

SUING IN COURT

Chede.co.cc

January 11, 2012

By Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad

1. Malaysian politics of today is frequently punctuated with court actions. In particular there are now a lot of litigation cases. And the amounts sued for invariably run into millions of Ringgit.

2. Despite the efforts of the learned judges there are still huge backlogs of cases of all kinds, civil and criminal, which probably will never be heard, much less adjudged. This is because the hearings take such a long time that the parties to the cases would have forgotten the facts or they would have died.

3. And, as they say, "justice delayed is justice denied".

4. The litigations by politicians may be justified. But politicians must accept that as politicians they would be bad-mouthed by their opponents. It is up to them to counter the allegations made. They should not always be suing in court.

5. For Muslims they should be willing to swear properly that there is no truth to the allegations.

6. Often the litigation is intended to shut the mouths of their opponents. In Malaysia when a case is being heard in a court, it becomes sub-judice and comments outside can constitute "contempt of court". By suing the matter becomes sub-judice and the opponents' mouths would be shut. Through repeated appeals the case can be prolonged and the defendants' mouths would remain shut to the advantage of the litigant for years.

7. For the person sued, much money would have to be spent on lawyers. For years he would be assailed with anxiety that he might be found guilty and if he is unable to pay he may be bankrupted.

8. Yet when the defendant wins, the litigant may need to pay cost only, which the court will fix. Often the amount would be a minute fraction of the amount he is sued for.

9. Because the cost to the litigant is so very little, the tendency is to sue for millions. This is

grossly unfair to the defendants. If the law is intended to promote justice then the litigant should also suffer from the same anxieties for the length of time of the hearing and if his allegation is baseless he should pay his victim the same amount he sued for.

10. Then there would be justice and frivolous cases would not be brought before the courts. The judges would then have time for their other cases.

11. Incidentally I was sued for 100 million Ringgit. When after almost five years I won, I was awarded cost at 70 thousand Ringgit, which of course go to my lawyers.

37 Comments

1.

Praxis's Gravatar Praxis

January 17, 2012 at 1:06 pm | [Permalink](#)

Point 9, paying for the distress caused to the defendant, is a more robust way of acting as a disincentive to those who bring about such suits than my earlier suggestion that they pay for the cost of government time and expense.

Govt costs can become expensive if we benchmark pay against private sector, and if the private sector is dominated by the Govt, reinforcing each other's fictions. China seems to have kept the two distinct, allowing anomalies in each sector to subsist and resolve themselves over time (decades if not generations).

2.

HBT456's Gravatar HBT456

January 16, 2012 at 10:52 pm | [Permalink](#)

Good evening YAB Tun,

1. It looks like AI's RM1.00 economic consultant fee for the game of beggar thy BN turns out to be

meludah ke langit jatuh ke muka.

2. The more they talked and yelled, the more they are perceived as TAKUT.

Goodnight, YAB Tun and Allah Bless, Malaysia.

3.

Bothman's Gravatar Bothman

January 16, 2012 at 2:08 pm | Permalink

Askm Tun semoga Allah SWT memberi nikmat kesihatan & kesejahteraan.

We have to accept the fact that there is no real justice in this world. Men are not perfect so are the laws they designed. Based on the current system, POWER is usually right. If you have money you can engage a good lawyer who have skill to create doubt/s in the case and the case will be dismissed. As the result, one can get away with even murder.

But for the believers (BERIMAN) nobody will be able to escape of their crime during the Judgement Day.

I am not a lawyer neither have good knowledge in laws, but I think Syaiful has a better chance to bring the case to a civil court. By this way, the case cannot be greatly politised by DSAI and even an out settlement can possibly be negotiated.

Unfortunately this is how the justice is executed in the present world system and the best there is.

May Allah SWT bless & protect us all.

4.

carlosptaseh's Gravatar carlosptaseh

January 15, 2012 at 8:01 pm | Permalink

Yang Berbahagia Tun

DSAI dakwa beliau dibebaskan oleh mahkamah atas desakan kuasa-kuasa asing yang sudah tentunya AS. Ini adalah pengakuan spontan yang menggambarkan beliau adalah proksi AS yang selama ini dinafikan oleh nya walaupun diketahui umum beliau memang.

YB Karpal Singh cuba meredakan keadaan dengan mengatakan mahkamah bertindak atas keraguan munasabah yang beliau usahakan bersama barisan pembela.

Saya tidak meragukan tindakan mahkamah melepaskan DSAI kerana mahkamah bebas membuat keputusan tanpa tekanan dari pihak dalaman mahupun luaran.

Saya hanya ingin menyentuh tentang tiada tindakan kepada pencetus-pencetus provokasi terhadap kerajaan mahupun suara majoriti rakyat keseluruhannya seperti Namewee dengan Negarakuku, Ambiga dengan Bersihnya, En Mat Sabu dengan kenyataan polis adalah talibarut British dan terbaru Perhimpunan 901 dimana beribu laporan polis telah di buat oleh rakyat termasuk dan NGO.

Tiada tindakan terhadap isu-isu berkaitan seolah menggambarkan pihak berkuasa memang ditekan oleh pihak asing atau cuba mendapatkan pujian dari media-media asing yang memangnya proksi kuasa besar AS. Tindakan hanya akan dapat liputan buruk media antarabangsa.

Pembatalan pembinaan jambatan bengkok juga mungkin akibat tekanan pihak luar tidak termasuk Singapura yang sememang tidak bersetuju. Permintaan agar pembinaan jambatan tersebut diteruskan oleh kebawah DYMM Sultan Johor pun masih belum dipertimbangkan hingga kehari ini.

Memang kerajaan sekarang tiada majoriti 2/3 untuk lebih berkesan dan “affirmative” namun tiada tindakan atau kenyataan yang meyakinkan rakyat dari pimpinan kerajaan, Umno dan Barisan akan menghakis keyakinan majoriti senyap atas pagar.

Pimpinan Umno masih belum berubah, semasa PA Umno hanya calon boleh menang akan diketengahkan namun tiada seorang pun Menteri dan Ketua Bahagian yang telah lama menjawat jawatan dengan secara sukarela membuat kenyataan media memberi laluan kepada calon muda dan berkemampuan. Malah telah ada ugutan untuk menyertai pembangkang sekiranya tidak dicalonkan. Mereka inilah yang dikatakan oleh En Mat Sabu orang dalaman BN yang akan menyokong pembangkang.

Sekiranya tiada tindakan drastik yang menampakan perubahan, undi protes akan berlaku lagi tetapi bukan kepada pembangkang sebab mereka menyesal melakukannya pada PRU 12 tetapi dikhuatiri peratus

undi rosak akan meningkat atau peratus keluar mengundi akan merosot.

Salam hormat untuk Yang Berbahagia Tun dan semua yang mengikuti ruangan ini....

5.

Botak's Gravatar Botak

January 15, 2012 at 4:13 pm | Permalink

Arab Spring

Malay Spring

The Americans, Western now using the Arabs to go against the Arab

Here the DAP, is using the Malay against the Malay

In vote of their rights, they must know what could be the end.

These leaders or should I say the Batu Ronson

The Instigator are They genuinely helping these voice

Or They are taking a ride on these voters as such they will benefit from the chaos, for the damages done.

Pada orang Melayu Malaysia

Janganlah anda dipergunakan oleh Bangsa Asing

Seperti orang Arab yg otak macam Spring

Sedarlah akibatnya akan merugikan anak cucu kamu sendiri

Ada kah Batu Ronson ini akan perjuangkan hak kamu

Seikhlasnya Atau Ada Udang Sebalik Mee Mamak

6.

sudin's Gravatar sudin

January 15, 2012 at 3:58 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun.

A good and responsible politician, or even a reasonably qualified one, should be able to explain and counter any bad-mouthing by his opponent or by any party/individual that show dislike towards him. It reflects his maturity and also his capability when handling situations.

This Anwar maniac neither has political maturity nor capability.

One of the two “anugerah Tuhan” that are clearly bestowed upon him, that is his ability as a commendable orator (the other being raja seks), he uses it to the utmost by ensuring that there is no competition for that elusive title of ‘king liar’. He has proven his worthiness and shrewdness over a long period in ensuring the title of ‘raja bohong’ is his alone for the taking!

By looking at his plentiful and very taksub “I can fool them all of the time” supporters which included many Chinese & Indians, he certainly has proved to everyone and to himself as a most deserving winner!!

Anwar maniac can do any kelentong/goreng on anything under the sun, and his taksub supporters will spread them in record time to all corners of Malaysia! Morons!!

How can Malaysia achieve the ‘developed nation status’ when her population comprise of so many morons!

How can Malaysia become an Islamic country when the so called self-proclaimed tok guru (?) N.Ajis sacked Dr Hassan Ali for reason being “perangai tak senonoh” while he himself “peluk erat2” Anwar the sex maniac & that notorious ikan yu, the undisputed no. 1 enemy of the Malay & Islam!!

If this Anwar is ever to be the PM, and looking at his very renowned weaponry of court suing & counter-sue statistics, the bankruptcy game is on!!

Ikan yu won’t be lonely anymore when having great fun playing the bankruptcy game!

No wonder that the BAR council is most supportive of Anwar, come what may! This cheewee, ambiga & gang are already looking for survival cum big opportunity in anticipating Anwar is the future.

They never bother to realise that they represent the co-keepers and watchDOG of the law. They also don’t care the existence of article 153 that forms part of the law of Malaysia! They have the cheek to call themselves LAWYERS!!

There’s no reason why anyone should question the facts that lawyers are the most corrupted professionals in Malaysia.

BAR Council, he he he!

Cheewee, ambiga he he he!

7.

Nikmat's Gravatar Nikmat

January 15, 2012 at 10:32 am | Permalink

Salam

Tuan Guru Dr Mahathir Yg DiRahmati ALLAH SWT.

1. Subhanallah

2. Alhamdulillah

3. AllahuAkbar

4. Otak yang ada dalam kepala TGDrM mempunyai 'akal yang terlalu amat hebat dan istimewa, tentunya diberkati oleh ALLAH SWT kerana seluruh rakyat di Msia mendapat NIKMAT hasil usaha TGDrM.

Terima kasih TGDrM

8.

Idea's Gravatar Idea

January 15, 2012 at 7:35 am | Permalink

Dear Tun,

May you always be in good health.

DSAI's Case

1. Today we are relying on DNA evidences. That is the knowledge we have now. We are so certain on what we know that we tend to set aside the religious requirement on four witnesses.

2. We need to remind ourselves that God knows best. And He is the best judge. Therefore, whenever in doubt, it is best not to punish the accused.

3. God can forgive whoever He wants to forgive. Let us not be quick in punishing people for what we do may actually be wrong.

Please correct me if I am wrong.

May Allah bless us all.

9.

HBT456's Gravatar HBT456

January 14, 2012 at 5:34 pm | Permalink

YAB Tun,

Homeless Boy Steals The Talent Show in South Korea, touching and amazing, and this is our children and grand children future via internet.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tZ46Ot4_ILo&feature=related

That's all for today, YAB.

10.

HBT456's Gravatar HBT456

January 14, 2012 at 4:46 pm | Permalink

Good afternoon YAB,

1. An evergreen song that I almost forgotten played USM boy just now with our home piano which I would like to invite YAB to listen the lyrics; sang by Simon & Garfunkel.

2. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BvsX03LOMhI&feature=related>

3. Enjoy the song which may bring YAB Tun closer to the Vision 2020 that DS Dr Mahathir bin Mohamad has planted onto Malaysia via My Way sang by Frank Sinatra after 1997 by LOOK EAST POLICY.

4. Anwar Ibrahim can forgive his enemies, but I will never forgive and forget what and how Anwar bin Ibrahim has done to those genuine bold investors that have walked with DS Mahathir Mohamad with sweat, blood and tears, khususnya, the Bakun Dam.

5. If PM Najib and his Cabinet does not turn Bakun Dam into cash cow project, president UMNO as PM will end with Najib Tun Razak.

6. Therefore, Sarawak will not be UMNO's FD because Mat Taib's age is catching up with him too.

7. What Ambiga wants to do, it's the Indian Community and MIC issues and problems of her and Maria Abdullah Chin, not me as Huaren because I am more comfortable with Buddhism teachings, more than Catholics, because my profession is not lawyer.

Good day, YAB Tun.

11.

samuraimelayu@gmail.com's Gravatar samuraimelayu@gmail.com

January 14, 2012 at 4:38 pm | Permalink

SALAM KASIH DAN SAYANG

AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN

Izinkan,

ROZALI BIN AYED berkata antara lain,

...

Pada pilihanraya Umum ke 13 nanti kita harus bijak memilih diantara kaca dan intan, demi

kelangsungan kesejahteraan agama Islam, Raja Berpelembagaan, bangsa Malaysia dan kemajuan negara yang telah sedia sejahtera dan maju.

Salam kasih sayang Sdr Rozali,

Selama 11 PRU rakyat negara tercinta ini telah memilih berlian daripada intan kini setelah ayahanda Tun bersara tinggal hanya kaca dan intan jadi pilihan.. tepat sekali pandangan sdr.

tapi kemana lesapnya berlian2 dinegara ini?

Tak kan kesemuanya dibawah gengaman FLOM... mungkin apa yang dikatakan oleh Sdr. Ahmad Badri ada kebenaran nya..

ALFATIHAH, AMIN

Ps, Permata ku, isteriku..ehmm isteri2ku

12.

Hajar's Gravatar Hajar

January 14, 2012 at 3:34 pm | Permalink

Salam YAB & diKasihi Tun,

Saya setuju dengan saranan Tun. Mereka yang menyaman orang lain dengan amaun yang tidak munasabah mesti MEMBAYAR DENDA yang sama banyak dengan jumlah saman jika kalah, malahan kena bayar lebih lagi untuk menampung KOS GUAMAN dan TEKANAN/KESENGSARAAN dari segi mental/fizikal yang dihadapi orang yang disaman.

Ini belum termasuk jumlah masa dan tenaga mahkamah dan pihak di saman yang banyak terbuang. Lagipun niat untuk menutup mulut pihak lawan sudah pun tercapai & ini juga mesti diambil kira dalam pengiraan denda/kos yang patut dikenakan.

Dalam kes Tun, kalau setakat RM70,000 saja denda yang dikenakan terhadap Anwar Ibrahim, memang TIDAK ADIL.

Terima kasih Tun.

*** Semoga Allah SWT melindungi Tun sekeluarga. ***

13.

Tuntuah's Gravatar Tuntuah

January 14, 2012 at 1:10 pm | [Permalink](#)

kill the lawyers as you said. Tun. you r true you know.

bar council is ngo or political party these days?

if you dare, be a politikal party.

dont just throw the stone n sorokkan tangan.

no wonder there's joke of kill the lawyers.

malaysian bar council no longer be trusted. its one sided. really bad

please terminate the bar council president or it is true that we should kill all lawyers.

malaysia bar council now is really like anjing kurap.

what a shame.

14.

Bubbles's Gravatar Bubbles

January 14, 2012 at 11:31 am | [Permalink](#)

Salam Tun,

1. Tidak ada kah yang terfikir bahwa keputusan hakim untuk melepaskan Anwar Ibrahim mungkin disebabkan hakim sendiri mendapat ugutan dan tekanan dari rakan rakan Anwar Ibrahim diluar negara yang kita tahu bukan calang calang orang tapi dari kalangan kuasa kuasa 'besar' dunia dan bukan kerajaan yang mendapat tekanan.

Walau apa pun sebagai orang Islam, kita harus yakin bahwa tidak ada siapa yang dapat menahan qadaq dan qadar Allah. Manusia merancang tapi Allah yang menentukan. Kita juga harus yakin bahwa setiap ketentuan Allah itu ada hikmah dibaliknya.

Pada Saiful dan keluarga, jangan lah berputus asa, sama samalah kita teruskan solat hajat agar Allah turunkan bala keatas syaitan yang bertopengkan manusia ini and all the so- called Muslims who support his evil ways.

15.

milshah's Gravatar milshah

January 14, 2012 at 1:42 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Sudilah kiranaya saya menjawab Waja Perak.

Salam Waja Perak,

Janganlah nak kecewa sebab tak ada apa pun nak dikecewakan. Komen saya merupakan satu sindiran dan bukanlah pujian pun. Saya harap dengan penulisan saya kali ini dalam Bahasa Melayu, bahasa bangsa kita, Waja Perak dapat memahami penerangan saya.

Salam perjuangan,

Terima Kasih

16.

sikenit's Gravatar sikenit

January 13, 2012 at 8:50 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun dan pembaca budiman semua.

1. DSAI bebas, dan hanya ALLAH SWT saja yang benar-benar tahu, selain tertuduh dan yang menuduh, apa yang telah berlaku.

2. Bagi kita rakyat Malaysia, kita juga tahu beberapa perkara.

3. Apa yang kita tahu?

a. Kebohongan 16 SEPT 2008. Satu dunia tahui, sebenarnya....

b. Kebohongan bahawa Jam OMEGA ada pada Wan Azizah, DSAI kata dengan penuh spontan di depan kamera: Jam saya ada dengan Kak Wan. Mana jam tu sebenarnya?????? Tunjuklaaaa....

c. Kebohongan bahawa ada orang nak bunuh DSAI. Tak mati-mati pun..... konon ada snipers nak tembak sampai lari pi Kedutaan Turki, konon kereta Mercedes kena sabotaj brek, konon separuh mati kena sembur gas masa BERSIH, banyak lah kes-kes dia ni nak kena bunuh. Ish... lemai sungguh yang sorang ni.

4. Yang di atas hanyalah 3 daripada apa yang kita semua tahu tentang DSAI ni. Bukan asing kepada kita semua.

5. Itu belum termasuk panjajaan cerita busuk tentang Malaysia di luar negara. Kain batik kita, badan kehakiman kita, hutang negara kita, pertahanan kita, Polis kita..

6. Kalau anda masih suka orang yang sebegini untuk jadi pemimpin anda, yang begitu kuat berbohong dan begitu benci negara sendiri, tak tahulah saya.. apa saya nak kata tentang anda.

Semoga ALLAH SWT bantu kita semua yang benar-benar sayang negara Malaysia. Jaga diri, jaga solat semua. Jangan bimbang dengan kejadian terkini. Hanya pada ALLAH SWT kita bertawakkal. Dan ALLAH SWT akan memberi bantuanNya kepada yang benar-benar ikhlas keranaNya.

sikenit

yang sangat sayangkan agama dan negara.

17.

AHMAD BADRI's Gravatar AHMAD BADRI

January 13, 2012 at 6:23 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun,

Apparently technicalities decide the final judgment. Students of law now learn how to focus on technicalities, on any little thing that can be a source of doubt. That apparently is how the laws of justice seem to work. So lawyers emphasise on doubts – was it his semen? How was the DNA analyses conducted? Was the DNA analyst qualified? Was he/she in the sound of mind when doing the analyses? Was the DNA sample contaminated? One slight doubt then the defendant is not guilty.

And so the Banting murder case may take similar recourse.

The 9th. January 2012 judgement should be included into the curriculum at law schools in this country, as a case most unlikely to happen again to any one else in our life time, probably in the whole democratic world, assuming the so-called foreign pressure on the Malaysian Government is joke.

And as for lawyers, it is very difficult to find one who is not after the money. Is there any lawyers left who fights for real justice to the victim? Is any there any one left in this country who fights for the little man who is a nobody? It seems to me might is right. You got lots of money, connections to international media, you can move crowds, you can create a scenario of being victimised and a victim of conspiracy, you can win the case.

As for anyone in the position of DSAI, there are a few other things that can be done to boost the image:

1. Get sick, or pretend to be sick, get hospitalized in a foreign country, and accuse someone (opponent of yours) of attempted poisoning, or give that impression.
2. Get into an accident, or create one, and claim attempted accidental death.
3. Run away into the Embassy of a country you are friendly with. This gives the impression someone is coming to get you. It works.
4. Get into another sodomy case, and claim conspiracy, it seems to work favourably.

All the above can work, I strongly believe, for as long as the lady keeps buying another ten or twenty million dollar diamond ring, bracelets, shoes and handbags, for as long as the national economy is sick, and as long as the UMNO fellows continue playing golf 24/7 and enjoying themselves and don't come down to earth.

18.

ROZALI BIN AYED's Gravatar ROZALI BIN AYED

January 13, 2012 at 5:10 pm | [Permalink](#)

Salam hormat Tun,

Pada pendapat sebahagian penyokong-penyokong tegar DSAI seperti yang disiarkan melalui akhbar harian, isu liwat beliau telahpun selesai dan satu kemenangan bagi Pakatan Rakyat.

Kita menghormati keputusan hakim mahkamah terhadap kes liwat DSAI kerana tidak cukup bukti selain dari DNA yang diragui mungkin telah tercemar. Jika tidak silap pemapahaman saya mahkamah tidak menyatakan bahawa perlakuan liwat itu tidak berlaku, tetapi keputusan dibuat berasaskan kepada masalah teknikal sahaja (tak cukup bukti nak sabitkan kesalahan). Mahkamah sudah tentu perlukan bukti tambahan yang boleh menyokong bukti DNA.

Institusi perundangan perlulah mengambil kira dengan mengubahsuai kepada keperluan ujian DNA diwajibkan kepada

seseorang yang dituduh melakukan sesuatu kesalahan jenayah

termasuk kes rogol dan liwat. Disamping itu saksi dan yang dituduh diwajibkan mengangkat sumpah terlebih dahulu sebelum membuat sebarang kenyataan di mahkamah. Jika kedua-dua perkara tersebut diatas (DNA dan angkat sumpah) tidak diwajibkan semasa prosiding di mahkamah, kemungkinan ada pihak-pihak tertentu mengambil kesempatan berbuat demikian (enggan beri DNA dan bersumpah), dan ini telahpun berlaku. Dimasa-masa akan datang sudah barang tentu ada pihak-pihak yang didakwa di mahkamah atas sebarang kesalahan akan mengikut rentak yang sama enggan beri DNA dan bersumpah apabila diperlukan, dan ini akan menjadi trend baru dan boleh menyulitkan keputusan di mahkamah. Walau bagaimanapun terserahlah kepada institusi perundangan negara yang lebih bijak dan arif mengambil pertimbangan terhadap isu kewajipan DNA dan bersumpah di mahkamah.

Isu Majlis Peguam menyarankan supaya Peguam Negara tidak membuat rayuan terhadap keputusan mahkamah kes liwat DSAI juga menjadi tanda-tanya, apakah motif sebenarnya? Mohd Saiful Bukhari Azlan tidak sepatutnya disekat oleh mana-mana pihak dari meneruskan usahanya menuntut keadilan bagi dirinya mengikut peruntukan undang-undang yang diamalkan di Malaysia. Jika DSAI didapati bersalah sekalipun sudah barang tentu barisan peguambelanya akan mengemukakan rayuan diperingkat mahkamah yang lebih tinggi bersesuaian dengan undang-undang sedia ada. Setiap individu berhak mendapatkan keadilan tanpa mengambil kira kedudukan sosial seseorang samaada rakyat biasa, orang kenamaan, orang berkedudukan tinggi, pemimpin atau ahli politik tertentu.

Mohd Saiful Bukhari Azlan amat perlu diberi bantuan oleh barisan pendakwaan yang akan mengemukakan rayuan di peringkat mahkamah yang lebih tinggi. Sebagai rakyat biasa Mohd Saiful Bukhari Azlan juga mungkin perlu dibantu dari segi kekurangan-kekurangan tertentu oleh individu atau organisasi tertentu yang mahu melihat keadilan dapat ditegakkan sepenuhnya dan sejelas-jelasnya.

YB Karpal Singh ketua barisan peguambela dalam kes liwat DSAI mungkin sedikit terkilan kerana tidak menerima pengiktirafan secara jelas oleh DSAI, sebaliknya DSAI membuat kenyataan mahkamah membuat keputusan melepas dan membebaskan kes liwatnya oleh kerana menerima tekanan dari luar

negara dan takut imej negara Malaysia akan terjejas. Kenyataan DSAI itu telah disangkal oleh YB Karpal Singh, jerit perih YB Karpal Singh dan barisan peguam yang lain seperti tidak dihargai sepenuhnya.

Pilihanraya Umum ke 13 akan diadakan mungkin tidak beberapa lagi sebelum tamat tempoh pada bulan April tahun 2013. UMNO dan Barisan Nasional diharap tidak terjejas oleh isu keputusan mahkamah melepas dan membebaskan DSAI dari tuduhan kes liwatnya. Dari awal lagi kes liwat DSAI bukan kes politik, tetapi merupakan kes peribadi. Cuma DSAI dari awal kes liwatnya telahpun mempolitikkannya dan mengheret rakyat untuk menyokongnya, termasuk membawa kes dijalanan. Ambil contoh terbaik kes rasuah YB Dr. Khir Toyo bekas Menteri Besar Selangor dan lain-lain pemimpin yang pernah menghadapi tuduhan di mahkamah tidak menjadikan isu peribadi mereka dipolitikkan dan tidak mengheret rakyat dan penyokong mereka sampai berdemonstrasi di jalan raya. Kita perlukan pemimpin samada kerajaan atau pembangkang yang benar-benar berintegriti tinggi, lebih-lebih lagi pemimpin beragama Islam yang sudah ada tersedia garis panduan mengikut syariat Islam dan Nabi Muhammad s.a.w sebagai contoh utama yang perlu diikuti. Pas yang melabelkan parti mereka sebagai Islam dan lebih Islam dari parti Melayu lain khususnya UMNO seharusnya berkelakuan sebenar seperti pemimpin Islam, bukan seperti contoh-contoh yang ditunjukkan oleh kalangan pemimpin Pas pada hari ini yang kelihatan jauh menyimpang dari sifat-sifat kepimpinan Islam sebenar.

Pada pilihanraya Umum ke 13 nanti kita harus bijak memilih diantara kaca dan intan, demi kelangsungan kesejahteraan agama Islam, Raja Berpelembagaan, bangsa Malaysia dan kemajuan negara yang telah sedia sejahtera dan maju.

Sekian, terima kasih Y.Bhg Tun.

19.

mubarakchan's Gravatar mubarakchan

January 13, 2012 at 2:41 pm | Permalink

For Khairul-Dean

My narrative was a factual account of my own experience with my family Trust through the Courts of Law in Kuala Lumpur. In fact, I left out much more about the events like my own lawyer asked me to drop the Suit a few minutes before the Court of Appeal heard my Appeal which I won. I told him that I waited a life-time for this.

If Kuala Lumpur is to be a heavy-weight international financial centre which deals with a myriad of trusts and trustees every day, it pays to be serious when we handle the monies of the international community when claims and counter-claims are made ever so often. These world citizens cannot wait 9 years. Maybe, Malaysia should look into setting-up a Court to handle this specialised part of the laws.

For your information, the Government appointed me as a Trustee to the Board of the Employees Provident Fund (EPF) when I was 32 years old. I have always commented in the interest of the Nation and its citizens, never for myself a whole lifetime !

20.

Botak's Gravatar Botak

January 13, 2012 at 2:19 pm | Permalink

I STRONGLY SUPPORT THE STAND OF AMIN TAN
THE DISAPPROVAL OF TIEN CHUA OF HOLDING IILEGAL
ASSEMBLY

Street provocations, disrespectful verbal abuse and bad mouthing of our former Prime Minister, our beloved Ayahanda Tun Dr Mahathir and our sitting Prme Minister of the day. He should have been arrested and charge in court,for defamation.

IT REFLECTS UMNO RULING AS WEAK
UMNO MUST STAND UPRIGHT

DARE TO BE MEAN TO MAKE GOOD

UMNO IS THE RULING PARTY
FAILED TO BE IN CONTROL OF THEIR RULING POWER.
FAIL TO CONTROL FROM WITHIN UMNO ITSELF

THUS FOLLOWED BY INSTRUCTIONS TO THE GOVERNMENT WITHIN THE GOVT
BODY ITSELF THERE IS A LOTS OF WEAKNESS THAT ARE UNABLE TO DELIVER ITS
GOALS.

UMNO NEEDS A LOT OF BRAIN STORMING
PUT THEIR ACTIONS INTO TASKS TO DELIVER THEIR GOALS

THEY GOT TO BE MEAN TO BE GOOD.

NEVERTHELSS FOR A BIG COUNTRY

IT,S NEVER EASY TO FORM A GOVT IN CONTROL

I,M NOT IMPRESSED OF THE SINGAPORE GOVT ABILITIES

THEY LIKE TO POTRAY US BETWEEN THEM

FACTS IS

WE CANNOT COMPARE AN APPLE WITH A PEAR.

COMPARISON IS

AN APPLE WITH AN APPLE

A PEAR WITN A PEAR

MALAYSIA AS COMPARED TO THAILAND,PHILLIPINES,INDONESIA

I STAND WE ARE IN BETTER SHOES

SINGAPORE AS COMPARED TO BRUNEI

A SMLL POPULATIONS BUT MUCH BEING CARED BY THE SULTANS,IRRESPECT OF SINGAPORE,THE GOVT CARELESS THE WELL BEING OF THE PEOPLE RIGHTS

SINGAPOREAN ARE EDUCATED BUT LIVES LIKE

AN HONORABLE FOOLS

THEY

LIVES TO WORK

NOT

WORK TO LIVE

21.

Khairul-Dean's Gravatar Khairul-Dean

January 13, 2012 at 12:08 pm | [Permalink](#)

mubarakchan,

salam hormat

So what was the point or moral in mubarakchan's short story?

Things like these happens everywhere. In will have happened even in Singapore: cases being dragged on and on.

Thus, his comment if Kuala Lumpur aspires to be an international financial centre, the Malaysian Judiciary has to be up to the mark for the sake of the Nation and its wherewithal is way off the mark. Which country is up to the mark? USA? UK? Germany? Japan? China? Russia? France? India? In all cases, commercial and crime?

One man's meat another man's poison, is not really a consolation is it? What was the satisfaction did he derived but must commensurate with with his right niat and course of action: he was right and it was proven the Trust lost money.

Now, was it a good Trust that he was working for?

Sekian

22.

wajaperak's Gravatar wajaperak

January 13, 2012 at 9:25 am | Permalink

Assallammualaikumwarahmatullahiwabarokatuh..

Tun..moga sudi mengizinkan ruang..

Saya kecewa dengan anda milshah..

Pada pendapat saya..

Pada pendapat saya..

In my simple and humble opinion..

Anwar must not be congratulated on whatever reason's..

That sir is my opinion..

But we can differ..may differ..should differ..

But if you scrutinized the Islamic achievement of Tun,you must congratulates Tun and not Anwar..

If the people have to read between the line like your's who could have double meaning, I am afraid that exactly what happened on 9th January 2012...

Who should we congratulates about the bomb??

Terima kasih Tun..

23.

amin tan's Gravatar amin tan

January 13, 2012 at 9:01 am | Permalink

Dear Tun,

I watch video on Tien Chua making speeches at the car park near the High Court where Anwar Ibrahim was waiting to hear the verdict on 9 Jan. Clearly he was the center of attraction. His starting speech was “yang paling jahat ialah Mahathir, Datuk Seri Najib...

He was cheered on by the unruly crowd and he was holding a hailer when he spoke. He was seen to be surrounded by fierce looking crew cut big size Malay body guards numbering 3 or 4 persons or may be more. He spoke in very good Malay without any Chinese accent. He is the current vice president of Keadilan(PKR). This video is easily available in the internet by google search “video on Tien Chua”. The opposition calls it ‘peaceful’ demonstration.

Why should the home ministry or the police allow such street provocations, disrespectful verbal abuse and bad mouthing of our former Prime Minister, our beloved Ayahanda Tun Dr Mahathir and our sitting Prme Minister of the day. He should have been arrested and charge in court for sedition or encouraging the people to hate our leaders who have been legally elected by popular vote.

But, I sense the government does not want confrontation and try to avoid any controversy at all costs. So, the opposition will be emboldened and encouraged to continue to abuse the government leadership without any basis whatsoever.

amin tan

24.

mgpunya's Gravatar mgpunya

January 12, 2012 at 12:08 pm | [Permalink](#)

YABhg Tun,

” Appeal against acquittal of Anwar, pleas Saiful’s father “,

reads today’s local paper....

What say you.....Bar Council????

25.

PAShit's Gravatar PAShit

January 12, 2012 at 11:26 am | [Permalink](#)

Salam Tun,

Wow this reminds me of someone haha....responsible for a record number of adjournments. Anyway congratulations to his lead defense counsel who once called him ‘peliwat’how ironic!

The lengths some people will go to for the sake of politics. Once again congratulations to the **DOUBLE HEADED SNAKE OF JELUTONG!**

PAShit

26.

amin tan's Gravatar amin tan

January 12, 2012 at 5:57 am | [Permalink](#)

Dear Tun,

May I quote from today's Asian Wall Street Journal

“By JOHN R. MALOTT

The not guilty verdict handed down last Monday in the sodomy trial of Malaysian opposition leader Anwar Ibrahim made both sides, Prime Minister Najib Razak and the opposition, happy. Mr. Najib gets an immediate political boost by claiming a victory for the rule of law and an independent judiciary, while Mr. Anwar can focus on the election ahead. This good feeling, however, is likely to be short-lived. Serious challenges lie ahead.

The first test of the post-verdict era will be whether the government chooses to appeal the acquittal. Defense experts successfully demolished the government's DNA evidence, but the judge said during the trial that Mr. Anwar's accuser was credible and reliable. ”

Saiful was credible and reliable.

Saiful was credible and reliable.

The prosecution has 14 days to appeal the acquittal starting from 9 Jan. The show is not over just yet. It is just a break for the Chinese New Year on 23 Jan.

amin tan

27.

Khairul-Dean's Gravatar Khairul-Dean

January 12, 2012 at 3:25 am | Permalink

Dear Dr. Mahathir Mohamad

Did I Read It Right, Hear It Right?

(chapter three and four)

Najib berkata, kes ini dibawa oleh seorang individu persendirian dan adalah penting tuduhan beliau itu didengar di mahkamah.

“Jauh daripada menjadi satu perbincangan bermotifkan politik, ia telah menjadi satu gangguan yang tidak dialu-alukan daripada hal yang lebih penting untuk mentadbir negara kita demi kepentingan rakyat Malaysia,” katanya.

<http://lydiausm72.blogspot.com/2012/01/sistem-kehakiman-malaysia-yang-terbaik.html#comment-form>

One did asked, Dr. Mahathir.

And one wants own strong foundation, Dr. Mahathir – is that wrong? When the way one sees them: Chapter Three and Four are very instructive; Chapter Six is about earning things the halal-toyibban way.

You are such a wonderful Insan, Dr. Mahathir Mohamad.

YES.

Sekian

28.

A T Mutalib's Gravatar A T Mutalib

January 12, 2012 at 2:59 am | Permalink

Our dear Tun

I am not a litigant nor a defendant but I am what you may call as one of the silent majority.

We do not condone street demos but we do act behind the scenes to make things work and run smoothly.

A lot of things can be said of our legal and judicial systems but I'll summarize it all to just say that our justice system is not balanced.

How does one account for the victim being victimized and the perpetrator glamorized by his supporters and certain foreign parties ?

How does one account for a case to be prolonged unnecessarily at tax payers' expense ?

How does one account where a case, sodomy, khalwat or rape, which involved only the victim and the perpetrator, was dismissed due to no other [qualified] witnesses ?

Like in the 22 years with Tun, our executive branch must continue to be firm and strong to lead our country for our future.

Best wishes Tun

From ALPHA TANGO

29.

tasanamialus's Gravatar tasanamialus

January 12, 2012 at 2:13 am | Permalink

Dr Mahathir yang ku kagumi.....

Tanggal 16 Julai 1981,

Pada hari inilah

Malaysia mendapat Perdana Menteri baru

yang dikenali sebagai Datok Seri Dr Mahathir bin Mohamad...

Anak bongsu Mohd Iskandar yang meninggal 1950

dan ibunya pula Wan Tempawan pada 1966

Usiaku baru mencecah 7 tahun

Baru Belajar di Sekolah Rendah

Namun amat ku suka menatap potretnya

yang terpampang dalam bilik dan pejabat sekolah...

Aku tidak mengerti apa itu politik

Namun aku dididik oleh guru agar menyayangi pemimpin

kerana pemimpin manusia berjasa..

Aku tidak pernah bertemu tokoh ini

hinggalah satu masa di luar negara

ketika aku bergelar Graduan di Universiti

Dr Mahathir bersama isteri melawat Arab Saudi

dan menjemput pelajar Malaysia ke konsul Malaysia di bandar Jeddah

Aku benar-benar teruja
dan amat gembira
bertemu pemimpin dan tokoh kesayanganku..
Namun amat meluat
melihat gelagat pelajar yang membenci beliau
berebut-rebut bersalam dan mengambil gambar...
Itulah hipokrit...
Dr Mahathir amat bertenaga
Walaupun menjadi Perdana Menteri ketika usianya sudah melewati 56 tahun...
Tekun siang dan malam
bekerja untuk memajukan negara
Ketika ramai mencebik
mencemuh dan memperlekehkan beliau
Beliau terus giat siang dan malam
Tanpa mengira penat atau jemu
Tanpa peduli apa kata manusia.....
Proton Saga lahir
Jambatan Pulau Pinang terpacak
merentasi lautan luas
dan terkenal pelusuk dunia...
Satu demi Satu
Pelbagai kejayaan
ditempa dan tercatat
di dada akhbar dan kaca tv
atas usaha seorang manusia
bernama Mahathir....
Pedulikan Mat Sabu
Nyahkan Lim Kit Siang
Mereka hanya tahu mengherdik dan membenci

Tidak pernah berterima kasih
penat lelah manusia bernama Mahathir....

Namun aku
bijak menggunakan akal
menghargai Dr Mahathir
yang banyak berjasa

Dr Mahathir adalah permata
buat negaraku tercinta Malaysia
1000 tahun lagi mungkin tiada lagi
manusia kental dan gagah berani
memperjuangkan bangsa dan agama..
sekuat dan segagah beliau...

Dr Mahathir pemimpin berjiwa rakyat
Membangunkan ekonomi negara
kerana sedar kemiskinan adalah musuh
yang merendahkan rakyat..

Malaysia yang dahulunya terbiar
Negara besar yang terperosok
Namun akhirnya Terkenal pelusuk dunia
kerna kebijaksanaan manusia bernama Mahathir

Aku bersyukur
Membesar di zaman beliau
Melihat negara membangun dan maju...

Aku bersyukur
kerana merasai nikmat menjadi salah seorang rakyat Malaysia
di zaman pemerintahan seorang Perdana Menteri bernama Mahathir...

Jika aku lahir 200 tahun lampau
atau 200 tahun akan datang
Mungkin aku tidak kenal

siapakah Dr Mahathir...

Namun 31 Oktober 2003

adalah tarikh amat syahdu

seorang negarawan dan tokoh akhirnya bersara...

meninggalkan kerusi PM buat selamanya....

Ketika ditanya wartawan 30 hari sebelum persaraan,

Beliau menjawab

“Well, I think I should record some of my experiences as it may become useful for other people to learn from all the mistakes I have made. “

Itulah janji beliau

Akhirnya pada 9 Mac 2011

Lahirlah A DOCTOR IN THE HOUSE

Setelah 8 tahun ditulis

dengan gigih dan tekun.....

Ketika menghantar isteri tersayang di KLIA

Melancong luar negara dua minggu

sebagai hadiah perkahwinan keenam

aku membeli tanpa ragu

RM100.00 tunai...

Dan sedang menghayati bait-bait kalimah beliau....

“For Hasmah and My Children,for their Love,Support,Tolerance and Courage”

Itulah bait yang menyentuh perasaan

dari manusia bernama Mahathir..

Aku akan tetap mendoakan

agar beliau sihat sejahtera

dan sentiasa kaya idea membangunkan negara

walaupun hanya bergelar penasihat....

Idea beliau melangkaui tahun dan abad

Wawasan 2020 bukan untuk tahun 2020

namun untuk masa depan negara hinggalah kiamat

Aku masih tidak lupa

Nasihatnya di Yayasan Bukhary

akan terus terngiang di minda

dan menjadi azimat menjadi usahawan...

Terima kasih Dr Mahathir

Aku tidak mampu memberi wang berjuta

Membina Mahligai seindah Taj Mahal buatmu...

Hanya doa buatmu

agar bahagia dunia dan akhirat....

Aku berusaha siang dan malam

menulis tanpa jemu

“PERIBADI DR MAHATHIR YANG WAJAR DITELADANI”

Dan kini telah siap

Menunggu masa untuk diterbitkan.....

Aku menulis buku ini

sebagai penghargaan buatmu...

Agar ramai manusia

mengikuti teladanmu.....

Buatmu Tun Dr Mahathir

Engkaulah role-model buatku dan zuriatku

Serta keturunanku

Hinggalah kiamat.....

SAAT SULAIMAN

MELAKA BANYAK SEJARAH

<http://www.saatcham.com>

30.

Nikmat's Gravatar Nikmat

January 11, 2012 at 11:29 pm | Permalink

Salam

Tuan Guru Dr Mahathir Yg DiRahmati ALLAH SWT

Sudah sampai masanya untuk memikirkan perubahan kepada Sistem Mahkamah.

Allah SWT yang Maha Mengetahui

31.

milshah's Gravatar milshah

January 11, 2012 at 11:14 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun,

After reading your article, I couldn't help but wonder whether this is a "trick article" if there is such a word for it. Something like in the Sherlock Holmes mysteries where items or objects have double meaning. In this case, the theme of the article is "court" and the current issue regarding "court" is Dato' Seri Anwar's case. I may be wrong but I'm sure other commentors are bound to talk about Dato' Seri Anwar' case when reading this article.

My take on Dato' Seri Anwar's case is first and foremost is the case is between an accuser, accusing another person sodomising him. In Malaysia, sodomy is against the law. It just so happens the one being accused is a top opposition leader. In no way, was there any shred of evidence that it was the government led by Dato' Seri Najib was involved in this case.

In yet, when I read newspapers commentaries and blogs, they are saying why the government waste time and effort to prosecute DSAI. They are saying government should be more focused dealing with the economy, inflation, jobs, etc.

Do they not see that the government is doing just that developing KPIs, embarking on the Government and Economic Transformation programs?

It is amazing how in Malaysia, a muslim country, one would think if someone were to even been

accused of sodomy, then he would be looked down upon. Instead, the accused actually benefited from the case and seen as a hero, and the government was blamed as the villain, even though there was no proof the government was involved in the case.

I guess that is Malaysian politics nowadays. People believe what they want to believe.

Congratulations Dato' Seri Anwar for being able to turn the case to your advantage.

32.

amin tan's Gravatar amin tan

January 11, 2012 at 10:39 pm | [Permalink](#)

Dear Tun

I agree with your analysis above. May i quote you

“9. Because the cost to the litigant is so very little, the tendency is to sue for millions. This is grossly unfair to the defendants. If the law is intended to promote justice then the litigant should also suffer from the same anxieties for the length of time of the hearing and if his allegation is baseless he should pay his victim the same amount he sued for.”

Just like when a person wants to buy a house worth rm200,000. He pays deposit of 10%. If for some reasons he does not want to proceed with the purchase, he has to forgo his deposit. Conversely, the seller has to compensate 10% if for some reasons he does not want to sell the house.

The unwillingness of a muslim to swear by the Quran in a mosque on his innocence, speaks for itself.

You save the country,Tun. You have contributed so much for the country.

amin tan

33.

Botak's Gravatar Botak

January 11, 2012 at 9:31 pm | Permalink

Western Media portrayed Arab Spring

Blaming the Arab Leaders Regime

The Cry for Democracy which United States,

Western wants the world to embrace of what their beliefs

It,s like putting words in one,s mouth

To me,these is very wrong.

We have to respect and acknowledge of each existance of beilefs,in religion, races or whatever as long we have no ill intentions to hurt others

Western now facing Riots,Demostration of their economic

downfall,their debts occurance but less heard of killings.

Arab Spring demostrates for Regime Change as in for Democracy,but will breed another Regime change,

INSTEAD of the intended Democracy.

Then followed by another demonstrations and killings that leads to a Nation Downfall.

FACTS THAT WORLD FAILS TO LOOK

THE BOTTOM LINE OF WHO DESIGN THIS CHAOS FROM DAY1

WHO INTENTIONALLY DESIGN THIS EVILS DOINGS

WHO WANTS TO SEE THESE HAPPENINGS AND PRETEND TO BE SORRY

WHO WANTS TO SEE ARAB CRUMPLING SO AS THEY WILL REAPS THE INTENED BENEFITS

UNITED STATES PROVEN TO BE THE MEN BEHIND THE SCENE.

FRANCE HAS GIVEN SUPPORT TO REBELS,

NOT JUST BY INSTIGATING,MUCH MORE OF SUPPLYING WEAPONS TO THE REBELS,

NO FLY ZONE SUCH AS TO MAKE SURE THE REGIME FAILS AND THE WESTERN OBJECTIVES IS ON THE PATH.

WHY THEY DID NOT PUNISH THE REBELS

WHY NOT SUPPORT THE RULER

WHY SENT THE REGIME RULER TO CRIMINAL COURT JUSTICE

WHY NOT SENT THE MEN BEHIND THE SCENE TO CRIMINAL COURT OF JUSTICE.

THE REGIME HAS TO USE FORCE, UNINTENTIONAL KILLINGS IS BORN, AND MORE KILLINGS IS BORN BECAUSE THESE REBELS WAS SUPPORTED WITH WEAPONS BY THE WESTERN WORLD, FRANCE HAS ANNOUNCED IT.

AS SUCH IF SOMEONE WERE TO INSTIGATE THE WESTERN WORLD WITH PROTEST AND WEAPONS,

KILLINGS AND MORE KILLINGS WILL BE BORN

IT WILL BE A WESTERN SPRING

SO WHOM TO BLAME ?

THE OBAMA, BUSH DEMOCRATIC RULING PARTY

OR THE KEY MEN BEHIND THE SCENE ?

34.

Khairul-Dean's Gravatar Khairul-Dean

January 11, 2012 at 7:54 pm | [Permalink](#)

Thank You for sharing the 'help on litigation'.

One is to remember: Incidentally I was sued for 100 million Ringgit. When after almost five years I won, I was awarded cost at 70 thousand Ringgit, which of course go to my lawyers

35.

Oumono Man's Gravatar Oumono Man

January 11, 2012 at 5:23 pm | [Permalink](#)

Its logic to do it that way but what bothers me, why does it take so long to do it..

36.

ROZALI BIN AYED's Gravatar ROZALI BIN AYED

January 11, 2012 at 5:18 pm | [Permalink](#)

Assalamualaikum wbt Y.Bhg Tun,

Izinkan saya menyentuh serba sedikit isu perbicaraan DSAI yang dituduh meliwat bekas pembantu khasnya Mohd Saiful Bukhari Azlan, dan berkesudahan Yang Arif Hakim melepas dan membebaskan DSAI dari pertuduhan tersebut, kerana tidak cukup bukti kukuh dan mahkamah tidak bolehhanya bergantung kepada DNA semata-mata tanpa bukti sokongan yang lain, sepertimana yang difahami. Sebagai rakyat Malaysia yang mempunyai jati diri, menghormati perlembagaan dan undang-undang negara, kita seharusnya akur dengan keputusan Yang Arif Hakim sebagai menghormati keluruhan undang-undang yang diamalkan di Malaysia. Walau bagaimanapun mungkin masih ramai lagi masyarakat dan rakyat Malaysia yang mengikuti perkembangan isu liwat DSAI ini masih tidak faham sepenuhnya dari sudut penghakiman di mahkamah, maka golongan ini perlu mendapat penjelasan yang lebih terperinci dari pihak yang berkenaan supaya tidak timbul persepsi yang boleh dianggap menghina mahkamah.

DSAI mendakwa keputusan mahkamah atas pertuduhan liwat nya kerana mendapat tekanan dari pihak luar negara dan kerajaan terpaksa menjaga imejnya. Malah sepanjang prosiding di mahkamah DSAI telah dengan sewenang-wenangnya di luar negara mendakwa institusi kehakliman di Malaysia tidak bebas dan di bawah pengaruh kerajaan yang memerintah. Selepas hakim yang membuat keputusan, ternyata dakwaan DSAI tidak benar, rakan sekongkol politiknya DAP dan Pas mengeluarkan kenyataan bahawa institusi kehakliman telah bertindak adil. Pengerusi DAP YB Karpal Singh sendiri menyanggah dakwaan DSAI bahawa keputusan mahkamah terhadap isu liwatnya bukan disebabkan tekanan dari luar. Sepatutnya DSAI mengeluarkan kenyataan terbuka berterima kasih dan menghargai kewibawaan

kumpulan peguambelanya yang diketuai oleh YB Karpal Singh.

Pakatan Pembangkang (Pas, DAP dan PKR) sudah terperangkap dengan dakwaan mereka selama ini terhadap kewibawaan institusi kehakiman di Malaysia yang dikatakan tidak berwibawa dan dipengaruhi oleh kerajaan Barisan Nasional. Sebenarnya semenjak dari dahulu lagi institusi kehakiman di Malaysia memang bebas dari unsur campur tangan mana-mana pihak termasuk kerajaan. Apapun inilah sifat-sifat dan perlakuan pembangkang yang sentiasa mencari ruang untuk menjejaskan imej kerajaan Barisan Nasional. Majoriti rakyat Malaysia diyakini tidak akan begitu mudah dipengaruhi oleh pembangkang untuk menyokong perjuangan politik songsang mereka.

Mohd Saiful Bukhari Azlan dalam menuntut keadilan bagi dirinya masih ada ruang untuk membuat rayuan terhadap keputusan mahkamah sepertimana yang diperuntukkan dalam perlembagaan negara Malaysia. Saya tertarik dengan pandangan Tan Sri DR. Nordin Kardi penyandang Kursi Za'ba Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI) dalam akhbar Utusan Malaysia hari ini 11/01/12 menyarankan agar Mohd Saiful Bukhari Azlan mengemukakan rayuan melalui mahkamah sivil bukan mahkamah jenayah dengan memberikan beberapa contoh terbaik apa yang berlaku di mahkamah luar negara. Mungkin juga ada pihak lain (NGO dan sebagainya) yang lebih arif tentang perundangan dapat membantu Mohd Saidul Bukhari Azlan meneruskan usahanya merayu keputusan mahkamah. Mohd Saiful Bukhari Azlan hanya seorang rakyat biasa yang mungkin banyak kekurangan yang perlu dibantu oleh golongan yang berkemampuan dari segala bidang. Jika rayuan ini tidak berlaku, Mohd Saiful Bukhari Azlan akan terlepas peluang menuntut keadilan buat dirinya, dan akan meninggalkan kenangan pahit yang amat sukar dilupakan sepanjang hayatnya.

Sekian, terima kasih dan salam hormat Tun.

37.

mubarakchan's Gravatar mubarakchan

January 11, 2012 at 4:57 pm | Permalink

Tun

I report the following with no comment.

1. 2002. After many years of requests for the release of a Trust account for an investigative audit from the Trustees and I was left with no response, I sued the Trustees.

2. 2003. The High Court Judge ruled that the Trust was a Singapore Trust even though Probate was granted in the Supreme Court of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur. The Trustees all lived in Singapore. After his ruling, the Judge promptly retired.

3. 2004. The Court of Appeal ruled in my favour on a technicality. But the subsequent High Court Judge sat on this Suit for 3 years and then he was promoted.

4. 2006. The Third Lady High Court Judge requested the litigants to settle out of Court. Of course, the Defendants refused because they had refused to do so for so long.

5. 2007. The Fourth Lady High Court Judge requested the litigants to do what the Third Lady Judge had requested. Again, the Defendants refused which they had been doing for years.

6. 2008. The Fifth Lady High Court Judge requested that the request for an investigative audit by the Plaintiff was too wide and he was given just 4 days to do the needful. Four days later, the amended Affidavit was rejected and the Lady Judge told the Court that she had been transferred – a matter which she must have known well before hand.

7. 2010. The 6th High Court Judge told the Defendants in no uncertain terms that he is ready for an immediate Trial but prefers not to waste time if the litigants come to a consent Judgement. The Defendants agreed in 5 minutes after spending over RM 1.5 Millions of the Trust's money to defend themselves over a period of 9 years and 6 High Court Judges. One of them even spitted out an expletive but was not charged with the contempt of the Court.

My only comment is that if Kuala Lumpur aspires to be an international financial centre, the Malaysian Judiciary has to be up to the mark for the sake of the Nation and its wherewithal.

<http://chedet.cc/blog/?p=661>