

**CENSORSHIP**  
**chedet.co.cc**  
**January 17,2011**

1. Governments have often been accused of censoring unpleasant reports or books about them. Obviously this practice is not regarded highly by democrats everywhere. People believe that there must be some truth in the censored articles or publications, otherwise why should they be censored or banned.

2. Now we are seeing individuals, organisations and governments which have no power to censor also indulging in censoring unfavourable reports about them.

3. This they do by threatening to sue or suing the whistle blowers. The threat is intended to deter not only the particular individual but others also from uttering or reporting the same allegation. If the threat fails, then the matter is made a subject of libel action. Whether it becomes sub-judice or not the person is deterred from repeating the statements as it may prejudice the courts.

4. The affect of the libel action is to prevent the respondent from repeating the allegation. Effectively he has been censored, his right of free speech denied.

5. And if the court case can be prolonged, the censorship, or denial of the freedom of speech of the respondent will be effective in hiding the truth of the matter.

6. I am not a lawyer of course but I think the law is being abused to hide acts which may be criminal. Or it may be in order to prevent the public from getting the right information to make political decision.

7. I wonder whether the act to protect whistleblowers cover this thing or not.

52 Comments

By cherrycherryAuthor Profile Page on January 28, 2011 12:59 PM

Dear Tun M and all,

Thanks to Amin Tan. May I add...

Apogee, Tun M and LKY are in a different league all together so they can call each other by their respective names without titles so forth.

You are a nobody. But Tun M will not cry if you call him Mr. bcoz you are a nobody to him and you are a nobody to LKY and you are not important to us.

I dont know about LKY's title unlike you who worships him (may I suggest you to go and stay with him and see whether you are welcomed or not) so I call LKY as LKY as it is.

I will NEVER call your father by his name but instead use the word UNCLE or Ah Pek (correct me if I am wrong) to show my respect to the elderly who has no title conferred to him.

We do not follow the US people who call their elderly by name bcoz we are not "uncivilised, undemocratic, powerful, big bully and terrorist nation like the US"

So dont make our parents regretful for our actions today.

Long live Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamed.

We love Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamed.

By amin tanAuthor Profile Page on January 28, 2011 3:44 AM

Dear Tun,

My criticism of Mr Tua Cheng and Miss apogee meant well. As a religious person and a muslim, I place and regard intention as more important than actual physical display or forms. I have noble intention when i purposely criticise the two of you as i felt that the two of you are being unnecessarily rude and impolite and may bring about opposite and equally negative reaction and thus disharmony and negative perception in our society. But if you insist to indulge in the negative and refuse to swallow your mistakes and want to be stubborn, recalcitrant and incorrigible, then i should say i have done my duty.

If you think i have embarrassed you and being unfair, i am sorry and plead for your forgiveness.

On Lee Kuan You advising muslims to be less extreme, i would take it positively. Islam is tolerant, beautiful and scientific. Muslims practicing their religion does not harm anybody, in fact it will do good for the overly materialistic society of Singapore. One beautiful surah Kafirun from the Quran explains every thing. lakun di nukun wali yadiin. Please look up for English translation in the internet or Holy Quran.

amin tan

By non-jibaokAuthor Profile Page on January 27, 2011 8:09 PM

Dear Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad,

Apparently you have once again filtered & censored my comments on your blog despite the fact that on my part there were no vulgarity, profanity nor any disparaging remarks made to any quarters. Even though I had made my comments as below as an 'add-on' comment (from another comment) not related to some particular topic, nonetheless I wish to post again my comments, instead on this topic of 'Censorship' which I regard is quite relevant to this topic itself. Herewith again, are my comments (and request) which I sincerely hope you shall not censor, for the knowledge and benefit of all your readers and blog participants.

I rarely go off-topic in your blog. But in this case, I would like to take this opportunity to inquire from you sir, whether you would regard that YB. Azmin Ali as a 'Perderhaka' or not. At least, whether you regard him as a 'Perderhaka' to you. I raise this issue because there are some quarters who claim that long ago, your relationship with Azmi Ali is like the 'Anak-Bapa Angkat' kind of relationship.

The URL blogsite have made such a claim, i.e. :-

<http://www.srikandi7.blogspot.com/>

The article dated on 22-01-2011 in the said blog have provided several photos of a young man, who seem to be rather cordial with you in your earlier days as the Prime Minister of Malaysia. There are also photos portraying you as well as Tun Dr. Siti Hasmah attending

and participating in the 'merenjis pengantin' wedding ceremony of a certain young man with his bride.

My question is (if you do not mind answering) whether the young man who seem to be very cordial with you is the same person that you had attended and participated on his wedding ceremony. And also, whether that person is Azmin Ali. I would truly appreciate it if you could answer this simple question.

If that young man (in the blog's photos) is not Azmin Ali, then we shall leave the matter and issue to rest. However, if the young man in the said blog's photos is Azmin Ali, then a thousand questions shall be answered. I implore and appeal to you sir (for the sake of the rakyat & the nation) to please answer this simple question (sometime soon) in your blog, so that millions may know the true answer. Your answer sir, is resting on the balance for the future generation of our nation, because so many Malaysians are ignorant of this issue or story and many more Malaysians would like your confirmation on the said claim.

And another thing, the Parliamentarian for Gombak as well as being the ADUN for Bukit Antarabangsa, Y.B. Azmin Ali have recently blasted you sir as being a 'Penderhaka' to the Nation. His accusation to you is as the URL below:-

<http://www.malaysiandigest.com/news/15799-azmin-calls-tun-mahathir-penderhaka.html>

I would regard that you are far from being a 'Penderhaka', sir. Nonetheless, it seems that Azmin Ali is now grappling for 'political life' by taking a swipe at you sir. I am sure that you do not want to pay attention to Azmin Ali's antics to give him political mileage and thus to be dragged into his dirty tactics for him to win political support from some of the ignorant masses.

In this regard sir, I'd say that you would do yourself a great favour as well as the rakyat a greater favour to declare whether Azmin Ali was sometime ago your 'blue-eyed boy' or not. If he was sir, then the claim by some quarters that he is the typical 'Kacang yang Lupakan Kulit' and 'Melayu Muda Lupa', would sure rang true. However, if Azmin Ali was never-ever close to you sir, then perhaps some quarters are trying to make mountain out of a mole-hill.

And you should not keep this story to yourself and those within certain circles. The masses must know the true picture for the sake of the rakyat, the nation and for future generations. It is no crime nor sin to you sir..., to tell all on this issue. My humble apologies, if I have brought back to you some uncomfortable and sad memories.

And what must be done..., must be done. The true story must be told..., if we are NOT to be amongst the..., 'Yang lemah dan mudah kecewa'..!

Best Regards and Wassalam.

By amin tanAuthor Profile Page on January 26, 2011 1:11 AM

Dear Tun,

May I refer to apogee. I am addressing Mr or Mrs or Miss Apogee. Malay proverb says 'menegak benang basah.' meaning to defend some thing untenable and not logical to defend. I have a feeling that you purposely try to find fault with me and by doing so you expose yourself as more or less the same type as Tua Cheng.

1. You said no need to address Tun Dr. Mahathir, just Mr Mahathir. Dr is a universal

recognition given to a Medical doctor. So you don't recognize Tun as a medical doctor whereas Tun introduces himself as Dr Mahathir at the beginning of this blog. The much valued title 'Tun' conferred by the king is dismissed by you. Who are you to take away a highly recognized title as 'Tun' from Tun Dr Mahathir?. It only clearly shows that you and Tua Cheng are either ignorant, disrespectful of Tun, try to belittle and insult Tun or your lack of manners and of poor character and upbringing.

2. You cited Lee Kuan Yew as not using the title conferred upon him. I don't idolise Lee Kuan Yew as both of you do even though they called me Lee Kuan Yew in my school days and when I visited Raffles College in Singapore as a Secolian of University Malaya student in 1971 due to my similarity and leadership quality. they said I was legendary. Any way Lee Kuan Yew does not value the title given to him because may be he thinks he is better than the Sultan or the Queen or he may have his own reasons. By and large, people value such titles.

3. When Tua Cheng says our u students are brainless he is challenging and provoking us. How would you like it if you are called brainless. You say he cares when he criticizes. His remark is definitely offensive and not polite at all and I wont call him patriotic. His comment is at best derogatory and must be censured.

4. Your source of comparison is Communist china TV. Your loyalty clearly shows your preferred motherland to be China than Malaysia and you say you are patriotic and care about Malaysia.

5. Tua Cheng's attack of Malaysia and compare it to Singapore and Thailand is not helpful at all. He fits all description of a traitor and apogee is his accomplice abetting in his savaging his own country which he hates.

amin tan

By amin tan Author Profile Page on January 25, 2011 1:44 AM

Dear Tun,

May I highlight 3 commenters whose comments are excellent, exemplary, praise worthy and my heartfelt congratulations to 3 intellectuals.

1. Rimba Emas January 21, 2011 9.03am.. I agree with your views on Islam.

2. Al-Din January 20, 2011 9.56pm. 'Tun had groomed Sodom' just like Rasulullah gave nickname to Abu Jahal (the ignorant one) whose original name was Abu Hakam (the wise one).

'The law has been compromised and sodomised at the (loop) holes'. Beautiful satire.

3. HBT on January 20, 2011 5.32pm. You lambasted the wayward TuaCheng thoroughly

'You are just a lausy dud (not genuine) who is not there to build the country because you are WORTHLESS and KNOW NOTHING EXCEPT BAD-MOUTHING.'

Bravo and salute to the 3 of you. Well done and congratulations.

amin tan

By apogeeAuthor Profile Page on January 24, 2011 1:55 PM

To Amim Tan

It is not necessary to address a person with the prefix "Tun" or "Dr" because these are just honorifics. For example, Lee Kuan Yew is a Dato and a Sir. Mahathir never called him Dato Sir Lee Kuan Yew. Mahathir just called him, "Lee Kuan Yew". CCTV always address the Chinese leaders without "Mr" or any other honorific. I am sure their news presenter have not been shot or called a traitor. This because they still appear on CCTV.

Why is a person a traitor just because he criticize the country? A person who criticizes is a person who cares. Like Mahathir, he too criticizes the country. This is not because he is a traitor but because he cares.

On another point, your comments should be addressed to Tua Cheng and not Dr Mahathir. Your strictures are not against Mahathir but Tua Cheng. Reading your comments literally, you are actually scolding Mahathir.

By wajaperakAuthor Profile Page on January 24, 2011 9:55 AM

Assallammualaikumwarahmatullahiwaabarokatuh..

Saya memohon Tun sudi mengizinkan ruang..

[[11] KEBEBASAN YANG DIBERI KEPADA SEMUA DIANGGAP KEIZINAN SEBAGAI JURI KEPADA KOMENTAR. IKHLAS KOMEN KAMI KEPADA TUN (HAK RUANGAN) YANG TIDAK MAHU DI PECAH BELAHKAN OLEH INDIVIDU-INDIVIDU YANG MENJADIKAN DIRINYA HAKIM SIAPA YANG BETUL SIAPA YANG KOMENNYA SALAH]]

Tun..terlalu ramai pengecut di dalam blog Tun ini.Itu tak mengapa kerana bila seseorang hamba..ya hamba itu memilih untuk kafir dan derhaka pun Allah hormat akan keputusannya itu..

Lihat Surah Al Kahfi.

Pengecut sebegini berlindung di dalam blog Tun dengan nama yang tidak pernah di kenal di dalam Islam dari segi kesufian seperti hati umpama cermin,gunung keinsafan dan lain-lain..

Tun..orang-orang sufi menganggap emas sebagai najis.Alangkah malangnya sesiapa yang menggunakan nama emas kemudian mendakwa dia mengamalkan ajaran Islam..Cheh..cheh..Alangkah memalukan jika kita lihat dari pandangan kesufian..

Rimba Najis..

Tun..cuba lihat ayam yang orang kaya makan.Tangkap ayam,sembelih buang bulu,potong ayam dan bersihkan perlukan kekuatan..

Begitu juga profession seorang Dr dan paramedik.

Untuk membedah pesakit,cuci luka dan berhadapan perkara yang busuk-busuk itu perlu ada orang yang melakukannya..Bak kata orang melayu..

It's a dirty job..But somebody got to do it..

Begitulah Islam Tun..perlu ada orang yang bekerja membersihkan kebusukan dan keonaran

yang di lemparkan oleh orang-orang yang jahil tetapi tanpa malu dan segan silu bercakap tentang Islam..

Saya adalah salah seorang yang berbau busuk itu..

Kenapa saya berbau busuk?

Kerana anda membuat cemar dan onar menggunakan nama Islam..

Untuk membersihkannya terpaksa saya berbau busuk..yang datang dari diri anda sendiri!!

Saya?

Ya..tiada manusia yang lepas dari kesilapan dan kesalahan.

Itulah sebabnya kita bermuzakarah..Tetapi..

Menggunakan kepala otak sendiri dan menggunakan nama Islam untuk menghalalkan adalah tindakan...sungguh..

**SUNGGUH KURANG AJAR!!**

Jadi jangan marah kalau menerima teguran yang kasar..

Anda telah berlaku kasar kepada agama Islam..Jadi

fikir-fikir dahulu akibat perbuatan anda sebelum anda menyangka anda telah berbuat kebajikan dan berjasa kepada agama kami yang tulus,luhur dan suci ini...

Kukku..ku..ku..

Betulkah ayam...anda yang menyeru matahari,supaya ianya bersinar memberi khidmat kepada kami??

Terima kasih Tun..

By TuaChengAuthor Profile Page on January 24, 2011 8:47 AM

Mr.Mahathir is Mr.Mahathir,

That is what I've address him. Sorry, individually I dont think I've broken any censorship laws on this.

Dont like Proton does not mean anti-National, just to prove that people's still love rubbish-quality vehicles.

Constructive is meant for crutch-minded peple to wake-up.

If always, thinking growing coconuts, having coffee at working hours or tunngu kontrak.....better meant to wake.

By the way, Proton statistics has proven by fact are losing market share.

HBT good for you to buy Proton, or else many will be out of jobs.

By HBTAuthor Profile Page on January 24, 2011 7:40 AM

Good morning Ayahanda Tun,

A. News from The Hindu News after the launched of Lee Kuan Yew Hard Truth To Get Singapore Going.

I. SINGAPORE, January 24, 2011

China and India cannot go to war: Lee Kuan Yew

P. S. SURYANARAYANA

SHARE · COMMENT · PRINT · T+

AFP Lee Kuan Yew.

RELATED

TOPICS

diplomacy

India-China

II. Singapore's elder-statesman Lee Kuan Yew does "not think there will be a conflict between China and India" and between China and the United States at any time in the foreseeable future.

III. In a series of interviews to a group of journalists from The Straits Times here, released by Mr. Lee himself in the form of a book, he addressed, among a variety of subjects, serious issues concerning China, India, and the U.S., collectively characterised as "giants". The book, Lee Kuan Yew Hard Truths to Keep Singapore Going, was released late last week.

IV. "China and India cannot fight a big war because they both have nuclear weapons," said Mr. Lee, noting that even the long Himalayan border between the two countries was not worth a fight. And, "what are the Americans going to fight China over?" At the same time, the Chinese, in his view, "need not fight" the U.S. for "control" over East Asia. "Slowly and gradually [the Chinese] will expand their economic ties with [the other countries] in East Asia and offer them their market of 1.3 billion consumers."

V. Cautioning against the tendency to "talk about India and China in the same breath", he said New Delhi "is a bigger player", nonetheless, "than the whole of Asean [Association of Southeast Asian Nations] put together". India "is growing at about two-thirds the rate of China". Despite this, "they [the Indians] are a counterweight [to China] in the Indian Ocean". In this overall context, he would want India to be "part of the Southeast Asia balance of forces".

VI. On the rising profile of ethnic Indians in Singapore, Mr. Lee said: "We are now getting high-quality Indians [as new citizens of the City-State]. ... It is stupid to reject them — IT specialists, banking specialists. And, they are going to have bright kids. They [the ethnic Indians] might be nine per cent [of Singapore's population today]. They used to be seven per cent. .... My feeling is the trend [of new influx] will last many years because the Indian cities will not catch up with Singapore for a long time."

Keywords: Lee Kuan Yew, elder-statesman, India-China ties

B. The rejection of 'Interlok' by President MIC cum Senator has proven that MIC prefers to 'keep on its old idea' when we have Wikipedia wrote by non-profit organisations and from donations.

C. What kept MIC from keeping its old idea, we should let Samy and his ma cai (kuda2) to decide, and if Japan Liberal Democratic (LDP) Party could be voted out after ruling Japan in 1955 - 2009 with the interruptions of 11 months in 1993-1994, then the possibility of all systems in the world can fail including PAP.

D. If MCA thinks that playing China sentiment in Malaysia in order to win over DAP, then

MCA leaders and its members are indeed stupid to put all eggs into one basket.

E. According to Foreign Affairs Minister, Mr George Yeo, he told Singaporeans in Bangkok's Singapore Club that he thinks GE of Singapore may be realised in the second quarter of the year after the president election.

F. SM Goh was the first PAP leader who admitted yesterday that PAP is ready for GE and now is finalising the list of younger candidates for the traditional line up of the 4th PM and his 2/3 new cabinets and the chosen candidates must have integrity, humility, sincere, commitment and must be able to relate to constituents.

I. Singapore ST

HOME > BREAKING NEWS > SINGAPORE > STORY

Jan 24, 2011

SM Goh endorses first-term MPs in his team

By Cai Haoxiang

CAPTIONED. Senior Minister Goh Chok Tong distributes hongbaos during a hongbao and gift distribution event at Block 17A, Marine Terrace. -- ST PHOTO: TED CHEN

II. SENIOR Minister Goh Chok Tong has endorsed the four first-term MPs in his Marine Parade team, saying that their work is up to the mark and that they will likely be fielded again in the next general election, due by February next year.

III. Mr Goh, 69, the anchor minister for Marine Parade GRC, made the comment during a hongbao and gift distribution event at Block 17A, Marine Terrace on Sunday.

IV. Replying to reporters on how he assessed the first-term MPs under his charge, he said: 'They have done well, and there's no reason as far as I'm concerned for them to be taken out. So they'll be fielded, of course.'

V. The four Marine Parade MPs who first entered politics in 2006 are Associate Professor Muhammad Faishal Ibrahim, 42, Dr Fatimah Lateef, 44, Mr Seah Kian Peng, 49 and Mr Lim Biow Chuan, 47.

VI. Reacting to Mr Goh's remarks, Mr Seah said: 'I am gratified. Mr Goh's endorsement is certainly reassuring and motivating. All of us first-term MPs have put in a lot of effort to know the ground well.'

VII. 'But the more important thing is how our residents feel towards us. I think the majority would recognise what we've done for them, but you can't please everyone.'

Read the full story in Monday's edition of The Straits Times.

G. SM Goh said that after presidential election after August 31, GE will be held latest by February 2012.

H. What is PM Singapore doing now before the GE to help Singaporeans to cope up with rising cost of living of the republic island?

I. Singapore

HOME > BREAKING NEWS > SINGAPORE > STORY

Jan 24, 2011

PM promises more help to cope with cost of living

By Li Xueying

CAPTIONED: Mdm Lily Wee receives a hongbao from Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong at the Teck Ghee Community Club. -- ST PHOTO: CHEW SENG KIM

II. THE Government is zeroing in on low-income households to ensure they can cope with the rising cost of living, said Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong on Sunday.

III. He added that the Government knows who the most needy people are, and that it has the resources to help them.

IV. 'We know what to do, and we know who the people are who need the help,' he said, citing various government welfare schemes.

V. Speaking during a community event in his Teck Ghee ward in Ang Mo Kio GRC, he also hinted that those who are better off will get a helping hand too.

VI. 'The broader range of Singaporeans - well, we'll see how things go and what is necessary to be done,' he said.

VII. The Government is expected to unveil its annual Budget statement next month. Most observers believe it will contain goodies for a wide range of Singaporeans.

I. Ali Rustam thinks the Umno candidate for Merlimau constituent must be popular (old idea of most popular flower vase waiting to be lobbied again since 90s?) with people but he did not mention the attributes.

A pleasant day Ayahanda Tun.

By 6 Jahanam Author Profile Page on January 24, 2011 12:28 AM

Malaysia has consented CNA to noozes our affairs in our homeground.

TV 3, Berita Harian, or whatever news broadcast in Malaysia should steps to Singapore to nooze the true inside story of Singapore.

Singapore news broadcast ia a one way street.

The Govt will deter broadcasting news that make them look bad, regardless if it is of truth.

Truth is news. Singapore news potrays only their goodside,

potrays the bad of their threats regardless of the truth.

There are many incidents whereby the CNA telecast the negative part of Malaysia. It will potray bad image of Malaysia, especially Malaysian Politician like Tun Mahathir.

LKY reveals of Mahathir is more of cornering Tun, rather than revealing the truth. So it, s about news that people wants to listen, it, s about creating an image.

Likewise when LKY vistits Penang, visits Nik AZIZ, of the ruling party, it, s is more of playing physcological game to weaken the ruling party. I beleives if Malaysian Ruling Leaders is to pay visits to their opponents in Singapore, like Dr. Chee Soo Juan, the ruling party of Singapore will not be happy.

Likewise they cornered Najib, of giving up KTM, they broadcast in TV, so as to lock Najib of his

speech.

So, news is an instrument more of than the truth.

By amin tan Author Profile Page on January 23, 2011 1:38 AM

Dear Tun,

May I refer to Tua Cheng January 19 2011 6.42 pm.

your constant reference to our host of this blog, Tun Dr Mahathir as Mr Mahathir and not Tun or Dr Mahathir is disrespectful and belittling. It is annoying really. Your constant anti Malaysia and anti national sentiment is provoking actually. How do I advise you to be more sensible and less provoking and anti national?. If you are a Chinese citizen of China, living in China writing disparaging comment against China all the time, you would be branded as traitor or in Malay PENDERHAKA KEPADA NEGARA. Do you know what is the punishment in China for being a TRAITOR.?

amin tan

By charles2020 Author Profile Page on January 22, 2011 7:04 PM

Dear Tun

Recently Singapore Lee Kuan Yew published a book called " Hard Truths". What are your comments with reference to Malaysia?

Thank you.

Regards & Best Wishes.

By apogee Author Profile Page on January 22, 2011 9:52 AM

To DrMNumber1Admirer. You should not be be angry with Lee Kuan Yew. After all, he is just a senile old man. Although a Malaysian, I work in Singapore. Not many Singaporean bothers to listen to him. For your sanity you should do the same. In the past, Lee Kuan Yew has a very good brains. Each time he has a debate with Dr Mahathir, he beats Mahathir to a pulp. As a Malaysian, I feel embarass. Now that he is not so sharp, it will be good time if your hero can have a debate with Lee Kuan Yew and redeem himself. Perhaps, the topic of the debate can be "Is there more censorship in Malaysia or in Singapore during the period when both Mahathir and Lee Kuan are premiers of their respective countries". We can invite the Brunei Sultan, and the Presidents of Indonesia and Philippines to be judges of the debate. We can call this debate the "ASEAN mother of all debates".

By manhakim Author Profile Page on January 22, 2011 1:03 AM

Salam Tun;

There should be proper places that everyone can express their view and shall not be subjected to censorship unless it really harmful to the younger generation of absolute nasty that can make people hate and kill each other . There should ot be any censorship for public discussion/knowledge/question or right and wrong/ questioning the action taken by governments and be sensitive of what going on on the world.

There are brave individual that exposed 9 11 incident is most likely an inside job or higher level of USA goverement conspiracy to invate Iraq/Afghanistan etc. You can see the you tube ( done by Mr. Jessse Ventura former Governor of Minnessota, Loose Change etc ). Everybody should look at the evidents presented by them which are logic.. How come most Countries can just let America do what they want?? 9 11 should be reinvestigated .

Wikileaks may not be popular as it leak the government secrets.. Only when it is bad things

people will try to put is as a secret. If it is good and the secret is somehow reveal it still be a good things. People have the right to know whether the secret is good or not . Otherwise nobody can take action of those bad leaders/tyrants.

Infact the media in Malaysia is also not free ...  
By HBTAuthor Profile Page on January 21, 2011 7:46 PM

Good evening Ayahanda Tun,

As usual news from Singapore  
HOME > BREAKING NEWS > SINGAPORE > STORY  
Jan 21, 2011

A. Fengshui is 'utter rubbish', and MM Lee does not believe in Fengshui.

MM Lee: Fengshui is 'utter rubbish'  
By June Cheong

Asked if he believes in fengshui, MM Lee laughed and said it was 'utter rubbish' that people think he does. -- ST PHOTO

PRAGMATISM is not just a tenet Minister Mentor Lee Kuan Yew holds dear in his public life. He also runs his private affairs in a practical, fact-based manner.

Asked if he believes in fengshui, MM Lee laughed and said it was 'utter rubbish' that people think he does.

B. MM Lee adviced Singaporeans must grow up and in order to sustain fast growth, he adviced Singapore government spreads/diversifies the risk and continues maintaining good relationship with Europe, US and Japan. He told Singapore not to be dependent on one country eventhough he believes that China economy will outperform US economy in 20 years time. MM thinks that Singapore should adapt US immigration law in attracting foreign talents regardless of race and religion.

MM Lee: Singaporeans should grow up  
By June Cheong

If Singapore does not grow as fast as it can sustain growth, then the government - and its people - are being stupid, according to Minister Mentor Lee Kuan Yew. -- ST PHOTO

IF SINGAPORE does not grow as fast as it can sustain growth, then the government - and its people - are being stupid, according to Minister Mentor Lee Kuan Yew.

This statement was among the hard truths MM Lee dished out throughout the 16 interviews with The Straits Times team in 2008 and 2009.

C. If you have decided to go down to the battle field, be willing to be stabbed by MM Lee's sword.

Hard truths for Singapore  
By June Cheong

Between 2008 and 2009, a team of seven Straits Times journalists met and challenged MM

Lee with questions gathered from the public, fans and critics alike. - ST PHOTO

IT IS well-known that Minister Mentor Lee Kuan Yew is a gifted orator. What happens then when you pit seven journalists and editors against one of the most esteemed minds in Singapore?

As MM Lee put it: 'You're gonna cross swords with me, then you must be willing to get stabbed.'

Between 2008 and 2009, a team of seven Straits Times journalists met and challenged MM Lee with questions gathered from the public, fans and critics alike.

D. MM Lee is combative and determined in nature wishes his image of mythological figure to be dispelled (disappeared), what about our Rahman Myth/Curse?

MM Lee: A mythological figure?  
By June Cheong

As Singapore's success grows, so too does Lee Kuan Yew's legend. -- ST PHOTO

IN 1965, MM Lee Kuan Yew, then Singapore's first prime minister, vowed that Singapore would survive as a country. More than 45 years later, he has fulfilled his promise. Singapore is a thriving metropolitan city.

As Singapore's success grows, so too does Lee Kuan Yew's legend. Much of the younger generation who never knew Lee Kuan Yew as Prime Minister, liken the now Minister Mentor to a mythological figure - an image that MM Lee wishes to dispel.

E. MM Lee believes no system last forever, and he thinks that PAP can last for 10 to 20 years, and beyond that he does not know.

Will PAP last?  
MM Lee says ruling party will be voted out if it declines in quality and opposition fields a strong alternative  
By Elgin Toh

-- ST PHOTO: STEPHANIE YEOW

THE founding leader of the People's Action Party (PAP) who led it for 38 years as secretary-general has predicted its loss of power in government.

Mr Lee Kuan Yew said in a new book to be launched today that the day would come when voters 'get tired of a stable government and say let's try the opposition'.

'There will come a time when eventually the public will say, look, let's try the other side, either because the PAP has declined in quality or the opposition has put up a team which is equal to the PAP and they say, let's try the other side. That day will come.'

He referred to the Japanese Liberal Democratic Party, which held power from 1955 to 2009, with an 11-month interruption from 1993 to 1994, and said its downfall was partly because it 'carried on with old ideas'.

He added: 'No system lasts forever, that's for sure. In the next 10 years to 20 years, I don't

think it'll happen. Beyond that, I cannot tell. Will we always be able to get the most dedicated and the most capable, with integrity to devote their lives to this? I hope so, but forever, I don't know.'

Mr Lee, who was party leader until 1992 and now remains on its central executive committee, made these candid comments in a series of interviews with a team of Straits Times journalists. The interviews are published in a new book, *Lee Kuan Yew: Hard Truths To Keep Singapore Going*, to be launched today at St Regis Singapore.

F. MM Lee told his Cabinet to demolish his house once he is not around so that this area can be converted into building higher buildings to increase its land and property value.

Should MM Lee's house be conserved? Take our poll.  
By Elgin Toh

'Lee Kuan Yew: Hard Truths to Keep Singapore Going' will be available at leading bookstores for \$39.90 (including GST) from Jan 21, 2011. Copies may also be ordered from [stpressbooks@sph.com.sg](mailto:stpressbooks@sph.com.sg) or from 6319-8347 (May) and 6319-8341 (Jasmine). The book can also be purchased online from the Straits Times Press Online Bookstore: [www.stpressbooks.com.sg](http://www.stpressbooks.com.sg) from Jan 22.

-- ST FILE PHOTO

IN ITS basement, some of the most important discussions on the future of Singapore were held in the 1950s. But if Minister Mentor Lee Kuan Yew has his way, the house at 38 Oxley Road will not survive his passing.

'I've told the Cabinet, when I'm dead, demolish it,' said MM Lee in an interview for the book *Hard Truths*, which will be launched on Friday, 21 Jan.

Mr Lee told journalists from *The Straits Times* it would cost too much to conserve and maintain the house. Already, there are cracks on the wall, although the pillars are sound. 'I don't think my daughter or my wife or I, who lived in it, or my sons who grew up in it, will bemoan its loss. They have old photos to remind them of the past,' said MM Lee.

So far, opinions are divided. Mr Tan Szue Hann, architecture editor of *Singapore Architect* magazine, said the house should be conserved as 'it hosted meetings that shaped the Singapore that the world has come to recognise and admire.'

But Mr Ho Weng Hin, partner of architectural conservation consultancy Studio Lapis, said the owner's preference is important. 'Some owners may not want their private space intruded upon,' he said.

Mr Ong Keng Yong, director of the Institute of Policy Studies, noted: 'The only way to find out (whether the public attaches significance to the house) is to ask the people of Singapore, possibly through a poll. If they think it should be conserved, the government of the day should bear the cost of maintaining it.'

What do you think?

G. Should Greater KL project at Sg Besi turned out to be something for everyone as 1 Malaysia MP Mission to reach Wawasan 2020 after Merdeka and May 13 racial riot due to miscommunications?

Tampines Town Hub 2015: Something for everyone  
By Daryl Chin

The TampinesTown Hub is Singapore's first integrated lifestyle hub for community, sports, arts & culture and commercial activities, a place where residents meet, learn, play and make more friends. -- PHOTO: PEOPLE'S ASSOCIATION

THE 261,700 Tampines residents who want to visit the library, play futsal, watch a concert or just eat and drink can find all that in one place by 2015.

This scenario for a buzzing heart of the heartlands was unveiled by Minister for National Development and MP for Tampines GRC Mah Bow Tan on Friday morning as he announced the Tampines Masterplan for 2011-2015.

The proposed project - dubbed the Tampines Town Hub - will occupy 5.7 ha of land, or about seven football fields.

Existing facilities such as the Tampines Regional Library, North East CDC, Town Council and HDB branch offices will be relocated to the site. In addition, food and beverage outlets, retail shops, a performing arts theatre and a community club will be built there. It will also contain recreational facilities like an astro-turf football pitch, badminton and tennis courts.

Mr Mah said he envisioned a central location in the town with something for everyone.

He added: 'It all originated from the old concept of a community centre where people would drop in freely. But in today's world where there are so many different interests, and people have so many places to go to, what we hope to do with the town hub, is to gather people back again.'

That's all for this week. Good night Ayahanda Tun.  
By Rimba EmasAuthor Profile Page on January 21, 2011 9:03 AM

SALAM BUAT TUN BERDUA MUGA DIRAHMATI ALLAH S.W.T

- 1) UNTUK YANG SUKA MENGGUNAKAN NAMA ISLAM DALAM MENGELUARKAN SESUATU KOMEN INI NASIHAT HAMBA RIMBA EMAS YANG TIDAK MENGETAHUI MENDOKONG PERINTAH ALLAH S.W.T.YANG MAHA MENGETAHUI.
- 2) DALAM MENGGUNAKAN NAMA ISLAM SEBAGAI MENDAKWAH KITA DIMINTA OLEH ALLAH S.W.T SUPAYA BERSABAR KETIKA MENERIMA KOMEN ATAU KRITIKAN APA LAGI CERCAAN SUPAYA USAHA KITA TIDAK AKAN TERPUTUS.
- 4) CARA BERSABAR INI LEBIH SESUAI DARI PADA SIFAT EXTREM UMPAMA MENGGUNAKAN PEPEATAH CINA BERANI MEMAMAH PEDANG TETAPI TIDAK KENA PADA TEMPATNYA.
- 5) SIFAT KOMEN INI SUDAH ADA SEJAK KEJADIAN MANUSIA LAGI BAGAIMANA YANG ADA PADA DIRI IBLIS LAKNATULLAH. MALAH ALLAH S.W.T BERI PELUANG USAHANYA INI DITERUSKAN DAN MANUSIA DI MINTA MENJAUHI GOLONGAN INI AGAR TIDAK TERSESAT.
- 6) SABAR TIDAK MEMBALAS KEBENARAN INI WALAU PUN IA BEGITU MENGECEWAKAN SEBAGAIMANA DI HADAPI SEBAHAGIAN RASUL-RASUL ALLAH S.W.T SEPERTI NABI YUNUS DAN NABI MUHAMMAD S.A.W. DITERUSKAN DENGAN PANDUAN YANG DIBERIKAN DARI

AL-QURAN.

7) BENARLAH ALLAH S.W.T MENJAMIN BAHAWA ISI-ISI AL-QURAN AKAN SENTIASA DILINDUNGI WALAU PUN BERBAGAI TAFSIRAN TELAH DI BUAT MENGIKUT FAHAMAN MASING-MASING.

8) ALLAH S.W.T MENASIHATKAN NABI MUHAMMAD S.A.W AGAR MENERUSKAN USAHA DAKWAHNYA DENGAN MEMBIARKAN GOLONGAN YANG SUKA MEMBANTAH (KOMEN) INI DINILAI OLEH ALLAH S.W.T.

9) DI BOLEHKAN KOMEN PADA ISU YANG DIKELUARKAN, TETAPI KALAU KITA SEORANG YANG SUKA KOMEN ATAS KOMEN ORANG LAIN MAKA SIFAT TIDAK BAIK AKAN TERBENTUK SEPERTI KENYATAAN JANGAN MASUK RUANGAN KOMEN, MENYERUPAI SIFAT ALLAH S.W.T SEBAGAI PENILAI KEBENARAN, MENILAI DAN MENGHUKUM ORANG YANG SUDAH ISLAM (HAK ALLAH S.W.T), TERBANTUT MASA SEBAB SUKA MENILAI ORANG ISLAM (HAK ALLAH S.W.T) DARI PADA BERDAKWAH KEPADA ORANG BUKAN ISLAM (SATU SIFAT YANG ADA KEPADA ORANG YANG SUKA MEMECAHKAN UMAT ISLAM).

10) ISLAM DINILAI OLEH ALLAH S.W.T KITALAH SEBAGAI HAMBANYA YANG WAJIB MENJALANKAN SEGALA PERINTAHNYA. KESILAPAN RIMBA EMAS DAN KOMENTAR YANG LAIN AKAN DI NILAI OLEH ALLAH S.W.T., YANG SUKA KOMEN KAMI INI RASA DIALAH MAHA MENGETAHUI SEHINGGA MENGAMBIL TEMPAT TUN UNTUK MENILAI KOMEN KAMI.

11) KEBEBASAN YANG DIBERI KEPADA SEMUA DIANGGAP KEIZINAN SEBAGAI JURI KEPADA KOMENTAR. IKHLAS KOMEN KAMI KEPADA TUN (HAK RUANGAN) YANG TIDAK MAHU DI PECAH BELAHKAN OLEH INDIVIDU-INDIVIDU YANG MENJADIKAN DIRINYA HAKIM SIAPA YANG BETUL SIAPA YANG KOMENNYA SALAH.

WALLAHU'ALAM

By CitizenNo1Author Profile Page on January 21, 2011 12:03 AM

Dear Tun and my fellow bloggers,

Freedom of speech is important but it must be not be used to hurt others.

I believe you to be a person who uses the power of reasoning and your actions are qualified due just information.

May you be blessed with good health.

Follow my blog and I too will challenge the leaders of today to be better.

CitizenNo1

By al-DinAuthor Profile Page on January 20, 2011 9:56 PM

Tun had groomed Sodom and defended him fully until evidence proved otherwise. As such Tun had to make a 360 turn for the good of the nation. Many did not know about the matter but things could not be explained (meaning being censored) now for it would be subject to libel. The law has been compromised and sodomised at the (loop)holes.

Not to be outdone, a lawyer made a farce of himself by doing a wrong about-turn. This will entrap him to Sodom, his client with no way out. That will be the day when he is fully cage-trapped.

By HBTAuthor Profile Page on January 20, 2011 5:32 PM

Good evening Ayahanda Tun,

//By TuaCheng on January 19, 2011 6:42 PM

Dear TuaCheng,

A. You will not convince me not to buy Proton due to pricing and quality that are affordable and comfortable to me as household owner.

B. Whether I convince you to buy Malaysia assembled Nissan cars at so much higher price in Malaysia is irrelevant to me because I know that Tan Chong can never beat Proton in Malaysia due to patriotism.

C. I respect and admire MM Lee, a true, brave and genuine statesman who always speaks his mind and knows where he stand.

D. Being a Maverick is bad, Being a Hypocrite is satanic, don't you know Confucius teachings as the Chinese?

E. You are just a lousy dud who is not there to build the country because you are worthless and know nothing except bad-mouthing.

Take care Ayahanda Tun.

By saatchamAuthor Profile Page on January 20, 2011 3:43 PM

salam Tun..

ucapan Tun bergema di dewan Utem benar-benar menyentuh kalbu.

Tun telah menggariskan idea dan pandangan beliau agar negara maju dan berjaya..

Terima kasih Tun kerana berkongsi pelbagai idea dan pandangan..

<http://peribadidrmahathir.blogspot.com/>

By HajarAuthor Profile Page on January 20, 2011 1:58 PM

Dearest YAB Tun,

1. I know what Tun is hinting at.

2. It's okay Tun. Di dunia boleh lah mereka yang berkenaan "CENSOR" apa-apa yang mereka ingin tutup atau sorok (guna DUIT dan undang-undang) dari pengetahuan umum, tapi di akhirat nanti, dah tak boleh nak "censor" apa-apa pun.

Thanks Tun.

\*\* May Allah SWT bless Tun & family \*\*

By autoboyAuthor Profile Page on January 20, 2011 12:45 PM

Dear Tun,

(allow me to write out of this topic)

Today's my 1st time seeing & hearing your speech right before my eyes.(after 20 years waiting)

it's really a good & inspiring speech on "wacana pemikir muda" at UTeM. Especially for us youth - we'll march on for your & our wawasan 2020.

looking forward to see you again on the future.

Tun Dr. Mahathir = Tokoh Pemikir Terulung

By Al BaihaqiAuthor Profile Page on January 19, 2011 10:17 PM

Salam Yang Amat Berbahagia Tun,

There has been many issues brought up by each ethnic group in Malaysia nowadays. Some of the issues brought up might be considered as sensitive to them but not to the others. This is the fact that we must accept when we live in a country of multi racial. However, there must be proper ways for us to highlight issues raised through proper manners and means to avoid confusion to society.

If we are not able to solve the issues amicably, probably there will be more issues coming up in the near future. It is not an easy task for the Government to provide clear and acceptable explanation with reasonable facts with regards to the issues raised coz everybody has sensitivity and pride that must be taken care of very carefully.

Should and once this situation getting worst, Malaysia probably will need to redraft all the history text books so as to satisfy each ethnic group in this country. Hopefully this will not happen.

Anyway, feel free to read some of my thought about simple personal finance management at <http://bajetwang.blogspot.com/>

Freedom that gone. hope it is not gone yet....

By PeisehAuthor Profile Page on January 19, 2011 9:47 PM

Salam bahagia Tun.

Censorship. There's no bad or good about censorships. It has to a lot to do with the situation and condition when such actions or words are uttered which would determine whether it is supposed to be censored or not. In this part of the region where close-knit family ties are part of our culture regardless of race, we are by nature a more reserved lot than our western counter parts. We learn to respect each other during our childhood. To respect our elders and what's not. So, we practiced self censorship and would rather walk away or keep quiet if we think it is not right to speak our mind for fear it will offend the person.

However, as we approach a more borderless world where we are expose to more western cultures, thru internet or for some younger folks, the opportunity to study overseas, the part of self censorship and self restraining has slowly being diluted.

And any attempt to curtail this is projected as an act of restrained freedom of speech and anti democracy.

For this part, it will seriously take to task the maturity of the government of the day to either take actions to curtail it or just let it be.

Without censorship whether in words or actions, the young and "immature" country like us will be plagued with chaos of various political parties or NGOs making their stands or believe heard.

It will be difficult to control the citizen more so when they are not mature enough to know

what is actually sensitive and what is not.

Even in a modern country like the UK, although its censorship were quite relaxed, some words are not meant to be said or done like mocking the Queen. It is considered a taboo even.

Censorship is needed, but it will depends on the situation and condition that will commensurate the severeness of such actions to be taken. Overly censorship of topics involving public interest is not good. It will only goes make the public even more curious to know what's going on, and overtime it will be perceive as a cover-up by the government to protect some one or some interest that will turn the citizen the other way around.

Salam bahagia Tun.

By TuaChengAuthor Profile Page on January 19, 2011 6:42 PM

By Singa on January 17, 2011 2:18 PM  
Salam Tun

My apology for digressing from your topic. In the latest book , Hard Truths to be launched by Straits Times Press Singapore, excerpts from the book were published in the Sunday Times, 16 Jan 2011. The book is based on 16 interviews with Minister Mentor Lee Kuan Yew. Apart from Allahyarham Tunku and the late JB Jeyaretram (former Singapore Opposition MP), your name was mentioned twice in the excerpts which I reproduce below. As for Allahyarham Tunku and late JB Jeya, they have long gone from the surface of this earth and we will not be able to get their opinion/reply from the negative remarks about them. However, since you are still around it would be nice to hear your comments.

Well...well...well,  
Singa, personally MM Lee KY did what is best for his nation. Prove your capability. Beat them with the real facts, investments, results, social-education and great brains.

How many U-students in Malaysia, really has brains??? Since the quality of our Uni is questionable, as certain quarters were given leniency.

Mr.Mahathir, we can see for a fact, no love lost, when all the condemnation to Singapore, where he is sorely beaten or over-shadow, when Mr.Mahathir wanted to claims Malaysia is the best in SEA.

But Malaysia, with greatest resources and natural blessing, we beat out ovealall performance. May be could be genes, could weather, could god's act or plainly, we are not good enough.

Censoring was the tools, to close all Malaysian that is naive to thing, we are so much ahead of others.

Honestly, we are in fact behind Thailand, nobody drives a 660cc or 1000cc cars in Thailand. Not fit even for ladies, in Thailand the standards is 1.5liter or the least 1.3L.

Look at their roads, they even have Altis as their cab, when Malaysia have the lousy Proton. Yes Proton is lousy and worse idea to be realized. Parasiting Malaysian support and yet still produce ciplak Lancer.

So the when present government allows the book Malaysian Maverick, we are so much engrossed.

Do Mr.Mahathir feel about Proton? I dont in anyway feel proud about Proton.  
By FXSpecAuthor Profile Page on January 19, 2011 1:26 PM

Assalam Tun,

When we fail to censor, especially the pornographic materials in the internet available to all and sundry including children, aren't we just falling to the stratagems of the dajjal which is to wreak havoc with the minds and moral of the Muslims? On the other hand, with all these filths around...might we be building the children's (adults also) character if we can make them withstand and/or refuse all temptations arising therefrom? Could the latter argument be the rationale for Tun's ban on censorship of the internet (apart from making this country attractive to foreign investors, especially in the MSC)?

In the country of the blinds, the "one-eyed man" is king. We are Muslims and hopefully none are blind, or the dajjal shall reign!

Ok, Tun is talking about people taking legal actions to silence others. The southerner LKY and his sidekicks are notorious for doing this such that every government's actions or inactions were met with deafening silence. Our leader of the oppositions is an avid student of LKY's methods but most of his forays in this direction has yet to bear sweet fruits, especially those with regard to his actions against Tun himself. Imagine if one fine 16th September morning he manages to at last wrest the coveted PMship for himself, would he not then simply abuse the judiciary towards making us all silent, self-censoring, fawning idiots?

Whistleblowers can't blow if theres no whistles. Even with one, they would not blow if they are not shielded from the glaring lights shone on them by the paparazzi, the apparats, the mere curious and not least the offenders themselves. Give everybody a whistle each, but assure them of anonymity also.

By IdeaAuthor Profile Page on January 19, 2011 9:40 AM

A good thought.

By ekomputeAuthor Profile Page on January 19, 2011 7:13 AM

QUOTE: People believe that there must be some truth in the censored articles or publications, otherwise why should they be censored or banned.

Precisely! Would the government bother to censor articles that say that one kilogram is heavier than two kilograms? And why should democratic governments act like monarchies when they are merely trustees of the people? Shouldn't they be presenting the truth and educating their people in the process?

On the other hand, a government is merely the mirror of the people. With Malay society being rather feudalistic, as Tun as pointed out from time to time, as well as the predominant voice in Malaysian politics, maybe they get what they deserve.

By al-DinAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 10:53 PM

The government under Hussein Onn banned "The Malay Dilemma", this prolonged the

Malays to slumber by a decade. Precious time for awakening was lost. Misjudged censorship has taken its toll. Even then someone didn't wake up and yet became PM in slumber!

Tun has been dismissed (censored) by UMNO at one stage. Despite many setbacks, to be able to persevere and continue to struggle for the sake of the nation is a mark of objectivity of a true leader.

Crooks will pry and exploit the loopholes in man-made laws. If we are vigilant enough the truth will prevail but normally damage follow suit in long overdue process of law.

By musatoAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 9:57 PM

Assalamualaikum Tun.

Sebut pasal lawyer, Karpal Singh mempertaruhkan intergriti beliau selama 40 tahun dalam mempertahankan Anwar.

Kepakaran undang-undang menjadikan undang-undang itu sendiri didera.

Bila situasi politik berubah, undang-undang tidak boleh berubah seiring dengan censorship yang dilakukan.

Hari ini Karpal Singh telah terperangkap dengan kepakarannya sendiri kerana mengikut perancangan rakan guru yang sesat.

Saya juga terpaksa melakukan censorship. Walaupun hujah rujukan saya, Pak Sheikh semasa ini adalah benar (yang mana saya sentiasa semak untuk diri sendiri), tapi selepas berfikir beberapa kali, penulisan dengan terperinci terpaksa disensor.

Kecuali saya boleh katakan ia adalah benar.

Terima kasih Tun.

By fbmAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 9:26 PM

Assalamualaikum Tun,

1. I am also not a lawyer, but this is interesting. If you talk about freedom of speech, censoring is certainly undemocratic, yet it is required. On an example, censoring immoral materials to the public is required even though it is against their choices.

2. Censorship is always not black or white; it is shady which is subjected to political, moral, or religious issues which are driven by harmful, objectionable, sensitive, or inconvenient information. I bet there must be many encounters on issues of (to be or not to be) censor during your leadership.

3. Whistle blowing on the other hand is always about wrongdoings where most of the time results of whistle blowing acts are unfavourable to the wrongdoers and their allies.

4. A reprisal act to protect you from defamation is a must. But a reprisal acts which abuse the laws to hide criminal acts are why places like online leak sites exists. Some of them have been anonymously contributing just because it is important to the public to know the wrongdoings yet it is dangerous for them to reveal themselves.

5. Protection acts can be manipulated easily and even can be twisted to hide the facts.

Unless you know and prepared for what you are against to, you need to be prepared to be a sacrificial hero if you wish to reveal yourself. (In bringing integrity to the data) What happening to Rudolf Elmer on Swiss bank accounts should be a reason to be afraid on what influential people can do in protecting themselves.

6. Internationally I guess there are many (which are mostly twistable). Locally, I guess our law and SPRM have some protection ability to protect the whistleblowers but I don't know to what extend they can protect you.

7. If you talk about what is happening locally, I am way off topic :) Have a great day sir!

PS: Just to be careful, we don't have to trust 100% to all of the information sends through these leaks sites, as the data can be manipulated on purposes. Iranian President has a point, who knows there maybe propaganda motivated information in between.  
By ambroseAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 8:31 PM

Asalamualaikom TUN, very interesting article where by the law is being exploited to the utmost by certain unscrupolous people to deny the rights of the raakyat to information on issues that are hot topic. Obviuously its is being done on the ground that it will jeopodice certain people that is under investigation and that the sub judice principle should adheared to. This is all for the sake of particular person/persons and the whole nation is held at bay and the right of the majority is denied. The most frustrating part is that we all know about this but we are powerless to do anything about it, for example using the loop holes in the law to postpone cases and to prolong the suffering of the complainant, and making a mockerry of the law itself. Every body is talking about it but with the help of certain people who are cunning to twist the law, they can get away with murder, ablivious to what the people might feel. But then we are herpless even though we know and keep repeating that JUSTICE DELAYED IS JUSTICE DENIED. I feel even the judiaciary is also being exploited not because they agree to the whole process but they are being conered by slick lawyers who knows how to exploit the scenerio. All this is indirectly related to politics and as the Malay proverb 'Buat mati anak tak buat mati bapak' if u know what i mean.

Going back to your article YDH TUN, which i believe is a very effective measures to shut the mouth of the people, I would like to mentioned a recent development and attempt to censor the publication of the book INTERLOCK. I fell the whole senario is again very much related to Politic , the government should not be coerce to comply with the demands of the minority. Personally there is nothing degrading or humiliating to any particular race in the book itself, and every one knows the exixtance of the cast system in certain country as factual. It has never been practice here openly and there should not be any remorse by any pearticular race in this country for that matter. We all have been enjoying the freedom to practice our own culture since merdeka and i do not see any reason why a mere mention of the culture of certain country can cause diharmony here, unless it is being plan and exploited by certain groups to fulfill their own agenda. My 2 cents TUN, Wasallam

By zulkiflee\_ArifAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 6:35 PM

Assalamu'alaikum Tun,

kebebasan bersuara di Malaysia sudah jauh melebihi batas yg selamat,di samping terlalu banyak orang-orang tidak bertanggungjawab menyalahguna saluran dan hak kebebasan bersuara.Undang-undang,Polis dan pemimpin-pemimpin Melayu adalah mangsa pertama kpd penyalahgunaan hak kebebasan bersuara disini.ini lah angkara Badawi yg mengoyak-rabakkan pabrik-sosial yg telah lama terjalin antara Bumiputra dan kaum

Pendatang.

Negara boleh makmur hanya jika rakyat nya menghormati Undang-undang dan Pelaksana nya {Polis}.tapi jika Undang-undang boleh dipermainkan oleh gabungan media yg menghasut,peguam-peguam yg punya agenda politik dan menteri-menteri kerajaan yg dayus,maka terjadi lah seperti apa yg telah terjadi.apapun yg berkenaan Islam,Melayu,Umno,Undang-undang atau Raja-raja sekali pun boleh di kritik secara negatif,boleh diserang bertubi-tubi,bertalu-talu oleh orang-orang asing untuk menguji-mengukur tahap ketahanan kita mempertahankan Hak dan Negara kita.

dan kita semakin hari semakin lembik selembik Badawi.kita jadi bangsa yg tiada pendirian,tak ada Boiling-point sekalipun dipermalukan terus-menerus oleh bangsa pendatang.

Tun,kenapa di Singapura Lee kuan yew boleh menutup terus kebebasan bersuara dan menjadikan orang Melayu sedayus-dayus nya sedangkan di Malaysia kita memberi orang-orang asing ini ruang bebas tak terbatas menentang kita? kenapa Lee kuan yew sebagai orang pendatang,boleh dgn mudah menafikan kebebasan bersuara orang Melayu disana dan kita pula,sebagai Bumiputra Malaysia,bangsa majoriti,ada Raja dan Hak Perlembagaan,kenapa kita terkial-kial menghadapi bangsa pendatang ini? kenapa menteri-menteri kita gagap-cakap mereka hanya ke satu arah;bertolak-ansur,bila orang asing tolak,kita mesti berangsur mundur?

kenapa pemimpin kita tidak boleh tegas menggunakan ISA? kenapa Lee kuan yew boleh? kenapa dia boleh dgn bebas memperjuangkan dasar supramasis bangsa nya di bumi kita sedangkan kita akan di-cap perkauman jika melakukan walau separuh dari apa yg mereka buat? kenapa dia boleh melaksanakan dasar-dasar menetapkan ketuanan China diS'pura sedangkan kita terpaksa tunduk dgn desakkan meminggirkan ketuanan Melayu di Malaysia,bumi kita sendiri?

adakah Lee kuan yew dan bangsa nya boleh memusuhi kita dan kita tidak boleh bertindak balas? adakah Lee kuan yew diberi hak istimewa,boleh bertindak ala-diktator dan tetap digembar-gemburkan sebagai demokratik? sedangkan Dr Mahathir dan Presiden Suharto dicap sebagai diktator?

Tun,ini semua adalah kerana pemimpin-pemimpin kita tidak berupaya bertindak tegas terhadap orang-orang asing ini.selagi kita terus menghulur salam perdamaian,selagi itu lah mereka akan memandang kita sebagai lemah dan boleh dijatuhkan dgn desakkan yg konsisten dan bersepadu.Dasar 1Malaysia hanya akan membawa bencana kpd bangsa Melayu.sebab pelaksanaan nya Tidak Betul,hanya menguntungkan bangsa pendatang yg memang bijak mengeksploitasi apa pun salam perdamaian yg kita hulur

Dasar 1malaysia boleh berjaya kalau ia bersifat mendidik dan dilaksanakan ala-Lee kuan Yew.stick and carrot.alangkah baik nya kalau di ISA-kan orang-orang biadap seperti LGE-LKS,Karpal anak-beranak,Teresa Kok dan yg seumpama nya,tarik balik lesen penerbitan mana-mana media yg tidak tahu adat atau menyinggung hak-hak Bumiputra dan Islam,kemudian menghulur salam perdamaian secara hati-hati dan bersyarat.dgn demikian kita meletakkan setiap orang pada tempat nya yg sebenar dan menghormati kedudukan masing-masing dalam menjayakan Dasar 1Malaysia.itu lebih baik dan lebih bersifat mendidik.dan sekaligus mendidik juga orang-orang seperti tuacheng,difefu,dpp dan yg lain nya agar berhenti meremehkan Tun atau menyuarakan kebencian secara terang-terangan terhadap apa-apa yg Melayu atau Islam.

ISA dan 1Malaysia harus lah berjalan seiring,baru lah kemajuan dan kestabilan boleh datang berganding.bukti nya Singapura.belajar lah dari Lee kuan Yew,dia tak peduli tentang demokrasi,kebebasan bersuara atau hak asasi manusia.

terimakasih Tun

By zoropAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 4:42 PM

for admin tan

i too respect mr mahathir but i feel his freedom to now say anything against or for anybody from politics to leisure make him probably feel real great. he must have loved saying all he'd wanted to say here without anyone questioning him. but i'd rather he'd said and done all during his rule.

however i am aware that i am lucky to get my views read here if any courtesy of this blog owner. if you too get your views read here, you should also be thankful.

By cherrycherryAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 1:34 PM

Salam untuk Tun M dan semua...

Paper kurang-ajar the Star hari ini memetik kata Raja Nong Chik..said the matter (Azan complain froma mosque in Kg Kerinchi) was a non-issue and warned irresponsible parties not to sensationalise it."

Bila lawyer Cina tu minta maaf dalam beberapa baris ayat sahaja....maka wakil mesjid terus "cover" macam perkara ini tak penting lagi....sama lah macam RajaNong Chik...so that the irresponsible parties not to sensationalise it....

Apa ke hal nya???? Si lawyer tu lah bersalah no matter dia keliru atau tidak dia yang punya angkara kenapa pula warning kat orang lain yang sensationalise issue ini ???

Menyampah sungguh bila tengok TV hari tu..orang melayu suka berlebih-lebih maafkan orang lain tapi tidak sebaliknya.....dah berkali-kali....sebab tu lah orang menyampah kat UMNO tapi depa tak reti-reti.....

Sepatutnya Raja Nong dan wakil Mesjid menegaskan bahawa AGAMA RESMI Malaysia ialah AGAMA ISLAM oleh itu Azan, Kuliah Subuh dan sebagainya adalah lumrah di tanah air ini jadi sesiapa yang tidak lahir di sini saja yang mungkin tidak faham tapi MESTI menerima kedaulatan undang-undang dalam Perlembagaan Malaysia yang memperuntukkan bahawa ISLAM ADALAH AGAMA RESMI MALAYSIA.

Kalau tak suka boleh ke Singapura kerana di sana MERCUN pun tak dibenarkan apatah lagi.....lu pikir lah sendiri...nanti kata aku rasis pulak tapi kata pi lah peduli apa aku...Is the lawyer a blatant racist ?

Bar Council, any response ??? or busy with PKR issues???

Galeria Perdana Tun M di Langkawi telah saya lawati bersama keluarga..kot-kot la lain kali Tun nak pamir AL-Quran yang saya hadiah 3 tahun lalu.....melawak saja ya Tun.

By wajaperakAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 1:06 PM

Assallammualaikumwarahmatullahiwarokatuh..

Minta ruang dari Tun.

[[7.I wonder whether the act to protect whistleblowers cover this thing or not]]

Through the eye of secular or Islamic law??

Dalam Islam,umatnya mesti berani menegakkan kebenaran dan bersedia untuk menerima akibatnya di dunia ini.  
Jangan sekali-kali bersikap pengecut yang berani komen dalam blog Tun pakai kepala otak sendiri tapi takut untuk menerima teguran.  
Hayati pepatah Cina ini..

Kalau takut di mamah pedang..  
Jangan bergelar pahlawan!!

Kalau takut kena komen..  
Jangan berani komen dalam blog Tun..

I will censure you with Tun permission of course...:)

Terima kasih Tun.

By ibrahimAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 10:32 AM

God bless Tun and all bloggers,

Truths will remains truths forever, only time will uncover them. To use censorship to deter the truth from being exposed may work in short time...As far as for the whistle blower, upholding truth has never been easy throughout human civilisation. One must be brave, impartial, determined, smart and without 'side interest.'

Tun is always stir up situation and has been imposed censorship all the time. That never stop him from keep commenting on what disturb him.

Guidelines for censorship therefore must be governed properly so that to be abused.

By JoAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 9:47 AM

Censorship in Malaysia is selective. Whenever it supports the agenda of the BN government and its politicians it will surely be enforced. Thats the way it has always been and that is how it will remain.

By eanisazmanAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 9:33 AM

Salam Tun and Bloggers,

In my opinion, I think that to some extent but only limited to good cause, censorship is a must. For example, remarks that is made to create a stir in the society (hatred among religions, hatred among races, national security issues) must be banned to prevent from affecting the stability of the country.

Others include military confidentials, back-biting, slanders, information that is misleading which does not benefit the society at large, etc, should also be controlled. Freedom of speech be it may, I don't think would be useful if its only led to more disaster than before.

All these is to be managed effectively by the Government who run the country. And normally, the good Government is the one who manage to get the people's trust and create more harmony and wealth.

But what if other people who is not the Government is doing such? As mentioned, one effective way in doing so is by using the law. By obatining court order, other people mouth is being shut from talking about it.

Well, in this point of view I would like to refer back to the lesson I learnt in Islam. My teacher once said, it is the principal of Islam where if it is true it is called 'mengumpat' or backbitting. If it false it is called 'fitnah' or slander. Both are not permitted. Therefore, Muslim should not wait for court order before they stop all the backbitting or slander. And this is applied to everybody and not just for the cause of one person only. Some people only practice this for the good of their own only but tend to resort to different approach if it is in their opposite. For example, some people don't like people talking about Anwar's sodomy case but they themselves are talking openly accusing many BN leaders for all sort of allegation without any base or court proceedings.

If we have basis and convinced that there is some degree of truth on our allegation, we can lodge a report and let the law take it from there. Otherwise I call for all to stop the backbitting and slander as it would create more bad than good. By saying this, a whistle blower should not be refrained from lodging a report just because we should not practice backbitting or slander in Islam.

My 2 cents

By SmokerHaven.ComAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 5:58 AM

Dear Dr M,

Articles, books or any write ups that are responsible and not defamatory should not be censored. Provide the facts and let the readers judge for themselves. People are not stupid with many sources to refer.

www.SmokerHaven.com

By DrMNumber1AdmirerAuthor Profile Page on January 18, 2011 12:13 AM

I have been faithfully following your blog and this is the first time I'm writing my comments.

I get very angry and rather emotional when I read all the unfair "attacks" by people who dont know any better. You dont deserve those remarks. Yes, as a human being, you probably made some mistakes along the way during the 22 years but my God, are people blind to see what you have done to this country ?! Never has Malaysia been so prosperous and highly respected. Your foresight and vision for this country was indeed a great blessing and I thank God every single day that we had you leading this country for 22 years. Just look around in so many other countries where they are never stable with no development and growth.

I was in Singapore on Sunday and read the excerpts of Lee Kuan Yew's new book which will hit the bookstores on 21 Jan. I felt very angry reading it and I think he is paranoid about Malaysia and you.

I dont intend to write long but suffice to let you know that you are greatly loved and

admired by the many. Ignore the few ignorant ones who are in denial or whose selfish agenda were not fulfilled.

Thank you my Great Leader and may God Bless you and your family always.

By sadirAuthor Profile Page on January 17, 2011 11:42 PM

A'kom beloved Tun,

you are one of greatest leader ever produce by 1malaysia.

i miss you on the air,censor something we have to do according circumstances.

you rule almost 23years.great censor with peace and stability.

no cir valise human being will question your premiership

good luck beloved tun.

By priss01Author Profile Page on January 17, 2011 11:04 PM

I heard part of protect whistle-blowers is they must not spill the beans to everybody. But you want the gov. to protect whistle-blowers right to tell everybody. there pros and cons.

How about this if the witness is against PR then gov. protect their right to hold press conference and tell the world.

If its against BN , then need to enforce rules witness does not speak to anybody during course of cover up (opss I mean investigation.)

By Rimba EmasAuthor Profile Page on January 17, 2011 10:55 PM

SALAM BUAT TUN BERDUA MOGA DIRAHMATI ALLAH S.W.T

1) SELALUNYA KITA GUNA PENAPIS KALAU KITA HENDAK MENGASINGKAN SESUATU BENDA DARI SATU CAMPURAN. SAMAADA BENDA YANG TELAH TERASING ITU DI AMBIL ATAU DI BUANG ITU ADALAH PERSOALAN KEDUA.

2) JADI SATU BADAN PENAPISAN KALAU KUASANYA UMPAMA SEBAGAI PENAPIS MAKA TANGUNGJAWABNYA HANYALAH MENAPIS UNTUK PERSOALAN PERTAMA SAHAJALAH SEPATUTNYA ...?

3) FUNGSI PENAPIS ADAKAH JUGA SEBAGAI BADAN YANG DAPAT MEMBUANG SESUATU BENDA ATAU BAHAN ?

4) KALAU DI BERI KUASA UNTUK MEMBUANG BERMAKNA BAHAN ASAL AKAN TERUBAH SAMA SEKALI . SEJARAH ADA MENGATAKAN BAHAWA MANUSIA KALAU DI BENARKAN KUASA MENGUBAH KEPADA PERKARA-PERKARA YANG MELANGGARI HUKUM ALAM BOLEH MEMBAWA KEPADA KESESATAN.

5) MALAH PENGUBAH INI ADA YANG MENJADI MUSUH KEPADA KEBENARAN KERANA DIPENGARUHI OLEH KESALAHAN DARI SIFAT KELEMAHAN YANG ADA.

6) KUASA MENAPIS YANG DICIPTA MANUSIA AMAT LEMAH KALAU MELANGGARI PERINTAH DARI KUASA YANG SEJATI (KUASA YANG TIADA PADA MANUSIA) .

7) AMBIL CONTOH CARA TUN SEKARANG YANG TIDAK BEREMOSI KEPADA SATU PENDAPAT FITNAH DENGAN MENGUTARAKAN TOPIK YANG BARU PULA. LAMA KELAMAAN PENKRITIK AKAN MENERIMA PENDAPAT TUN SESEKALI APABILA ADA PERSAMAAN PADA MASA YANG LAIN.

8) CARA INI AMAT BERLAINAN DENGAN SESETENGAH KOMENTAR YANG SUKA KOMEN BALIK ATAS JAWAPAN KOMEN BUKAN MEMBERI KOMEN DARI PEMINTA KOMEN (YANG MEMBAWA ISU). SIFAT EMOSI INI BOLEH JUGA TERBAWA KEPADA URUSAN SAMAN MENYAMAN KERANA SIKAP KRITIK YANG MELAMPAU.

WALLAHU'ALAM

By rahmabiAuthor Profile Page on January 17, 2011 8:30 PM

Our lawmakers might be aware of this loophole if I may call it as such, but no one high enough would want to bother to raise it up. Since Tun has raised it, I hope our lawmakers will take it up from here on. Salam Tun. May you be blessed with good health, insyaAllah.  
By shaharuddin mohd isaAuthor Profile Page on January 17, 2011 6:33 PM

...and Anwar Ibrahim and his cohort lawyers are the major culprits in abusing this law.  
By amin tanAuthor Profile Page on January 17, 2011 4:10 PM

Dear Tun,

I salute you for your astute observation and courage to speak out. This is a very sensitive topic to write. fellow commenters please be forewarned that you might be sued the normal sum of rm100 millions, if you are not careful and explicitly mention names with regards to the ongoing sodomy trial. A big time criminal undergoing a prolong criminal trial can impose censorship on all and sundry from discussing the particular crime by way of sub-judice or threat to sue for defamation.

The long arm of the law just takes too long to conduct the trial. Experienced criminal lawyers know how to delay the legal process until PRU 13 hoping PR can win and if PR wins the general election, the sodomy trial is a foregone conclusion. Political fortune changes hands and hopefully not another sordid trial on Altantuya to be open up. Wallahualam.

I too wonder whether the act to protect whistleblowers cover this thing or not, most likely not. The act to protect whistleblowers mostly aim at whistle blowing on colleagues and friends.

amin tan

By SingaAuthor Profile Page on January 17, 2011 2:18 PM

Salam Tun

My apology for digressing from your topic. In the latest book , Hard Truths to be launched by Straits Times Press Singapore, excerpts from the book were published in the Sunday Times, 16 Jan 2011. The book is based on 16 interviews with Minister Mentor Lee Kuan Yew. Apart from Allahyarham Tunku and the late JB Jeyaretram (former Singapore Opposition MP), your name was mentioned twice in the excerpts which I reproduce below. As for Allahyarham Tunku and late JB Jeya, they have long gone from the surface of this earth and we will not be able to get their opinion/reply from the negative remarks about them. However, since you are still around it would be nice to hear your comments.

Wassalam

Question: Some commentators say that you have created Singapore in your image, including 'always living in fear of a catastrophe'. Why are you so worried that it could all

fail?

I'm concerned that Singaporeans assume that Singapore is a normal country, that we can be compared to Denmark or New Zealand or even Liechtenstein or Luxembourg. We are in a very turbulent region. If we do not have a government and a people that differentiate themselves from the rest of the neighbourhood in a positive way and can defend ourselves, Singapore will cease to exist.

It's not the view of just my generation but also those who have come into Defence, Foreign Affairs ministries and those who have studied the position. Whether it's Ng Eng Hen, who was a surgeon, or Raymond Lim, formerly an academic and a lawyer by training, or Vivian Balakrishnan, an eye surgeon, they all understand now the circumstances that conscribe us. If we ignore those circumstances, we'll go down the drain.

We have not got neighbours who want to help us prosper. When we prospered, they for many years believed we were living off their resources. It was only when they became aware that our economic policy of welcoming foreign investments made the difference that they were sufficiently convinced to also do likewise.

We are an upstart in this region because we survived for so long and I believe we can survive easily another 50 to 100 years given the international environment, provided we have a strong system that enables us to maximise our chances.

Q What do you mean by a 'strong system'? Is it another phrase for the People's Action Party (PAP) continuing to be in power?

Whether it's the PAP or any other government does not interest me. I'm beyond that phase. I'm not out here to justify the PAP or the present government. I want to get across just how profound is this question of leadership and people and the ethical and philosophical beliefs of the leadership and the people.

Q Are we really as vulnerable as you suggest? Critics would say you make things seem so dire that so many things practised elsewhere, including in small countries, such as political competition, will not be available here.

No, we are not preventing competition. What we are preventing is duds getting into Parliament and government. Any person of quality, we welcome him but we don't want duds. We don't want Chee Soon Juan, or J.B. Jeyaretnam. They're not going to build the country. But if any serious man turns up and forms an alternative equal to us, I say, 'Good'. Then we are getting a proper alternative. But look at the candidates they put up.

Now, are we not vulnerable? If we are not vulnerable, why do we spend 5 to 6 per cent of GDP (gross domestic product) year after year on defence? Are we mad? This is a frugal government, you know that well.

We dug a deep tunnel for the sewers at the cost of \$3.65 billion in order to use the sewage water for Newater, to be independent.

We are not vulnerable? They can besiege you. You'll be dead. Your sea lanes are cut off and your business comes to a halt. What is our reply? Security Council, plus defence capabilities of our own, plus the Security Framework Agreement with the Americans.

They stopped sand. Why? To conscribe us. As Mahathir (former Malaysian Prime Minister

Mahathir Mohamad) says, 'Even at their present size they are trouble, you let them grow some more they will be more trouble'. We've got friendly neighbours? Grow up.

Why would we put a strong minister in Defence if it's not important? He's the strongest minister in the Cabinet next to the PM, toughest, most capable. We have always put a strong man there. Do we parade our vulnerabilities? We are living in an adult world. Why do we have peace? Because it is not cost-free if you hit us. If you hit us we will hit you and the damage may be more on your side.

Q But this point about not being a normal country...

Forgive me for saying this: Assuming that I'm just nearly as intelligent as you are, but I've lived more than 85 years and I've been through all these ups and downs and I've spent all my life since the age of 32 figuring out how to make this place work, right?

First, I believed and said the only way it could work was to join Malaya because otherwise we cannot live. Our water, our raw materials, imports, much of the exports come from Malaya. That was at that time. We couldn't get to Malaya because the Tunku didn't want the Chinese population.

We worked around that and we joined Malaysia. Then we found ourselves trapped, from a communist Singapore to a Malay-ultra Malaysia. Has Malaysia changed? How has it changed?

Why did I break down when we got out on the 9th of August? Because I left behind tens of thousands of people who had joined our rallies, and I knew that they were going to be handicapped, again a minority and leaderless. We provided the leadership. So when you tell me we're not vulnerable, I say, 'Oh, God!'

You speak to the SAF (Singapore Armed Forces) commanders. Why do they do this - two years of every young man's life, 4, 5 to 6 per cent of GDP and a frugal government that builds up reserves? We do this because of hallucinations? Or because that's the only way we can be left alone to survive and prosper?

Why do you think we spent all this effort to solve our water problem until we became specialists in water? Mahathir knew we needed Johor water. So when the water agreement was going to end in 2011 for Tebrau and Skudai, we knew we would be short. Then we discovered Newater. He thought we were bluffing. You say we're not vulnerable?

We should not gloss over our worries. They are real problems. And we are what we are because we can stand up for ourselves. If we can't, we've had it. The Security Council passes resolutions. So what? Who goes to Kuwait's rescue? The US. Why? Because of oil. Why? Because next stop would be Saudi Arabia.

Who's coming to our rescue because of water? The US? No. We rescue ourselves. Either the media grows up, especially the young reporters, or we're going to bring up a generation that lives in a dream world of security when none exists...

I had to make this society produce results, then we will become prosperous, then we can have a strong defence, and the world has a place for us. If you believe we're like Norway or Sweden or Denmark, then we won't survive.

Singapore is an 80-storey building on marshy land. We've learnt how to put in stakes and

floats so we can go up for another 20, maybe over a hundred storeys. Provided you understand and ensure that the foundation is strong. Crucial is interracial, interreligious harmony. Without that, quarrelling with one another, we are doomed.  
By Millionaire Academy Author Profile Page on January 17, 2011 2:07 PM

Salam Tun,

Satu kupasan yang amat bernas dan berinformasi. Seperti biasa, Tun dapat melihat sesuatu yang jarang dapat ditafsirkan oleh insan biasa yang menilai dan melihat berdasarkan apa yang tersurat sahaja, bukan tersirat. Keupayaan dan kehebatan inilah yang menjadikan Malaysia memiliki Menara Berkembar Petronas, Proton, Penang Bridge, Sepang F1 dan lain-lain.

Terima kasih Tun!

<http://www.vemmabuilder.com/28336176>  
By donplaypuks Author Profile Page on January 17, 2011 2:02 PM

"Now we are seeing individuals, organisations and governments which have no power to censor also indulging in censoring unfavourable reports about them. This they do by threatening to sue or suing the whistle blowers."

You are as usual confusing the proper workings of a democracy with your own preference not to be sued by people like Anwar Ibrahim.

Our Constitution and laws provide an inalienable right to any citizen to sue another for perceived defamation. The exercise of that right by individuals and organizations must not be confused with censorship and we should leave it to the courts to resolve each case according to its merits. If a complainant exercises his rights frivolously, it is up to the judges to ensure he is punished for abusing due process.

In Malaysia, it is often the Government which practices censorship through ownership, licencing and control of the "Free Press" and unilaterally banning books and magazines. For example, why did you not when PM publish the Government investigation and audit report on Perwaja's \$12 billion losses? Why did the Home Minister ban Zunar's cartoons and the police illegally confiscate his books merely because they are critical of Najib and his wife, and similarly, Kin Quek's 'March to Putrajaya'?

"And if the court case can be prolonged, the censorship, or denial of the freedom of speech of the respondent will be effective in hiding the truth of the matter."

Why don't you light a fire under the posteriors of the Home Minister and Chief Justice to ensure that justice is administered judiciously AND swiftly? Is it not their job for which the Taxpayer has to cough up year after year without decent results?

You make it sound as if M'sia is the most liberal country on earth when it comes to freedom of speech and censorship. What did YOU have in mind when YOU introduced the Printing Press and Publishing Act (PPPA) so that printers, publishers and newspapers can be sued for defamation for articles written by others?

Suddenly, you are anti-censorship and pro-free speech? I smell a big, fat, malodorous dirty rat!

dpp

we are all of 1 Race, the Human Race

By 6 JahanamAuthor Profile Page on January 17, 2011 12:57 PM

News is a tool for the strong to weaken the weak.  
It,s famous among popular politics and government.  
It is a meccanism NOT news that reveals facts n truth.  
Reporters in favor,will not or will publish as instructed,as favored.  
News that always beautiful,often there are lots of lies behind.  
Singapore is a good example of potraying one goodsel, and also  
stripping those that are not in favored.  
They ban AlJazeera,Herald and those not in favored.

They have the CNA,Channel News Asia,to spy on us,  
exposed our weaknesses, our negatives ,talk to rivals,but in their country,its all beautiful  
news about someone in favored.  
So NEWS is also about favoritism,NOT truth,NOT facts.

The reasons I don,t buy news,I prefer to buy crabs in the market,can feed my family.

So NOW BUY CRAB,NOT NEWS.

You,ll filled yr family.

By Khairul-DeanAuthor Profile Page on January 17, 2011 12:54 PM

Dear Ayahanda Tun Dr Mahathir Mohamad,  
Salaams

It will take true guts to speak out the truth as you believe it to be - with hard evidence -  
and know the consequences of your action. You could be killed. The verdict is still open  
about the SPRM. Did the suspect jump out of the 12th floor of his own free will?

No Peace Without Justice about says it all. Actually, it is from the movie The edge Of  
Darkness: a cop Craven against Bennett of Northmoor.

I would not know what honor has to do with whistleblowing, Ayahanda. They say US politics  
is very complex and so I do believe is the Malaysian politics. Only they had the Tucson  
shooting incident.

But, you are talking are about libel. I do hope rage and revenge will not cause havoc when  
justice is denied.

**Source :** <http://chedet.co.cc/chedetblog/2011/01/censorship.html>