striking similarity in the Bhagavad-Gita written in "India a thousand years earlier":

You are the One Creator of all the worlds,
and of that which moves and that which does not move,
You alone are fit for worship, You are the highest Teacher,
In all the worlds there is none equal to You.

- Bhagavad-Gita 11:43

2. Jesus is The messenger of God, Son of god is a concept of endearment which derives from the concept that the creator is hence our father and we are all his children. In the Trinity The concept of Father (God, Allah), The Son (does not ONLY refer to Jesus but rather all creation) this is not contradictory to Surah Al Ikhlas - as here it is just a matter of putting into perspective that the creator plays the fatherly role and his creation play the role of its child.... no where does this contradict rather it is at harmony with the essence of the Surah.

3. Let s put this in perspective : Comparing Abrahamic religions
Comparing Abrahamic religions

.Christianity and Judaism are two closely related Abrahamic religions that in some ways parallel each other and in other ways fundamentally diverge in theology and practice. The article on Judeo-Christian tradition emphasizes continuities and convergences between the two religions. The article on Christianity and Judaism compares the different views held by both religions.

The historical interaction of Islam and Judaism started in the 7th century CE with the origin and spread of Islam. There are many common aspects between Islam and Judaism, and as Islam developed it gradually became the major religion closest to Judaism. As opposed to Christianity which originated from interaction between ancient Greek and Hebrew cultures, Judaism is very similar to Islam in its fundamental religious outlook, structure, jurisprudence and practice.[3] There are many traditions within Islam originating from traditions within the Hebrew Bible or from postbiblical Jewish traditions. These practices are known collectively as the Isra'iliyat.[4]

Main article: Islam and Judaism

Further information: People of the Book, Tahrif, Biblical narratives and the Qur'an, and Judeo-Islamic philosophies (800 - 1400)

The historical interaction between Christianity and Islam connects fundamental ideas in Christianity with similar ones in Islam. Islam and Christianity share their origins in the Abrahamic tradition, although Christianity predates Islam by centuries. Islam accepts many aspects of Christianity as part of its faith - with some differences in interpretation - and rejects other aspects. Islam believes the Qur'an is the final revelation from God and a completion of all previous revelations, including the Bible.

Were there Jewish and Christian Arabs before Islam?

In the city of Madina in what we call today "Saudi Arabia", there were three main religions: Idol worshiping, Judaism and Christianity. The name of the Jewish leader who also was the Highest Priest in Madina before Islam came was "Abdallah Bin-Saba". His Arabic name was not "AbdElohim Bin-Saba" or "AbdElloi Bin-Saba" or "AbdGOD Bin-Saba". The reason why his first name was "Abdallah" is because the Arab Jews in Madina used the word "Allah" for GOD in their Holy Scriptures. The word "Allah" did indeed exist in the Arabic Talmud and the other Jewish Holy Scriptures.

Also, the Christians' Arabic Bible at that time used the word "Allah" for GOD. Even today's Christians' Arabic Bibles use the word "Allah" for GOD. Today in the Middle East, Christians sometimes name their kids "Abdallah". Also there are Christian families who's last names is "Abdallah".

In today's Arabic Bible, the word "Allah" is used for both the Old Testament and the New Testament.

Recently also, Father Pecerrillo, a famous Franciscan Archeologist, found from the Forth Century (200 years before Islam) houses in Syria, Lebanon, Iraq and Palestine with this inscription in Arabic : "Bismi Allah al-Rahman al-Raheem" which means in Arabic "In the name of ALLAH, the Most Merciful and the Most Gracious", which again proves that His Holy name existed before Islam. and it also proves the True Oneness of Allah Almighty and refutes the misconception of trinity as misunderstood.

Here is an analysis to the Arabic words above:

Bismi - Ism = name. Bism = In name, because "Bi" = in. Bismi = In the name of, because the "i" at the end of the word must be added because of the "Bi" addition. When we added "Bi" to the word "Ism", then the "i" must also be added to the end of "Bism" if and only if the word "Bism" is followed by another word, which makes it become all one word "Bismi" which means "In the name of".

Allah - Allah is the name of Allah Almighty. Bismi Allah sounds as "bismillah", but two seperate words. "Bismi" is one seperate word and "Allah" is another seperate word.

Al-Rahman - Al = the. Rahman = merciful. Rahman is derived from Rahmah, which means mercy. Al-Rahman is one word. It is not like English two words "the merciful". No, in Arabic, "the" is combined with the word both are written as one word; "Al-Rahman".

Al-Raheem - Al = the. Raheem = gracious. Al-Raheem = the gracious. Again, Al-Raheem is written as one Arabic word, and not two.

"Bismi Allah Al-Rahman Al-Raheem" = "In the name of Allah the Most Merciful and the Most Gracious".

Here is how the four Arabic words "Bismi Allah Al-Rahman Al-Raheem" written in Arabic:

I hope this at least opens your mind that the word and understanding is not exclusive. Religions derived from the Line of Abraham have gone into competition with each other to the point that you have lost sight of its essence.

Regards,

SR

By Keno87  on [January 6, 2010 9:38 AM](#)

Agama kami mengajar kami sifat rendah diri yang lebih mendalam... Cth: Biarlah agama kami dihina asalkan kami tidak menghina agama orang lain, biarlah agama kami disekat, asalkan kami tidak menyekat agama orang lain, biarlah kitab kami dibakar, diludah, dipijak asalkan kami tidak membakar, meludah dan memijak kitab agama lain.. Inilah apa yang kami belajar sebagai sumber cinta kasih. Sebab yang terpenting sekali adalah hati dan iman... Tiada sesiapa pun yang mampu menghalang, menyekat, memijak iman ini... kerana iman dan hati ini hanya mampu disentuh oleh Allah. Hentikanlah peperangan! Belajarlah menerima apa yang baik...

By Keno87  on [January 6, 2010 9:38 AM](#)

Penggunaan nama Allah dalam Alkitab dibuat oleh mereka yang datang menyebarkan Injil di Borneo beratus tahun dahulu. Orang-orang ini datang dari Australia dan Britain. Saya sendiri tidak tahu mengapa mereka memilih nama Allah sebagai terjemahan God dalam Alkitab.

Kemungkinan mereka merujuk kepada Alkitab bahasa Arab dan memutuskan untuk menggunakan nama yang sama seperti yang digunakan oleh orang Kristian Arab. Lagi pun orang Kristian Arab muncul lebih awal daripada orang muslim Arab.

Katakan mereka menggunakan nama lain pada masa mula-mula Alkitab diterbitkan dalam bahasa Melayu, kami orang Kristian dari suku-suku minoriti di Sarawak akan terima sahaja. Saya pasti pada masa itu, ketika Sarawak belum bergabung dengan Malaya, tiada masalah menggunakan nama Allah sebab tiada halangan dan larangan dari sesiapa.

Sekarang sudah sekian lama kami menggunakan nama Allah dalam semua ibadah, doa, nyanyian, serta penulisan dan penerbitan dan ini berterusan sehingga terbentuknya Malaysia. Kalaulah orang Sarawak yang beragama Kristian tahu bahawa mereka akan dihalang menggunakan nama Allah setelah bergabung dengan Malaya, mengikut fikiran saya belum tentu terbentuk sebuah negara Malaysia.

Dalam hujah peguam yang mewakili Herald di mahkamah, mereka menunjukkan bukti bahawa sudah ada bahan terbitan Kristian dalam bahasa Melayu sejak lebih kurang 400 tahun yang lampau. Maka dakwaan orang muslim Malaysia bahawa orang Kristian di Malaysia baru sahaja hendak menggunakan nama Allah adalah tidak berasas.

Nama Allah sudah lama kami gunakan. Kenapa sekarang, orang muslim Malaysia hendak menghalang kami daripada menggunakan nama Allah yang sudah sekian lama kami gunapakai, malah sebelum terbentuknya Malaysia lagi.

Suku-suku pribumi di Sarawak tidak fasih berbahasa Inggeris.

Oleh sebab itu mereka tidak mampu memiliki Alkitab dalam bahasa Inggeris yang dipanggil Bible. Namun kami boleh memahami bahasa Melayu/Malaysia. Pada masa sama, agak sukar untuk terjemahkan Bible ke bahasa ibunda suku masing-masing kerana kekurangan pakar bahasa di kalangan mereka.

Maka, untuk memudahkan orang Kristian daripada suku-suku pri bumi ini dapat memperdalamkan pengetahuan mereka dalam kepercayaan Kristian, mereka perlu memiliki satu Bible yang diterjemahkan dalam bahasa yang mereka memahami, iaitu bahasa Melayu loghat Indonesia. Pada masa itu, orang Melayu Malaya belum dikenali sangat oleh suku-suku pribumi Sarawak ini, begitu juga bahasa mereka.

Maka, mereka mendapat terjemahan Bible dalam bahasa Indonesia yang di panggil Alkitab Suci. Kandungan Bible yang merujuk kepada Lord God juga diterjemah sebagai Tuhan Allah tanpa ada sebarang masalah, cuma aman damai dan sukacita. Saya yakin Alkitab pada masa itu dicetak di Indonesia, dan orang muslim di Indonesia tidak pernah dikelirukan iman mereka sampai sekarang.

Pada tahun 16 Sept 1963 maka terbentuklah Malaysia.

Sarawak bergabung dengan Malaya membentuk Malaysia. Sarawak, bersama-sama rakyatnya seperti kami, membawa masuk kepercayaan Kristian kami ke dalam kelompok rakyat Malaysia. Kami tidak pernah diarahkan supaya berhenti guna nama Allah selepas bergabung dengan Malaysia. Kami pun tidak terpikir bahawa suatu hari nanti orang muslim Malaysia akan melarang kami menggunakan nama Allah kerana kami sedia maklum bahasa perkataan Allah adalah dipetik dari bahasa Arab, bukan bahasa Melayu.

Sehinggalah sekarang, baru kami tersentak dan terkejut.

Kami dilarang menggunakan nama Allah dalam Alkitab, dalam semua penulisan dan penerbitan Kristian. Sudah sekian lama kami sudah panggil Dia yang kami sembah sebagai Tuhan Allah, dan sekarang ini dilarang. Saya kasihan memikirkan tentang nenek, datuk dan ibubapa kami di kampung yang tidak tahu menahu bahawa mereka dilarang menyebut nama Tuhan Allah.

Bagaimana kami cucu dan anak-anak mereka hendak menerangkan kepada mereka mengenai larangan ini?

Mereka sudah tentu akan menjawab, "sudah sekian lama kita menyebut nama Tuhan Allah, kenapa sekarang tidak boleh?" Saya yakin, walau dilarang sekalipun, mereka akan tetap menyebut nama Tuhan Allah sampai bila-bila sebab itu adalah nama yang mereka sebut sejak turun temurun.

Orang "modern" atau orang generasi terkini, yang fasih berbahasa Inggeris tidak mempunyai masalah kerana mereka mampu mengerti Alkitab dalam bahasa Inggeris iaitu Bible dan boleh

menghadiri kebaktian atau semua aktiviti ibadah, doa, nyanyian dalam bahasa Inggeris di mana-mana tanpa perlu menyebut Allah, melainkan Lord God.

Tetapi orang yang tidak fasih berbahasa Inggeris terpaksa menggunakan bahasa Melayu/Malaysia. Pada masa dulu, setelah mengikuti sekolah di bawah kerajaan Malaysia, semakin ramai anak-anak pada generasi masa itu fasih berbahasa melayu Malaysia.

Bila bahasa Malaysia menjadi semakin digunakan berbanding bahasa Indonesia, maka wujud satu generasi yang kurang memahami bahasa Indonesia tetapi lebih memahami bahasa Malaysia. Maka, diusahakan satu terjemahan Alkitab dalam bahasa Malaysia yang masih mengekalkan nama Allah.

Salah satu Alkitab terjemahan bahasa Malaysia ada pada simpanan saya dan itulah Alkitab yang sentiasa saya gunakan.

Di kampung sendiri mungkin boleh menggunakan bahasa ibunda sendiri, tetapi nama Tuhan Allah tetap digunakan. Sebabnya adalah kerana dalam bahasa ibunda, tiada perkataan lain yang sesuai untuk menggantikan nama Allah.

Lagipun kami sudah sehati dengan nama ini kerana sudah dipakai sejak turun temurun. Mungkin ada suku-suku yang telah memilih nama khas dalam bahasa mereka untuk tujuan terjemahan dalam bahasa masing-masing, tapi dalam bahasa suku saya, belum ada.

Jadi dalam kes suku saya, masih kena menggunakan nama Tuhan Allah, lagi pun suku saya kekurangan perkataan. Banyak perkataan yang dipinjam dari bahasa suku atau bangsa lain.

Di bandar, anggota sesebuah gereja itu terdiri daripada pelbagai suku bangsa. Ada gereja yang mengadakan dua sesi kebaktian pada hari ahad, satu sesi untuk orang berbahasa Inggeris, satu sesi untuk orang berbahasa Melayu/Malaysia. Ada juga yang mengadakan sesi untuk bahasa Cina dan Tamil, bergantung kepada keperluan bahasa dalam gereja itu.

Di bandar, saya menghadiri sesi berbahasa Melayu/Malaysia sebab saya kurang fasih berbahasa Inggeris. Dalam gereja terdapat pelbagai suku bangsa, maka kami kena menggunakan bahasa Melayu/Malaysia yang mudah difahami semua orang.

Jadi, cuba fikirkan?

Sampai hatikah orang muslim Malaysia memaksa orang-orang seperti saya dari suku-suku minoriti yang lemah untuk berhenti memanggil Tuhan mereka dengan nama Tuhan Allah? Jangan lupa bahawa kami sudah lama, malah sebelum terbentuknya Malaysia, telah memanggil Tuhan yang kami sembah dengan nama Tuhan Allah.

Andaikata kami semua fasih berbahasa Inggeris, saya yakin Bible tidak akan diterjemah ke bahasa Indonesia/Melayu.

Andaikata pada masa dulu orang yang mula-mula menterjemahkan Bible ke bahasa Indonesia atau bahasa Malaysia memilih nama lain untuk terjemahkan God, dan bukan Allah, kami tidak kisah asalkan nama itu merujuk kepada Tuhan yang menciptakan alam semesta, menciptakan bumi serta segala isinya, menciptakan manusia iaitu Adam dan Hawa.

Asalkan nama itu merujuk kepada Tuhan yang disembah oleh Nabi Abraham, Isa dan Yakub, Tuhan yang disembah oleh Nabi Noh, Tuhan yang disembah oleh Nabi Musa yang membawa orang Israel keluar dari tanah Mesir, Nabi Harun, Tuhan yang disembah oleh Maria (bukan Nabi) yang melahirkan Yesus (Nabi Isa) secara luar biasa tanpa persetubuhan. Bagi kami, nama itu tidak penting, yang penting kami menyembah Tuhan yang sama seperti yang disembah oleh Nabi-Nabi yang tersebut tadi.

Namun begitu, nama Allah sudah turun temurun kami gunakan untuk berseru kepada Tuhan yang disembah Nabi Abraham dan Nabi-Nabi lain. Nama ini sudah sebat di hati kami. Kami boleh saja tukar nama itu bila-bila masa. Tetapi, sudah sekian lama kami memanggil nama Allah, malah sebelum Sarawak bergabung dengan Malaya. Bayangkan jika seseorang dipaksa menukar nama yang selalu disebutnya untuk berseru kepada Tuhannya kepada nama lain, tentu rasa pelik.

Kami memang ada menyebut nama Tuhan Yesus. Tuhan Yesus merujuk kepada firman Allah yang hidup, yang telah menjelma menjadi manusia melalui kandungan Maria tanpa persetubuhan. Firman itu datang dan hidup ditengah-tengah manusia. Setelah selesai dengan karya penyelamatanNya, dimana Dia mati untuk menebus dosa manusia, Dia diangkat ke syurga tempat asalNya. Yesus adalah firman Allah yang hidup. Dia sudah ada sebelum alam semesta diciptakan. Melalui firmanNya yang hidup inilah Allah mencipta segala sesuatu. Allah sendiri berkata kepada manusia, bahawa Yesus itu anakNya. Tetapi masih ramai orang beranggapan bahawa konsep Anak dan Bapa ini sama konsepnya dengan manusia beranak pinak. Anggapan ini tidak tepat.

Maksud Anak di sini adalah simbolik. Ia merujuk kepada kasih yang ada pada Allah di mana Dia rela mengorbankan AnakNya untuk menebus dosa manusia. Bagi manusia, anak merupakan seorang individu yang sangat dikasihi oleh seseorang bapa. Jika seseorang bapa sangat mengasihi anaknya, tidak mungkin dia rela menjadikan anaknya tebusan. Tetapi Allah membuktikan kepada manusia bahawa Dia rela berbuat begitu kerana kasihNya kepada umat manusia sangat dalam. Panggilan anak Allah itu cuma satu simbolik.

Maria bukan isteri kepada Allah. Maria adalah manusia biasa yang dipakai Allah untuk menyempurnakan tujuanNya sendiri. Maria melahirkan Tuhan Yesus dalam keadaan manusia sejati. Maria seorang yang sangat diberkati oleh Allah. Teori yang mengatakan Allah itu beranak dan diperanakan bukan berasal dari Alkitab orang Kristian. Kalau tak salah saya, nabi Isa dalam Al-quran juga disebut Kalam Allah. Minta maaf kalau tidak benar.

Sekali lagi saya ulangi. Sampai hatikah orang muslim Malaysia memaksa orang-orang seperti saya dari suku-suku minoriti yang lemah berhenti memanggil Tuhan mereka dengan nama Tuhan Allah? Jangan lupa bahawa kami sudah lama, malah sebelum terbentuknya Malaysia, telah memanggil Tuhan yang kami sembah dengan nama Tuhan Allah.

Begitulah sedikit komen dari saya. Saya tidak berniat hendak berdebat atau menyinggung perasaan atau memperlekehkan mana-mana pihak. Ini merupakan pandangan peribadi.

By sham12  on [January 6, 2010 9:36 AM](#)

asalamualaikum Tun dan semua,saya rasa mereka yang berhak membahaskan ALLAH dalam konteks ini ialah muslim with bible scholar knowledge.AHMAD DEDAT sudah meninggal

,adakah seseorang yang bertauliah sepertinya ingin membantu.debat secara sihat harus di adakan antara muslim dan christian ,mahkamah bukan jalan penyelesaian

By thejedalim  on [January 6, 2010 9:35 AM](#)

pray 4 peace..amen..

By thejedalim  on [January 6, 2010 9:34 AM](#)

tq.mayb tun ws true at certain,i personally duno bt..i bliv if our God is universal..then God is for evry1..no matter who thy r..no matter even the pagan..im sure 4 1 tin is tht my God is One, sent His closest n loved one like His own children..tht the God, Heavenly Father..to us to bliv in Him thru Messiah, the Christ to turn us to the Almighty One. Jesus is God cus He is the Lord who has authority ovr Judgement day tht plsd Allah..whom is proceed fr God Himself..amen..

He came to tis world thru Virgin Mary..was born tht wy cus the God create us like His image..tht we human being cn read His Word like hw He the Lord came n lef us His Holy Spirit in us..He wil come to tis world again as we al bliv in..

Spiritually, Trinity is ONE

tq

By apok  on [January 6, 2010 9:30 AM](#)

Salam Tun yang dikasihi,

Ini hanya pendapat peribadi saya.

Apa yang saya rasakan kini ialah, sejak Tun "tiada" dalam kerajaan, banyak pihak makin "berani". Inilah yang dikatakan "undang-undang". Tidak seperti "dokter", kerana "dokter" sentiasa memerhati dan mendengar masalah "pesakit" dan sedaya upaya membantu untuk "menyembuhkan" panyakit itu.

Sejak Tun tiada dalam kerajaan, apa yang disedari banyak "masalah" yang tidak dijangka telah menimpa Malaysia.

Alangkah bagusny, jika Tun masih dalam kerajaan. Tapi kita harus sedar, Tun juga harus "berehat".

Saya sebagai generasi baru merasakan, pimpinan baru harus mendapatkan nasihat dan bimbingan dari Tun agar berani dan tegas dalam membuat keputusan dalam mentadbir negara.

Walaupun semasa pemerintahan Tun, ada yang tidak senang dengan cara Tun, tapi ini sedikit sebanyak membuatkan banyak pihak "gerun" dan "tidak berani" seperti sekarang.

MUNGKIN... kita terpaksa memandang isu Allah ini dalam sudut positif. Ya, mengharapkan yang baik seperti "mereka-mereka" ini terbuka hidayahnya, semasa mengkaji Allah dari segi Islam dan membandingkan "Allah" dari sisi mereka sendiri yang akan mereka guna pakai nanti.

ATAU MUNGKIN, pimpinan sekarang harus berusaha keras untuk membuat "sesuatu untuk" Malaysia. Mereka harus menentang arus.

Apa-apa pun, "mereka" ini harus menghormati ISLAM sebagai agama rasmi Malaysia. Sudah lupakah mereka, ketika mereka diterima di bumi Malaya?

Hanya mengharapakan yang terbaik dari Allah untuk bumi Malaysia.

Sekadar pendapat peribadi ku.

By leo7  on [January 6, 2010 9:28 AM](#)

Tun Mahathir,

Salam dengan penuh hormat.

Questions to one and all;

1. What was the pledge when the Crusade was mobilised against Islam and all Muslim ?
2. Has it come to an end and was there any declaration made to end the Crusade ?
3. Was it not the intention of the Crusade to wipe off Islam and all Muslims who refused to convert ?

The Crusade may be an ancient history but power play of modern day politics is more dangerous, full of greed, deceitful and destructive.

The Crusade is ongoing and "they" have read the Koran through and through as of the late Shiek Ahmed Dedat who have read and knew all the Bibles at the back of his small finger and always come out top in any Islam/Christianity debate.

Maybe we should invite his prodigy, Dr. Zakir Naik to give his opinion on the subject in hand perhaps debate with the narrow minded judge if she is a fair and willing citizen of country. She can keep her law books and all the western technicalities in the chamber for a few hours.

By [panjihitamufuktimur](#)  on [January 6, 2010 9:27 AM](#)

Salam Ayahanda Tun M dan juga pejuang-pejuang Islam yang lain...

Dah nak sampai "time" dia dah...bersiaplah wahai saudara-saudara ku sekalian...

By ANWARISFREEMASON  on [January 6, 2010 9:23 AM](#)

Pope depa pun tak terhegeh-hegeh nak pakai perkataan ALLAH....

By ayu_87  on [January 6, 2010 9:18 AM](#)

tidak terlaknat oleh Allah dari kalangan ahli kitab yahudi dan Nasrani sehingga mereka mengatakan bahawa Allah itu memiliki anak yang sulung, kedua, ketiga dan seterusnya serta merubah kitab-kitab Allah.

janganlah kita pula menjadi bangsa yang terlaknat oleh Allah jika kita merelakan saja hal ini berlaku dan kepada alim ulama, pemimpin, sultan serta ahli cendekiawan..

bertindaklah dengan tegas jangan lah takut

kerena Allah sentiasa bersama kita..

kita diuji sekarang seperti peristiwa Natrah dulu.

mungkin Allah ingin melihat apakah reaksi kita kali ini.

mereka akan menggunakan berbagai cara untuk menyesatkan kita.

selamatkanlah akidah umat Islam di akhir zaman ini.

By khadijah  on [January 6, 2010 9:04 AM](#)

1. Yang pentingnya niat. Istilah "Allah" itu bukan exclusive kepada umat Islam sahaja. Kalau penggunaannya betul, maka betullah dia.

Namun demikian, dalam bahasa Arab pun, Tuhan/God = Ilah.
"Allah" tu maksudnya Yang Esa.

Bila kita kata Al-Kitab. Al-Kisah. Merujuk kepada benda2 khusus.

Kalau kata Allah sbg nama Tuhan Kristian, kamu merujuk kepada Tuhan triune yg mana? Jesus? Adakah kamu memanggil Jesus Isa atau Jesus=Allah?

Salah tu.

2. Bahasa Indonesia dan Bahasa Melayu lain. Similar tapi tak sama. DBP governs BM. Kalau nak berbicara bahasa Indonesia, pergi sana.

3. Kalau nak sangat pakai Allah tu, maka tukarlah jugak rukun negara kepada Kepercayaan Kepada Allah. Semua buku sekolah anak2 kita.

3. Saudara Azman Sulaiman (dan org lain), tidak ada dalam Al-Quran yang mengatakan Hawa dicipta dari rusuk Adam. AlQuran mengatakan manusia dicipta dari tanah. Dua manusia pertama = Adam dan Hawa. Mungkin Hawa juga dicipta dari tanah. Cerita Hawa dicipta dari rusuk Adam tertulis dalam kitab Yahudi dan tradisi mereka. Ini alasan utk membuat kaum wanita tunduk pada kaum lelaki. Kalau ada ayat suci Islam menerangkan ciptaan Hawa, sila kongsi bersama. Wallahualam.

By ayu_87  on [January 6, 2010 8:56 AM](#)

assalamualaikum Tun dan semua.

Tun..

Saya pasti ada agenda tertentu yang cube dilakukan oleh mereka.
Di Indonesia sekarang berbagai-bagai bencana berlaku sebab negara tersebut yang begitu liberal dengan menggunakan perkataan ini..

walaupun remaja-remaja sekarang banyak yang diracuni pemikiran akibat globalisasi namun ini juga satu agenda terbesar dalam isu globalisasi bagi mewujudkan one order yang dirancang sejak sekian lama.

dalam siri THE ARRIVALS saya melihat bagaimana cara-cara mereka cube memprogramkan minda manusia melalui liberalisasi agama.

dajjal telah kelar dan ini satu taktik mereka menyesatkan kita...

Seperti hadith Rasullulah yang telah disyarahkan oleh ulama' yang menerangkan tentang 4 peringkat pemerintahan Dajjal.

1. sehari umpama 1000 tahun (British > 900m - 1900m = 1000 tahun)

2. sehari umpama 1 tahun (Amerika > 1917 - 2000 = 83 tahun)
3. sehari umpama 1 bulan (Israel > 2001 -2021~2023 = 21 tahun lebih)
4. sehari umpama hari-hari biasa.

(1 hari di akhirat bersamaan 1000 tahun di dunia. maka di bahagi mengikut nisbah itu.
 $1000/1=1000$, $1000/12(\text{setahun})=83$, $83/4(\text{sebulan})=20$ tahun lebih)

Dajjal ni dh nak memasuki fasa terakhirnya...

Tun saya selalu berdoa dengan linangan air mata agar Allah sentiasa memelihara negara tercinta ini..

janganlah kita menempah bencana dari Allah
dengan mencampurkan yang hak dengan yang batil.
kerana kita tak mampu nak menanggung walau sedikit pun azab Allah.

Wallahualam.

By [irasyd](#)  on [January 6, 2010 8:29 AM](#)

YBHG TUN,

SAYA SANGAT-SANGAT BERSETUJU DENGAN PENDAPAT YBHG TUN. ALLAH TIDAK TERDAPAT DALAM KITAB INJIL.SAYA PUN HAIRAN KENAPA ORANG-ORANG BUKAN ISLAM TERUTAMANYA PENGANUT KRISTIAN TERGAMAK MENGGUNAKAN NAMA ALLAH UNTUK KEPENTINGAN DAKWA MEREKA. MEREKA SEDAR ALLAH ITU TUHAN ORANG-ORANG ISLAM.KALAU MEREKA MAHU MENGGUNAKAN ALLAH SEBAGAI TUHAN KENAPA TIDAK MASUK ISLAM SAHAJA KAN BAIK.

PADA MASA LALU SAYA DI KUNJUNGI OLEH DUA ORANG SISTER AGAMA KRISTIAN DAN MEMBERIKAN DAKWA TENTANG KRISTIAN. ANTARA YANG MEREKA SENTUH IALAH TENTANG KETUHANAN.KATA MEREKA JESUS ITU ANAK TUHAN DAN TUHAN. JESUS DI SALIB UNTUK MENEBUS DOSA YANG PENGANUT KRISTIAN BUAT.DAN PADRI BOLEH MAAFKAN DOSA PENGANUT KRISTIAN MELALUI PROSES CONFESSION.SAYA TANYA MEREKA, KALAU JESUS ITU ANAK TUHAN BERAPA RAMAIKAH ANAK TUHAN? MEREKA TAK DAPAT JAWAB. SAYA TANYA LAGI KALAU JESUS ITU ANAK TUHAN DAN TUHAN KENAPA DIA DI SALIB UNTUK MENEBUS DOSA-DOSA PENGANUTNYA SEDANGKAN DIA SELAKU TUHAN BOLEH MAAFKAN DAN AMPUNKAN DAN KEPADA SIAPA DIA TEBUS DOSA-DOSA PENGANUTNYA? MEREKA TAK DAPAT JAWAB. YANG AKHIR SEKALI SAYA TANYA KENAPA PADRI BOLEH AMPUNKAN DOSA-DOSA PENGANUT KRISTIAN MELALUI CONFESSION SEDANGKAN DIA JUGA MANUSIA YANG MUNGKIN BUAT LEBIH BANYAK DOSA DARIPADA ORANG YANG BUAT CONFESSION.MEREKA TIDAK DAPAT JAWAB, DAN TERUS MINTA IZIN UNTUK BEREDAR.

SEPERTI YANG YBHG TUN KATAKAN, DALAM ISLAM ALLAH HANYA SATU, TIDAK BERANAK DAN TIDAK DI BERANAKAN. KEBESARAN ESA ALLAH YANG MAHA KAYA DAN HANYA DIA YANG DI SEMBAH DI NYATAKAN DAN DI SAHKAN DALAM ALQURAN AYAT AL-KAFIRUUN YANG MAKSUDNYA" 1. KATAKAN: HAI ORANG-ORANG KAFIR, 2. AKU TIADA MENYEMBAH APA YANG KAMU SEMBAH, 3. DAN KAMU TIADA MENYEMBAH APA YANG KAMU SEMBAH 4. DAN AKU AKU TIADA MENYEMBAH APA YANG KAMU SEMBAH 5. DAN KAMU TIADA MENYEMBAH APA YANG KAMU SEMBAH 6. BAGI KAMU AGAMAMU BAGI AKU AGAMAKU.

AYAT AL-KAAFIRUUN INI SANGAT CANTEK DAN INDAH IA MEMBERIKAN JAMINAN KEPADA PENGANUT-PENGANUT LAIN YANG ORANG ISLAM TIDAK SEKALI-KALI MENGAMBIL NAMA TUHAN PENGANUT KRISTIAN, YAHUDI DAN LAIN-LAIN UNTUK DI SEMBAH. DAN KENAPA PULA ORANG KRISTIAN MAHU MENYEMBAH ALLAH SEDANGKAN TUHAN MEREKA JESUS. KALAU SUDAH YAKIN ALLAH ITU HANYA SATU-SATUNYA TUHAN YANG ESA UNTUK DI SEMBAH MASUK ISLAM SAHAJA DENGAN RELA HATI DAN SUCI HATI.

YBHG TUN, MENYEDARI HAKIKAT BAHAWA ISLAM ITU AGAMA RASMI MALAYSIA PARA PEMIMPIN ISLAM KENA PERTAHANKAN, JANGAN BERAPI-API SAHAJA. KERANA PENGGUNAAN ALLAH ORANG BUKAN ISLAM BOLEH MEMISONGKAN AKIDAH ORANG ISLAM. MENDUAKAN TUHAN ADALAH ANTARA DOSA BESAR DALAM ISLAM.

NAH KALAU YANG SELALU CAKAP JIHAD SANA SINI, DI SINILAH JIHAD BESAR IA ITU MEMPERTAHANKAN NAMA ALLAH HANYA UNTUK ORANG ISLAM.

SEHUBUNGAN JUGA DENGAN INI, WALAUPUN HANYA BERKAITAN DENGAN UNDANG-UNDANG KERAJAAN KENA BERHATI-HATI DALAM MEMBUAT SESUATU PERLANTIKAN. SENSITIVI KEAGAMAAN INI BOLEH MENCETUSKAN HURU HARA DAN PERTUMPAHAN DARAH. BAGAIMANA PENGECUTNYA SESEORANG SEKALI PUN APABILA AGAMANYA DI SENTUH DENGAN TIDAK BAIK IA AKAN BANGKIT UNTUK BERTINDAK, IA BUKAN MAINAN.

BAGI YANG SUDAH SEDAR ALLAH ITU TUHAN YANG SATU-SATUNYA TUHAN YANG DI SEMBAH. FAHAMI TENTANG ALLAH ITU DARI PROSPEKTIF ISLAM INSYA ALLAH TUAN/PUAN AKAN MASUK ISLAM. ORANG-ORANG ISLAM YANG MURTAD ITU SEBENARNYA MERKA TIDAK MEMAHAMI ISLAM SEMASA DALAM ISLAM ATAU MEREKA MASUK ISLAM BUKAN DENGAN NIAT IKHLAS DAN SUCI. HUKUM MURTAD INI BERAT DI DUNIA DAN BERAT JUGA DI AKHIRAT. DENGAN ITU MASUK ISLAM DENGAN NIAT YANG SUCI DAN IKHLAS DAN FAHAMI ISLAM SECARA MENDALAM APABILA SUDAH DALAM ISLAM. AMBIL CONTOH DAN IKTIBAR KEPADA ORANG ISLAM YANG BAIK DAN BUKAN ORANG ISLAM YANG TIDAK BAIK. KITA BOLEH TENTUKAN BAGAIMANA RUPA DAN BENTUK ORANG ISLAM YANG BAIK DENGAN MEMAHAMI ALQURAN DAN SUNNAH NABI (HADITH SAHEH) ADA BANYAK TEJEMAHAN. cONTOH ORANG ISLAM TIDAK BOLEH MINUM ARAK, ORANG ISLAM YANG MINUM ARAK TAK BOLEH DI JADIKAN CONTOH. KEPADA YANG BUKAN ISLAM KALAU MAHU

GUNAKAN NAMA ALLAH SEBAGAI TUHAN SDR/SDRI MASUK ISLAM BARULAH ADA MAKNA GUNAKAN ALLAH SEBAGAI TUHAN SDR/SDRI.

YBGH TUN, TERIMA KASIH BANYAK2 KERENA MEMASUKAN ISU INI DALAM BLOG KALI INI. INSYAALLAH NAMA ALLAH SELAKU TUHAN KEPADA ORANG ISLAM AKAN TERPILIHARA.

By Capt.Jag2020  on [January 6, 2010 8:17 AM](#)

Bismillahirrahmaannirrahim,

Assalamu'alaikum Warahmatullah Dear Tun,

If I may.....,

Allah= Al-Wahid (The One and Only) = There is none like Him

Allah= Al-Samad (The Self Sufficient Master) = The Complete One. No need of others.

Allah= He begetteth Not (Whereas the parents is in need of the child, therefore being the parents is

being incomplete).

Allah= He is not begotten (Whereas the child is in need of the parents, therefore being the child is

being incomplete).

Allah= There is none like unto Him (Every creations in the universe are not complete, even we the

humans, the supposedly superior creature, are not complete. Only Allah is Complete because

He is The Self Sufficient Master.

Thus, the formula is quite simple....., One of the elements in the 5 Pillars of Islam is To acknowledge and To bear witness that Allah is Allah and Muhammad is the Prophet.

Believing in the Prophets/ Messengers of Allah and Al-Kitab are the two elements of the 6 Pillars of Faith (Iman).

The mission of Muhammad s.a.w as the final prophet/ messenger of Allah S.W.T is to complete the missions of all the previous prophets of Allah by delivering the final words and messages of Allah known as Al-Quran that contains the full messages of Islam.

Therefore,

A) No Muhammad s.a.w = No complete missions

B) No Al-Quran= No complete messages and words of Allah/ No Islam

Thus,

(A) + (B) = Incomplete Faith= Confusion= Astray

Conclusion: I don't really think the name 'Allah' suits or correctly matched with the above-mentioned

equation.

Wallahu'alam. Thank You Tun, May Allah bless you with the great health and grant us the great victory. Wassalam

By Anjasmara  on [January 6, 2010 7:53 AM](#)

As-Salam Tun,

Dari semalam saya sukar untuk tidor kerana isu ini. Terasa sebak di dada bila dah berlaku baru masing-masing sibuk menjadi pahlawan. namun pada ketika selesa masing-masing alpa. saya amat berharap pihak kerajaan tahu mana satu isu yg patut di utamakan. Sekian terima kasih

Dari Jauh.

Miri

By [Redhuan D. Oon](#)  on [January 6, 2010 6:57 AM](#)

Yang menyatakan Trinity itu bukan tiga bentuk fizikal tetapi tiga peranan pun tidak tepat.

Allow me to correct those who say that the concept of Trinity is only about roles and not a physical reference of god having a son. The verse in the gospel of John chapter 3 verse 16 says (when i was a christian in the 80s one bible removed a key word):

"For god so loves this world that he gave his only begotten son, that whosoever believes in him shall not perish but have everlasting life".

The word removed was 'begotten' in one bible but i think not for the others. SO you can check. The language is clear. And the doctrine is actually foreign and only introduced by Saul, or later called Paul, who is a Roman soldier who persecuted Christians, and in the end cooked up a story so that the Romans could hijack Christianity and made it a Vatican project as it stands today to dominate the West. And also East.

Don't take my word for it. Just google or wikipedia more deeply :)

Redhuan D. Oon

[PendAtang Dengan Izin](#)

By abu sayab  on [January 6, 2010 5:39 AM](#)

An interesting article posted in one of the blogs:-

This is from <http://www.answering-christianity.com/allah2.htm> which is a webpage set up by a Palestinian Arab Muslim to answer criticisms by certain Christians about Islam.

Below is some information he got from an Indonesian contributor concerning who doubt whether 'Allah' should be used in the Indonesian language Bible...

LAI stand for Lembaga AlKitab Indonesia or Indonesian Bible Society.

please visit: <http://www.alkitab.or.id/foreword.htm>

Recently there was a big issue among Christians in Indonesia about the word 'Allah' used as God in the Indonesian's Bible by LAI. Some have said that 'Allah' is not a truth God, and should be used 'Elohim' instead. But LAI just keep quite.

The issue come to the peak when there was a group of Christians produced their version of Bible by removing the word 'Allah' as name of God and put 'Elohim' instead. This version of Bible has started to spread, and Christians in Indonesia have started to confuse, which is which????

In this critical condition, Indonesian Bible Society under United Bible Societies (UBS) – WORLDWIDE BIBLE SOCIETY has wrote a circular to defend that 'Allah' is really the God of every prophet, the article is "Penggunaan "Allah" dalam Alkitab" or in English is "The Using of "Allah" in AlKitab (Bible)" by Dr. Daud H.Soesilo (Email: ubs@mlg.mega.net.id), he start his explanation about who Is 'Allah' originally by:

"el, elohim, eloah adalah nama pencipta alam semesta dalam bahasa Ibrani, bahasa asli Alkitab Perjanjian Lama. Dalam bahasa Arab, allah (bentuk ringkas dari al ilah) merupakan istilah yang seasal (cognate) dengan kata Ibrani el, elohim, eloah."

in English....

"el, elohim, eloah is the name of the creator of the universe in Hebrew (Ibrani), the original language of the Old Testament. In Arabic language, allah (simplified form from al-illah) is the cognated title with the word in Hebrew for el, elohim, eloah."

please visit the whole explination – all in Indonesia language: <http://www.alkitab.or.id/jelas.htm>

So this is clarify who Allah really is. He is the God of this universe and the God of every prophet.

To make you confirm about this matter please email to Dr. Daud H.Soesilo from UBS or write or Email to Mr. Supardan, the General Secretary of LAI, his address is:

LEMBAGA ALKITAB INDONESIA. All rights reserved.

Jln. Salemba Raya No. 12,

Jakarta 10430 –

Indonesia

Phone: (62-21) – 3142890, Fax: (62-21) – 3101061,

Email: info@alkitab.or.id

By wetbgru  on [January 6, 2010 4:49 AM](#)

Salam and peace and blessings be to all.

I will not claim to be an ardent follower of this issue, and I am certainly not a qualified scholar on this matter. However, this issue has made me think and without taking the hat of any profession except for a being who submits to the Loving Creator, I cannot help but to leave a comment.

One of the people who commented tried to explain the concept of the Trinity with Dr. Mahathir as an example. I will have to point out the erroneous course this example has taken for when we speak of the Divine Being as understood in the faith of the Muslims (i.e., Islam), Allah is not enclosed in a triad.

Allah has attributes and Allah has the 99 names that we can call upon if we profess to the existence of only one God, which is Allah. To say that Allah takes on the triad of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost would imply that Allah takes on the role of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, which is simply a fallacy for since Allah is the Divine Being that comes out of nothing and requires nothing to come into existence (i.e., Self-Subsistence), it is a mistake to encapsulate Allah within the terms of "Father", "Son" and "Holy Ghost". These three terms are defined within human linguistics as having a role that is of relation to humans. Since Allah is not human, it is impossible and illogical for Allah as understood in the faith of the Muslims to be equitable to the God as understood in the other monotheistic faith.

I have no position to say that the usage is meant to divert Muslims to the wrong path. During the lifetime of Prophet Muhammad (p.b.u.h), one of his companions fought in a battle and was about to kill one of the enemy when the opponent declared the syahadah (No God but God & Muhammad is God's Messenger). The companion killed the enemy, nonetheless, under the assumption that the opponent had said so just to save his life. Upon hearing this incident, the Prophet responded by saying, "How did you know what was in his heart?"

Of course, this does not go without saying that we must allow whatever to happen to happen since we can never know what dwells in the hearts of others. No. This que sera sera attitude is a passive stance. What we can do is to set the stage for scholars from the two monotheistic faiths to start a dialogue.

I've lived in developed foreign countries and whenever I mention that I have roots with Malaysia, the Muslim students (majority of them from the Middle East and/or neighbouring nations) tend to ask how Malaysia has managed to grow with Islam as its faith. This statement alone speaks volumes of how far the faith of the Muslims has enabled a nation to develop whilst protecting the interest of other faiths (monotheistic and non-monotheistic). Let this be a reminder for during the historic times of the growth of Islam in the other parts of Asia, other faiths were also safeguarded.

I appeal for an intellectual discussion. If Malaysia has really developed and grown as outsiders claim her to be, then surely there must be scholars or knowledgeable humans who can answer this call.

Wallahualam.

By [osane](#)  on [January 6, 2010 4:46 AM](#)

YABhg Tun dan keluarga...
Assalamualaikum....

1. saya setuju perkara ini tidak dapat diselesaikan dlam mahkamah, dan saya berharap sahabat bloggers berbincang khas isu penerbitan majalah yg dimaksudkan di dalam malaysia.
2. walaupun nanti berkemungkinan pihak yg menerbitkan majalah berkaitan bersetuju tidak akan menggunakan perkataan ALLAH setelah mengadakan perbincangan dengan kerajaan, rayuan kepada mahkamah untuk membatalkan penghakiman yg membenarkan penggunaan perkataan ALLAH sangatlah perlu.
3. di masa akan datang, saya berharap kerajaan hendaklah bertindak pantas dan berhemah menangani sesuatu masalah sensitif samaada antara kaum atau agama. jangan bila dah diputuskan mahkamah, baru hendak bertindak.
4. apa yg sangat saya kesal ialah apa yg terjadi ini adalah punca dan sikap oleh Tun Abdullah dan menteri KDN yg sangat lemah. KDN dan menteri hendaklah pantas bertindak tanpa mengiris perasaan mana-mana kaum.
5. dalam kehidupan seharian selalu sahabat2 saya dari kaum cina, india dan yg lain, selalu ada menyebut "ini rezeki tuhan allah yg kasi", ini saya rasa tiada masalah. tetapi isu penerbitan majalah atau apa jua penerbitan oleh agama lain yg menggunakan perkataan ALLAH hendaklah dilarang samasekali. tetapi jika ia digunakan kerana hujah menentang kewujudan ALLAH, saya terima kerana ia bertujuan menerangkan kepada penganut agamanya sahaja.
6. saya juga setuju apa yg dimaksudkan oleh Tun, motif dan tujuan penerbitan ini sangatlah licik dan ia berguna untuk jangkamasa panjang.

terima kasih: osani

By samoo  on [January 6, 2010 4:18 AM](#)

wheres the king and all the malay sultans when u need them? nows the time to step to the plate and protect ure muslim people

By ekompute  on [January 6, 2010 3:53 AM](#)

"Sebagai perbandingan agak mudah dirujukkan perkataan "kaum pendatang" kepada mahkamah, Tetapi ia adalah sesuatu yang sensitif yang tidak dapat diselesaikan oleh undang-undang."

Saya bersetuju dengan Tun bahawa perkara "kaum pendatang" tidak perlu dirujukkan kepada mahkamah, tetapi bukan kerana perkara itu sensitif tetapi kerana perkara itu sudahpun diselesaikan oleh kitab agama rasmi negara kita.

Jika kita percaya al-Quran bahawa semua manusia berasal daripada satu ibu bapa, maka semua orang Malaysia ialah pendatang kecuali jika tempat asal Adam dan Hawa adalah di Kuala Lumpur atau tempat lain di Semenanjung. Dalam kes tersebut, maka semua orang Malaysia ialah penduduk asal tetapi kalau tempat asal Adam dan Hawa bukan di Semenanjung, maka semua orang ialah pendatang kecuali mereka bukan daripada Adam dan Hawa.

By razif KL global  on [January 6, 2010 3:43 AM](#)

Dear Sir,

Root: Zionist Illuminati use money as a tool for New World Order hence the preparation of welcoming messiah which they believe as their King of the Kings or their prophet.

It's something to do with the usage of word 'Allah' along very recent issue in Malaysia.

Some people quoted something from Al-Quran. I am a Muslim yet I am not an Islamic Scholar (Alim) to interpret the Qalam of Allah even though it might be incorrect nor otherwise.

I also wish to reply to those stating that Ahli Kitab referring their God as Allah...and NOT non-believer.

All the prophets been sent to this world in the past is to tell people about the truth. About the oneness of God and that God to be only Allah.

Prophet Isa a.s. (Jesus a.s.) was sent to this world for the same purpose.

Yet the original taught had been infiltrated due to political reason by the corrupted Romans and corrupted Jews.

Hence the teaching of new religion of Roman Church Christianity had been introduced by such infiltration. It is no more relates to the original teaching that bring people to Allah, instead it had been mixed up the Roman Pagan Gods into Christianity. So, nowadays, Christians or Roman Church not refer their teaching as Isa a.s. taught originally.

Who run the Vatican Roman Church? The Jesuits. The Jesuits is an Zionist Illuminati agents like CIA, Mossad, KGB. They have 3 different headquarters with difference purpose and strategy. Washington DC (as in America) for political & military, City of London (in London) for economy and international banking and financing, and the third one is Vatican (for liberalized all the religion in this world). These 3 are not under jurisdiction in the country they set upon. They have their own army, police and administration. These are the branch location of Zionist Illuminati. What are the purpose of Zionist Illuminati? To create a New World Order (NWO) with one currency, one police, one bank, one military, one ruler. And others are slaves. In their pursuit to create a NWO, they realize that the biggest obstacle is Religion, incl. Islam. NWO tried to establish the liberalization in all religion into one religion and then to established that there is no God, and telling people that religion is a decease through other deception and lies.

In Malaysia, Vatican Jesuits use IFC (Inter Faith Commission) to liberalize Islam with others. The effort to use 'Allah' in their journals is part of their plot.

Now back to their challenge that 'Allah' being used by non-believers in ancient time., that's a hoax. Non-believers and Paganism (pagan/paganism) have their own many Gods. Ahli Kitab (the God-fearing God aware of the true taught brought by all the prophets i.e. towards the only one God Allah) But where are the Ahli-Kitab now? even wheres the original Torah? the original Injil (or Bible)?

The using the word 'Allah' hence do not fit the practice of infiltrated pagan mixed Christianity under Roman Church.

Yet they see Islam as an obstacles in their pursuit of NWO. and Islam need to be infiltrated in any ways and liberalised it amongst others, including causing confusions, deception and lies.

You see? Its a tools of Zionist Illuminati. The Christians had been infiltrated with Pagan God long way before. See the Sunday (not Son-day), Pagan worships Sun, and theres a Virgin Mary (Pagan worships Isis the woman God) - well just to tell a bit.

I hope the so-called Anonymous while stating something from the Quran, or use / manipulate the Quran...at least get the fact straight.

The other thing, Anonymous mentioned 'Non-Muslim' not Non-Believers. Non Muslim is word currently use. in ancient time its stated to Non-Believers. Another group is Ahli Kitab.Non-believers don't believe in Allah. Non- Muslim un present times as Anonymous recalled it (parallel to present environment to his understanding) obviously not believe in Allah.If so, they would become Believer.

So, what's their point then? I would rather suggest them to really WAKE UP and do some homework, ask somthing on their pagan -like Christianity Roman Church Ruled - why the taught had been infiltrated from the original teaching of Isa a.s. Else they would become Muslim.

Peace,
Razif KL-Global

By ekompute  on [January 6, 2010 2:52 AM](#)

"Penyelesaian kontroversi berkenaan kalimah "Allah" juga tidak boleh dicapai dengan membuat rayuan kepada mahkamah rayuan. Undang-undang tidak mengambilkira soal sensitif atau tidaknya sesuatu, soal mencetuskan ketegangan dan permusuhan antara penganut-penganut agama yang berlainan. Undang-undang mengutamakan maksud undang-undang sahaja."

Kepekaan harus berasas. Kita tidak boleh melayani kepekaan yang tidak berasas. Namun dalam kes sebegini, mereka yang peka perlu dididik tentang kebenaran.

Kalau tidak salah, al-Quran tidak melarang orang Kristian menggunakan perkataan "Allah". Sebaliknya, Nabi Muhammad (s.a.w.) berkata dalam perjalanan haji terakhirnya: "Wahai manusia, ketahuilah bahawa Tuhan kamu adalah satu, dan bapa kamu juga adalah satu." Lebih-lebih lagi, jika Jesus ialah nabi yang diakui oleh Islam, siapakah lagi Tuhannya, jika bukan Allah. Kami tidak berkata Tua Pek Kong ialah Allah kerana itu memang salah sama sekali.

Maka, bolehkah kepekaan segolongan Muslim yang langsung tidak berasas dilayani sehingga melanggar kata-kata Nabi Muhammad yang dipetik di atas? Itulah pokok isu.

By nahar  on [January 6, 2010 2:50 AM](#)

Y.Berbahagia TUN;

SETUJU DENGAN PANDANGAN SYED_FAIZAL ON JANUARY 5, 2010 4:07 PM .
TETAPI TIDAK SETUJU DENGAN CARA PENYAMPAIAN OLEH PEMBELA ON
JANUARY 6, 2010 1:07 AM

TETAPI; Apakah isu penggunaan nama ALLAH oleh agama lain itu ujud hanya dinegara ini sahaja dan tidak ditimbulkan di negara Islam lain(kecuali Indonesia). Mungkin kita boleh buat perbandingan di beberapa negara Islam lain.

Jika isu ini tidak terdapat di negara Islam lain maka jelaslah polimek yg ditimbulkan ini dituju khas untuk negara ini saja, yang tidak lain bertujuan untuk mengganggu-gugat kesetabilan dan keamanan negara ini.

Mereka ini iri hati dengan keharmonian rakyat negara kita dan nampak hanya isu ini saja yang boleh diaplikasikan untuk mengganggu-gugat keamanan negara ini. Kerana selama ini rakyat berbagai kaum bertoleransi mengamalkan konsep berbilang agama hidup dengan aman damai.

****TUHAN YANG DIMAKSUDKAN OLEH ORANG KRISTIAN DAN YAHUDI ITU BUKAN SIFAT ALLAH YG HAK, KERANA SIFAT-SIFAT TUHAN YANG DIPERCAYAI OLEH MEREKA BERTENTANGAN DENGAN SIFAT ALLAH.****

****WALAU BAGAIMANAPUN KITA SEPATUTNYA BERFIKIRAN POSITIF, TIDAK HARUS RISAU & MERASAKAN AKAN MENJADI PIHAK YANG TEWAS JIKA PIHAK LAIN MEMANGGIL TUHAN ITU ALLAH.****

****SEBALIKNYA ITU ADALAH RAHMAT, KERANA AKAN LEBIH MEMUDAHKAN KITA BERDAKWAH, MENJINAK, MENYEBAR DAN MENYAMPAIKAN PULA KEPADA MEREKA TENTANG AGAMA ISLAM INI YANG PERLU DIBERIKAN PENERANGAN MENDALAM TENTANG ALLAH ITU.*****

ISU INI SAMA SEPERTIMANA KITA MEMAHAMI nya BAHAWA AGAMA MEREKA JUGA MENGAKUI TENTANG UJUDNYA NABI-NABI SEBELUM NABI MUHAMMAD (dalam kitab-kitab terdahulu).

Wallahua'lam.

By asifadio  on [January 6, 2010 2:38 AM](#)

Salam Tun,

Dear JackDaniel

perhaps u are right. but theres something about Islam u didn't know at all.. we, muslim are called our prayer as Solat/solah/or lebih di lihat dari perhubungan..

most of scholar in islam were not totally agree with the term 'sembahyang'..

and about 'para dewi' the origin of 'tuhan' word..

thats why most of us refer to use Allah not 'tuhan'.. u need to understand about malay history in acceptance of islam in the early ages. who are we? what are we believe etc.

for your infomation, these common misunderstanding is mostly occur within malay muslim community.. i must admit that it is seems radical for us to distinguish between our taboo or culture and our religion..

thats why u hear about some cases involving muslim scholar detained for 'Wahabi'.

that is our problem that remain unsolve..

that is because of our believe in 'biar mati anak, jangan mati adat'..

if u can see what is ISLAM in the real way, then u will see the real truth..

asifadio

By wydwy  on [January 6, 2010 1:37 AM](#)

Questions for Muslim liberals like Nik Aziz or Dr Asri:

Why are we just thinking this in Muslim vs Christian context...

1. What would happen if the Hindus decide to use the name Allah instead of Shiva to localize it?
2. We've set the precedence for one religion, how about the next one that asks to use it?
3. Will you be prepared to accept its widespread use?

Questions for the Muslim fanatics:

1. Are Malaysian Muslims that weak, uneducated and gullible?
2. If you believe in the one true God and refer to Him as Allah, how is the use of the name Allah going to mean anything else to you?

By KS  on [January 6, 2010 1:18 AM](#)

Tun,

I would like to refer back to the comments by a syed faizal:

By syed_faizal

Salam Tun,

Inilah peluang untuk kita berdakwah kepada orang-orang Kristian. Pada pendapat saya tidak ada masalah untuk orang-orang Kristian menggunakan perkataan Allah kerana Allah sememangnya Rabb bagi manusia. Cuma Illahnya yang menyimpang.

Saya pernah membuat perbandingan agama antara Islam dan Kristian. Saya dapati isi-isi kitab Injil mempunyai banyak keraguan dan bercanggah-canggah. Sebagai satu contoh mudah, ketika 'Jesus' disalib, beliau meminta pertolongan 'Bapa' dan mencemuh orang yang menyalibnya. Cuba fikir, jika Jesus atau dikatakan 3 dalam 1 itu mahu berkorban untuk manusia, kenapa 'Jesus' tidak rela disalib malah mencemuh kaum-kaum Yahudi yang menyalibnya? Contoh lain ialah kisah-kisah porno didalam kitab Injil. Nabi Luth berzina dengan anak sendiri hingga melahirkan zuriat. Layakkah kitab yang diturunkan Allah mengandungi kisah-kisah lucah seperti ini. Kitab yang diturunkan Allah mestilah mempunyai kisah-kisah yang mempunyai pengajaran untuk kegunaan manusia. Jadi apa pengajaran yg dapat diambil dari kisah porno ini? Patutla orang-orang barat boleh berzina sesuka hati sebab mereka telah membaca kitab Injil yang telah diubah suai.

It has always been the contention of certain Muslims to raise apologetics issue, sensitive issues to slander Christianity; whereas Muslims would use a different set of presupposition - one being to take the Quran as their source of Divine inspiration without ever ever questioning or examine

their origin, their source, their earliest manuscript, the different codifications prior to the Uthman recension, the need to destroy all other versions of Quran, including the copy in the possession of Hafsa, one of the wives of Muhammad, etc.

Actually many of us do not wish to raise questions like these because we respect the Muslims especially in the multi-racial nation of Malaysia. But why do Muslims like to raise issues like these to discredit Christianity even though, like in this blog post, it has nothing to do with the topic, which is the use of the word Allah.

But since few issues have been raised, there is a need to refute the lies set forth. Jesus had never been unwilling to sacrifice Himself for the salvation of mankind. In fact, He predicted His own resurrection (Matthew 17:22-25). This point has been clearly mentioned by Josh McDowell in his debate with Ahmad Deedat and one just has to listen or read the entire transcript of the debate and one will get the true picture. Secondly, Jesus never curse His enemies, in fact, Jesus astonishingly forgave them - that again is one of the evidence of His Deity as only God can offer such a forgiveness. [Jesus said, "Father, forgive them, for they do not know what they are doing." (Luke 23:34)]. These just go to show the shallowness of the Bible understanding of the person who made such comments.

Regarding the so-called pornographic materials, this has always been a point of attack from the Muslims. The Bible has never shunned from displaying the sins of mankind in all biblical accounts. For example, in Ezekiel 23, this is actually referring to the sin of idolatry of the two nations Samaria and Israel. The two nations became senseless and apathetic so that no amount of decent admonition would work with them. There was no amount of nice words that would cause them to recognise the gravity of their sin, the sin of idolatry. So the prophet had to use this language to make his point. Such strong language is needed to be used to depict or to give a full impact of the ugliness of sins.

What about in the Quran? In one verse, it says "And do not spy, neither backbite one another; would any of you like to eat the flesh of his brother dead?" (Surah 49 ayat 12) Does that mean that cannibalism was allowed? The use of such imagery is simply to show backbiting is just as disgusting as harlotry or immoral sexual acts. If the Qur'an simply commanded people not to backbite, the message would not be nearly as powerful. But the revolting image of cannibalising one's brother had to be used to communicate the vileness of backbiting.

In fact, one of the major problems non-Muslims have regarding the Prophet Muhammad is the many wives he had, and why the Prophet would need to take Aisha when she was only around 7 years old? And why was there a need to take somebody else's wife, in this case, the wife of his own adopted son, Zayd? These are the questions many are curious to know. And one does not need to go into complicated sources to find these out. And these references are not difficult to find, it can be easily available from a simple, common source such as wikipedia, where anyone can read them. Can anyone care to explain these to the non-Muslims?

So, while we seek to respect Muslims, we also ask that everyone display respect to others of different faith.

By Aziz Halim  on [January 6, 2010 1:08 AM](#)

Salam, Tun and all Muslims.

I agree with what have been mentioned by Tun on this particular topic. There are things which we should be open minded and there are things which we should be "narrow minded" and defend regardless what the people say.

We need to understand with the implicit intention of the Christians to use "Allah" instead of Tuhan. WHY NOW? The main intention is to confuse the younger generations of Muslim which may not be now, but later, maybe 50 or 100 years later in the future. Even in Arab the word use is not Allah but Ilah.

One good example is what happen to Masjidil Aqsa. All this while we have been projected that the Golden Jew temple is the Masjidil Aqsa. But the real Masjidil Aqsa is the Masjid with black dome. The intent is to CONFUSE the MUSLIM. So this will enable the JEWS to demolish the Masjidil Aqsa with ease, without resistance from the MUSLIM.

As mentioned in the Al-Quran, "Golongan Nasrani & Yahudi, tidak akan berpuashati dengan kamu (kaum Muslim) sehingga kamu masuk ke dalam agama mereka". This also applicable to the Kafirun. They can say what they like about the Muslim, for me who are they to judge us.

Even our term of JIHAD, the Kafirun will associate it with bombing, terrorism. The term is a taboo for the Kafirun. But the concept is bigger than that.

By [Pembela](#)  on [January 6, 2010 1:07 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tok Det,

Assalamualaikum Raja-Raja Melayu Melayu,

Assalamualaikum Ulama Melayu Islam Malaysia,

Assalamualaikum Pemimpin Kerajaan Melayu Islam Malaysia,

"AKU NAIK SAKSI BAHAWA TIADA TUHAN YANG KU SEMBAH MELAINKAN ALLAH DAN AKU NAIK SAKSI BAHAWA NABI MUHAMMAD ITU PERSURUH ALLAH"

TUHAN YANG DISEMBAH OLEH KRISTIAN BUKAN ALLAH!!!

TUHAN YANG DISEMBAH OLEH YAHUDI BUKAN ALLAH!!!

TUHAN-TUHAN LAIN YANG DISEMBAH DI DUNIA INI BUKAN ALLAH!!!

Kalimah ALLAH kalimah syahadah

Kalimah ALLAH kalimah akidah

Tetapi Ada "yang mengaku ulama" mengatakan Tuhan Kristian juga ALLAH

Tetapi Ada "yang mengaku ulama" mengatakan Tuhan Yahudi juga ALLAH

Tetapi Ada "yang mengaku ulama" mengatakan Tuhan lain juga ALLAH

KEPADA SEMUA ULAMA DAN PEMIMPIN MELAYU ISLAM MALAYSIA YANG
BAHALOL, BANGANG, DAN TAK SEDAR DIRI INI.

Memanglah Tuhan Semesta Alam itu ALLAH

Memanglah Tuhan kepada SEMUA MAKHLUK termasuk manusia, malaikat, jin, iblis, setan,
polong, haiwan, yang dilangit, dibumi dan diantaranya itu ALLAH

Memanglah Tuhan kepada semua manusia di dunia ini jantan, betina, pondan, maknyah dan yang
tak berjantina itu ALLAH

Memanglah Tuhan kepada Bush, Obama, Lim Kit Siang, Lim Guan Eng, Lee Kuan Yew, Karpal
Singh, Samy Vellu, Hakim Lau, Chin Peng, Mao Ze Tung, Hitler, Mussolini, Ariel Sharon, Ehud
Barak, MCA, MIC, DAP itu juga ALLAH.

TETAPI HANYA INSAN YANG BERAGAMA ISLAM SAHAJA YANG "BERSAKSI"
TIADA TUHAN YANG "DISEMBAH" MELAINKAN ALLAH.

HANYA PENGANUT ISLAM SAHAJA YANG TIDAK MEMYEMBAH TUHAN LAIN
MELAINKAN "MENYEMBAH" ALLAH

Umat Melayu Islam wajib bangkit berjihad memerangi mereka yang cuba memesongkan akidah
dan syahadah.

Persoalannya siapa yang harus Umat Melayu Islam perangi?

1. Penganut agama lain yang samada jahil mengenai Islam atau sengaja mahu mengatasi
pengaruh Islam di Malaysia menuntut hak menerusi undang-undang ciptaan manusia untuk
menyebarkan agama mereka?

ATAU,

2. Ulama dan pemimpin Islam yang tinggi ilmu agamanya dan sepatutnya mempertahankan
maruah, hak dan kesucian Islam tetapi disebabkan gila glamor, harta, pangkat, kuasa, sokongan
politik telah menggunakan ilmu dan kepandaian agama dan Bahasa Arab mereka memutar-belit,
bermain kata-kata dan menyesatkan Umat Islam!!!

Saya lebih percaya jihad akan diterima ALLAH jika diperangi mereka di No.2 dahulu walaupun
mereka itu semua mengaku alim ulama. Mereka bukan ulamak tetapi mereka ini semua
ULAMAK NASI LEMAK!!!

DEMI ALLAH TUHAN SEMESTA ALAM...Kerajaan Islam Malaysia dan Raja-Raja Melayu
Islam harus segera bertindak!!!

ALLAHUAKBAR!!! ALLAHUAKBAR!!! ALLAHUAKBAR!!!

PEMBELA

<http://pembelamelayu2009.wordpress.com/>

By wydwy  on [January 6, 2010 1:05 AM](#)

SamuraiMelayu,

WHY WAS THERE NO MENTION OF THE WORD 'ALLAH' BEFORE THE COMING OF PROPHET MUHAMMAD AND THE HOLY BOOK AL-QURAN?

Please check history again. The name 'Allah' was used before by the pagan Arabs, before Muhammad (s.a.w.) was a Muslim.

From one Malay to another: do some homework and get some English lessons...

By amin tan  on [January 6, 2010 12:54 AM](#)

Dear Tun,

Agama islam mengamalkan toleransi dan kesederhanaan dalam semua perkara kecuali islam itu sendiri. Allah tuhan nya. Mohammad nabinya. Lakun di nukun waliyadin. Jangan kata semua agama sama sahaja. Mereka yang menyokong orang2 kafir adalah subahat dan bertujuan mencari simpati untuk mendapat undi. orang kapor kata Allah tuhan nya. Jesus nabinya. Ini sudah ambil separuh-separuh. Nanti nak adjust bahagian solat pula.

amin tan

By Tauke Kedai  on [January 6, 2010 12:49 AM](#)

Sebut 'Allah' pun tak betul depa ni..bukan dapat apa2 pun..saja nak cari pasal jer...

God in Bahasa Melayu is Tuhan. They can choose Tuhan. Why must they opt for Allah? Did they finally realise that Islam is the right one but found it difficult to admit it??

We, Muslim Malays have been too nice. We are too accomodating.

By me  on [January 6, 2010 12:43 AM](#)

Hi & Salam, Tun & Others

A) To those Christians who request/support the use of kalimah "Allah" in Herald:

For you people to have below:

- 1) to request more budget to build Church
 - 2) to request be given more opportunity in promoting your religion
 - 3) to request to have more freedom(appropriate) in your religious proselytizing
- > All of these are MAKING SENSE

B) As for you people to have the needs to use kalimah "Allah" in Herald
= NONSENSE (It's not a NEED in the first place)

It wont do any good for you people, us(Muslim) & others..

Pls just pick: GOD (English) and/or Tuhan(BM)...Your target prospect will understand it well...No need to debate all the way on all of this terms originality whether its legal or not..

Be smart, cool and of coz still religious BUT please never ever let something that even your "LEGAL-obligated decision maker" can't ever control it to HAPPEN..

To TUN
NICE ONE..(but still moderate...))

rgds
HRS

By [kdwidjoyo](#)  on [January 6, 2010 12:27 AM](#)

Salams Tun,

To me, all these fiasco are the result of unscrupulous missionaries, over-zealous in converting people into Christianity. Over-zealous and unscrupulous missionaries are not subjected to just in Christianity, but it exists in almost all religions of the book (Judaism, Christians and Islam). In Beirut, there is a publishing company that publishes transliterations of the Quran, and in it, they termed Isa as Jesus.. (in the Al-Quran). Let's not talk about what the Jews are doing in the US (Kabahlah etc).. Religions are pretty straight forward. The ones that messes it up are the humans.. Allah sent down the Torah to Musa, and ended up having so many sects.. Allah sent Injil to Isa, and ended up having so many mazhabs.. even in Islam.. there is Sunnah wal jamaah, wahabis and syiahs..

Religion is between one personal self with Allah / God.. Why all the fuss? Let Jews call their God Jehovah.. Let Christians call their god God.. Let Islam call their god Allah.. Full stop.. Judges sometimes let matters go up to their head.. Laws are guidelines.. Judgments are human.. So decide and take responsibility..

Love you Tun..

By [atanya](#)  on [January 6, 2010 12:25 AM](#)

Agama mengajar kita agar bersabar, tinggalkan sikap hasat dengki, hormat menghormati antara satu sama lain, kita suruh rakyat menghormati keputusan mahkamah, tetapi tengok apa telah jadi....orang usik sikit kita melengting. Sabarrrr, kita tunggu keputusan mahkamah. Tetapi yang penting semua pihak jangan jadikan agama itu punca pencetus krisis, agama itu mendidik kita agar menjadi manusia yang utamakan keamanan.

By [amin tan](#)  on [January 6, 2010 12:20 AM](#)

Dear Tun,

Saya setuju dengan huraian Tun dalam issue ini. Orang2 Kristian ada muslihat untuk kelirukan orang2 islam untuk dakwah agama Kristian kepada orang islam. Janganlah cari pasal. Selain dari agama Islam ialah kafir.

amin tan

By [abu sayab](#)  on [January 6, 2010 12:18 AM](#)

God = Tuhan.

What is the Malay word for Allah??

By azman bin sulaiman  on [January 6, 2010 12:05 AM](#)

http://www.guidedways.com/quransearch-translator-11-keyword-isa-records_display-25-chapter-0-lang-.htm

Diatas adalah link yang berkaitan dengan Nabi Isa. Puak Kristian mengatakan Jesus. Bandingkan perbezaan nabi Isa dalam Al-Quran dengan Jesus dalam Kitab Bible.

http://www.guidedways.com/quransearch-translator-11-keyword-allah-records_display-25-chapter-0-lang-.htm

Link diatas pula Allah dalam Al-Quran !!!

By TunA  on [January 5, 2010 11:44 PM](#)

Salam Tun dan Bloggers semua,

Pada pendapat saya penggunaan kalimah Allah oleh pihak Kristian di Malaysia sepatutnya tidak dibenarkan. Tuhan yang dimaksudkan oleh orang Kristian itu bukan Allah kerana sifat-sifat tuhan yang dipercayai oleh mereka bertentangan dengan sifat Allah.

Lebih baik saja guna perkataan Tuhan atau kembali menggunakan kalimah Jehovah seperti bahasa asal kitab injil. Pilihan kalimah Allah untuk merujuk kepada tuhan yang ada anak adalah salah.

Sebagai umat islam yang ingin menjaga kesucian agamanya dan mengangkat tinggi nama Tuhannya, kita tidak patut benarkan mereka mengatakan yang bukan-bukan kepada Allah.

Surah Maryam:

88. They say: "(Allah) Most Gracious has begotten a son!"

89. Indeed ye have put forth a thing most monstrous!

90. At it the skies are ready to burst, the earth to split asunder, and the mountains to fall down in utter ruin.

91. That they should invoke a son for (Allah) Most Gracious.

92. For it is not consonant with the majesty of (Allah) Most Gracious that He should beget a son.

93. Not one of the beings in the heavens and the earth but must come to (Allah) Most Gracious as a servant.

Patutkah kita sebagai negara Islam membenarkan monstrous lie diperkatkan dengan terbuka dan diterbitkan di haapan kita. Ini mengundang bala Allah.

By ahh  on [January 5, 2010 11:38 PM](#)

Ayahanda,

Saya sokong sepenuh nya artikel ini. Pelbagai lagi pendapat yang telah ditimbulkan seperti di laman web <http://www.umnobktampg.blogspot.com/>. Apa pun janganlah kita leka.

By [Gula Kapas](#)  on [January 5, 2010 11:32 PM](#)

"Dalam Islam Allah tidak ada bapak, tidak ada anak. Ia tidak dilahirkan dan Ia tidak melahirkan sesiapa. Allah hanya satu. Ia tidak boleh disekutu dengan sesiapa."

I notice this is the rough translation of **Surah al-Ikhlās**. Why not mention it?

By [Rimba Emas](#) on [January 5, 2010 11:13 PM](#)

SALAM BUAT TUN BERDUA MOGA DIRAHMATI ALLAH S.W.T.

1) DALAM AL QURAN DICERITAKAN SEJAK ZAMAN BERZAMAN DARI KEJADIAN ADAM SEHINGGALAH KE NABI KITA NABI MUHAMMAD S.A.W YANG DIMUSUHI OLEH MANUSIA YANG ENKAR SURUHAN ALLAH S.W.T TERMASUKLAH MAKHLUK DARI KEJADIAN ALAM GHAIB IAITU JIN.

2) TIDAK BERIMANNYA GOLONGAN INI KERANA TERPESONG DARI AJARAN YANG DIBAWA OLEH NABI MUHAMMAD S.A.W YANG DI AJARKAN KEPADA MANUSIA MALALUI WAHYU YANG DIKALAMKAN SEBAGAI AL-QURAN.

3) SEMUA TENTANGAN INI PASTI AKAN BERTERUSAN SEHINGGALAH HARI KIAMAT KERANA JANJI ALLAH S.W.T UNTUK MENJALANKAN HUKUM-HUKUM KEADILANNYA KEATAS MAKHLUK-MAKHLUK CIPTAANNYA SAMAADA MEMATUHI PERINTAHNYA.

4) ALLAH S.W.T TIDAK MEMERLUKAN PERTOLONGAN KITA SEBALIKNYA KITALAH MANUSIA DAN JIN YANG WAJIB MENJALANKAN PERINTAHNYA DAN SEMUA INI TIDAK SEDIKIT PUN ADA KELEMAHAN SEBAGAIMANA DIRI KITA YANG BERNAMA MANUSIA INI.

5) SESUNGGUHNYA SEMUA KEADAAN INI BERPUNCA DARI KELEMAHAN KITA DALAM MENTADBIR ATAU MENGURUS DUNIA INI YANG WAJIB MEMATUHI SEBAGAIMANA YANG DI TUNTUT DI DALAM AL-QURAN.

6) TAMPA UNDANG-UNDANG SEPerti DI DALAM AL-QURAN LEBIH BANYAK MENGUNDANG RESIKO YANG TINGGI KERANA NAFSU KITA MUDAH MENOLAK JALAN MUDAH YANG DITUNJUKI OLEH ALLAH S.W.T.

WALLAHU'ALAM

By shaiktamby on [January 5, 2010 11:11 PM](#)

Salamun Salaman Tun,

Is Calling the Christian God 'Allah' Wrong?

<http://www.christianpost.com/article/20070823/is-calling-the-christian-god-allah-wrong/index.html>

One of America's pre-eminent evangelicals is challenging the advice of a retiring Roman Catholic Bishop in the Netherlands who has raised eyebrows worldwide by suggesting Dutch Christians pray to "Allah."

Dr. R. Albert Mohler, Jr., president of The Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, argues that it is inappropriate for Christians to call God Allah based on irreconcilable theological differences associated with the name Allah and core Christian beliefs.

The key condition behind calling the Christian God Allah is that Allah must refer to the same God as the one in the Bible. However, this requirement presents “a huge problem for both Muslims and Christians,” contends Mohler.

The theologian pointed out that the Qur’an explicitly denies that Allah has a son, and Islam considers the idea of a triune God to be blasphemy.

“Thus, from its very starting point Islam denies what Christianity takes as its central truth claim – the fact that Jesus Christ is the only begotten Son of the Father,” wrote Mohler on his web blog Wednesday.

“If Allah has no Son by definition, Allah is not the God who revealed himself in the Son. How then can the use of Allah by Christians lead to anything but confusion ...and worse?”

Last Monday, during an interview with a Dutch TV program, 71-year-old Bishop Tiny Muskens promoted the idea of Dutch Christians calling God Allah, believing that it would ease much of the conflict between the Christian and Muslim faiths. Muskens contended that God doesn’t mind what He is called and the arguments over what to call Him is an invention of man.

“Allah is a very beautiful word for God. Shouldn’t we all say that from now on we will name God Allah? ...What does God care what we call Him? It is our problem,” said Muskens, according to The Associated Press.

The retiring bishop was a former missionary to Indonesia – the most populous Muslim country in the world – for eight years, where he said priests used the name “Allah” while celebrating Mass.

In response, Mohler pointed out that it would be difficult to support the argument that “Allah” can be used as a generic term for God. The theologian said separation of Allah from the language, theology, and worship closely associated with it is difficult. Moreover, even non-Arabic speaking Muslims use Allah when referring to their god.

Another irreconcilable difference is that Jesus commanded his followers to baptize “in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit.”

“When this command is taken seriously and obeyed, the whole issue is greatly clarified – a Christian cannot baptize in the name of Allah,” stated Mohler.

“So Bishop Muskens is disingenuous at best when he suggests that God does not care about His name. This is not a matter of mere ‘discussion and bickering,’” said Mohler.

“If Allah has no son, Allah is not the father of our Lord Jesus Christ...This is no mere ‘discussion and bickering.’ This is where the Gospel stands or falls,” the theologian concluded.

Bishop Muskens in the past endorsed other controversial ideas which went against the Vatican leadership – such as those who are hungry can steal bread and that condoms should be permissible in the fight against HIV/AIDS.

By Faaz  on [January 5, 2010 10:52 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

I wonder how the Malaysian non-muslims will react if the Government is to amend Rukunegara from 'Kepercayaan kepada Tuhan' to 'Kepercayaan kepada Allah'.

In a way I personally believe that the 1Malaysia campaign has backfired. '1 for all' and slowly things will be out of control.

By barkochba  on [January 5, 2010 10:49 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

Marketing 101 states that any form of communication should first and foremost have the target segment in mind before crafting process starts.

What a good marketer would then do is to test this piece of communication out to its intended target segment to see whether is engaging and resonates with them.

Using this same concept, using a piece of article with the word "Allah" would fail miserably among the non malays segment, but i reckon would score very high in resonance with the malay segment.

Therefore, if the objective is to resonate with non malays, "Allah" may not be the easiest way to achieve this objective, however, if the objective is to resonate with the malays, than yes, it would achieve that objective easily.

Communication is region/geography specific, one cannot use similar word usage in other countries and apply them here. For example, the word Pampers in Malaysia refers to a category of diapers, whereas the same word Pampers in America means a particular product from P&G. Likewise, the word "Allah" may mean God in middle east where arabic is language of communication, but here, where we dont speak arabic, it can easily be taken out of context. What is wrong with "Tuhan"?

By antikmalaya  on [January 5, 2010 10:45 PM](#)

Tun yang dikasihi,

1) KINI TELAH TIBA MASANYA UNTUK RAJA-RAJA TANAH MELAYU SELAKU KETUA AGAMA ISLAM BANGUN DENGAN SEGERA UNTUK MEMPERBETULKAN KEADAAN.

2) PERKARA BEGINI TIDAK PERNAH TERJADI DALAM SEJARAH TANAH MELAYU.

3) ORANG ISLAM KINI DISERANG DARI SEGENAP PELUSUK.

Dan Tun, dalam perjuangan agama, hukum tetap hukum. Ini ujian dari Allah swt. Saya kagum dengan Tun kerana berani menyatakan pendapat walaupun menghadapi tentangan dari keluarga sendiri.

By boz  on [January 5, 2010 10:38 PM](#)

A bit of surfing around shows me that in the Arabic language, God is Allah. I am talking about the Arabic language as in language. Also, it shows me that there is such a thing as the usage of the word Allah before Islam comes about. Before. In the Arabic Bible, the reference to God is Allah. Yes, the Arabic Bible. In the Middle East, not everyone is a Muslim. The majority are

Muslims. But there is still the minority of non Muslims. Does the word Allah need to be halalkan ? In many developed nations, the people there read a lot. Some people would read various titles. Or instead of reading serious books, some people would read any information whether from blogs, from the internet, from magazines and anywhere else. When one reads, one must read with an open mind. Some people read with a close mind because they have made up their mind to reject anything that is foreign and unfamiliar to them. The close minded readers read because they want the information rather than allowing their thoughts, their minds to be refocussed. There are all sorts of books. Dictionaries. Cross reference books. Language books. The authors, the editors and the people who play a part in making a book do it because they want to convey a message. Does the Quran tell believers to use the word Allah in a special way ? If Allah thinks that His name is so special, He should have kept it away from His holy book. One thing about this one true God or one true Allah is when we ask questions, He does not stop us from asking. He might not answer. But He still allows us to ask questions. In the example that you give. "God is dead ". If He is really dead, these Americans or these people would not even mention His name. When you mention a person's name, psychologically you are saying that that person is still around. Just like when somebody died and every now and then you remember him, you mention his name knowing that he is dead but in the deepest part of your heart, his spirit still lives on. Americans are not the only Christians on Earth. There are Americans who have embraced Islam. There are many once upon a time Christians who embrace Buddhism. There are others who embrace Hindusim. In the western world, it is no longer just the Christian God. It can be the God or Allah of Islam, Lord Buddha, Lord Krishna and so on and so forth. So again, in order for us to be developed, it is not just about the big tall buildings, it is also about the development of our minds, our intellect. Yes. I agree. This is a sensitive subject. It does not have to be if people choose not to make it sensitive.

By Ceylonese Lawyer  on [January 5, 2010 10:31 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

Reading the comments in your Blog and the many compliments paid to Justice Lau in Malaysiakini by people who see this decision as a sign of her independence, integrity and brilliance, the thought did cross my mind as to how united a country we had become. After more than 50 years of independence, finally we see Malaysians, many of whom are non-malays, standing up and championing the right to refer to God as Allah.

After all these years we have two cousins from the great state of Perak, who are members of the opposition, who are chinese and Christians at that who are proudly making proclamations quoting from the Holy Quran !

Have we turned a corner ? Are we well on our way to becoming 1 Malaysia ? I suspect not.

The entire liberal movement seems to be all ears full of openness when it comes to welcoming measures which dilute the sanctity of Islam and its clear and distinct definition and doctrines. We saw this in the push for liberal conversion laws in a test case by Lina Joy. That may have appeared to be an offensive posture seeking to make inroads into the Islamic faith by diluting the regulation over conversion and apostasy. We now see it from a different point of motivation on the apparent pretext of a language twist- since Allah means God it is open to use by all in sundry.

I wish to make a few points on this and people may search their own conscience as to what their real motives are:

1. Had this issue not taken place at all and had it instead been a case where the education Ministry or the Home Ministry deciding that hence forth God in Bahasa Malaysia will be defined as "Allah" with the result that children in schools be required to recite Rukun Negara using "Allah" and such like, I wonder if the initiative would receive the same wide spread welcome that Justice Lau has now received;

2. Does it matter for how long the word "Allah" has been used by others. Leaving the past aside is it not a fact that in the modern world the name "Allah" is associated with Muslims. McDonald may be the name of a Scottish clan going back hundreds of years, but is it not a fact that the right to use that name is today restricted to the particular brand owner who has developed an affinity with it.

3. Does the requirement not to use Allah and instead to use the term "tuhan" offend the right to practice one's religion ? Does it even stop the Herald from publishing. It is free to do so by the change of this one word. How can they be honestly prejudiced by this. Change is inherent with the times. The Catholic Church has had the wisdom and maturity to apologise to the Jews for 2000 years of violence against them. The events that led to this violence was at one time held in high esteem eg the crusades. Yet the Church re-examined the virtue of these views and altered their position with a dignified apology. These are the hallmarks that has enabled the Catholic church to endure time, tide and empire.

So why do they now stand shoulder to shoulder with activists who preach liberal thoughtlessness just to defend the use of one word. Will it not go to their credit to respect this Muslim nation who has welcomed them, which has acknowledged the errors of their past in recent time and make an accommodating concession that will allow the Church to do its work while respecting Muslim sensitivity and honour.

By lextcs  on [January 5, 2010 10:28 PM](#)

Eventhough im a christian, i would agree that using the name of Allah would cause much confusion rather than appeasing to all parties. Yes over east msia the name has been used for generations but isnt it about time to correct the reference? I know that some of the other sect uses JEHOVAH as their true God in their witness for the 'lost'....are they refering to the JEHOVAH God of the Christians? If yes would it not caused conflict and we have term them as a cult in return? Muslims today are the persecuted lot. More muslims die for their faith than any other religious followers today. The freedom of media has now made everyone expert in everything under the sun. And just like the days of old...every man did what he considered to be right...because in those days there was no king....

By [MALAYSIADREAM](#)  on [January 5, 2010 10:25 PM](#)

Bahasa yang mudah difahami serta cara penyampaian bahasanya indah-cantik sekali...'no wonder' amat bertuah sekali Malaysia Boleh dikenali dunia di Zaman Mahathirism..yang amat bangga sekali..!

"Allah..tiada bapak..tiada anak...Ia tidak dilahirkan dan Ia tidak melahirkan sesipa..."

Like this quote very much..!Keep on writing..May Allah Bless Tun forever.."

Note :Don't know why Islam..allow for one..two..three or four..?Still applicable todate..?May Tun enlighten us..one day!
Forgive for ignorances..!

By The Zul  on [January 5, 2010 10:00 PM](#)

Salam Tun Sekeluarga,

A SIMPLE, CLEAR AND CONCISE EXPLANATION.

By [Redhuan D. Oon](#)  on [January 5, 2010 10:00 PM](#)

Tun,

Inilah waktunya umat Islam diuji keteguhan mereka. Apakah mereka mudah terpedaya dengan dakwah orang Kristian bahawa agama mereka itu sama benar dengan agama Islam?

Umat Islam sepatutnya cukup cerdik kerana agama Islam cukup bersejarah dan kaya dengan hujah dan rasional terutama terhadap sesama agama samawi seperti dari Nasrani dan Yahudi.

Zaman Nabi cukup bukti bahawa memang Islam tidak boleh dikalahkan kerana ia berasaskan tauhid yang jelas dan kitab yang sempurna. Malah, Allah mengajak manusia dan jinn untuk mencabar kembali prinsipnya.

Allah juga mengajak Nabi supaya bersikap terbuka dan tidak memaksa terhadap mereka yang lain kerana 'tiada paksaan dalam agama' dan 'yang terang adalah asing daripada kegelapan lain'

Itu sebabnya saya memeluk Islam walaupun saya dahulunya Kristian. Saya yakin lagi ramai dari kaum bukan Islam akan menerima Islam sekiranya ditonjolkan lagi keIslaman sejati dan dikurangkan sentimen perkauman seolah Islam itu dimonopoli oleh kaum tertentu.

Islam adalah untuk semua. Bukan manusia yang tetapkan tetapi memang begitu dari mula-mula lagi.

Maka itu sebabnya saya sokong golongan agama lain menggunakan kalimah "Allah" sebagai nama Tuhan mereka, dan saya rasa lebih senang berdakwah kepada mereka kerana tidak akan bergaduh soal betul ke tidak nama Tuhan. Mudahlah saya tegur mereka dengan ayat "Qul huwallahu ahad, allhussamad, lamyalid walam yuulad.." tanpa dialihkan sangat dari perkara pokok iaitu memang Allah itu Satu dan tidak beranak.

Saya percaya kristian sendiri tidak akan ambil maksud frasa-frasa yang Tun sebutkan iaitu "Allah is dead". Jika betul, gereja Katholik akan tutup kerana pengikutnya akan lari dari institusi yang gagal mempertahankan Tuhannya :)

Redhuan D. Oon

[PendAtang Dengan Izin](#)

By azman bin sulaiman  on [January 5, 2010 10:00 PM](#)

Kepada majapil,

(TUN MENULIS)

9. Tetapi dalam agama Kristian terdapat konsep "Trinity" yang mana terdapat "God the Father, God the Son and the Holy Ghost". Jika dalam bahasa Melayu terdapat dalam kitab Injil atau syarahan berkenaan "Allah sebagai Bapak, Allah sebagai Anak dan Roh yang Suci", maka tentulah ini akan ditentang oleh orang Islam. Dalam Islam Allah tidak ada bapak, tidak ada anak. Ia tidak dilahirkan dan Ia tidak melahirkan sesiapa. Allah hanya satu. Ia tidak boleh disekutu dengan sesiapa.

SAYA MENJAWAP:Maaf TUN,pemahaman TUN tentang konsep "Trinity"atau "Tritunggal"tak dalam.Agama kristian tetap percaya Allah hanya SATU yang menyatakan diriNya dalam tiga oknum (Bapa,Anak dan Roh Kudus).Sama seperti manusia yg diciptakan menurut gambar dan rupa TUHAN .Manusia mempunyai (1)TUBUH (2) JIWA (3) ROH -manusia ialah makhluk 'Tritunggal' TIGA DLM SATU sama seperti TUHAN ALLAH itu tritunggal (Bapa,Anak (Jesus) dan Roh Kudus).Contoh lain ialah AIR.Air hanya satu tetapi kandungan molikiulnya TIGA (H₂O-2 Hidrogen dan 1 oksigen)untuk menjadi Air.

Dalam Kristian Allah itu bapa(pencipta)kami,bukannya Allah itu berbapa,dan bukan melahirkan melainkan mengambil bentuk,menjelma menjadi manusia dalam Jesus.

Inilah perbezaan yang jelas antara Muslim dengan Kristian.Makna Allah telah dijelaskan oleh Allah sendiri dalam surah Al-Ikhlash.Saudara telah tertinggal ayat ini ' Ia tidak boleh disekutu dengan sesiapa ' Dengan mengambil contoh 'air' terdiri dua unsur iaitu 2 Hidrogen dan 1 Oksigen telah menyamakan makhluk dengan Allah dan Allah itu ada sekutunya seperti hidrogen dan oksigen bersekutu baru boleh jadi air.Ini bertentangan dengan Islam kerana Allah tiada siapa yang menyerupainya dan tidak ada sekutu bagiNya.Allah tidak berkehendak pada makhluk tetapi makhluklah yang berkehendak kepadaNya.Tiada satu benda pun yang menyamai dan menyerupai Allah,tiada terfikir dan terlintas dihati manusia bagaimana rupa Allah.Allah Mahaberkuasa tidak perlu kepada sesiapa.Allah yang menjadikan Isa tanpa bapa seperti Adam tanpa bapa dan ibu, Hawa dijadikan daripada tulang rusuk Adam juga tanpa bapa dan ibu. Adam dari tanah hitam yang kering dan keturunannya yang lain ,dari air mani sampai ke Isa , Ibunya Maryam mengandung tanpa disentuh lelaki dan lahirlah Isa.Inilah 4 kaedah lahirnya manusia ,3 daripadanya hanya khusus untuk Adam,Hawa dan Isa dan cara ke 4 itu percampuran benih lelaki dengan perempuan maka lahirlah keturunan manusia seperti anak-anak Adam dengan Hawa iaitu Qabil dan Habil.Di dalam Al-Quran semua nabi-nabi dari Adam,Nuh,Ibrahim,Luth,Ismail,Ishak,Yaakub,Yusuf,Syuaib,Musa,Daud,Sulaiman,Zakaria,Yahya,Isa dan nabi-nabi yang lain semuanya mengaku MUSLIM sebelum kedatangan nabi Muhammad dan kitab Al-Quran diturunkan.Agama nabi Ibrahim ialah Islam.Taurat,Zabur dan Injil diturunkan selepas kewafatan Ibrahim.Al-Quran kepada Muhammad. Pendustaan besar Kristian dan Yahudi yang mengatakan mereka mengikut agama dan Tuhan nabi Ibrahim.

By [rarnasalam](#)  on [January 5, 2010 9:51 PM](#)

This is one argument that is best to be in centre than in left or right.

I am in agreement Tun that there are lots of sensitivities associated with it, and needs to be handled cautiously by all Malaysians.

(Ravi)

By yusreerazak  on [January 5, 2010 9:47 PM](#)

To SR

1. Bro, you must truly understand that in Malaysia we have various races and religions.
2. That is why Tun wrote 'Penyelesaian kontroversi berkenaan kalimah "Allah" juga tidak boleh dicapai dengan membuat rayuan kepada mahkamah rayuan. Undang-undang tidak mengambilkira soal sensitif atau tidaknya sesuatu, soal mencetuskan ketegangan dan permusuhan antara penganut-penganut agama yang berlainan. Undang-undang mengutamakan maksud undang-undang sahaja..'
3. What Tun mean was the usage "ALLAH" in other religion must considered on tolerance policy and compromise.
4. You should understand on Islamic Religion authority as country's official religion. The issue now was why Christian wish to use language "Allah" when speaking on God? why not just use word God or 'tuhan'? Where are our tolerant and compromise attitude gone?? why want destroy integration are built by our ancestor?
5. It is alright if SR longs use Assalamualikum but for your info that word mean Salam Sejahtera (we malaysian use it frequently). What we do not use toward non muslim is actually 'Assalamualaikum warahmatullah hiwabarakatu' (greeting and may Allah bless you)

By Tabur  on [January 5, 2010 9:31 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Sebagai Islam apa yg saya fahami Injil dan Taurat tiada lagi ujud dimuka bumi ini. Ia telah dihapuskan oleh Allah. Ianya telah digantikan Allah dengan Kitab AlQuran sepanjang zaman.

Bible bukan Kitab Injil dan Talmud bukan Kitab Taurat.

Injil dan Talmud adalah Kitab yg diturunkan Allah sedangkan Bible dan Talmud tidak. Ianya lebih kepada kitab gubahan.

Sehingga kini mereka tetap masih ingin mengubah kitab bible mereka dengan mengubah nama tuhan mereka pula kepada kalimah Allah.

Sebenarnya mereka sendiri tiada tahu siapa sebenarnya tuhan mereka.

Seperti yg dinyatakan oleh Allah didalam AlQuran, ianya kaum yang sesat dan menyesatkan.

Wassallam Tun.

By Otaipencen  on [January 5, 2010 9:27 PM](#)

Salam buat TUN n seluruh pembaca ruangan ini,

Saya tak nak taip panjang2 sbb orang lain dah bg komen yg lagi baik dari saya. Cuma satu saya nak tanya ; Siapa bajingan yang bg muka kat majlis peguam dan siapa yang memperjuangkan kebebasan bersuara tempohari? Sepatutnya orang itu dulu dihumban ke Bermuda Triangle,

barulah kita diMalaysia kembali tenang seperti dulu. Sekolah saja tinggi tapi pakai otak lembu tarik kereta.

Sekian, Otai Pencen.

By atan  on [January 5, 2010 9:00 PM](#)

sekadar menyatakan pendirian....takkan bersetuju walau dengan apa cara sekalipun penggunaan nama ALLAH digunapakai didalam mana-mana kitab dan rumah ibadat bukan islam...agamamu adalah agamamu...agamaku adalah agamaku..

By shahrul  on [January 5, 2010 8:53 PM](#)

thanks to 1Malaysia concept & so called "perlembagaan". The Law decided God means Allah in Malay translation. lim guan eng, samy vellu, tony fernandes & everybody can say Allah ialah tuhan saya. Mr. Samy can say Allah saya ada byk tangan muka pun macam gajah. Mr. Lim can say Allah saya boleh mati hidup semula. Mr. Tony can Allah saya lahir dari Virgin Mary. Is this wha we call Islam Hadari? Perhaps if Tun & Sultan Mirzan (as a "Ketua Agama Islam") sit in the Muslim lawyer side during the hearing, the result might be different!

By zemmy_azli  on [January 5, 2010 8:47 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum,

Tulusnya, segala apa pun perkara yang berkaitan dengan agama anutan, tak kira la apa agama sekalipun, ianya pasti mengguris perasaan. Dan dalam hal ini, keseluruhan umat islam di malaysia sedang terluka, ingat tu, keseluruhan.

Memang la, undang undang tetap undang undang, tapi kan ianya buatan manusia, yang mana pasti ada ruang kelemahannya walau sekecil zarah, maka si pengamal undang undang perlu lebih bijak mengguna pakai undang undang yang dipelajari dan diamalkan olehnya.

Dalam hal ini, pihak berkaitan iaitu mahkamah rayuan dan kuasa kuasa khas, hakimnya datuk lau bee lan dan pihak gereja katolik perlu faham api yang telah dicetuskan, jika dibiarkan, ia akan semakin besar, dan membesar, dan membesar, maka apakah nanti penghujungnya? Ia bukan berkaitan menang atau kalah sesuatu kes itu, tetapi secara moral dan etikanya, semua pihak berkewajipan memelihara keharmonian antara kaum dan agama. Di dalam situasi umat islam diseluruh dunia mengalami tekanan yang hebat, sejarah peperangan antara islam dan kristian yang amat panjang, dan kemunculan kumpulan kumpulan pelampau islam yang amat fanatik dan memang tidak kisahkan mati, maka apa yang berlaku hari ini di malaysia ini, lambat laun akan tersebar ke seluruh dunia. Tidak adakah sesiapa yang bimbang jika al-qaeda atau jemaah islamiah ingin melakukan sesuatu atas situasi ini. Atau mungkin ada saki baki pengikut allayarham azhari dan noordin mat top yang merancang sesuatu disebabkan hal ini. Kepada sesiapa yang tidak pernah bercampur gaul dengan golongan seperti ini, anda tak tahu betapa apa yang sanggup mereka lakukan demi agama islam, ngeri jika anda pernah terdengar pelan tindakan mereka. Mereka tidak peduli apa pun tanggapan orang atas apa yang mereka lakukan, bagi mereka, mereka cuma melakukan apa yang perlu dilakukan, dan demi Allah, mereka sanggup mati. Tidakkah datuk lau bee lan berfikir sejauh ini ketika mengeluarkan arahan dan perintah? Siapa yang nak bertanggungjawab jika sesuatu yang tak diingini berlaku kerana hal ini?

Tapi jika direnungkan kembali, dunia akhir zaman memang macam ni. Islam dihina, ditindas. Kita pun sendiri berpecah belah. Hal hal di tanah arab tu biarkan la, perkara tu dah berzaman macam tu, kita ni, perbetulkan diri kita. Ada sesiapa pernah bertanya, kenapa kita ditimpa bala bencana, sedangkan kita ni umat islam, umat terpilih, tetapi tetap ada musibah melanda kita. Maka, kajilah kisah sejak zaman adam hinggalah zaman junjungan kita, Nabi Muhammad SAW, maka akan berjumpalah jawapannya. Apa yang perlu kita lakukan ialah banyak banyak bersabar, redha dengan segala ketentuan Allah. Taati kenam enam rukun iman dan pelihara kelima lima rukun islam. Masa yang kita nantikan dan idamkan akan tiba kelak.

Anak kecil main api, terbakar hatinya yang sepi.....

By sambung  on [January 5, 2010 8:31 PM](#)

Salam Tun.. terima kasih atas penerangan ...Pemimpin Islam yang cerdik & bijaksana...

Ien,

you did stated" Its religion here we are talking about by the way." So, why brought up this issue at the first place... I believe you are a confused person similar with the people who started this issue...Put yourself in our shoes and try to understand this quote ...'don't do unto others what you don't want others do unto you'....

By akee  on [January 5, 2010 8:12 PM](#)

bersambung....

Even the Truthful Allah you also want to Monopoly it for your Ialam (Malay) people only? You want to keep the Truth Allah out of other non-Islam reach so to make sure they all go to Hell & cant find their way to Heaven? It is a good start if they start using Allah, from here then you teach them to use it the right Truthful way. That is Dakwah all about, that Allah thru his messenger is trying to get you people to do all the while.

By [fairuzstone](#)  on [January 5, 2010 8:01 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum wbt YABhg Tun dan semuanya,

Catholic is a ventured religion. Ventured by St Paul who when Jesus pbuh was around Paul never met nor obey Jesus. and Paul actually was among the jews who doubted Jesus teachings. only after alleged crucifixion, then one night as claimed by Paul on his way back home, he saw the figure of Jesus who asked him to repent and be one of the apostles.

Of course Paul was not one of the 12 apostles.

bottom line is, the catholics worship a god that they thought had a son , and prophet Jesus p.b.u.h. miraculous birth was exaggerated by st.Paul to justify his doctrine about son of god (God forbids). Ironically, st Paul never met Jesus when Jesus was alive yet he was one of the haters. Catholic is a religion created by St Paul, taking advantage of mass confusion. therefore, the moment the Catholic believe that Jesus is a son of god means that the Catholic has no right to use the word Allah because even in Old Testament through Genesis until Deuteronomy, it was repeated many times that God is alone neither He begets nor begotten , and then confirmed through Surah Al Ikhlas from the holy Quran revealed to the last prophet Muhammad S.A.W. -

In the name of God, Most Gracious, Most Merciful... See More

Say: He is Allah, the One and Only!
Allah, the Eternal, Absolute;
He begetteth not nor is He begotten.
And there is none like unto Him.

- translation by Abdullah Yusuf Ali

By tenaciousB on [January 5, 2010 8:01 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

I think you know the truth about all this or perhaps you may have heard the true gospel but chose to fore go it. Either way, sometimes we have to act in the best interest of the nation and in this case it's clearly a threat to national security. The best way is to annul the high court's decision with an explicit explanation. Let it be for the sake of the majority but don't allow them to mock the Christian God for He is a God of vengeance and the consequences will be bitter due to their ignorance.

By [Zul Abdul Rahman](#) on [January 5, 2010 7:57 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum warahmatullahi wabarakatuh,
Salam hormat kepada Tun Dr. Mahathir, salam hormat kepada semuanya juga disini, salam penuh dengan sepenuh-penuhnya kasih dan sayang, marilah kita berlapang dada dengan selapang-lapangnya,

"ALLAH"

لا إله إلا الله محمد رسول الله

"La ilaha illAllah Muhammad rasul Allah".

"There is no god but Allah Muhammad is the prophet (rasul) of Allah,

'La 'ilaha illAllah, (meaning: There is no God but Allah),

'Muhammad rasul Allah'(meaning: Muhammad is the prophet (rasul) of Allah'.

SYAHADAH

The First of The Five Pillars of Islam is the Syahadah. Syahadah is the Muslim profession of faith, expressing the two simple(two kalimah), fundamental beliefs that make one a Muslim.

"ALLAH"

"There is no god but Allah Muhammad is the prophet (rasul) of Allah,

Alhamdulillah.

Accepting (have faith) in ALLAH and believe there is no God but Allah is the 1st kalimah, then accepting (have faith) in Muhammad is the prophet (rasul) of Allah is the 2nd kalimah and that complete the two kalimah Syahadah. The First of The Five Pillars of Islam.

"NO GOD BUT ALLAH"

Accepting there is no God but ALLAH is accepting Muhammad is the prophet (rasul) of Allah.

AMPUN & MAAF

mohon ampun dan maaf andai ada tersalah bicara mahupun terlebih perkataan, dengan serendah-rendah hati, sampaikanlah, ajarkanlah, bicarakanlah, sambutlah, dan sambutlah salam itu dengan penuh kasih dan sayang.

Salam hormat, salam penuh kasih dan sayang.

By [abdor](#) on [January 5, 2010 7:53 PM](#)

Salam Tun yang dikasihi,

Tidak dapat saya bayangkan apabila Kalimah Allah akan di letakkan di Salib salib Gereja di Malaysia dan anak anak muda akan keliru dengan keadaan ini ,inilah yang dikehendaki oleh Pihak Kristian ini supaya dapat menarik orang melayu ke Agama mereka.

By [akee](#) on [January 5, 2010 7:50 PM](#)

Jadi kamu kena biarkan mereka pakai sehingga satu hari mereka akan tau kebenarannya. Kalau silap pakai ia mesti bercanggah. Dah bercanggah mereka akan selidik. Biarkan lah. Pelan2 kebenaran akan di rasai mereka kalau itu memang KEBENARAN. Dakwah juga namanya.

By Anak Tuhan on [January 5, 2010 7:49 PM](#)

Salam 1Malaysia Tun,

May I correct your concept of 'Trinity' as believed by Christians.

A simple illustration to explain "Trinity" is taking you yourself as an example.

Tun Dr. Mahathir is a husband(to your wife), a father(to your children) and a grandfather(to your grandchildren).

Tun Dr. Mahathir is only ONE person but he has different roles.

So Christians believe in ONE GOD as God the Father, God the Son and God the Holy Spirit with different roles, just like you as a husband, a father and grandfather having different roles. Actually you have an endless list of roles to your credit but I only mention a few to make you understand the concept of Trinity.

Anak Tuhan

By Musmt on [January 5, 2010 7:39 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum kepada Tun dan semua orang Islam sahaja...

Nampak gayanya orang2 Kristian di Malaysia dah mula nak pijak kepala orang2 Islam. Minta perkara yang selama ini tak pernah ditimbulkan...

Apakah ini permintaan untuk peristiwa "13Mei" berulang? Apakah mereka tidak sensitif dengan perasaan kita umat Islam. Memang dah jelas isu ini ialah "nak cari gaduh"... tapi ada jugak orang2 "Islam" yang sokong mereka...mungkin mereka2 yang menyokong ini hanya namanya Islam tapi sebenarnya dah tak beragama pun...WAULAHUALAM.

Kepada orang2 Kristian, BEWARE!!! you are inviting the Mujahid (or as you called them "Terrorist") to kill you.

By Mis_bah on [January 5, 2010 7:32 PM](#)

Assalamu Alaikum Tun,

Terima kasih kerana memberi pandangan berkenaan isu amat besar amat sensitif kepada umat Islam khususnya di negara kita Malaysia ini. Saya berharap penganut agama Kristian boleh berlapang dada terhadap perkara ini agar keharmonian agama dan kaum di negara kita yang sudah lama dinikmati ini berkekalan. Kepada saudara kita di kalangan Kristian, janganlah terikut-ikut dengan masyarakat barat yang suka melakukan sesuatu dengan adanya agenda jahat. Selama ini kita sama ada kristian dan Islam boleh hidup harmoni kerana kita saling menghormati agama di antara kita. Kalau dalam kitab Injil, Taurat dan Zabur tidak pernah menggunakan perkataan Allah, mengapa kita harus meniru orang Islam untuk perkataan Allah itu. Bagi orang Islam, Allah itu ada makna dan pengertian tersendiri dan tidak sama dengan konsep trinitas atau God, Tuhan yang dipercayai penganut agama lain termasuk Kristian. Jadi biarkanlah Islam menggunakan Allah untuk tuhan kepercayaan mereka.

Tun, saya juga cukup setuju dengan pandangan Tun bahawa isu ini tidak boleh diatasi secara undang-undang..jadi amat benarlah sekiranya saranan Tun supaya kerajaan menangani masalah ini dengan cermat diberi perhatian serius oleh kerajaan..

By [HOT ZOOM](#) on [January 5, 2010 7:27 PM](#)

Salam,

Ini adalah satu cabaran buat PM dan menteri kabinet dalam menangani masalah ni.

Perlu lakukan seperti menarik benang dalam tepung.

Kalau gagal,letak je jawatan tu sebab tak mampu memimpin rakyat berbilang kaum.

<http://www.zoompanas2.com>

By [ibn radziah](#) on [January 5, 2010 7:13 PM](#)

Cuba kita Imbau kembali..

teringat di dalam surah al-Baqarah ayat 104 bagaimana Allah Taala memerintahkan Muslim guna perkataan Unzurna dan bukan Ra'ina, kerana walaupun maksudnya sama tetapi Yahudi memanipulasi kalimah Ra'iana untuk mengejek dan menghina Rasulullah s.a.w. Istilah mengalami kemasukan dan penolakan makna. Justeru penggunaan kalimah All...ah oleh The Herald jangan mudah jadi matawang modal membeli 'kebebasan beragama'!

Kita serahkan hal ini kepada mereka yang alim memberi pandangan, seterusnya membuat tindakan.

<http://puteraazhari.blogspot.com/>

By Teik Chun on [January 5, 2010 7:11 PM](#)

Pemimpin penakut tak boleh jadi pemimpin dan wakil orang Melayu. Nanti orang kata Wah...memang betul orang Melayu penakut. Melayu hari ini sudah hilang rasa ketuanan "sense of belonging" pada tanah air ini. Dalam UMNO pun dah cair...apatah lagi dalam parti2 potilik lain (yg ada Melayu). Sebut saja ketuanan Melayu...hulus keris (lepas tu minta maaf tak tentu fasal) tapi gerun dengan pengaruh kaum pendatang. Itu bukan lagi tolak ansur dan toleransi namanya. Itu tandanya pengecut....Berjiwa hamba..sindrom bawaan penjajah. Penjajahan bentuk baru.

Yg berapi2 melaungkan ketuanan Islam lagi lah. Sikap seperti Celaka golongan ini pulak lagi memuahkan. Anak muda sekarang menggelarkan ini sebagai "poyo". Puak pelampau ini bersarang dalam DAP, sebahagian lagi dalam MCA dan Gerakan. Pak Janggut (pinjam Zulkifli nordin) yang 'sengal' al foyo ini bukan tak tahu permintaan bukan2 dan niat serong puak 2 pelampau ini, tapi atas alasan nak menumbangkan kerajaan "zalim" yg ada, cara "celaka" macam manapun waima berkerjasama dengan syaitan pun mereka sanggupinikan pulak setakat dengan puak2 pelampau simpati komunis ini. Puak2 pelampau ni tak kan berani argue Sultan, tanya pasal pembunuhan masjid dan surau, dan yg terkini isu naa Allah, kalau tak ada puak2 Celaka Melayu/Islam yg lupa perihal ketuanan tadi.

By Angah  on [January 5, 2010 7:06 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun Mahathir,

Zaman sekarang kekuatan manusia adalah dari segi perundangan. Penggunaan nama Allah hanya boleh di pertahankan dan digunakan oleh umat Islam selamanya dengan cara "HAK CIPTA" yang didaftar kepada perundangan dalam negara dan juga luar negara. Dengan hak cipta ini, nama Allah hanya boleh digunakan oleh umat Islam bukan sahaja di dalam negara malahan dengan hak cipta yang di daftar di luar negara dapat menjaga penggunaan nama Allah di seluruh dunia.

Sekian terima kasih.

~ANGAH~

By AIZAT AFFANDI HISAMUDIN  on [January 5, 2010 7:00 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun ,
saya rela mati demi agama ini!
Terima Kasih tun ,

By truly malaysian  on [January 5, 2010 6:45 PM](#)

Tun,

I am ashame tat you can twist and turn facts. Allah has been used by Arab Christians and Sabahan Christians for a long , long time.

Are you going to exclude them from Malaysians peninsular?

The usage of Allah do not confuse Muslims. It is you who confuse the rakyat with all the nonsensical reasoning.

By Jack Daniels  on [January 5, 2010 6:44 PM](#)

Sebagai umat Hindu saya amat tidak setuju dengan poin nombor 8 TDM yang menyebut "Sepatutnya perkataan "Tuhan" digunakan untuk God".

Perkataan Tuhan asalnya dari "TUH HYANG" yang bermakna "Kepala Para Dewa" dan ini merujuk Tuhan Sri Vishnu dalam agama suci Hindu.

Saya juga berharap TDM jangan kemukakan cadangan untuk perkataan-perkataan yang asalnya liturgi Hindu seperti perkataan "SEMBAHYANG" yang asalnya dari "sembah HYANG" juga merujuk kepada agama suci Hindu.

By sudin  on [January 5, 2010 6:35 PM](#)

Salam Tun.

Dulu masa Tun jadi PM semua masalah sebegini tidak akan menjadi isu, ianya diselesaikan 'behind close door'. Perkara ni, dan juga isu2 sensitif lain seperti hindraf, semuanya bermula dizaman Pak Dol 'tak layak' PM tu. Oportunis2 ambil kesempatan kerana kelemahan ex-PM Pak Dol konon2 'bersih' tu, yang hanya berminat nak bersihkan kewangan negara & GLC untuk keluarga tersayang.

Tapi penasihat 'pencuri no. 1' PKR tu memang terror ambil kesempatan keatas strict dicipline yang Tun amalkan bertujuan untuk kebaikan negara, dan tuduh Tun diktator & apa2 saja gelaran tak baik yang mana pengikut 'can be fooled all of the time' setianya percaya 99.9% dan dengan bangga laungkan fitnah2 tersebut, yang mana kita boleh baca dalam beberapa komen yang mereka tulis dalam blog Tun sendiri!

Si zaid 'no principle' yang Pak Dol lantik jadi Menteri hal2 mahkamah memainkan peranan penting keatas yang berlaku, tapi bila perkara dah mula huruhara dia 'kena berhenti' dan terus bergabung dengan penasihat 'pencuri no. 1' PKR tu, mereka tu semuanya hanya pentingkan diri.

PM Najib kena tegas macam Tun dan jangan sesekali rakyat nampak/syak seakan2 masih ada sakibaki dari Pak Dol 'tak layak' PM tu mempengaruhi keputusan nya.

By johnnboy  on [January 5, 2010 6:31 PM](#)

My Dear Tun,

I like what your daugther wrote on this issue. The muslims in this country are actually a very matured people. I think the goverment and the political party must stop having a low opinion on them and stop taking their inteligence for granted. As other human beings, the malays can think and react positively.

Below is what you intelligent and beautiful daugther wrote.
Confident people do not get confused — Marina Mahathir

While some idiots are mourning over the "loss" of the word "Allah" and therefore basically telling the world that they are people easily confused by nomenclature, and others are predicting riots over what is basically a "copyright" issue, let me define what I think a confident Muslim should be:

1. A confident Muslim is unfazed by the issue of God's name. God speaks to all of humankind in the Quran and never said that only Muslims could call him by the name Allah.
2. A confident Muslim has 99 names to choose from to describe that One God. My favourites are Ar-Rahman (The All-Compassionate) and Ar-Rahim (The All-Merciful) .
3. A confident Muslim never gets confused over which is his/her religion and which is other people's. For instance, a confident Muslim knows exactly what the first chapter of the Quran is. And it's not the Lord's Prayer.

4. A confident Muslim will not walk into a church, hear a liturgy in Malay or Arabic where they use the word "Allah" and then think that he or she is in a mosque. A confident Muslim knows the difference.

5. A confident Muslim is generous, inclusive and doesn't think that his or her brethren is made exclusive through the use of a single language. The confident Muslim is well aware that in the Middle East, all services of ANY religion are in Arabic because that's what they all speak.

6. A confident Muslim knows the basis of his/her faith are the five pillars of Islam and will not be shaken just because other people call God by the same name.

7. A Muslim believes in only One God. Therefore it makes sense that other people should call God by the same name because there is no other God.

By orang kampung  on [January 5, 2010 6:29 PM](#)

Salam Tun yang di hormati,

1. Nama rasa nya melambangkan kehebatan sesuatu.

2. Pernah terbaca ada nama tempat di Amerika Syarikat bernama "Mecca". Hairan ada, pelik pun ada.

3. Masa sekolah dulu ingatkan tulisan rumi berasal dari Roman. Bila belajar tinggi sikit, Mat Saleh kata tulisan rumi tu perkataan "Arabic". Hebat rupa nya tamadun Orang Arab ni.

4. Nama tuhan dalam Agama Islam ada 99, yang paling banyak di sebut adalah Allah. Sekarang agama-agama lain terutama Agama Kristian pun nak guna nama Allah juga. Hebat sungguh Agama Islam.

By milshah  on [January 5, 2010 6:25 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Saya rasa inilah pertama kali saya dengar orang kristian juga menggunakan kalimah "Allah" bagi merujuk kepada Tuhan. Adakah ini bermakna kalau saya pergi ke negeri kristian, contohnya Amerika Syarikat, dan tanya "Do you worship Allah?" adakah dia akan faham maksud saya itu? Saya tak pernah dengar negara-negara kristian menggunakan kalimah "Allah" sebagai merujuk kepada Tuhan. Kalau "God" adalah. Bahkan dalam duit Amerika Syarikat pun tertulis "In God we trust", mengapa tak tulis "In Allah we trust"?

Saya setuju dengan Tun, dalam kitab mereka pun tak ada disebut kalimah "Allah".

Jadi kalau semua negara kristian tak menggunakan kalimah 'Allah', waima Pope di vatican pun tak gunakan kalimah 'Allah', mengapa tiba2 di Malaysia orang kristian mengaku menggunakan kalimah 'Allah'. Adakah agama kristian di Malaysia berbeza dari agama kristian di tempat-tempat lain di dunia ini? Kalau sama dengan agama kristian di tempat-tempat lain, mengapa beriya-nya sgt nak gunakan kalimah "Allah"?

Hujah orang agama lain menggunakan kalimah "Allah" adalah lemah sekali. Malaysia adalah negara Islam dan agama rasmi adalah agama Islam. Jadi semua pihak perlu hormati akan hakikat itu.

Saya harap kerajaan dapat selesaikan masalah ini dengan baik dan bijaksana. Wallahua'lam.

By chrix25  on [January 5, 2010 6:16 PM](#)

Dear friends,

I'm a catholic. All the years of my childhood when I'm in the church, during the service in church if its a bahasa malaysia sevice, we will use the term Allah in our service and even in our songs. It was the time when our dear Tun served as PM also. I wonder why these issue come up now?. I find nowadays, the racial tension or more of religion tension has escalated. During Tun's time it wasn't bad. But I am very surprised by Tun's comment on the usage of the word Allah. The arab christians use it, the east malaysians used it centuries ago...why can't we use it now? Has the anything changed?. I wonder why Tun, your daughter's comment is more 1Malaysia type than all the other people who commented on this blog. I find she has a better understanding than any people...including you Tun.

Who will use the word of God in posters or wrong ways as speculated? I understand the sensitivity but why muslims are so I can say threatened by the usage of the word in a catholic paper? If they are very alim I'm sure nothing can deter you from their faith. Why we christians can be strong in our faith living in a muslim country here and muslims here can't be faithful with their religion, in their own islamic country? and you need to ban the word allah from a newspaper??

I'm really shocked and frustrated with our country. I love this country but somethings like this makes it so irritable. I think if the court have decided than there is some rasional to it, if the decision is over turn by the appeal court...then there is no respect to the high court, the judge and the judicial system. I'm very very dissapointed Tun...

By redzkamal  on [January 5, 2010 5:51 PM](#)

Alhamdulillah akhirnya Tun bersuara tentang perkara ini, harap umat Islam serta pegangan agama yang lain memahami realiti dan hakikat dimana kesemuanya telah diatur oleh agama masing2 sebab negara kita tidak mendikriminasi antara satu sama lain hingga hendak beragama pun banyak toleransi sesama kita. semoga allah ampunkan dosa dan tidak membinasakan kita semua, barang dijauhkan kerana kita telah lihat jika kita tidak ada tolak ansur maka bumi allah yg bernama Malaysia akan hancur berkecai wallahhuallam.

By azlan  on [January 5, 2010 5:50 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Jika kita ada membaca dalam internet banyak artikel, blog dan sebagainya mengfitnah bangsa melayu malaysia, kebanyakannya dalam bahasa inggeris , mungkin mereka suka memburukan bangsa melayu di hadapan bangsa lain diseluruh dunia. Saya tidak tahu apa agendanya tetapi kita boleh perasan perkara tersebut , kadang kala saya juga ada menterjemahkan semula bahasa lain seperti bahasa cina yang ada dalam blog , di mana mirror translation boleh digunakan untuk tahu apa yang mereka kata kepada bangsa melayu.emmmm....malaysia sebenarnya mempunyai masalah racist , saya tidak nampak apa2 kemajuan dalam perkara ini , saya masih lihat pelajar hanya bercampur dengan bangsa masing2 dalam universiti. cina dengan bangsa cina , melayu dengan bangsa melayu dan India dengan bangsa india. Saya rasa kerajaan perlu tegas dalam menyatukan semua bangsa dalam satu sekolah rendah hingga menengah jika tidak pemimpin

hanya buang masa promosi 1 malaysia sebab yang kecil tidak diajar bercampur gaul dan cara lama masih digunakan dan dipertahankan.

By [hannibalceno](#) on [January 5, 2010 5:45 PM](#)

salam tun...

hari ni depa mintak guna kalimah ALLAH....
takut esok esok depa nak boh kitab depa nama Koran Kristian pulakla...

macam mana ni...

semua benda ada kesan jangka panjang...
sebab benda ni hanya berlaku di MALAYSIA saja...

jaga jaga...
ada orang nak kita follow depa...

jaga diri tun...

By [HBT](#) on [January 5, 2010 5:44 PM](#)

Good evening Ayahanda Tun,

From Point 1 - 12, there will be no solutions if we, Rakyat 1 Malaysia, continue arguing the Religion faith.

13. I remember when I was in Secondary School Form 3, I heard with my ears and seen with my eyes on how 2 Chinese argued about the religion between "Christian God" and "Chinese God(s)".

14. The Christian told the Chinese that - "Our God, Jesus is The Greatest all Gods and Jesus is the son of God..."

15. The other Chinese said "Our Jade Emperor of Heaven God is the Greatest God of all Gods, and "It" has many2 soldiers (gods) eg:- 3 eyes god, monkey god, drunken god, monk god whom had travelled from East to West (Nepal & Tibet) etc.....Then there is Buddha God, Kwan Yin, and so many2.....how to remember and which 1 to pray???

16. Ayahanda Tun, if we keep on arguing, as our PM Najib said in Copenhagen, we are indeed wasting our time in arguing "Allah" and "God" because the religion "faith" is very sensitive. Even my child of 12 years was annoyed by the song of "God Is Awesome", and bursts out the statement of "The Christian thinks their God and Jesus very2 big ah..." To him, the biggest person is "Our Agong" like a Malaysian God to him.

17. To me, as a Chinese, I do not want the word "Allah" to replace "God" in their Bible because I know very well that our Malays are very loyal and highly respect "Allah" and "Its Greatness" is shown in RTM 1 RTM2 & RTM 3, and there is Only One "Allah" (scenery is Mosque & Sky).

18. My eldest child said, if these Christians wanted it that way, let it be, because print the Bible in Bahasa Malaysia/Melayu, no point, and they will lost printing money because our Malays will

never pick up this BM translated Bible in Malaysia and read, and this is the Uniqueness of our Muslim in 1 Malaysia.

19. I read a brochure of God in a church in KL, Wilayah Persekutuan. I was shocked that the church members translated the text in English to BM, and they used the word "Allah", and immediately I went to the Father of the Church and asked him why was he use the word "Allah", he replied it is "OK", is he sure or not??? Did he harass our National Pillar 1 & 5 because they do not know the our Rukun Negara 3 and 4?

20. The best solution is let our 1 Malaysia Appeal Court to deal with this issue to avoid unpleasant events from happening, and Prevention Is Better Than Cure. In the eyes and perspective of Muslims, Christians may be seen as harassing and terrorizing their One Allah, One Agong, 9 Raja-Raja Melayu, Muslims and Islam.

21. When I, as a non-Muslim, said the word of "Insha'Allah" in front of a Muslim Bangladesh man in 40's, he was annoyed, sad and angry, and he viewed as me as an enemy that may cause war, violence, "reformasi", "rusuhan", street demonstration in Jln TAR last year hmm... why this year Pakcik Anwar is so quiet?

22. However, I agreed that 1 Malaysians have the Right to choose to be a Muslim, Christians or other religions, however, in Malaysia, there is no Muslim convert into Christians and other religions according to our national pillar 1, 3 & 4 to maintain 5. Kesopanan dan Kesusilaan.

23. If the Churches, Indian /Chinese Temples need Federal Funds to maintain their places for prayers due to National Pillar No. 1, they can always visit our UMNO/BN or PAS/PKR/DAP offices personally in whole Malaysia, just click Google and you will get their address and contact # for HQ.

24. Though IT is Late, IT is better than never.....for greener earth in 1 Malaysia and 1 Earth.

By foo@rt  on [January 5, 2010 5:39 PM](#)

Ybhg. Tun

1. Sedih dan kasihan melihat anak bangsa akan datang yang akan keliru dgn keadaan ini.
 2. Tatkala 'Allah' itu suci, kita sendiri mengotorinya di bumi malaysia sendiri.
 3. Pemimpin perlu belajar menggunakan perkataan 'JANGAN/TIDAK' pada mereka yang sengaja memporak perandakan islam dan bangsa islam yg akan datang.
 4. TIDAK MUSTAHIL PERKAHWINAN BERTENTANGAN AGAMA AKAN MENJADI BUDAYA BARU DI MALAYSIA DEK KERANA PERKATAAN ALLAH ITU UNTUK ISLAM DAN KRISTIAN.
- Tun...Kasihan anak cucu kita nanti...

By [hannibalceno](#)  on [January 5, 2010 5:36 PM](#)

salam tun....

memang betui la... depa ni memang saja cari pasai...
tapi malang jugak ada kementerian yang buat bangang bila benda macam ni baru baru kecoh
dulu....

la ni dah masuk court...
kena tunggu rayuan la, apa la....

yang kita nak jaga umat yang tak reti bahasa arab ni...
yang masih belajar...
imamnumat akhir zaman amat nipis...
sebab umat ni termasuk saya dah biasa dengan kalimah ALLAH...
semuanya ALLAH...
saya ni dari kecil telah diajar..
agamaku ISLAM..
tuhanku ALLAH...
jadi bila ALLAH ni digana oleh agama lain...
apa ni??????????

tun..
suka saya ingin syorkan pada tun...
supaya tun pi nasihat sikit DSN...
tolonglah cari satu orang yang boleh kritik dia...
boleh ikhlas becakap dengan dia...
agar dia sedar dan tahu apa harapan rakyat...
jangan hanya pakai semua nasihat mp saja...
almaklumlah semua tukang angguk...
tak angguk tadak apa la jawabnya...
semua pakat angguk belaka...

ingat la pru 13 nanti bukan mp tu yang pangkah bn...
ni kami ni....
rakyat yang makin lama makin dilupakan oleh DSN....
dan kami ni yang tentukan hayat politik semua...

tun...
kalau dalam tahun ini DSN masih gagal....
maka tamatlah karier politik DSN pada pru 13 nanti..
dan umno dan bn sekali makin hampir ke lubang kubur...
dan jangan ingat rakyat menyesal nanti...
sebab tak pilih bn...
sekarang ni pun ramai yang menyesal pilih bn...

tun...
DSN dah silap arah rasanya....
dia sibuk dengan 1Malaysia...
sampai lupa orang Melayu....
orang Melayu ramai yang sokong BN semua orang tau...
sampai lupa kakitangan kerajaan...
memanglah bukan semua kakitangan kerajaan pangkah bn...

tapi ini lah golongan pelaksana dasar kementerian yang ada...
kalau depa ni DSN tak boleh jaga maka hancurlah perancangan...
jahanamlah dasar yang ada...
takkan ini pun tak reti lagi....

tun....
rakyat dah beri peluang...
bn yang gagal ambil...
apalah guna jika menyokong pihak yang tak pernah mengenang budi...
rakyat beri sokongan dengan harapan rakyat akan dibantu...
bukankah tugas pemimpin bantu rakyat...
sekarang ni dah lain...
masa pancing undi dulu semua tunduk...
kalau disuruh bongkok pun rasanya ada yang sanggup...
bila dah dipilih lain jadinya...
dulu sebelum dipilih jika berselisih di jalan siap berhenti salam dengan rakyat...
sekarang ni....
kalau berselisih pun jalan buat tak nampak...
bukan semua macam ni..
tapi memang ramai.....

tun...
satu lagi saya musykil...
semalam berita AG ada menyatakan anggota TUDM yang terlibat dalam 'misteri' hilang enjin jet
tu hanya anggota bawahan...
hahaha...
memang lawak la....
bayangkan beberapa anggota bawahan ini boleh hilangkan enjin jet...
sepatutnya mereka ni yang boleh jadi orang atasan...
sebab depa lagi pandai dari orang atasan...
sampai orang atasan tak tersangka orang bawahan ni akai bijak...

tun...
ini sekali lagi menunjukkan bahawa negara kita memang ramai orang berbakat ...
dan..... negara kita juga ramai badut.....

sayang negaraku...
tak habis habis kena gelak oleh orang.....

jaga diri tun...

By enciklatif  on [January 5, 2010 5:34 PM](#)

Salam Tun,
Semoga Tun dirahmati Allah.

Satu kemusykilan dan yang saya heran pulak.. Sapa mamat mahkamah tinggi yang meluluskan permohonan ni. Kalo dia tu non-muslim aku leh terima la. Tapi kalo dia tu beragama Islam, kenapa jahil sangat. Astaghfirullah, Astaghfirullah, Astaghfirullah.

regards

By bugisglokal  on [January 5, 2010 5:07 PM](#)

sebarikan pendapat ini kepada umum.

By cyborg.malaya  on [January 5, 2010 4:57 PM](#)

Dear Mr AndrewTay

I would suggest you to go to Ambon,Indonesia.....and enjoy the greatest respect of religious tolerance there.....

By Tahir Jumat  on [January 5, 2010 4:56 PM](#)

Salam TUN

You are spot on Sir.

Look, this attempt by the catholics/christian in Malaysia to use the word ALLAH in their bible is a long term planning by the zionist to confuse FUTURE GENERATION of muslim in Malaysia, its not just about today and tomorrow. We must remember this and not be lenient on this issue as we will be blame by the future generation for letting this happen.

We as muslim must be aware of this agenda(muslihat) by them.

They have no right to use the word ALLAH, as they are not Arabs nor are they Arab speaking people, whereas we the Malays have every right to use it as we pray in Arabic, our Holy Book Quran is in Arabic,

our Beloved Prophet is an Arab Himself.

Next, this just prove that the bible is no more original in its content(actually this has been the case for centuries).

It has been revised by HUMAN starting from the Roman to suit them at that time as they are the ruler of the day followed by other European numerous time and again till today its still happening, just like this episode in Malaysia. Just check it out how many versions of bibles are there now. The original Holy Bible have been reduced to history.

Ask yourself my catholic and christian friends what language did Jesus speak? English? Malay? Mandarin? Tamil? Hindi? French? Russian? Indonesian? Japanese????????

So you cannot find the original language of Jesus, meaning its AUTHENTICITY is questionable.

At least we Muslim read the Quran, pray to ALLAH in Arabic as the Quran was revealed to Prophet Muhammad in Arabic who is also an Arab Himself. This we practice till today and till doomsday.

Just in case you out there do not know that the concept of trinity has got nothing to do with Jesus, its a pagan concept indoctrinated by the Romans into the Bible.

Next, if you still do not know that 25TH December is not Jesus birthday, its the birthday of one of the roman gods, namely the sun god (mithra), its has got nothing to do with Jesus, I assume you are still in the dark. You have been decieved for 2 centuries.

You know there is a saying that if you spread a lie a million times it will taken to be the truth.

George Bush tried this in Iraq with the weapons of mass destruction, afterall they controlled the media, so many nations and people believe him initially, but not anymore.

Today the bible is a book use by some theologians and politician to confuse the masses.By the will of Allah, there are still some relevant verses in the bible which we Muslim can use to illustrate that Jesus and Moses were worshiping to the one and only God, Allah.

Many in fact, only if I have the time.

Muslim in Malaysia must not take it lightly this issue of using the term ALLAH in the malay bible. Jesus speak malay????

Remember when something is not in its original its called fake.

Muslim who writes translation on the verses of the Quran must do it with the original text side by side, thats a prerequisite.

If you love Jesus, go and read what Islam has to say about Jesus Son of Mary.

Lastly Nik Aziz, Dr Asri and Marina please dont disgrace Islam and Malays. Marina dont disgrace your Great Father.

REMEMBER THIS IS ALONG TERM PLANNING TO CONFUSE OUR FUTURE GENERATION, or maybe some Malays already confused.

Today many in the west are beginning to realize about the position of Jesus when they study and compare between the Islamic side of the story and the church.

May ALLAH provide you with His Taufik and Hidayah to see the TRUTH.

Tahir Jumat.

By soupich  on [January 5, 2010 4:55 PM](#)

Salam sejahtera YABhg TDM dan semua

1. Saya berterima kasih kepada YABhg TDM kerana mengulas topik ini dan respon yang baik dari semua pembaca samada muslim atau non muslim. Walau apa keadaan pun suasana yang aman adalah lebih baik dari keadaan yang huru hara.

2. Saya ingin menyentuh By majapil Jan 5 2020 1:25pm , jelas berhasrat sama-sama berbincang dengan suasana yang tenang tanpa diganggu emosi.

3. Kekeliruan timbul adalah perkataan ALLAH muncul dalam terjemahan kepada bahasa melayu, indonesia, arab dsbnya. tetapi tiada di dalam bahasa Inggeris. Maksudnya jelas ianya hanyalah terjemahan (pandangan, fahaman, pendapat) sahaja daripada kitab Bible, Beerti nama Allah bagi ugama Kristian dicipta oleh pengikutnya sendiri dan di sahikan oleh sebuah mahkamah di Malaysia ini. Adakah wajar nama Yang Maha Pencipta disahkan oleh manusia setelah 2000 tahun ugama ini wujud. Saya berpendapat perkara ini akan merumitkan kepercayaan dasar umat kristian itu sendiri jika mereka benar-benar berpegang teguh dengan kitab Bible yang asalnya tidak menyatakan perkara ini.

4. Tetapi ini berbeza dengan Al Qur'an yang dengan jelas menyatakan nama-nama bagi zat, sifat dan af'al Tuhan itu sendiri.

5. Maaf saya memberi pandangan tentang trinity. Bapa, Anak & Roh Kudus, ketiga-tiganya adalah Tuhan yang satu. jika kandungan AIR dipecahkan adakah Hidrojen itu Air? adakah Oksigen itu AIR dan adakah no.2 itu AIR? maknanya ketiga-tiganya adalah benda yang berlainan sekali. Kita tidak mungkin memanggil Hidrojen itu AIR dan seterusnya.

6. Harap perbincangan ini memberi manfaat kepada semua.

wallahu'a'lam

By ayd  on [January 5, 2010 4:50 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Kpd org2 bukn Islam yg TIDAK faham kenapa kami wajib menentang & anggap isu ini lekeh??? Kami takut kpd ALLAH & balasanNYA. ALLAHUAKBAR! Sekian.

By len  on [January 5, 2010 4:35 PM](#)

Tun,

I agree with T, that Marina's statement in her blog, makes more sense.

Well, in my opinion, do you or anyone posted comment here thinks its right to take the word "Allah" out for our prayers, when the word "Allah" has been using by all Christians since the days of time? and shamely, now the country and my fellow countryman want to take it away? Yes indeed Tuhan is right, but we have been using is for years. FYI, Christians have been using both Allah & Tuhan.

What happen to the country which i admire most and is always proud of? The country which promotes harmony, unity & peace of all races, background, religion living in one country? Where is that? Tun, u mention that this issue was raised since your time standing as PM..we never really felt any tension arising it before and why now all of a sudden it became a huge issue? Why not before and why now?

We should be thinking on how to enhance our nation forward rather than raising this issue. I'm really disappointed and find the issue embarrassing to be spread out in the front cover of the newspapers.

I suggest from the grassroots point of view to not just comment this among the Muslim community in the country, but have a open & fair discussion with the Christians side of view. It is not fair to decide and make judgment among the Muslim community only. This issue is also hard to be tackled by the law. Its religion here we are talking about by the way. In doing all decision making, the most important thing in mind before opening one mouth is to think of the peace & harmony of the country. We have all moved into the 21st century and are much well educated. We should think properly and not make judgment based on emotion, ego, pride which will portray one looking like an uneducated citizen.

By Benderbuzz  on [January 5, 2010 4:33 PM](#)

By Ahmed on January 5, 2010 3:22 PM

Bro. Ahmed ... excellent one.

Salam.

By sikenit  on [January 5, 2010 4:32 PM](#)

Salam Tun berdua dan pembaca semua,

1. Sememangnya umat Islam di Malaysia dihipit dengan pelbagai isu sejak ahir-akhir ini.
2. Kita umumnya tahu dalam diam bahawa keberanian sesetengah pihak mempertikaikan hak-hak orang Islam dan orang Melayu di Malaysia adalah kerana adanya **musuh dalam selimut** di

kalangan orang-orang KITA sendiri yang lebih pentingkan matlamat peribadi daripada keharmonian negara dan kemakmuran bangsa.

3. Sepertimana isu penggunaan kalimah ALLAH oleh pihak penerbitan Herald ini. Pada hemat saya, hakim telah melakukan kesilapan amat besar kerana tidak mengambil kira persekitaran di mana isu ini ditimbulkan. Kita di Malaysia, bukannya di tempat lain. Adakah Hakim itu tidak tahu akan keistimewaan Islam sebagai Agama Rasmi negara seperti yang termaktub dalam Perlembagaan, dan yang berkaitan dengannya, segala Kalimah-kalimah suci dalam Agama Islam?

4. Bagaimana seorang Hakim yang sepatutnya Bijaksana terlepas pandang hal sebegini??? Tidakkah ada tanggungjawab dalam diri seorang Hakim semasa memutuskan sesuatu keputusan, untuk mengambil kira faktor'pengajaran' kepada masyarakat daripada keputusannya itu???

5. Dan pihak penerbitan Catholic Herald apanama ini pulak, kalau iya pun nak bagi nama kepada tuhan mereka, pilihlah nama lain.... Kenapa nak ambil nama Tuhan orang Islam? Di Tanah Melayu ini sejak Islam mula dianuti oleh orang-orang Melayu Islam di sini, ALLAH itu ialah nama Tuhan orang-orang Islam, dan Tuhan SEMESTA ALAM yang merujuk KHUSUS kepada ALLAH SUBHANAHU WA TAALA. Kenapa sengaja nak cari fasal??? Ini bukannya ada cerita lain ni... Ini cerita nak cari fasal dan nak burukkan nama orang-orang Islam di Malaysia dan nak burukkan pemerintahan Raja-Raja Melayu Islam di Malaysia. TU SAJA. Dan kesemua kisah ini tak akan timbul kalau bukan ada orang ISLAM sendiri yang tak tau dek untung, membuka laluan untuk agama Islam di ganggu.

6. Namun begitu. Kepada mereka-mereka yang berkata bahawa iman orang Islam terlampau mudah goyah hanya dengan adanya orang lain guna kalimah ALLAH, jawab saya kepada mereka-mereka ini (MARINA MAHATHIR INCLUDED)ialah tidak... Iman kita tak serapuh itu. Tetapi bacullah kita kalau sedikit demi sedikit kita biar dan biar dan biar agama Islam dipermainkan, diperlekehkan, diperkudakan, diperkotakatikkan tanpa kita buat apa apa.

7. Mula-mula orang lain nak guna nama ALLAH untuk Tuhan mereka, knowing full-well that in MALAYSIA Where all this issue is boiling now, ALLAH is exclusively used by the Muslims to refer to ALLAH SWT. Selepas ini apa pula??? Kita nak biar dan lihat baru kita nak kata-alamak!!! Sepatutnya dari dulu lagi kita dah halang, tapi sekarang dah tak boleh lagi. Keputusan mahkamah dah jadi... apa kata orang undang-undang tu??? **RATIO DECIDENDI???** **PRECEDENT???** Entahlah.. saya pun bukan pandai undang-undang, tapi saya tak mahu tunggu sampai nasi dah jadi bubur baru nak kata How I wish I had done something about it before it was too late.

8. Dan di sinilah letaknya perbezaan pemikiran seorang Negarawan dengan seorang Taktehawan..... Yang Negarawan berfikir untuk kemaslahatan umat bagi jangka masa panjang... Yang taktehawan nampak hanya sejauh hujung hidungnya saja...

Wallahu'aklamu bissawab... Lets all take care of our selves and take heed of our five daily prayers (solah), for on the Day of Judgement, the first among all deeds that will be counted by ALLAH SWT are our solah...

sikenit.

By wajaperak  on [January 5, 2010 4:28 PM](#)

Assallammualaikum warah matullah hi wabarokatuh..

Semoga di izinkan Tun..Terima kasih..

[[Not So goldenman]]

[[If a female Christian is married to a Muslim, it is not to take place without her approval. She is not to be prevented from visiting her church to pray. Their churches are to be respected. They are neither to be prevented from repairing them nor the sacredness of their covenants.No one of the nation (Muslims) is to disobey the covenant till the Last Day (end of the world)]]

This covenant of yours clearly contradicted Al Quran..Which verse? What Surah? Where?

I let Ir.Syahrizan reveal them to you..He will not let us down..

Terima kasih Tun..

By besut  on [January 5, 2010 4:25 PM](#)

Tun,

Kalimah ASAS ucapan bagi umat Islam adalah "Tiada TUHAN yang disembah selain ALLAH" merupakan asas kepada akidah kita. Bayangkan jika perkataan TUHAN boleh disamakan penggunaannya antara satu sama lain dengan ALLAH tanpa ada sebarang perbezaan. Sudah tentu ianya mengelirukan bagi sesiapa saja yang cuba untuk memahami maksud tersebut.

Agama Kristian mengetahui betapa keliru nya mereka apabila cuba mencari jalan supaya kemenangan dalam isu ini berpihak kepada mereka. Akan tetapi ALLAH maha berkuasa, memberi ruang kepada semua untuk memperjelaskan kefahaman kita terhadap konsep ketuhanan yang sebenar.

Bagi kita, ALLAH sifatnya WUJUD tetapi keadaannya GHAIB. Bagi agama Islam, ketuhanan kepada ALLAH berasaskan kepada KEPERCAYAAN TERHADAP PERKARA-PERKARA GHAIB, termasuk ALLAH. Sebenarnya pihak tertinggi pengamal agama Kristian tahu dan amat memahami akan konsep GHAIB yang kita penganut agama Islam percayai. Agama mereka, atau kafir-kafir ini, dengan sedaya upaya cuba untuk menyamakan konsep kepercayaan mereka (trinity, patung etc..) dengan kepercayaan yang kita amalkan. Sebagai contoh, apabila mereka cuba melukis gambar karikatur Nabi Muhammad S.A.W. satu masa dulu, ianya merupakan satu agenda untuk meletakkan imej (TO MATERIALISE THE MIND) supaya umat islam terpesong akidah mereka dengan sesuatu yang WUJUD (MATERIAL), yang mana ianya akan bertentangan dengan kepercayaan kepada perkara-perkara GHAIB. Itulah agenda mereka. Sebagai umat Islam kita wajib mempertahankan akidah kita ini supaya ianya tidak terpesong.

Bayangkan lah jika kepercayaan kepada perkara GHAIB ini dapat diwujudkan kedalam minda penganut agama kafir ini. Sudah tentu mereka akan mempercayai dan seterusnya menganuti agama Islam kita kerana antara yang membezakan penganut agama Islam dan Penganut agama lain adalah KEPERCAYAAN KEPADA PERKARA GHAIB...

Walluhu'alam

Besut

By Mohd Naim  on [January 5, 2010 4:24 PM](#)

Yg. DiKasihi Tun,

Golongan Katholik Kristian Semenanjung ini berniat untuk menggunakan nama Allah Subhanahua Ta'ala sebagai tipu helah menarik muslimin Melayu yang sedang goyang akidahnya untuk memeluk agama mereka. Kalau tidak kenapa tak gunakan terjemahan God kepada Tuhan saja?

Maka dengan megahlah orang Islam termasuk yang bergelar Ulamak yang fikir diri mereka itu sangat teguh akidahnya menyokong kalimah Allah ini digunakan oleh puak-puak ini. Ianya adalah suatu kesombongan diri didalam beragama tanpa memikirkan mereka seugama yang tidak berapa kuat akidah Islamnya yang akan ditipu oleh pendakwah-pendakwah Katholik Kristian ini.

Kalau berceramah berapi-rapi memperlekehkan orang kaya bakhil yang sombong dek wang dan harta, maka Ulamak-ulamak yang menyokong ini tak ubah seperti orang kaya bakhil yang dilekehkan itu kerana mereka sombong angkuh riak tidak menggunakan kekayaan pengetahuan yang ada untuk menghalang saudara mereka yang daif akidahnya dari ditipu dan dizalimi. Lebih teruk lagi mereka telah menyokong suatu pembohongan.

Soal kegunaan Kalimah Allah ini hanyalah penterjemahan dan janganlah diserabutkan oleh pengamal undang-undang sivil dengan yang lain-lain. Kalau asalnya Bible itu tidak menggunakan kalimah sedemikian mengapa sekarang hendak dilakukan hanya apabila diterjemahkan kepada bahasa Melayu?

Kalau hendak menegakkan kebenaran tidak sepatutnya puak penipu ini diberikan kemenangan.

By Mohd  on [January 5, 2010 4:23 PM](#)

Salam Tun

Pada pandangan saya jika orang kristian menulis God the Father, God the Son and the Holy Ghost" sebagai "Allah sebagai Bapak, Allah sebagai Anak dan Roh yang Suci", saya fikir ramai yg akan katakan bahawa mengarut kristian ni! tak ada kekeliruan sebenarnya bahkan orang akan nampak betapa kartunnya agama kristian. Apa yang penting ialah kita kena mantapkan pegangan akidah umat Islam terutamanya generasi muda. Rasanya tidak ada lagi dalam sejarah, seseorang mendapat hidayah dengan membaca bible dan terus masuk kristian. Sampai bila kita akan terus merasa defensive dengan orang bukan islam di malaysia sedangkan mereka sepatutnya merasa begitu. Malaysia adalah negara Islam. Kita sebenarnya yang menguasai keadaan! Cuma apabila mereka menghina nama Allah, itu sepatutnya kita bantah. Para pembaca boleh membaca satu artikel yang menarik berkenaan isu ini di laman bekas mufti Perlis <http://drmaza.com>

By manson  on [January 5, 2010 4:21 PM](#)

ASSALLAM MUALAIKUM TUN DR. MAHATHIR MOHAMMED YANG SELAU SAYA SAYANGI DAN KASIHI SERTA HORMATI.

TUN, I'M SPEECHLES READING YOUR NEW POSTING.

I STRONGLY BELIEVE YOU HAVE REDUCE THE HEAT TO A LARGER EXTENT IF ONE WERE TO READ YOUR POSTING WITH AN OPEN MIND.

TUN, I SALUTE YOU.

THERE IS NO ONE LEADER IN MALAYSIA WHO IS SO SINCERE, KNOWLEDGEABLE IN MANY ASPECTS AND VERY HARDWORKING LIKE YOU.

YA ALLAH YA RAAB, BERKATI DAN RAHMATI DAN PELIHARA LAH
TUN DR.MAHATHIR MOHAMMED DARIPADA SEGALA FITNAH SERTA TOMAHAN
DAN
BIMBING LAH IA DALAM MENYAMPAIKAN KEBENARAN DAN ENGKAU
GAGALKAN LAH
SEGALA RANCANGAN JAHAT HAMBA-HAMBA MU YANG JAHAT HATI-HATI
MEREKA TERHADAP TUN MAHATHIR DAN ENGKAU TETAPKAN IMAN DAN
ENGKAU TANAMKAN LAH SIFAT KESABARAN KE-DALAM HATI TUN DAN
ENGKAU KURNIAKAN KEPADA NYA SEGALA KEBAIKAN SEWAKTU HIDUP DI-
DUNIA DAN DI-AKHIRAT.

YA ALLAH YA RAAB, TIADA LAH YANG LEBIH BAIK SELAIN DARI APA YANG
ENGKAU KURNIAKAN KEPADA HAMBA-HAMBA MU YANG BERIMAN DAN BAIK
SERTA BERTAKWA SEPERTI TUN DR. MAHATHIR DAN DR. SITI HASMAH MD. ALI.

YA ALLAH YA RAAB, PERKENANKAN DAN TERIMA LAH DOA HAMBA MU INI.
YA ALLAH YA RAAB YA RAHMAN YA RAHIM YA HAYU YA QAYUM LA ILLAH HA
ILLA ANTA

AMIN YA RAABAL AL AMIN.

By [Shaari](#) on [January 5, 2010 4:19 PM](#)

Pelbagai isu sensitif melibatkan agama & kaum dapat Tun tangani dengan mudah dan efisien di zaman pentadbiran Tun dahulu. Masa PakLah mentadbir takyah komen laaa, terlalu hampah dalam pelbagai aspek. Harapan saya agar PM Najib mampu untuk bertindak lebih pantas dan bijak dalam menangani hal-hal begini. Kelihatan sekarang dia terlalu berhati-hati dalam isu-isu sensitif. Mungkin untuk menjaga hati dan meraih undi untuk pilihanraya akan datang. Namun, agama Islam harus sentiasa ditegakkan dan jangan diperlekeh. Usaha pihak Herald adalah proses Kristianisasi di Timur, untuk memudahkan usaha murtadkan umat Islam di Malaysia!

By [wajaperak](#) on [January 5, 2010 4:19 PM](#)

AIZAT AFFANDI HISAMUDIN

Mereka dah berjaya di Indonesia..sebab tu gatal tangan nak mencuba di sini..

Ha..cuba..try..tengok!!

Terima kasih Tun..

By [sangtawal](#) on [January 5, 2010 4:18 PM](#)

Salam buat Tun dan semua pembaca,

Terima kasih atas artikel dan pandangan Tun tentang isu ini.

Saya cukup percaya, jika Tun masih jadi PM waktu ini, perkara ini tak akan sampai jadi begini!

semoga Tun diberikan kesihatan oleh Allah dan diluangkan masa untuk menulis dan melontarkan idea diblog ini demi untuk sebuah perjuangan.

wassalam.

By [samuraimelayu](#) on [January 5, 2010 4:11 PM](#)

SALAM KASIH DAN SAYANG
AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN
IZINKAN,

BRO. SR,
PLEASE DON'T SAY THAT YOU UNDERSTAND THE RELIGION ISLAM. YOU ONLY SEE IT AS GREAT AND BEAUTIFUL BUT TO A TRUE MUSLIM IT'S MORE THAT ...ISLAM IS PURE AND ENLIGHTENMENT AND ONLY WITH DELIGENT PRACTICES OF THE PILLARS OF ISLAM WILL ONE JOURNEY ONESELF TOWARDS PURITY AND ENLIGHTENMENT.

AND 'ALLAH' IS NOT JUST AN ARABIC WORD BUT A SACRED WORD MENTIONED SPECIFICALLY IN THE HOLY BOOK ALQURAN AND NO OTHER HOLY BOOKS.

ASKED YOURSELF THIS QUESTION.

WHY WAS THERE NO MENTION OF THE WORD 'ALLAH' BEFORE THE COMING OF PROPHET MUHAMMAD AND THE HOLY BOOK AL-QURAN?

THE FORMULA 'E' THAT EQUALS MC² IS NOT TO BE EQUATED AS 'ELECTRICITY'...ASK EINSTEIN WHEN YOU MEET HIM IN HEREAFTER.

MEANWHILE, LEARN TO RESPECT AN ELDERLY ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU COME INTO HIS DOMAIN.

WE LUV OUR AYAHANDA AND BONDA TUN ALWAYS.

ALFATIHAH, AMIN.

By syed_faizal on [January 5, 2010 4:07 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Inilah peluang untuk kita berdakwah kepada orang-orang Kristian. Pada pendapat saya tidak ada masalah untuk orang-orang Kristian menggunakan perkataan Allah kerana Allah sememangnya Rabb bagi manusia. Cuma Illahnya yang menyimpang.

Saya pernah membuat perbandingan agama antara Islam dan Kristian. Saya dapati isi-isi kitab Injil mempunyai banyak keraguan dan bercanggah-canggah. Sebagai satu contoh mudah, ketika 'Jesus' disalib, beliau meminta pertolongan 'Bapa' dan mencemuh orang yang menyalibnya. Cuba fikir, jika Jesus atau dikatakan 3 dalam 1 itu mahu berkorban untuk manusia, kenapa 'Jesus' tidak rela disalib malah mencemuh kaum-kaum Yahudi yang menyalibnya? Contoh lain ialah kisah-kisah porno didalam kitab Injil. Nabi Luth berzina dengan anak sendiri hingga melahirkan zuriat. Layakkah kitab yang diturunkan Allah mengandungi kisah-kisah lucah seperti ini. Kitab yang

diturunkan Allah mestilah mempunyai kisah-kisah yang mempunyai pengajaran untuk kegunaan manusia. Jadi apa pengajaran yg dapat diambil dari kisah porno ini? Patutla orang-orang barat boleh berzina sesuka hati sebab mereka telah membaca kitab Injil yang telah diubah suai.

Setelah memahami ayat-ayat Al-Quran saya dapati banyak ajaran-ajaran yang sememangnya ditujukan kepada umat manusia supaya boleh diambil teladan. Pengajaran-pengajaran saintifik didalamnya sangatlah menakjubkan dan amat mustahil boleh dituliskan oleh manusia biasa bernama Muhammad saw 1400 tahun yg lepas yang hidup di kawasan gurun. Kisah lapisan-lapisan ombak di lautan dalam yg cahayanya tidak tertembus padahal nabi Muhammad tidak pernah menyelam bahkan manusia biasa tidak dapat menyelam sedalam yg disebutkan untuk membuktikan kewujudan ombak itu. Tapi kini kewujudan ombak-ombak itu sudah terbukti dengan scientific observation. Kisah kejadian bumi yang asalnya terbentuk daripada asap yang bergumpal dan menyejuk membentuk bumi yg masih muda telah dibuktikan oleh saintis-saintis angkasa. Tahukah nabi Muhammad pada 1400 tahun yang lalu tentang ilmu astrologi? Tuan-tuan fikirlah sendiri. Bukalah tafsir-tafsir Quran yang moden yang dapat menjawab persoalan-persoalan yg tidak dapat dijawab oleh ulama-ulama terdahulu.

Quran dan hadis ialah senjata untuk melawan hujah orang-orang bukan Islam. Bukakan pintu dakwah seluas-luasnya. Jangan disekat-sekat usaha dakwah supaya orang-orang Islam dapat mengenali agama sendiri. Kan orang sekarang boleh menerima persepsi yang berbeza. Jadi.. bukannya pintu dakwah seluas-luasnya, izinkan perdebatan terbuka. Sepanjang kehadiran saya dalam perdebatan antara agama di Pulau Pinang, pendebat Kristian tak pernah menang dengan pendebat Islam.

Wassalam

By wajaperak  on [January 5, 2010 3:57 PM](#)

Assallammualaikum warahmatullah hiwabarokatuh..

Terima kasih Tun..minta izin..

Syabas Nazaruddin..beginilah yang sepatutnya..Malangnya ahli Dewan Rakyat hanya memperjuangkan kepentingan dirinya dan bukan kepentingan rakyat..

Terima kasih Tun..

By orang kepala batas  on [January 5, 2010 3:45 PM](#)

Salam tun,

saya berpendapat semua orang islam harus tahu bahawa ketentuan penggunaan nama Allah bukannya terletak di tangan orang islam sendiri walaupun nama tersebut telah digunapakai oleh orang islam sejak zaman nabi muhammad saw.

nama Allah adalah hak mutlak Allah Taala dan semua hak Allah tidak boleh diadili dan ditentukan oleh sesiapa pun tanpa mengira kaum dan agama.

Keputusan orang kristian untuk menggunakan nama Allah hanya boleh diadili oleh Allah sendiri sebagai yang empunya nama.

Sekiranya mereka tidak mendapat restu Allah Taala, maka bala yang akan menimpa kaum mereka.

Tidak ada seorang manusia pun yang boleh menjatuhkan hukum hakam berkenaan perkara ini.

Umat islam hari ini masih ketinggalan kerana gagal untuk menyedari mengapa orang kristian bersungguh-sungguh untuk menggunakan nama ini.

inilah fakta yang perlu di selidiki terlebih dahulu sebelum melompat marah kepada orang kristian.

mengapa nama ini tidak dituntut oleh mereka 100 tahun dulu atau sejak wafatnya nabi muhammad saw?

kenapa hanya kebelakangan ini orang kristian seolah-olah mengakui bahawa Allah Taala adalah sebenar-benarnya tuhan?

renungkanlah

By Ahmed  on [January 5, 2010 3:22 PM](#)

Here is a simple question. What would be the reaction of Christian Americans if President Obama said in one his speeches said that We Christians worship Allah?

Though the post by Dr. is in Malay, a language which I do not speak, some of the comments are in English. So here is my two cents on this issue.

Firstly, to be exact the word Allah does not mean God in English. In fact the word Allah has no equivalent in English. And in many ways, it is also unique in Arabic (my native language).

Let me first explain why the word Allah is unique in Arabic and does not have an equivalent in English.

God has plural - Gods

God has a female equivalent - Goddess

Allah has neither plural nor a female equivalent in Arabic. That makes it unique.

On the other hand the word Ilah in Arabic has plural (Alilah).

It is very true that Arab Christians do use the word Allah to mean God. But that is because it is their language. There is no alternative to what they mean in Arabic.

My last question, does the word God have a Malay equivalent? If yes.. use it. Why insist in a word that has alternative and more accurate in your own language.

By John M Cohen  on [January 5, 2010 3:09 PM](#)

Hi Tun

Why are we spending time on this when the economy, jobs, food, and more essential things to worry about which is MOST IMPORTANT - is left behind?

Is it more of a political agenda then a religion agenda?

I have read your reasoning and I had also the opportunity to read the blog from your daughter at <http://rantingsbymm.blogspot.com/>

She makes more sense.

Maybe you might want to have a look (if you havent)

But the best reference is from the Qur' aan

The Qur'aan starts with this verse:

1:2 All praise is due to God alone, the Sustainer of all the worlds,

Topics discussed in this Verse: [Allah:Praise be to Him] [Allah's attributes:Cherisher and Sustainer] [Allah's attributes:Lord of the Worlds]

Alhamdu lillahi rabbi alAAalameena

الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ (1:2) Baset - Hussari - Minshawi

In this instance, the term "worlds" denotes all categories of existence both in the physical and the spiritual sense. The Arabic expression rabb - rendered by me as 'Sustainer' - embraces a wide complex of meanings not easily expressed by a single term in another language. It comprises the ideas of having a just claim to the possession of anything and, consequently, authority over it, as well as of rearing, sustaining and fostering anything from its inception to its final completion. Thus, the head of a family is called rabb ad-dar ("master of the house") because he has authority over it and is responsible for its maintenance; similarly, his wife is called rabbat ad-dar ("mistress of the house"). Preceded by the definite article al, the designation rabb is applied, in the Qur'an, exclusively to God as the sole fosterer and sustainer of all creation - objective as well as conceptual - and therefore the ultimate source of all authority.(Quran Ref: 1:2)

Here is how the last chapter begins :

114:1 SAY: "I seek refuge with the Sustainer of men,

Topics discussed in this Verse: [Allah:seek refuge with Him] [Allah's attributes:Cherisher and Sustainer] [Mankind]

Qul aAAoothu birabbi alnnasi

قُلْ أَعُوذُ بِرَبِّ النَّاسِ (114:1) Baset - Hussari - Minshawi

The most important aspect of quoting both the first and last chapters of Qur'aan has a purpose in it. Both verses do not address Muslims exclusively, in turn they address the whole cosmos and the human beings.

God belongs to all, we don't need to own him (her or it), let him remain the creator and owner of our lives and let us call him whichever way we can.

<http://wisdomofreligion.blogspot.com/2008/07/who-owns-word-allah.html>

By Poh  on [January 5, 2010 2:47 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

With the utmost respect, do we realise that this country of ours is moving backwards instead of the other way round? All we talk, protest about everyday are things that would not bring about economic gains. Do we realise that whoever wins this controversy, it would not bring food to the house of the poor? And there are more poor people in the country than the rich.

By [Azhar Hafiz](#)  on [January 5, 2010 2:34 PM](#)

Setuju!

Dari Singapura.

By aziz  on [January 5, 2010 2:28 PM](#)

A'kum Tun,

First of all, everyone has to understand the meaning of word "Allah". Then, they can make differentiation between Allah, or Lord or whatever they call upon God.

Allah is an arabic word which means God. Lord or God is an english word which mean Tuhan. Tuhan is a malay word which means God. So basically does not matter what you call them as long as you do not call any other names than this, then you are not on the wrong path (Muslims). Somehow, we are so sensitive to every issue there is to always make a big fuss out of everything. Even the use of Malay language become an issue in our country. There is no best book in the world written in Malay but English. And yet our malaysian fathers and mothers prefer their children to learn in their so called mother-tongue language so that they become more patriotic! So ignorant that they do not care about the importance of English language until they jeopardize their children's future.

Same goes to the Muslim today. Do not get over excited on every issue raised. We should tackle it in the way that God teaches us in the Koran and nothing else. We tolerate with everyone else and speak in a good manner. Do not raise our voice. Do not get angry easily because there is solution to every problem. We should start thinking rationally rather than just follow the people blindly.

For the Christians to use whatever term, is their problem. It is the same as us the Muslim, we can do or use whatever term we like. We do not need to take their approval for any of our decision making but they have to get our approval. I thought Islam teach us to be equal with everyone else does not matter which country they are from, what color they are and what religion do they believe in.

So in this case, we do not need to get excited, instead settle the argument in the manner Islam teach us to.

Most importantly, our Akidah must be correct. We must believe in One God Alone. If you really have the belief, then no matter what other people do or try to convince you about their religion, you won't be influenced by them. It is because you believe in God Alone and His Holy Book.

Thank you!

aziz

By kenangjasa  on [January 5, 2010 2:28 PM](#)

Salam Tun yang dikasihi,

Astagfirullahal'azim..

1. Sememangnya Allah itu sebutan Tuhan untuk umat Islam sahaja. Orang2 beriman yang menyebut nama Allah akan sentiasa berasa gentar dan tunduk takut kerana mereka tahu sebutan itu ialah satu sebutan yang agung hanya untuk Tuhan maha Pencipta dan sesungguhnya tiada Tuhan yang patut disembah melainkan Allah.

2. Adakah ini agama2 lain sanggup mengakuinya?

3. Sebutan nama Tuhan semesta alam (Allah SWT) adalah salah satu daripada 99 nama Tuhan dimana tidak ada satu pun meniru atau meniplak nama2 tuhan agama lain. Setiap insan dilahirkan mempunyai tapak tangan yang membentuk 1 8 dan 8 1 yang bermaksud $18 + 81 = 99$. Maha suci Allah serta kalimah2 nya yang sentiasa disebut oleh hamba2nya yang beriman.

4. Semasa mengembangkan ajaran Islam, Rasulullah SAW serta sahabat2 baginda, dan para mubaligh2 Islam yang kemudian hingga kini tidak pernah menggunakan nama2 tuhan agama2 lain didalam dakwah mereka walaupun kepada bangsa bukan Arab.

5. Kenapa agama lain nak tiru? Mereka mungkin mendapati tidak ada originality ke didalam agam mereka atau ada agenda lain yang tersembunyi.

6. Wahai sesama saudara Islamku, sentiasalah istigfar banyak2 bila membahaskan isu ini dan sentiasa baca dan faham maksud surah AL KAFIRUN dan surah AL IKHLAS.

7. Ini bukan hanya sensitiviti umat Islam disini. Ini sudah menyentuh seluruh akidah umat Islam jika kita membiarkan sahaja isu ini dibawah perundangan sahaja. RAYU, TUNGGU DAN LIHAT..itu sahaja!!

8. Jikalau kita tidak bertindak segera especially yang memegang tampuk pemerintahan juga Raja2 kita yang sumpah setianya menjaga kesucian agama Islam ini, maka tunggulah bala Allah SWT yang akan menimpa kelak.

Wassalam..

By SR  on [January 5, 2010 2:27 PM](#)

comment by goldenman is excellent, thank you for sharing that bro.

By TEDDY D.Sagunting  on [January 5, 2010 2:18 PM](#)

Salam sejahtera,

Saya pernah belajar sejarah Islam dan mengambil peperiksaan pada peringkat STPM dalam tahun 1986 tetapi kerana saya ini tergolong dari manusia yang punya otak yang agak lemah dan kurang pandai berbanding dengan kawan-kawan yang lain maka saya hanya mendapat markah 4 bagi subjek ini. Sebab itu saya cuma ada 1 soalan

Siapa yang mencipta nabi Adam?

By T  on [January 5, 2010 2:15 PM](#)

Sorry Tun, I think on this topic, your daughter Marina makes more sense. Listen to her and learn.

By [tolokminda](#)  on [January 5, 2010 2:11 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

Saya berpendapat perebutan untuk menggunakan perkataan Tuhan dalam bahasa Arab ini tidak perlu. Tidak ada signifikannya pun. Bagaimanapun seperti kata Tun, ia isu sensitif kepada ramai orang dan saya bersetuju.

Apa pun saya turut menulis isu ini dalam perspektif saya dan jemput Tun singgah sebentar untuk menilainya. Terima kasih.

Jemput lawat: <http://tolokminda.wordpress.com>

By [samuraimelayu](#) on [January 5, 2010 2:08 PM](#)

Salam buat ayahanda Tun seisi keluarga, semoga dilindungi dan diberkati Allah SWT.

salam buat semua pembaca blog ayahanda TUN

sila layari laman web ini untuk membaca pendapat dan kegusaran saya berhubung keadaan ini

www.malaysianviewers.com

wallahualam

By Nur Wahyu on [January 5, 2010 2:07 PM](#)

assalamualaikum tun sekeluarga

mungkin saya masih terlalu muda untuk membicarakan tentang isu ini kerana saya baru tamat SPM saja....tetapi saya rasa perlu kerana untuk menerangkan kegunaan kalimah "ALLAH" kepada 'YANG TAK RETI BAHASA' NI, AGAR MEREKA PAHAM SIKIT...

mungkin kalau nak membicarakan tentang kalimah allah ini agak sukar...

apakata kita tukarkan kalimah allah dengan suatu benda yang lain seperti baju....

ok....baju...jika baju itu tidak dipakai oleh orang lain, kita tak salah memakainya bukan???

tetapi...kalau baju itu sedang dipakai oleh orang, berani ke kita memnita baju yang sedang dipakai oleh orang itu untuk kita pakai sekali??? dah nak mampus je yang berani buat begitu....betul tak???

jadi fikir baik2 sebelum bertindak, jangan asyik ikut telunjuk NIK AZIZ yang dah mula merapu tu...

By deven on [January 5, 2010 2:02 PM](#)

To Sr,

>Ya You are right bro ,as the word allah is used in arabic language for god and assalamualikum is used for greetings by muslims and non muslims community of arab as well such as lebanon christian and jewish people .I know about it well because i am working in Kuwait and there is no issue even if non muslims use the word as subbhanallah,wassalam,assalamualikum and alhamdudillah in kuwait.i dont think it creates problems unless some irresponsible politician whom make it as a big issue in this country.The only haram in arabic country is insulting their religion,stare and disturb their ladies and breaching hudud law of their countries such as alcohol and so on.

By priss01 on [January 5, 2010 1:52 PM](#)

I agree, I don't why the Herald is so insistent in using ALLAH.

They should know by now most Malaysians are not forward thinking enough to except this. For

sure certain political parties and its NGO will pour more fuel on the fire to divert attentions from real issues effecting the country and to gain more political ground with the radicals.

By artofmin  on [January 5, 2010 1:52 PM](#)

Salam,

I guess the true question is “why the Christian want to use Allah”...especially for Malay version of the bible. Maybe there’s a hidden agendas. As for me, what they’re doing is like what Malay say “menjilat ludah sendiri”. A few years back some Christian (not from Malaysia) tried to provoke the Muslim by saying that the word ALLAH meaning curse or devil. But they not just provoked the Muslim, they also provoked the Jews and other Christians.

Here is one of the responses from the Yemeni Jew:
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y3XUNwPcIZg>

I really hope my Muslim brothers & sisters watch the video, it might enlighten or broaden our knowledge.

As for me...it is now easier (it should be) for us Muslim to converts/enlighten the Christian if they believe Allah is the true God.

BTW my Christian friend...why didn’t you guys use Jesus "real" name (his real name in Aramaic or Hebrew)? There is no “Jesus” to begin with.

Salam.

By khairi ali  on [January 5, 2010 1:42 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Saya pun tak faham la orang Islam ni. Mereka kata mereka Islam, tapi bila berbicara atau berfikir mereka tidak pula ikut apa yang terkandung dalam Al-Quran, malah dibandingkan pula dengan rekod lain, kitab lain, buku lain dan sebagainya. Habis tu apa yang jadi? ... kelam bin kabut lah!

Mana lagi ada zabur, taurat atau pun injil? Semua ni dah tak jadi agama samawi lagi. Nik Aziz yang bertatahkan mursyidul am pun tak reti nak nilai ini! Allah dah jelaskan hanya Islam saja (dan Al-Quran) yang diredaiNya.

Semua kitab2 dulu, memang diturunkan oleh Allah, tetapi pengikutnya termasuklah para ahlul kitab dah campur aduk dengan pelbagai cemarkan hingga hilang keasliannya.

Al-Quran, walau pun dah berusia lebih 1400 tahun, masih lagi asli dan boleh dirujuk keasliannya.

Jadi peduli apa dengan apa orang lain nak kata? Kita yang nak beribadat, bukannya dia orang.

Dan bila kita beribadah, kita nak yang suci dan kekal suci, Jadi kita tidak boleh berkompromi dengan sebarang usaha untuk menitikkan nila, termasuklah mengizinkan CATHOLIC guna kalimah Allah.

By bangsaMSIA  on [January 5, 2010 1:36 PM](#)

Salam Tun

Sebagai mukaddimah, perlu di tegaskan di sini bahawa krisis ini bukan krisis tentang UMNO atau PAS atau mana-mana parti dalam negara kita sebagaimana yang cuba diputarbelitkan oleh petualang-petualang keparat seperti Malaysia Kini dan kapor2 laknatullah seumpamanya...Ini adalah permasalahan umat Islam dan wajib diselesaikan oleh umat Islam sendiri...sanggupkah kita orang2 Islam melihat diri kita, orang2 yang kita sayangi, anak2 cucu kita, orang2 Islam murtad! yang kesudahannya adalah neraka jahannam di mana mereka akan kekal di dalamnya selama2nya..na'uzubillahi min zaliq..sanggupkah kita melihat agama Islam di permainkan diperlekeh2kan..ini isu yang melibatkan masalah orang2 Islam kepentingan asas kita orang2 Islam yang wajib dipelihara..

1. Kejayaan Katholik Kristian guna perkataan 'Allah' adalah satu tamparan kuat, kegagalan besar umat Islam di Malaysia. Tujuan mereka untuk terus memperdayakan masyarakat Islam di negara ini yang rata2 lemah iman dan taqwanya cetek ilmu agama dan amalan sudah tercapai. Niat mereka untuk terus menerus memurtadkan orang2 Islam di Malaysia semakin mudah.

2. ALLAHswt telah berkali2 tegaskan di dalam Kitab Suci AlQuraanul Kariim bahawa "orang2 Yahudi, Nasrani dan Majusi..[termasuklah musuh2 orang Islam di kalangan mereka yang kafir dan kufur]...mereka tidak akan senang hati, mereka tidak akan duduk diam selagi kita orang Islam tidak menganuti fahaman mereka..selagi kita orang Islam tidak mengikuti cara hidup mereka..." Usaha terbaru mereka, kejayaan terkini mereka melalui proses mahkamah merupakan satu usaha penting satu kemenangan bersejarah ke arah mencapai matlamat tersebut

3. Tun, inilah salah satu bala besar satu bencana besar yang menimpa umat Islam dan akan terus membelengu umat Islam apabila kita berpecah, apabila kita gagal bersatu maka musuh2 Islam dengan begitu mudah melakukan apa saja untuk terus menganyang kita orang2 Islam...yang tragiknya, dalam pada tu, kita tak serik2...masih lagi terus menerus berpecah atas perkara2 yang remeh

4. Sebagaimana dengan nabi2 dan rasul2 sebelumnya, Nabi Isa a.s. tidak pernah mengaku atau mengajak pengikut2nya menyembah selain ALLAHswt. Beliau tidak pernah mengaku dirinya sebagai Tuhan. Segala mukjizat yang berlaku terhadapapnya maupun yang dikurniakan kepadanya semuanya dari ALLAHswt dan beliau senantiasa memperakunya dengan jelas kepada pengikut2nya. Kedatangan Nabi Muhammad s.a.w. telah diperincikan dengan jelas dalam kitan Injil yang asal sebagaimana yang telah ditegaskan oleh ALLAH swt di dalam AlQuraan bahawa mereka mengenali Rasullah s.a.w. sebagaimana mereka mengenali anak mereka sendiri. Tapi selepas ketiadaan Nabi Isa as, paderi2 Kristian, demi kepentingan diri sendiri, bertindak mengubah kitab Injil yang asal, memperkenalkan konsep trinititi, mensyirikan ALLAHswt, membuang/MENIDAKKAN segala sesuatu tentang kedatangan Rasullullah saw, memfitnah Nabi Isa as dengan menjadikannya barang sembah, menamakan agama yang dibawahnya sebagai agama Christian / Christianity dan banyak lagi...fitnah demi fitnah penipuan demi penipuan telah mereka lakukan sehinggalah ke hari ini dan masa-masa akan datang.

5. Tidak cukup dengan usaha tersebut, mereka hiasi fahaman mereka dengan kemewahan, 'luv', kekayaan, kesenangan, kekuatan, kepandaian, disanjung menjadi pujaan ramai seolah-olah sesiapa yang menjadi penganut agama Kristian mereka akan senang, akan kaya dll. Agama Islam

pula digambarkan sebagai agama anutan orang2 bodoh, kolot, hina, miskin, agama pengganas, ekstrimis dan segala sesuatu yang buruk..

6. ALLAHswt berfirman di dalam Surat Al Anfal ayat 72 yang bermaksud,"Dan orang-orang yang kafir, setengahnya menjadi penyokong dan pembela bagi setengahnya yang lain. Jika kamu [wahai umat Islam] tidak menjalankan [dasar bantu-membantu sesama sendiri yang DIPERINTAHKAN oleh ALLAHswt] itu, nescaya AKAN BERLAKULAH FITNAH [KEKACAUAN] di muka bumi dan KEROSAKAN YANG BESAR."

7. Sememangnya proses perundangan tidak akan menyelesaikan kemelut yang berlaku sekarang. Sebaliknya, penyelesaiannya adalah dalam gengaman orang2 Islam sendiri...

bangsaMSIA Inc

By Benderbuzz  on [January 5, 2010 1:28 PM](#)

Salam Tun.

Secara ringkasnya, kebanyakan pendapat yang dikemukakan di blog-blog dan media tentang isu "ALLAH" ini adalah pelbagai dan agak bias berdasarkan pegangan agama masing-masing.

Yang jelas, para penganut kristian katolik cuba berhujah mempertahankan agenda mereka berdasarkan pemahaman yang terhad tentang Islam di Malaysia. Konsep ketuhanan mereka yang agak jauh berbeza dengan konsep ketuhanan/tauhid dalam Islam cuba dibahaskan dan diperjuangkan berdasarkan acuan dan pemahaman mereka yang tersendiri.

Inilah punca masalah yang akan mengakibatkan isu ini tidak akan berkesudahan dicampur pula dengan penghakiman berdasarkan perlembagaan persekutuan (undang-undang duniawi) yang tidak langsung mengambilkira faktor persekitaran dan sensitiviti penganut Islam. Proses perbicaraan seterusnya pasti bergantung kepada individu-individu yang menghakiminya. Yang pasti mereka juga beragama dan keputusan akan condong kepada agama masing-masing. Jadi, bolehkah isu ini selesai secara muktamad?

Jalan terbaik MUNGKIN dengan mengekalkan statusquo. Biarlah ia berdasarkan keadaan sebelum isu ini timbul.... sebelum isu ini dibawa ke mahkamah oleh pihak katolik. Toleransi dan hormat-menghormati di antara penganut amat diperlukan. Tiada sebab yang munasabahpun utk pihak katolik beriya-nya mahu menggunakan "ALLAH".

Janganlah menang jadi arang, kalah jadi abu dan jangan main api.

Wallahualam.

By [Orang Melayu](#)  on [January 5, 2010 1:27 PM](#)

Salam,

Saya baru menulis di kolum saya berkenaan isi ini

Boleh lawat:

june08.comuv.com/blog/

Beginilah.. Saya sebolehnya tidak mahu tragedi berdarah berlaku di negara kita. Dulu di singapura sudah terjadi isu natrah, punca nya agama juga.

Persoalan..sepatutnya isu sebegini di teliti betul betul di makhamah (pada ketika itu) sebelum sebarang keputusan dibuat. Baik dan buruk implikasinya adalah besar kepada negara kita.

By zoff  on [January 5, 2010 1:25 PM](#)

First of all Allah SWT is the name of God as revealed by the angel Jibrail to Muhammad SAW. Not a word in Arabic. Allah is but one of His 99 names. Thus the Arabs took the name of Allah SWT into their language meaning the One God.

The word for god in Arabic is Ilah.

This is not a conflict of language. This is about protecting the name of Allah SWT from being used to address a god that is not Allah SWT.

Most importantly, the Christians must accept that Allah SWT is not the god worshipped in Christianity. The characteristics of Allah SWT (Sifat 20) is clearly in conflict with the God in Christianity.

Maybe the Christians would like to believe that both Muslims and Christians worship the same God, but that is not the case.

Muslims believe that Islam is the only religion instructed upon men by Allah SWT. And it comes with it's own set of rules and protocols to be followed by all men. Muslims are not concerned with other religions. There is no doubt whatsoever on a Muslim's mind that another religion might be the "true" religion.

Ironically, it is the other religions who are very much concerned about Islam. If you believe in full faith that your religion is true, then why concern yourself too much about Islam?

Arguing that the Christians natives of Sabah & Sarawak has been using the name Allah to address their God for ages doesn't make it right. Since when is the Malay language is the language of the natives of Sabah & Sarawak. Surely the Ibans and Kadazans have a word for God in their own mother tongue. The name Allah was introduced to them.

The non-Muslims understands that Allah is another word for God, but for Muslims it is the name of God. This is not a matter of language.

By majapil  on [January 5, 2010 1:25 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

(TUJUAN SAYA MEMBERI KOMEN DILAMAN WEB TUN HANYALAH INGIN MEMBINA KEHARMONIAN BERAGAMA DIMALAYSIA)

Merujuk pada penerangan Tun:-

5. Sebenarnya kalimah "Allah" tidak terdapat dalam kitab Taurat atau Talmud Hebrew atau kitab Injil Kristian dalam bahasa Latin, Greek atau bahasa-bahasa Eropah. Nama bagi Tuhan dalam bahasa yahudi ialah "Yahweh", yang diterjemahkan kepada bahasa Inggeris sebagai "Jehovah".

KOMEN SAYA: Memang benar kalimah "Allah" tidak terdapat dlm kitab Taurat/Talmud Hebrew/Kitab Injil dlm bahasa Latin, kerana perkataan "Allah" berasal atau berasa daripada bahasa Arab. Tetapi perkataan "Allah" memang ADA dalam kitab Injil bahasa Arabic yang digunakan secara berleluasa ditengah Arab dikalangan bangsa arab yang menganut agama kristian. Negara Indonesia (Negara Islam terbesar didunia) melalui LEMBAGA ALKITAB INDONESIA -ingin menerbitkan terjemahan Bible/Kitab Injil/Taurat kedalam bahasa Indonesia telah menterjemahkan perkataan "God" (English) / "Allaah" (Arabic) telah memilih "Allah" sebagai perkataan yang tepat untuk "God/Allaah" - Pada Zaman british lagi rakyat di Negeri Sabah dan Sarawak terutama kaum bumiputra yang beragama Kristian telah menggunakan kitab terjemahan bahasa Indonesia dan menggunakan nama "Allah" dalam bahasa Malaysia dalam acara sembahyang minggu mereka. Saya amat heran kerana di Indonesia, tidak ada timbul kontroversi tentang penggunaan kata "Allah" dan dibenarkan oleh kerajaan Indonesia untuk orang kristian. (TUN MENULIS)

6. Dalam kitab Injil, Jesus (Isa) dan God adalah sama. Tidak ada kalimah Allah dalam kitab Injil dalam bahasa-bahasa yang disebut di atas.

JAWAPAN SAYA: Dalam kitab Injil (versi? English? versi Bahasa Indonesia?) Jesus (Isa) memang "God" yang menjelma menjadi manusia. Dalam kitab Injil versi bahasa Indonesia memang ada kalimah "Allah"

contoh : Injil yohanes 1:1 "Pada mulanya adalah Firman; Firman itu bersama-sama dengan Allah dan Firman itu adalah Allah"

Injil Yohanes 1:14 "Firman itu telah menjadi manusia, dan diam diantara kita, dan kita telah melihat kemuliaanNya..." (Alkitab terbitan Lembaga Alkitab Indonesia (2006))

(TUN MENULIS)

8. Mungkin juga kalimah "Allah" dapat menyamakan agama Kristian dengan agama Islam kerana menyembah Tuhan yang sama. Dengan ini penerimaan agama Kristian oleh orang Islam boleh jadi lebih mudah. Terjemahan ini salah. Sepatutnya perkataan "Tuhan" digunakan untuk God.

JAWAPAN SAYA: Terjemahan ini tidak salah, Yang Berbahagia TUN. Sebab memang terjemahan "God" dalam bahasa Arab dan bahasa Indonesia ialah "Allah" manakala "Tuhan" ialah terjemahan dari perkataan "Lord/LORD (Yahweh)".

Jika demikianlah terjemahan yg Tun maksudkan.. maka agak pelik jika kita petik terjemahannya seperti terjemahan bahasa English, misal ayat dalam Kitab Injil Matthew yg berbunyi ".... You shall worship the LORD (Tuhan) your GOD (Tuhanmu???) and Him only you shall serve". LORD (Tuhan) dan GOD ialah dua perkataan yang tak sama... terjemahan yg tepat ialah LORD - Tuhan dan GOD - Allah.

(TUN MENULIS)

9. Tetapi dalam agama Kristian terdapat konsep "Trinity" yang mana terdapat "God the Father, God the Son and the Holy Ghost". Jika dalam bahasa Melayu terdapat dalam kitab Injil atau syarahan berkenaan "Allah sebagai Bapak, Allah sebagai Anak dan Roh yang Suci", maka tentulah ini akan ditentang oleh orang Islam. Dalam Islam Allah tidak ada bapak, tidak ada anak. Ia tidak dilahirkan dan Ia tidak melahirkan sesiapa. Allah hanya satu. Ia tidak boleh disekutu dengan sesiapa.

SAYA MENJAWAP:Maaf TUN,pemahaman TUN tentang konsep "Trinity"atau "Tritunggal"tak dalam.Agama kristian tetap percaya Allah hanya SATU yang menyatakan diriNya dalam tiga oknum (Bapa,Anak dan Roh Kudus).Sama seperti manusia yg diciptakan menurut gambar dan rupa TUHAN .Manusia mempunyai (1)TUBUH (2) JIWA (3) ROH -manusia ialah mahkluk 'Tritunggal' TIGA DLM SATU sama seperti TUHAN ALLAH itu tritunggal (Bapa,Anak (Jesus) dan Roh Kudus).Contoh lain ialah AIR.Air hanya satu tetapi kandungan molikiulnya TIGA (H2O-2 Hidrogen dan 1 oksigen)untuk menjadi Air.

Dalam Kristian Allah itu bapa(pencipta)kami,bukannya Allah itu berbapa,dan bukan melahirkan melainkan mengambil bentuk,menjelma menjadi manusia dalam Jesus.

(TUN MENULIS)

11. Di Semenanjung Malaysia kita tidak pernah mendengar orang Kristian menggunakan kalimah "Allah" apabila bercakap berkenaan God dalam bahasa Melayu. Kenapa pula kita sekarang akan mengguna kalimah ini?

JAWAPAN SAYA:Kalimah "Allah"amat berleluasa digunakan diMalaysia Timur Sabah/Sarawak terutamanya sembayang hari minggu digereja-gereja yang gunakan bahasa Malaysia walaupun jarang kedengaran disemenanjung Malaysia.Disemenanjung Malaysia,majoriti Gerejanya ialah bersembayang dalam bahasa English,Chinese dan Tamil maka memang kalimah "Allah"jarang atau tidak disebut langsung kerana masyarakat Kristian hanyalah terdiri dari kaum cina dan India.Sejak zaman british,rakyat sabah/sarawak yang beragama Kristian menggunakan kalimah "Allah"dalam bahasa melayu tanpa ada kontroversi dan ini bukanlah baru dipraktekkan sekarang melainkan sudah ratusan tahun dipakai guna.

Saya kira bila agama dipolitikkan demi mencapai agenda masing2,sudah pastilah akan menimbulkan ketegangan.Saya harap pihak kerajaan akan berlaku adil memandang rakyat sabah/Sarawak telah buktikan sokongan padunya (99%)terhadap kerajaan BN dibandingkan dengan negeri lain.

By Mag58  on [January 5, 2010 1:18 PM](#)

Tun,allow me.

Dear Zahar,

for your info,the honorable Sheikh Ahmad Deedat had passed away quite sometime ago.tq

By rb  on [January 5, 2010 1:11 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

1. Bercakap mengenai penggunaan nama Allah oleh kaum lain, komen yang paling ingin dielakkan oleh saya ialah daripada menyentuh sensitiviti kaum lain.
2. Sungguhpun yang demikian, tidak bermakna saya akan mendiamkan dari melihat penggunaan nama Allah digunakan sewenang-wenangnya.
3. Saya menentang "perkongsian" nama Allah oleh kaum lain ini kerana ia sebenarnya akan mendatangkan kekeliruan dan mudarat. Ini kerana perkara yang paling asas yang telah dilanggar adalah bahawa maksud nama Allah telah pun "divariasikan".
4. Saya tidak menentang orang bukan Islam dan Saya juga tidak menentang orang bukan Islam menyebut nama Allah. Namun biarlah ianya merujuk kepada Islam.

By www.ikhlasmalaysia.blogspot.com  on [January 5, 2010 1:10 PM](#)

SIm

Pendapat saya, terdapat agenda lain disebalik kesungguhan The Herald untuk menggunakan perkataan Allah, kerana orang islam yang jahil akan mudah tersesat apabila membacanya. Dan mudah dipesongkan oleh pendakwah kristian supaya si jahil percaya Allah utk Islam dan Kristian adalah sama.

Seperti yang kita semua tahu pendakwah Kristian memang aktif menyebarkan agama mereka. Ini diakui sendiri oleh seorang yang saya kenali dan terlibat dalam aktiviti ini.

Saya kongsikan kisahnya :-

Kira2 15 tahun yang lepas, semasa saya masih bersekolah di Seremban, Ibu saya sering mengupah seorang wanita India untuk membersihkan rumah sebulan sekali. Kerjanya memang bagus dan bersih. Dia akan membesihkan segala-galanya, lantai, tingkap, kipas, kipas kotak pun dibuka dan dibersihkan. Upahnya Rm50 untuk 1 hari kerja daripada pagi hingga lewat petang.

Dia seorang yang aktif di gereja besar di tengah bandar Seremban di Paul Street (sekarang jalan tu dah tukar nama tapi saya tak ingat apa nama jalan tu) dan dia berkongsi cerita bagaimana dia dan kumpulannya pergi berdakwah di ladang2 dan penduduk pendalaman di kawasan Labu. Labu ni bukan jauh pun daripada bandar Seremban, ye Labu lokasi Airasia nak buat Airport sendiri tu.

Begitulah kisah yang berlaku 15 tahun lepas. Hari ini wanita itu masih setia membersihkan rumah ibu saya setiap bulan dan beliau masih aktif di gereja.

Sebagai umat Islam, adalah baik untuk kita berjaga-jaga kerana Malaysia hari ini bukan lagi Malaysia pada tahun 1957. Kita terlampau maju hingga batas agama diperlekeh-lekehkan. Maka adalah dituntut bagi yang mengetahui untuk menyampaikan kepada yang jahil dan membimbing ke jalan kebenaran.

Juga memusykilkan saya, kenapa seorang hakim bukan Islam dibenarkan mendengar kes tersebut? Wallahualam... mungkin ada hikmahnya... Amin

By laroheguy  on [January 5, 2010 1:09 PM](#)

It acually a good news for muslim..

Dalam rukun negara perlu di tukar perkataan Tuhan kepada Allah.

So What ever Allah said we must follow..regardless muslim or chirstian.

Since muslim believe in Bible (original version) than christian also must believe in Quran,,,because Allah said so...

I don;t think Allah is hypocrite....said A to christian than said B to muslim,,,,,since Allah said Al-quran is the last book and jesus is the messenger of Allah than christian have to beleive that jesus just a messenger of God(Allah)....

Alhamdullilah,,,Christian just found that Allah is their Allah (God).....So we can life in happy world...so Barrak Obama will Said "Allah bless America..."....

Or will church in Malaysia will only said Allah when deal will Muslim or from now on all sermon in church in what ever language will use Allah instead or GOD....So will they start to said..Jesus son Of Allah...Or will they tell their family,,,,Believe in Allah!...Allah the great!...At last they found the truth...Allah is the GOD!

By [leading](#) on [January 5, 2010 1:02 PM](#)

Tun,

I got a credit in Bahasa Malaysia (MCE, 1978) and I still use Bahasa Malaysia in my business occasionally.

In my opinion, **Tuhan** should be used by non-muslim when they refer to their God, and **Allah is strictly meant for Muslim**.

Correct me if I am wrong.

Regards,

www.leading.com.my

By andrewtay on [January 5, 2010 1:01 PM](#)

Look at all of you Muslim..so insecure about your religion. This comes to show that you all have weak faith.

Let me reason with you guys. Why are you guys so concern with the word Allah? I have been a Christian all my life. I have been to a Bahasa Malaysia mass in church (yes we use Malay language to preach..sue us!)and the word Allah is being used. This is not a new development in Christianity just to piss off the MUSlim.

I have come across the word Allah tons of times on TV during Muslim prayers. I dont even get affect by it. I dont see myself converting to Islam. Im comfortable with who i am and i have STRONG believe.

For argument sake, how will the word Allah confuse MUSlim? How many Muslims subscribe to Herald Catholic Newspaper?? Tell me for real. If there isn't any, how could it confuse a Muslim man if he not exposed to this Kafir newspaper? I can understand your insecurities if the word Allah was use by Christians on TV but this is not the case here. It is just a Christian publication!! Leave us alone!

I dont even buy this political shit and i cant believe you guys do. Even Indonesians (world biggest Islamic country) do not ban the use of Allah for Christians but Malaysia with only a small percentage of Muslims on comparison with Indonesia sees fit to ban.

What is faith? Faith is a believe, a believe which i think Malaysian Muslim is lack off. Seriously, you guys can do better than this right? Its just a word. If you believe in your God, you wont let a word corrode your faith.

I used to strike a conversation with Arab Muslims by telling them how we Christian use the word Allah in Malaysia just to make him jealous to see how religion tolerance is vastly practiced in Malaysia. But now all this lies in a dark chapter of Malaysian history which i hope our children will come to understand and learn. Thank you Malaysian government and other Muslims who

are flaming this, You are genuinely the worst Muslim ever! I rather move to Indonesia where at least some religious tolerance still exist.

By [Dr Novandri Hasan Basri](#) on [January 5, 2010 12:54 PM](#)

Salam Tun,

1. Apakah 'Allah' layak digunakan untuk tuhan yang esa tapi mempunyai anak bernama Jesus?
2. Atau 'Allah' hanya untuk tuhan yang esa, tidak beranak dan tidak diperanakkan?

'Allah' adalah katanama umum di dalam bahasa Arab yang equivalence dengan 'Tuhan yang esa' di dalam bahasa Melayu. Maka penggunaan perkataan 'Allah' adalah perkataan yang biasa digunakan oleh penduduk di Timur Tengah kerana persamaan agama di sana.

Asal perkataan Allah dari dua perkataan Arab; (Al-) yang memberi erti khusus dan (-ilah) memberi erti Tuhan. Sebaik-baik translasi perkataan Allah itu ialah Tuhan yang ESA.

Perlu juga ditekankan disini, bahawa usul perkataan Allah itu timbul dari kata buat Arab ta'allaha (atau alaha) yang memberi maksud yang disembah. Oleh itu, perkataan Allah itu boleh juga bermaksud: satu-satunya yang layak disembah.

Akan tetapi, perkataan 'Allah' adalah katanama khusus bahasa Melayu yang menerangkan tuhan yang esa, tidak beranak dan tidak diperanakkan.

Maka, di dalam konteks dalaman negara kita ini, sebarang pengertian yang lain daripada makna khusus tersebut merupakan penghinaan kepada kalimah 'Allah' itu sendiri.

Beberapa persoalan dasar juga timbul daripada isu ini :-

1. Kenapakah pihak gereja begitu selektif dan kalimah 'Allah' hanya digunakan di dalam The Herald edisi bahasa Melayu dan bukannya di edisi Mandarin, Tamil dan Inggeris?
2. Apakah pihak gereja takut dengan menggunakan perkataan 'Allah' di dalam edisi Mandarin, Tamil dan Inggeris, bangsa Cina dan India beragama Kristian akan lebih tertarik kepada agama Islam yang kerap kali mereka dengar dan ikuti di negara Malaysia ini?
2. Kenapa The Herald tidak hanya menggunakan perkataan 'Tuhan' kerana jika benar hujah mereka ialah untuk menggantikan katanama 'god' sedangkan mereka tahu bahawa katanama umum bahasa Melayu ialah 'Tuhan' dan bukannya 'Allah'?

Sila rujuk <http://novandri.blogspot.com>

By zack on [January 5, 2010 12:53 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Kebenaran bagi Penggunaan kalimah "Allah" oleh penganut Agama lain adalah amat memeranjatkan. Walaupun tidak dapat dinafikan penggunaannya talah lama berlaku.

Saya masih ingat bagaimana seorang rakan dari Sabah yang beragama Kristian menyanyikan lagu Negeri Kedah " Allah Selamatkan Sultan Mahkota" semasa perhimpunan di sekolah pada

tahun 1986 dulu. Saya juga pernah membaca kitab Injil dalam bahasa Melayu/Indonesia yng Kalimah Allah digunakan dengan meluas didalamnya.

Itu adalah secara "terhad" didalam kitab mereka sahaja. Tetapi apabila mereka dengan lantang hendak menggunakannya didalam risalah keluaran mereka, sebab kononnya ramai yang tak faham bahasa Inggeris, penggunaan kalimah Allah bagi menggantikan perkataan "God" adalah amat melucukan. Semua rakyat Malaysia tahu "Tuhan" adalah maksud yang paling sesuai bagi menggantikan perkataan "God" didalam kitab Injil mereka itu.

Kenapakah mereka beria-ia hendak menggunakan kalimah "Allah".

Seperti yang dinyatakan oleh beberapa alim ulamak, penggunaan kalimah "Allah" adalah dibenarkan sekiranya ianya tidak membawa kekeliruan kepada orang ramai. Contohnya seperti Allah the Father, Allah the Son dan Holy Sperit.

"Allah" adalah Tuhan yang satu, Tidak beranak dan diperanakkan. Jika pihak Kristian boleh menerima taksiran ini, maka membenarkan mereka menggunakan kalimah "Allah" bag i merujuk kepada Tuhan yang Maha Agong, yang tiada sekutu bagiNya, tentu tidak menjadi masalah.

Kalimah "Allah" hanya boleh digunakan bagi merujuk kepada Tuhan yang mengutuskan Jesus dan Holy sperit dan semua para Rasul.

Mungkin , walaupun agak janggal, kita boleh menerima "Allah the Father, Jesus the Son and Holy Sperit". Walaupun mereka masih menganggap Jesus dan Holy Sperit sebagai tuhan, tetapi sekurang-kurangnya "Allah", Jesus dan Holy Sperit bukanlah yang Sama.

Kenapakah mereka begitu beria-ia hendak menggunakan kalimah "Allah"

Mungkin mereka telah menerima Hidayah bahawa Allah adalah Tuhan yang sebenarnya namun masih berdegil untuk mengambil Jesus sebagai Tuhan.

"I by my own can do noting but with the hand of God....."

"My Father who has send me is greater than I am."

Itu adalah antara kata-kata Jesus yang direkodkan didalam Injil yang pernah saya baca.

cuba bayangkan jika penggunaan kalimah "Allah digunakan bagi merujuk kepada Tuhan seperti God the Father.

"Allah who had send me is greater than I am.

Semoga selepas ini mereka menerima hakikat bahawa Allah telah mengutus Muhammad bagi membetulkan akhidah manusia dan menyebarkan risalahNya yang suci.

AllahuAkbar

By [rasfanrahim](#) on [January 5, 2010 12:36 PM](#)

Asalamualaikum Tun,

Perkara yang mengejutkan saya ialah beberapa cerdik pandai Islam di Malaysia mengatakan wajar bagi orang Kristian di Malaysia menggunakan perkataan Allah. Hujah yang digunakan ialah orang bukan Islam di zaman Rasulullah pun menyebut Allah walaupun menyembah berhala

dan jika ianya merujuk kepada Tuhan yang satu maka dibolehkan. Mereka juga melihat dari sudut bahasa dalam hujah-hujah mereka. Ini masalahnya bila orang yang bercakap itu 'smart' but not 'wise' dan terlampau taksub dengan ilmu yang ada tanpa mahu menggunakan mata hati melihat permasalahan ini tetapi hanya berlumba-lumba menunjukkan betapa banyaknya mereka membaca. Mereka tidak mahu melihat dari sudut-sudut lain seperti sensitiviti masyarakat umum.

Kepada sesetengah yang pernah solat Jumaat (Bukan di Grand Mosque) di tengah bandaraya Rome mungkin pernah mengalami nasib seperti saya dihalau oleh polis selepas menunaikan solat. Saya diberitahu perkara ini berlaku setiap minggu disebabkan ada laporan polis mengatakan adanya perhimpunan haram. Walaupun tiada kekerasan berlaku, saya terus teringat bagaimana situasi begini tidak berlaku di Malaysia.

Saranan saya ialah Herald dan mereka yang merujuk perkara ini ke mahkamah supaya menimbang semula perbuatan mereka. Apakah selama ini mereka mempunyai masalah besar apabila tidak dapat menggunakan perkataan Allah di dalam penerbitan mereka? Adakah penganut kristian tidak faham apabila perkataan Tuhan digunakan sampai perlu diganti dengan Allah. Mereka juga sebagai rakyat Malaysia harus melihat perkara ini boleh menyumbang kepada kehancuran perpaduan antara kaum/agama. Janganlah disebabkan mahu menjadi 'hero' akhirnya dikenang didalam lipatan sejarah sebagai perosak negara.

By ParpuKari  on [January 5, 2010 12:36 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum Ayahanda Tun,

Well said Tun. You are the best! Demi Allah! You are the best PM ever!

<http://parpukari.blogspot.com>

By Gravity  on [January 5, 2010 12:29 PM](#)

YABhg Tun'

Thank you for such elaborative and understandable information about the issue. If someone doesnt understand this simple notion then they are mere ignorant or just blindfolded by their indecency of their own nature. I think possibly the word 'Allah' is too simple for non-muslims or the 'so-called-liberal muslims'(referring to Khalid Samad) becoz they have never had feel the same deep faith or 'nur-iman' as of muslims in general do. The faith towards God cant be just by a simple word in a congregation. We could never be of the same call for God to 'Allah' as the entity to the 3 major religion is different although they came from the same ancestry. Some say that if any group want to use the word 'Allah' in any good cause then why not convert to Muslim first!

By YBY  on [January 5, 2010 12:29 PM](#)

salam tun yg dihormati

isu ni sangat sensitif..kita org islam makin lama makin bg muka kat agama lain..ini bukan pasal isu sentimen perkauman..tetapi soal "HORMAT" pada agama islam sebagai agama rasmi..tak kan itu pun nak ckap lg..tun kne bg siket injection pada najib ni..as a leader tegas la siket..wat kputsn bio tegas mcm tun dlu..even org benci ke ape ke..lama2 diorg pun paham..dan yang paling penting nawaitu tu..benda2 mcm ni la yang makin lama membuatkan kredibiliti org islam dan

pemimpin islam makin ilang..contoh PM iran..relex je.guna kuasa yang ada untuk kebaikan..rakyat akan paham...yang nak benci tu biakan aje..contoh mcm tun dulu...tgok bila pak lah amek alih bru la ternganga..tringat kat tun,rinduu la ape la..tp masa tun ada tak hargai....heheh

salam tun n semua umat MUHAMMAD SAW.

By sarimi sarif  on [January 5, 2010 12:28 PM](#)

salam Tun,

Dear Onlooker,

In the Name of Allah, the Most Beneficent, the Most Merciful.

Say (O Muhammad): "He is Allah, (the) ONE.

Allah-us-Samad (The Self-Sufficient Master, Whom all creatures need, He neither eats nor drinks).

"He begets not, nor was He begotten;

"And there is none co-equal or comparable unto Him."

Dengan nama Allah, Yang Maha Pemurah, lagi Maha Mengasihani.

Katakanlah (wahai Muhammad): (Tuhanku) ialah Allah Yang Maha Esa;

Allah Yang menjadi tumpuan sekalian makhluk untuk memohon sebarang hajat;

Ia tiada beranak, dan Ia pula tidak diperanakkan;

Dan tidak ada sesiapaupun yang serupa denganNya.

By SR  on [January 5, 2010 12:23 PM](#)

Dear Tun,

I replied to this on another one of you topics, that was before i read your opinion and ive pasted my earlier reply below. But now after reading what you have to say. I am just so very very suprised. What are you doing sir, its obvious these people bite up what ever you say, and you just happen to say the darnest things dont you. God is one, languages vary, why are you playing on thier insecurities and ignorance. Being a non muslim i should be able to refer to god in any language i like with out it being held against me. In fact since all the malays are so pro using bahasa Malaysia they should infact use the word " Tuhan" as well. "Allah" is an ARABIC word. Since when did you become the expert on religion, you have only manouvered it to your advantage, i would say that is blatant disrespect. You and UMNO keep playing on the insecurities of your people, you know that is the one thing they dont question.

On point 9. your understanding of the Trinity is flawed and on point 10. i just need to say what rubbish.

Religion is personal, stop playing it to your political tune, the choice of word and language a person uses is also personal.

This country was on its way down hill from the start when Politicians start using religion and its numerous issues as thier trump card. the reality is if malaysian respected each other and such petty issues were not played on, you politicians wold have no power and hold over the people. Do you read and condone the shallow comment on your blogs, this is your creation.!!

By SR on January 4, 2010 10:00 AM

I would like to reply to Promelayu post, 2 jan 2010.

My friend, I don't profess the great and beautiful religion of Islam, but I understand it and respect it very much. I have lived and worked in other Muslim countries, who in the eyes of the world are deemed to be extremist countries. But let me tell you a little secret, nowhere in the world do you have Muslims more extreme in their thought and more paranoid for no good reason than in Malaysia.

Just to make one thing clear to you "Allah" means as you put it Yang Maha Esa as in The All Mighty- One correct. But your perception of the Trinity is "Wrong" so please read before you throw comments. The Holy Trinity does not mean the Christians' belief in three gods. (you might quote some morons who have written otherwise to rebut this, let me tell you they are wrong" The Christians' Belief in One supreme being - The Trinity represent The all encompassing Being in the form of the Father, Son and Holy Spirit. The interpretations of this are vast and varied. To make it simple for you- The Supreme Being "Yang Maha Esa" which Christians Believe in is One that encompasses All. Now translate that with your simple mind in Malay. "Yang Maha Esa" The All Mighty. look at the first two words, it says "The All" -Esa means what (Mighty, Powerful, Whole, Total, Complete" each and any of these words can be used to translate the word Esa.

Getting back to the point, "Allah" is the Arabic word for "the Supreme Being", "God", "Yang Maha Esa" and I can put that in a few other languages but I fear your brains might not be able to fathom the similarity of the word... Again, It is a Language, and No the Arabic Language is NOT exclusive to Islam. I have friends that are native Arab Christians. In Church in Jordan they call God "Allah"....now ask yourself why would they do this. The answer is simple they are Arabs so they speak Arabic, the Arabic word for God is 'Allah'..... I don't think it gets simpler than that.

Malaysian Muslims have the biggest inferiority complex I have ever seen. In Pakistan I greeted everybody with Assalamualaikum and upon leaving would say "Allah Hafiz to everybody and they all knew I wasn't a Muslim, but they were obviously smarter than the people here. They understood in context and respected that I could blend into their culture. A word is after all a word, in any language and should always be taken in context.

In Malaysia I dare not and have been reprimanded many times for saying greeting in ARABIC. which You Malaysian Muslims happen to think is a language Exclusively for you. Your small minds can't even begin to comprehend why a person that does not share the same faith as you should use a word you think belongs only to you.

really you lot should be ashamed of the way you behave sometimes. Living and working in countries like Pakistan and Gulf surrounded by a bigger Majority of Muslims, I have never been once made to feel uncomfortable with this type of situations. Here is a whole different story. Malaysian Muslims sell an image of Islam that doesn't begin to reflect its true beauty and compassion and understanding. You folks got a hell of a lot to progress and I say this not because I am racist but because I love my country and my Malaysian people (regardless of race, religion and color). I would like nothing more than to say I am from progressive Islamic country, even

though i am not a muslim this country is the best and respects everybody and are not shallow minded, intollerent fools. I hope and Pray this will happen sometime soon.

By GoldenMan  on [January 5, 2010 12:21 PM](#)

Tun

I do care for this country's harmony. I have many Muslims friends and proud to call them my neighbour. I never think of religion as a name to them and never used it againts anyone. The rights to choosing one's religion is the most basic of human rights.

As a kid I was in the same secondary school with you and in my primary school I was the school behind yours. And you would know that I do studied Jawi and some basic Quran in school. Even I am a chinese.

To use the word Allah means NO harm to me. Any religion is free to refer anything they want. If the Christians circular and I use the word "if" want to ridicule Allah but still NOT using the word Allah... then they can just put the word "Muslims God". Would it be any different?

And if they were to do that. Let them. Is those that are Muslims easily confused? A blog I read in <http://www.rantingsbyymm.blogspot.com/>

Prophet Muhammad's Promise to Christians

The document is not a modern human rights treaty but even thought it was penned in 628 A.D. it clearly protects the right to property, freedom of religion, freedom of work, and security of the person, says Muqtedar Khan.

Muslims and Christians together constitute over fifty percent of the world and if they lived in peace, we will be half way to world peace. One small step that we can take towards fostering Muslim-Christian harmony is to tell and retell positive stories and abstain from mutual demonization.

In this article I propose to remind both Muslims and Christians about a promise that Prophet Muhammed (pbuh) made to Christians. The knowledge of this promise can have enormous impact on Muslim conduct towards Christians. Muslims generally respect the precedent of their Prophet and try to practice it in their lives.

In 628 AD, a delegation from St. Catherine's Monastery came to Prophet Muhammed and requested his protection. He responded by granting them a charter of rights, which I reproduce below in its entirety. St. Catherine's Monastery is located at the foot of Mt. Sinai and is the world's oldest monastery. It possesses a huge collection of Christian manuscripts, second only to the Vatican, and is a world heritage site. It also boasts the oldest collection of Christian icons. It is a treasure house of Christian history that has remained safe for 1400 years under Muslim protection.

The Promise to St. Catherine:

"This is a message from Muhammad ibn Abdullah, as a covenant to those who adopt Christianity, near and far, we are with them.

Verily I, the servants, the helpers, and my followers defend them, because Christians are my citizens; and by God! I hold out against anything that displeases them.

No compulsion is to be on them. Neither are their judges to be removed from their jobs nor their monks from their monasteries. No one is to destroy a house of their religion, to damage it, or to carry anything from it to the Muslims' houses.

Should anyone take any of these, he would spoil God's covenant and disobey His Prophet.

Verily, they are my allies and have my secure charter against all that they hate.

No one is to force them to travel or to oblige them to fight. The Muslims are to fight for them. If a female Christian is married to a Muslim, it is not to take place without her approval. She is not to be prevented from visiting her church to pray. Their churches are to be respected. They are neither to be prevented from repairing them nor the sacredness of their covenants.

No one of the nation (Muslims) is to disobey the covenant till the Last Day (end of the world)."

The first and the final sentence of the charter are critical. They make the promise eternal and universal. Muhammed asserts that Muslims are with Christians near and far straight away rejecting any future attempts to limit the promise to St. Catherine alone. By ordering Muslims to obey it until the Day of Judgment the charter again undermines any future attempts to revoke the privileges. These rights are inalienable. Muhammed declared Christians, all of them, as his allies and he equated ill treatment of Christians with violating God's covenant.

A remarkable aspect of the charter is that it imposes no conditions on Christians for enjoying its privileges. It is enough that they are Christians. They are not required to alter their beliefs, they do not have to make any payments and they do not have any obligations. This is a charter of rights without any duties!

The document is not a modern human rights treaty but even though it was penned in 628 A.D. it clearly protects the right to property, freedom of religion, freedom of work, and security of the person.

I know most readers, must be thinking so what? Well the answer is simple. Those who seek to foster discord among Muslims and Christians focus on issues that divide and emphasize areas of conflict. But when resources such as Muhammad's promise to Christians are invoked and highlighted it builds bridges. It inspires Muslims to rise above communal intolerance and engenders good will in Christians who might be nursing fear of Islam or Muslims.

When I look at Islamic sources, I find in them unprecedented examples of religious tolerance and inclusiveness. They make me want to become a better person. I think the capacity to seek good and do good inheres in all of us. When we subdue this predisposition towards the good, we deny our fundamental humanity. In this holiday season, I hope all of us can find time to look for something positive and worthy of appreciation in the values, cultures and histories of other peoples.

Dr. Muqtedar Khan is Director of Islamic Studies at the University of Delaware and a fellow of the Institute for Social Policy and Understanding

By AIZAT AFFANDI HISAMUDIN  on [January 5, 2010 12:14 PM](#)

salam tun,
sy pgi indonesia aritu,
ternampak pula gereja di situ
tuliskan RUMAH ALLAH .
Pelik betul indonesia ,

By mok9929  on [January 5, 2010 12:12 PM](#)

benda atau berita sebegini sepatutnya tak payah dibesar-besarkan. ini semua ada agenda politik disebaliknya. ia patut di "kompres" dan "menutup buku" serta merta supaya orang "pendatang" ini dengan agama tak rasmi mereka ini tidak mengganggu keharmonian yang telah wujud sekian lama!

...atau sebagai ganti, kita siar debat dan ceramah Ahmed Deedat di seluruh rangkaian TV negara ini tiap-tiap hari....ada berani kah?

By wewant  on [January 5, 2010 12:06 PM](#)

Salam Ayahanda Tun,

pertama sekali saya berasal dr Sarawak..

N pendapat saya.. bahawa penggunaan Allah ini oleh bukan Islam tidak salah.. selagi ia tidak disalahgunakan untuk tujuan menghina...

Ini kerana di Sabah mahupun Sarawak telah lama penganut Kristian menggunakan nama Allah untuk tujuan ibadat/ agama mereka..yang merujuk kepada Tuhan mereka...

kenapa kita patut keliru dengan agama kita sendiri.. kita tahu/paham maksud 'Allah' mereka dengan Allah yang kita sembah adalah bukan yang sama..

jika ada 'niat' tertentu pihak mereka seperti yang katakan kenapa kita perlu takut jika di pihak yg benar, seorang yang beriman, seorang yang bergelar Muslim sejati?

Jgn politikkan, cetus isu perkauman/agama di negara tercinta ini...

Dan saya setuju pandangan Nik Aziz & Dr. Mohd Asri Zainal Abidin bahawa org bukan Islam boleh menggunakan perkataan Allah..

namun kini perlu ada garis panduan dpd ia disalahgunakan..

untuk renungan bersama..

<http://drmaza.com/home/?p=566#more-566>

By 13013  on [January 5, 2010 12:00 PM](#)

Assalamualaikum TDM,

the issue about this ,is most of our muslim child are not well versed in islamic teaching, they are still learning and even so there are some adults than is of the same case.

i meant not only to malays , but then ,it is hard for these malay child to understand that, if their non-muslim friends starting to imply the holy trinity concept to them.

the problem here they might get confused and starting to embrace about the holy trinity concept , as we muslim do not believe in.

We muslim believed that There is Only one God , and that is Allah and Muhammad S.A.W is HIS Messenger .

We do not associate other with Allah, however the holy trinity concept is vice-versa. (as Tun have mentioned)

A very good start for the christian evangelic or some might say orientalist to start with, to expose their 'dakwah' towards majority muslims in our beloved Malaysia.

However equivocal this case may be, there is one person that I have come across the youtube, maybe it is a good start for someone to watch it, just type in his name directly in the search box of youtube
"SHEIKH AHMED DEEDAT"

Maybe this might shine some light in the end of the tunnel for some who don't know.

By [tokapi](#) on [January 5, 2010 11:55 AM](#)

Tok Det,

Pendapat Tok Det amat betul sekali. Surah Al-Ikhlash telah menjelaskan segalanya. Mana mungkin umat Islam di MALAYSIA boleh menerima permintaan pihak Kristian tersebut.

Apakah motif mereka sebenarnya? Dalam bible moden terbitan Kristian di seluruh dunia, panggilan terhadap "tuhan" boleh jadi God, Yahweh, Eloh, Elohim, Eli, Father dan sebagainya mengikut bahasa panggilan setempat. Kiranya mau menyesuaikan panggilan dengan masyarakat BUKAN MELAYU / ISLAM di Malaysia, boleh saja guna perkataan "tuhan" atau perkataan lain yang sesuai dalam bahasa lain (ie Iban, Kadazan, Murut.. dll).

Tetapi kena mahu gunakan Allah di Malaysia? Bukankah tujuannya untuk "menyesuaikan" panggilan dengan masyarakat MELAYU / ISLAM? Ini maknanya mereka ingin menjalankan jarum halus mengembangkan pengaruh mereka ke atas umat Islam yang mana perkara ini SALAH mengikut PERLEMBAGAAN.

Inilah beza antara ajaran ISLAM dan KRISTIAN. Umat ISLAM di mana-mana bahagian di dunia ini hanya memanggil tuhan yang mereka sembah hanya dengan NAMA ALLAH... Dan tidak pula mereka sesuaikan atau mengubah kandungan Quran sesuka hati seperti mana orang Kristian yang terpaksa masuk mahkamah untuk mengubah kitab Bible yang mereka anuti.

Benarlah kata-kata Allah.. Allahu Akbar!

Wassalam.

By [Onlooker](#) on [January 5, 2010 11:50 AM](#)

"6. Dalam kitab Injil, Jesus (Isa) dan God adalah sama. Tidak ada kalimah Allah dalam kitab Injil dalam bahasa-bahasa yang disebut di atas." (Tun Dr. Mahathir)

Tun,

I hope you can do further research on the originality of the Malay version of the Christian's Holy Bible in order to get a much more accurate historical facts about the translation of the biblical scripture in relation to the word "Allah".

An Australian friend of mine who married a Malaysian girl and now lives in Malaysia told me that when he was still a child newly migrating to Australia from Netherland during 1940s, his father brought him to a catholic church in Perth, Australia. Those Dutch immigrants addressed God as "Abbah Father, Allah".

Most Malay versions of the Christian's Holy Bible had borrowed the "Allah" usage from the Indonesian versions of the Holy Bible. As a matter of facts, the Indonesian Christians would all follow the Dutch people to address God as "Allah", whereas "Tuhan" is the translation for "the Lord".

Many Christians in Sabah and Sarawak use a Malay version of Holy Bible. I used to read a Malay version of the Holy Bible who was given to me by a preacher who lived among Orang Aslis of Peninsular Malaysia. "Allah" is the word used in the bible to address "God".

I hope the above information can be of use to you in order for you to derive a much more open view on the "Allah" usage, as the word "Allah" has been used for several thousand years by the people who believed in the religion of Abrahamic origin, which includes Islam, Judaism and Christianity.

Onlooker

By apokonojang  on [January 5, 2010 11:47 AM](#)

YBhg Tun,

I fully agree with you! Your explanation regarding the kalimah Allah issue is spot-on! I just hope the the Christians will understand the situation and move on with other bigger issues. My gutfeel is that, they are now trying to entice more malays to embrace christian and hence the dragging of the Allah word into their belief. Wallahua'lam...

By Ir_Engkuli  on [January 5, 2010 11:38 AM](#)

Selamat Pagi kepada Tun dan Keluarga.

Setelah beberapa hari isu ini berbangkit, saya masih ternanti - nanti bilakah mana - mana pihak akan akhirnya mengutarakan apakah di sebaliknya dasar sebab yang membawa kepada isu ini. Apa yang sebenarnya berlaku ialah pihak penganut Kristian telah tersilap mengalih kata 'Almighty God' kepada 'Allah Taala' ke bahasa Melayu dan Iban. Betul kata Tun, dalam Bible atau Liturgy Christian tidak pernah menggunakan perkataan 'Allah', baik daripada Bible terawal sekali, iaitu dari Bible Hebrew. Walaupun saya sendiri adalah penganut Kristian daripada Gereja Anglican, saya juga tidak bersetuju dengan penggunaan perkataan 'God' dialih bahasa kepada 'Allah'. Saya berharap agar pihak mahkamah boleh menggugurkan keputusan tersebut, dan saya berharap agar pihak penganut kristian menggunakan Bible berbahasa English sementara menunggu pihak gereja mengalih penggunaan sebutan 'God' kepada alihan yang betul dalam Bahasa Melayu dan Iban. Walau bagaimanapun, saya berharap agar penganut Islam tidak terlalu awal memberikan pandangan mereka, dikhuatiri akan menyebabkan persepsi yang salah kepada isu ini. Sebaik2 nya menunggu kepada pandangan yang lebih warak lagi daripada pihak Islam dan Kristian bagi menyelesaikan masalah ini.

Sekian, terima kasih.

By amn  on [January 5, 2010 11:38 AM](#)

Salam Bahagia Tun,

saya bukan pakai didlm bidang bahasa mahupun agama. Ini cuma pendapat peribadi saya. Saya ada terbaca dan terdengar bahawa Tuhan didalam bahasa arab bukannya "Allah", tetapi dipanggil "Illah". Jikalau ditafsirkan kedlm bahasa inggeris, "Illah" is God dan "Allah" bermaksud "The God". "The God" disini iaitu Allah lebih bermaksud "tuhan yang khusus" iaitu yang satu dan tunggal. sebab itu umat islam apabila mengucap "la illah ha ilallah" - Tiada tuhan melainkan tuhan yg satu.

begitu juga apabila kita baca tafsiran ayat2 quran contohnya

(19 : 81) Surat Maryam "And they have taken for their ilahs others than Allah, that they may according to their reckoning be a source of strength to them (or that coming under their protection may confer security)"

And they have taken others than Allah as their ilahs hoping that they might be helped when needed.

(36:74) Surat Yaasin.

Boleh tujuk <http://www.witness-pioneer.org/vil/Articles/shariah/ilah.htm>

Mungkin ada diantara pembaca blog mahir didalam bidang bahasa boleh memberi komen.

By nazaruddin  on [January 5, 2010 11:35 AM](#)

Dear Tun,

I agree with you totally.

But what has been nagging me is why did they want to pursue this matter in courts? Do they really want to hold their constitutional rights for the sake of upholding the constitution or they want something else? probably their next step is to get their constitutional rights to propagate christianity among Muslims?

Can we put it in our Constitution that the word Allah for all things related to Islam and Islam only?

Nazaruddin

By ABadri  on [January 5, 2010 11:34 AM](#)

AskM YABTun,

Seharusnya perkara ini tidak terlanjur ke mahkamah. Jelas pihak berkuasa dan pihak yang berkenaan gagal berkomunikasi secara efektif kepada pihak gereja berkenaan gejala meneruskan hasrat mereka. Nikmat harmoni Malaysia adalah nikmat yang manis tetapi berada dipertititan yang rapuh yang harus ditatang. Titi ini harus diperkukuhkan bukan dirobuhkan khususnya semasa semua rakyat berada di atas titi ini. Penggunaan perkataan "Allah" harus dilihat dari perspektif negara kita dan bukan negara lain. Juga bukan tamaddun lain. Kita kat Malaysia, sini dan sekarang. Perkataan "Allah" tidak pernah diterima pakai selama ini untuk agama kristian di Malaysia oleh masyarakat Malaysia. Malah ianya tidak digunakan dalam Injil bahasa inggeris yang kebiasaannya terdapat di Malaysia.

Maka kenapa seharusnya pihak gereja berkeras untuk menggunakannya di Malaysia? Jelas ianya untuk digunakan sebagai istilah bahasa untuk komunikasi, tetapi untuk komunikasi dengan siapa di Malaysia ini? Untuk masyarakat mana yang tak faham istilah "Jesus" atau "Lord" dalam Injil yang selama ini terdapat di Malaysia adalah merujuk kepada tuhan dalam agama kristian sehingga perlu menukar istilah dan menggunakan perkataan "Allah"? Masyarakat yang faham konsep tuhan dengan istilah "Allah" adalah orang melayu. Sukar untuk mengelak prasangka bahwa tujuan menggunakan istilah "Allah" adalah untuk untuk berdakwah kepada orang Melayu. Tidak hairan juga menggunakan perkataan "Allah" dengan maksud selain dari apa yang difahami oleh orang melayu akan menyakiti hati orang melayu. Di sinilah pihak berkuasa dan pihak gereja perlu faham kenapa ianya seakan bahaya memercikkan api kemarahan dalam masyarakat majmuk kita.

Memang kalau di debat dari segi bahasa semuanya boleh. Adakah dengan perintah mahkamah tersebut kini gereja juga boleh melaungkan azan kerana "Allah" dalam azan mereka merujuk kepada tuhan kristian?

Pokoknya, ianya bukan isu bahasa dan tiada kaitan dengan kebebasan beragama. Selama ini adakah penganut agama kristian di Malaysia gagal mengamalkan agama mereka kerana mereka tidak pakai istilah "Allah"?

Gambar yang lebih besar dan lebih dikhuatiri ialah kenapa terdapatnya kegagalan usaha bermuafakat dalam isu ini khususnya oleh pihak yang berkuasa dan pihak gereja sehingga sampai ketahap ini. Kurang peka kepada kekuatan titian harmoni? Ini bukan iklan yang baik untuk 1Malaysia. Takkan dah berak baru nak korek lubang. Persepsi adalah kerajaan tidak melihat isu ini hal besar, sehingga terlanjur ke mahkamah dan tiada sebab diberi kenapa peguam negara secara sendiri tidak mewakili kerajaan di mahkamah. Harap beliau akan mewakili secara sendiri lepas ini. Kenalah tunjuk ini isu penting.

Sedarlah bahawa mahkamah adalah medan bergaduh dan satu medan yang umum. Tak baik gaduh. Bila gaduh selalunya tiada pihak yang sebenarnya menang. Pangil semua pihak duduk teh terek bersama dan berbincang semula. Bukan debat tapi bincang. Demi negara tercinta.

By Budi kita  on [January 5, 2010 11:34 AM](#)

Tun

Pertamanya kalimah Allah bukannya perkataan melayu, ia adalah perkataan dalam bahasa Arab dan ianya adalah nama kepada Dzat iaitu Tuhan. Maka terjemahan God adalah Tuhan bukannya Allah. Jangan cuba nak kelirukan dengan bahasa. Dalam kristian ada Father, maka terjemahannya ialah ayah ataupun bapa. Sebenarnya di malaysia ni ada sejenis bangsa yang suka sangat menyakiti hati orang Melayu Islam termasuk dalam hal bahasa sejak dari dulu lagi. Cuba lihat kitab bible yang pelbagai versi adakah mereka namakan Tuhan mereka dengan nama Allah. lihat dalam rukun negara, kepercayaan kepada Tuhan, sebab Allah adalah nama Tuhan bagi orang Islam. Semuanya ini dilakukan untuk menyakiti hati orang melayu Islam dan tak lebih dari itu

By mgpunya  on [January 5, 2010 11:29 AM](#)

YABhg Tun,

1. Saya bersekolah di Mission School pada tahun 70an dari darjah 1 hingga lah ke tingkatan 5, 80an, tidak sekali - kali saya pernah dengar " Father " dan selepas itu " Brother " menyebut nama tuhan mereka dengan nama " Allah ".

2." God " bukan " Allah " dalam terjemahan Bahasa Melayunya oleh kerana " Allah " adalah bahasa Arab dan bukannya Bahasa Melayu. " Allah " is not a Malay word. Terjemahan " God " yang betul dalam Bahasa Melayu adalah " Tuhan ". Wallahua'lam.

By mazlan  on [January 5, 2010 11:27 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum.

Semuanya dilakukan dengan niat jahat, sama ada untuk menghuru harakan NEGARA ataupun lebih buruk lagi menghina AGAMA ISLAM dan BANGSA MELAYU.

Kerajaan perlulah bertanggungjawab menangani masalah ini dengan cara yang bijaksana kerana jika berlaku kekacauan tiada pihak yang untung kecuali musuh NEGARA. Lihatlah apa yang terjadi di Iraq dan mana-mana negara yang berlaku kekacauan kaum ataupun agama.

Penganut AGAMA ISLAM hendaklah bersabar dahulu agar tindakan yang diambil tidak terburu-buru dan dipengaruhi perasaan marah. Bukan JIHAD jika menentang dengan perasaan marah.

Semoga kita semua DIRAHMATI ALLAH dan mampu menyelesaikan masalah ini dengan baik atas dasar muafakat. Tidaklah Kristian dianiaya disini cuma tidaklah ianya bebas berbuat sesuka hati.

By appzak  on [January 5, 2010 11:26 AM](#)

Akhirnya Tun memberi komen juga diblog ini mengenai kalimah Allah dalam kitab bible keluaran Malaysia.

Dengar cerita pihak gereja ini telah meminta balik 15,000 naskah kitab bible edisi melayu ni.

Kemudian pula, kawan kawan kristian saya mula mengambil Allah sebagai salah satu dari sembah Tuhan mereka. God the Father sudah mempunyai nama. Maruah orang Islam, tak tahu dimana mahu letak.

Ramai juga tidak melepaskan peluang untuk menjadi isu ini sebagai penarik kepada perjuangan politik parti dan perjuangan populariti sendiri. Allah sudah dari dulu menjadi bahan dipolitikkan oleh pihak pihak tertentu.

Selepas kes Lina Joy yang begitu gempak dahulu dan kemudiannya menjadi hambar, timbul pula isu gereja kristian melayu. Masih lagi pemuka Islam diMalaysia diam saja, mungkin takut terlepas makan makanan sedap sedap jika membuat kenyataan yang tidak digemari oleh pemberi gaji. Mulut sudah banyak di zip kan.

Memandangkan kes ini sudah dibawa kepada mahkamah Sivil, dan keputusan penggunaan nama Allah Ta'ala sudah jatu kepada tangan orang yang bukan islam pula, tidak hairanlah perkara yang sedang berlaku sekarang ini akan terjadi. Selepas ini, memandangkan sudah ade rujukan dalam mahkamah tentang penggunaan nama Allah, apa pula yang boleh menyekat penggunaan nama Allah didalam Agama lain yang terdapat di Malaysia ini. Terlampau diplomatiknya oran melayu yang diamanahkan untuk menjaga institusi Islam di Malaysia, Tuhan yang mereka sembah

paling kurang 5 kali sehari sudah jadi bola sepak terajang dalam padang. Masuk goal mana-mana pun, nama Allah telah dipermainkan dan pemerhati yang menyanjung nama Allah ini hanya diam sahaja. Bila sudah GOAL, barulah masing masing mahu mengamuk atau baling tin dan sebagainya.

Terima Kasih kerana menyiarkan post saya ini. Terima kasih Tun.

By devastator  on [January 5, 2010 11:11 AM](#)

Salam,

Saya rasa ini adalah usaha untuk memperkembangkan agama kristian di kalangan generasi muda terutama pada mereka yang belum memahami selok belok tentang Islam. Bayangkan lah kanak-kanak (terutama yang belum akil baligh) atau mereka yang tidak mendapat pendidikan Islam yang kukuh mudah terkeliru sekiranya membaca tulisan-tulisan yang dikeluarkan pihak gereja. Telah termaktub dalam perlembagaan bahawa agama lain dari agama Islam tidak dibenarkan disebarkan di Malaysia. Jadi untuk memudahkan agama kristian ini menusuk ke dalam masyarakat mereka menggunakan Allah sebagai senjata untuk memasuki ke dalam pemikiran masyarakat. So bagi saya pihak gereja tidak patut menggunakan Allah sebagai alat untuk menyebarkan agama Islam. Sekiranya sebelum ini mereka tidak tergiat untuk menggunakan Allah sebagai ganti nama tuhan mengapa sekarang. Wal hal apabila dilaungkan kalimah Allah oleh orang Islam mereka dianggap pelampau Islam. Perang salib tercetus kerana orang Islam ingin menegakkan nama Allah, so apa matlamat pihak gereja?

Pihak gereja harus berhati2 dengan perkara ini. Saya amat khuatir perkara ini boleh mencetuskan rusuhan seperti atau lebih buruk dari 13 Mei! Wassalam.

By Shahfazliz Shahron  on [January 5, 2010 11:07 AM](#)

Tun, pada pendapat saya,

kita orang islam juga **jangan gunakan "Kitab Injil" bila merujuk kepada "Bible"**. Supaya kita boleh bezakan antara agama Islam dengan Kristian. Kalau kita benarkan penggunaan "Kitab Injil" sama dengan "Bible", **apa beza kita guna "Allah" sama dengan "God" dalam Bible?**

Saya juga merujuk kepada "Nabi Isa" dengan "Jesus", "Nabi Musa" dengan "Moses" dan sebagainya. Dua agama berbeza cerita mereka. Jadi, jangan samakan mereka. Supaya kita mudah belajar antara satu sama lain.

Bila kita sama, kita jumpa perbezaan antara kita adalah negative.
Tapi bila kita berbeza, kita jumpa persamaan antara kita adalah positive.

Thank you Tun.

By Mohamad Suhaimi Ismail  on [January 5, 2010 11:05 AM](#)

Salam TUN

Saya amat bersetuju dengan pendapat TUN. Saya juga ingin menambah disini, semasa kerja mengubah Injil kepada Bible depa-depa ni (kristian) sanggup membuang perkataan Allah. Tetapi hari ni kenapa pulak depa ni nak berebut menggunakan perkataan Allah pula.

By CoolNation  on [January 5, 2010 11:04 AM](#)

tidak ada rasional untk penganut christian untuk menggunakan perkatan "Allah". Seperti yg diterangkan oleh Tun, seblum ini tidak pernah penganut christian di Malaysia menggunakan perkatan "Allah" untuk menggantikan perkataan tuhan or "God".Persoalannya kenapa sekarang dan kenapa ingin menukar tuhan kepada "Allah"..tujuan sebenar dan objectifnya saya sendiri tidak tahu..mungkin penganut christian ada jawapanya..

By [A.Alshukor](#) on [January 5, 2010 11:02 AM](#)

Tun serta semua yang berminat,

Persoalan utama ialah kenapa nak guna kalimah "Allah" ?

Kalau kita perhatikan, ramai yang berminat untuk mengunakan kalimah tersebut mempunyai "NIAT" lain. Ini adalah kerana sekian lama dan dalam apa juga kitab selain dari Al Quran, kalimah tersebut tidak pernah digunakan, dan kalau digunakan pun dalam 'TERJEMAHAN' Bahasa Indonesia.

Yang beria ia sangat nak guna kalimah "Allah", ni adalah kerana nak 'CREATE CONFUSION' atau memporak perandakan situasi semasa. Tapi yang seronoknya ialah, apabila mahkamah diberi ruang untuk membuat keputusan, maka dengan sendirinya situasi ini menjadi lebih "KRONIK".

Walaubagaimana pun, pada pendapat saya, isu ini tidak akan ada kesudahannya. Orang Melayu amnya dan Orang Islam khususnya perlu mengambil perkara ini dengan lebih berhati hati.

Kerana dengan jelas dalam Surah At Tahrim ayat 6, Allah SWT berfirman : {Wahai orang orang yang beriman, jagalah diri mu dan ahli mu dari api neraka ...} jadi dengan sendirinya.

Telah menjadi ketetapan bahawa IBLIS melalui seteru seterunya akan berusaha menyesatkan walau dengan apa cara sekalipun.

Didalam surah Al Ikhlas, yang mana semua orang yang mengakui dirinya Islam PASTI akan faham dan mengerti maksudnya. Oleh yang demikian, apa juga kesudahan dari episod ini, kita sepatutnya sudah faham mengerti tiada rasa sangsi lagi bahawa isu sebegini tidak akan mengoncang keimanan kita.

Ini semua adalah retorik orang jahil, dan kita tidak perlu terikut ikut melatah tak tentu arah.

Yang Haq pasti menghapuskan yang bathil, Insyallah.

<http://darul-haq.blogspot.com>

By [themalaypress](#) on [January 5, 2010 11:02 AM](#)

Kalimah Allah should come with Muhammad (PBUH). If the Christian want to use it, they MUST accept Muhammad (PBUH) is the Final Messenger, as Isa (PBUH) and all the prophets before Him was also the messenger of Allah taala.

By [Liew](#) on [January 5, 2010 11:00 AM](#)

I agree with you. Cannot use Allah to translate God. The rigth word is Tuhan. Allah will always be Allah and cannot be translated and cannot be use in any other way. If want to translate words then we should translate Obama, Jesus, Buddha, Ganesh, and Thi Kong name too

By [fatimah.zuhri](#) on [January 5, 2010 10:59 AM](#)

Dear Tun Dr Mahathir,

1. Thank you sir.
2. Your explanation is CRYSTAL CLEAR.

By [egalitarian](#) on [January 5, 2010 10:56 AM](#)

Dearest Tun, salaam sejatera.

Kitab Al-Koran telah di dipelihara sejak 1431 tahun daripada nodaan. Mahkamah adalah untuk duniawi, jangan harap keputusan akan berpihak orang Islam. Jangan kita kaum Melayu yang mencemarkan kesucian kitab Al-Koran.

Cari pendekatan melalui parlimen.

By [khadijah](#) on [January 5, 2010 10:49 AM](#)

Point #8.

Pendek kata, terjemahan ini ternyata salah.

Mungkin saya boleh terima seandainya "Allah" digunakan dalam terjemahan Kitab Injil berbahasa Arab.

Walaupun bagaimanapun, itu juga sudah tersasar kerana kalimat "Allah" dalam Arab bermaksud Yang Esa (Satu) The One.

Maka kurang benar jelaskan untuk menamakan tuhan berbentuk trinity dengan perkataan yang bermaksud The One.

(sila buat perbandingan dengan jari-jemari)

Dalam bahasa Melayu, God ialah Tuhan.

Tak payah rayu mahkamah. Sila rujuk kamus dewan bahasa dan pustaka.

Saya juga bersetuju keras dengan pendapat #11, majoriti penganut Kristian di Malaysia tidak menggunakan perkataan Allah dalam sembahyang atau kitab-kitab. Tidak pula kalimat-kalimat "Allah" terpampang pada dinding dinding gereja Kristian.

Memang terjemahan FAIL..

sekian.

By [I'm A Kelantanese](#) on [January 5, 2010 10:46 AM](#)

Assalamualaikum tun..

Saya rasa inilah kehendak pihak Herald. Mereka ingin membuatkan umat Islam bingung tentang Allah yang mana yang patut mereka sembah. Tambahan pula inilah masa terbaik untuk memesongkan aqidah para remaja Islam sekarang yang nak mengucap pun dah tak tahu, apatah lagi untuk solat. Buktinya, lihatlah berapa kerat sahaja remaja Islam yang bangkit bersuara, peka tentang isu ini dan menyuarakan kebimbangan mereka?

Tujuan asal mereka bermati-matian memperjuangkan isu ini adalah untuk menjatuhkan Islam. Mungkin majoriti orang Katolik dan Kristian tidak bersetuju dengan penggunaan kalimah Allah dalam agama mereka kerana perubahan ini juga menyebabkan kekeliruan dalam anutan mereka. Tetapi, kehendak mereka yang lebih berkepentingan tidak dapat dilawan oleh penganut biasa.

Mereka tahu isu sensitiviti tidak dapat dijadikan hujah di dalam mahkamah. Jadi, kita perlu melawan secara mental agar mereka tidak cuba untuk mempermainkan perasaan, sensitiviti dan paling penting kalimah Allah itu sendiri kerana Allah adalah Maha Suci lagi Maha Agung. Ya, mereka boleh menang di mahkamah, tetapi mereka pasti kalah jika umat Islam Malaysia bersatu dalam berjuang menegakkan hak kita tanpa mempedulikan latar belakang politik. Inilah masanya untuk kita tunjukkan kepada dunia yang umat Islam di Malaysia masih waras dan sensitif terhadap perkara-perkara berkaitan agama Islam yang dijadikan sebagai agama rasmi negara, bukan hanya pandai bercakap tentang Islam dan berbangga dengan status sebagai 'negara Islam' semata-mata.

Wallahua'lam.

By shamsadis  on [January 5, 2010 10:44 AM](#)

Memang salah bagi pihak Kristian menggunakan perkataan Allah untuk terjemahan bagi God sepatutnya ialah Tuhan. Dan pakar bahasa patut mengajar mereka ini berkenaan bahasa Melayu jangan berarak tak tentu pasal tapi langsung tak mengambil berat dalam kesalahan yang memang terang-terang sudah salah.

By concededtiger  on [January 5, 2010 10:38 AM](#)

Dear Tun,
My chinese friend told me he discussed 'Allah'while eating BABI
in Jalan Imbi.
He says the law allows him.

Salm,
Johan

By umar5151  on [January 5, 2010 10:37 AM](#)

Assalamu'alaikum Tun sekeluarga,
Saya amat setuju dengan pendapat Tun yang perkara ini tidak dapat diselesaikan dengan sudut undang-undang semata-mata. We the Muslim Ummah need a political will and power to tackle this delicate matter. For once PAS and UMNO are speaking with the same voice for the sake of Islam. Tapi hairan, kenapa Anwar Ibrahim membisu seribu bahasa?
Wassalam

By Proverb  on [January 5, 2010 10:35 AM](#)

Isu ketuhanan adalah isu yang AMAT sensitif kepada semua penganut agama, tidak kira agama mana yang dianuti dan dipraktikkan. Sebagai penganut dan umat manusia yang mempunyai peradapan, setiap pertuturan dan interperitasi mengenai sesuatu isu dan/atau permasalahan wajib diteliti dengan terperinci agar tiada individu, golongan atau/dan organisasi menyalah anggap akan sesuatu isu/masalah tersebut.

Memang tidak dapat dinafikan juga, terdapat individu/golongan yang cepat melatah atau tersalah anggap akan sesuatu. Ada juga terdapat individu/golongan yang tertentu yang cuba menggugat kestabilan dan keamanan negara demi kepentingan masing-masing.

Sebagai rakyat Malaysia yang bertamadun dan berbilang kaum, kita wajib meneliti terlebih dahulu setiap tindak tanduk kita agar tidak menguris hati mana-mana pihak.

Proverb 10:17

Where does learning lead you? Ignorance?

Proverb 26:11

Are you learning from mistakes or constantly repeating them?

By promelayu  on [January 5, 2010 10:33 AM](#)

Salam Tun

Satu huraian yang pendek tapi jelas! Nik Aziz dah beri pendapat..Nasharudin dah beri pendapat..Zulkifli Nordin pun dah beri pandangan..mufti2, ulama2 ramai dah beri pandangan...Boleh dikatakan hampir seratus peratus tidak bersetuju penggunaan kalimah Allah digunakan oleh orang Kristian...

Bila lagi si pemimpin pembangkang no 1 nak beri pandangan?Orang Melayu yang beragama Islam mahu mendengar dengan jelas pendapat dia!!!

By sinister  on [January 5, 2010 10:32 AM](#)

Salam Tun,

Saya setuju 100% dengan pendapat Tun. Pihak yang tidak bertanggungjawab hanya menggunakan undang-undang manusia untuk membenarkan penggunaan perkataan Allah untuk kepentingan tertentu. Nama "Allah" tidak boleh dikelaskan seperti Warganegara atau Keturunan yang perlu dibuktikan di kaca mata undang-undang manusia.

Adakah selepas ini semua agama boleh menggunakan perkataan "Allah" untuk agama mereka? Adakah selepas ini semua Tuhan yang disembah tidak kira agama adalah sama walaupun pengangan akidahnya berbeza.

"Allah" adalah salah satu nama daripada Asma ul Husna. Sesungguhnya penggunaan Allah oleh agama lain boleh mengelirukan orang islam yang tidak mendapat bimbingan yang sempurna dan kelak boleh menyerungkan akidahnya.

Saya berharap semua orang islam, tidak kira parti mahupun keturunan membantah sekeras-kerasnya penggunaan "Allah" oleh agama lain selain daripada Islam. Islam mengajar kita supaya hidup berharmoni tidak mengira bangsa, budaya ataupun agama. Tetapi dalam masa yang sama mengajar kita supaya bertindak jika kesucian agama islam dicemari oleh anasir-anasir yang tidak bertanggungjawab.

p/s - Untuk pengetahuan penganut agama lain, setiap hari kita mendengar azan berkumandang sebanyak 5 x sehari dan ianya bermula dengan perkataan "Allahu Akbar" - Maksudnya "Allah" Maha Besar.

By [bzz](#) on [January 5, 2010 10:26 AM](#)

Tun,

Saya sangat tidak berpuas hati dengan prestasi DS Najib yg saya lihat... SANGAT LAMBAT PICKUP... Saya sokong BN... tapi saya tengok, kempen 1Malaysia kadang2 boleh memakan diri. Zaman Tun tak ada kempen 1Malaysia. Tapi saya tengok rakyat boleh bersatu padu berbilang kaum. Walaupun terjadi zaman reformasi. Tapi sekarang.

DS Najib mesti bertindak sekarang. Dan saya kira, inilah peluang utk tarik pengundi Islam yg menyokong pas dan pkr.

By [zahar](#) on [January 5, 2010 10:05 AM](#)

Alhamdulillah, penerangan yang mudah difahami untuk yang ingin memahami, inshaALLAH niat buruk golongan tertentu akan dapat ditentang, kita perlu mengguna ilmu sebagai saluran penerangan, jangan guna emosi. Saya amat berharap 'Peace TV' mendapat tempat dalam Astro, penerangan dari penceramah bebas spt Ahmed Deedat dan Zakir Naik mungkin dapat membantu.

Source : <http://chedet.co.cc/chedetblog/2010/01/kontroversi-kegunaan-kalimah.html>