

**1 MDB**  
**Chedet.cc**  
**27 Oktober 2014**  
**Tun Dr Mahathir Mohamad**

1. Kebebasan adalah suatu petanda kedewasaan sesebuah negara. Kita dewasa. Dan kita beri banyak kebebasan termasuk kebebasan bersuratkhbar. Malangnya kadang-kadang kebebasan disalahguna. Ada akhbar di Malaysia yang sering menyalahgunakan kebebasan mereka.
2. Kita tidak lagi boleh masukkan sesiapa dalam tahanan ISA. Oleh itu apabila sesuatu disalahguna, maka penjelasan perlu dibuat. Jika tidak kebebasan akan membawa mudarat terutama apabila yang ditegur ialah Kerajaan.
3. Saya jarang membaca akhbar The Edge. Tetapi baru-baru ini saya terbaca rencana yang bertajuk “Tabiat 1MDB membayar lebih untuk memperolehi (hutang) duit.”
4. 1MDB ialah satu dana yang ditubuh oleh Kerajaan sebagai dana kekayaan negara (sovereign wealth fund) untuk dilabur supaya negara akan dapat hasil dari kekayaannya bagi masa depan.
5. Biasanya dana seperti ini ditubuh oleh negara pengeluar minyak yang banyak yang tidak dapat habiskan pendapatan setelah mentadbir dan membangun negara.
6. Malaysia bukanlah negara pengeluar minyak yang besar. Hampir semua 650 ribu tong yang dikeluarkan tiap hari diguna dalam negeri. Dan Malaysia pula mengalami defisit dalam belanjawannya. Tidak ada lebih wang untuk dana kekayaan negara.
7. Oleh itu Kerajaan terpaksa hutang untuk dana kekayaan ini.
8. The Edge dalam rencananya menyebut bahawa kos pinjaman berbilion Ringgit luar biasa tingginya.
9. Pada 1 September 2009 1 Malaysia Development Bhd (1MDB) menggegarkan industri perbankan apabila bersetuju membayar faedah 5.75% keatas hutang RM 5 billion. Hutang ini dijamin oleh Kerajaan Malaysia. Biasanya bon Kerajaan membayar hanya 4.0%. Petronas membayar 3.6% sahaja.
10. Pada tahun 2014 1MDB berhutang lagi sebanyak US Dolar 1.75 billion (RM 5.6 billion) dengan faedah sebanyak 5.99%.
11. Tetapi 1MDB cuma dapat US1.554 billion (RM4.973 billion) kerana sebanyak US196 million (RM 630 million) ditolak untuk komisyen, bayaran fees dan perbelanjaan. Ini bermakna kos pinjaman berjumlah 11.25% dari wang yang dipinjam.

12. Pada Mac 2013, 1MDB Global Investment Ltd telah pinjam USD3.0 billion (RM 9.6 billion) dengan faedah 4.4%. Tetapi 1MDB mendapat hanya USD2.717 billion (RM8.7 billion) kerana USD283 million (RM 906 million) atau 9.44% dipotong untuk membayar komisyen, fees dan perbelanjaan.

13. Bayaran sebanyak 11.25% dan 9.44% adalah kepada Goldman Sachs, pengurus pinjaman hutang ini.

14. Sebaliknya sebuah Syarikat Equisar International Incorporated, milik Kerajaan Sarawak membayar Goldman Sachs hanya 1.25% (USD10 million) apabila mengurus pinjaman USD800 million.

15. Jurucakap Kerajaan menjelaskan bahawa 1MDB memiliki harta bernilai lebih dari RM40 billion sedangkan hutangnya hanya RM38 billion. Oleh itu rakyat tidak perlu bimbang. Tetapi kenapa bayaran kepada Goldman Sachs begitu tinggi?

16. Sebahagian wang yang dipinjam ini diguna untuk membeli stesyen janakuasa swasta. Ini dilapur dalam akhbar. Tetapi harga belian jauh lebih tinggi dari harga pasaran. Kenapa demikian?

17. Seorang jurucakap Kerajaan menjelaskan bahawa wang 1MDB diguna untuk Bumiputra. Yang saya tahu satu biasiswa diberi kepada seorang pelajar muzik. Tidak mungkin biasiswa ini bernilai 38 billion Ringgit. Kerajaan sepatutnya memberi maklumat yang jelas kepada rakyat, terutama Bumiputra akan bantuan yang boleh didapati dari 1MDB

18. Mungkin seluruh rencana dalam The Edge adalah fitnah untuk memburuk Kerajaan dan Perdana Menteri. Tetapi jika tidak ada audit oleh pihak bebas dan penjelasan yang munasabah, saya khuatir orang ramai akan percaya cerita dongeng The Edge.

Wallahua'lam.

## 20 Comments

1.



**Murad**

October 31, 2014 at 12:10 AM | [Permalink](#)

Thank you Tun for sharing.

2.



*wish*

October 30, 2014 at 1:44 AM | [Permalink](#)

Was the deal made just before election, shared together with PET and penned in Caymen Island?

3.



*mubarakchan*

October 29, 2014 at 7:33 PM | [Permalink](#)

Tun

1MDB is an orphan born out of an ill-conceived copy-cat idea at some Administrative level in keeping of the jolly good relations with the balmy squeaky clean Republic of Singapore. It is dangerous to ape others without understanding why other people do things and succeeded.

When Singapore was on its own in 1965, it was an entreport. By 1968, it had the Jurong Industrial Park. Entrepreneurs and traders were encouraged to build factories and manufacture products by the EDB. (Economic Development Board). Most of the projects eg. Starlite Ceramics, Eupoc, Fair Lady Fashion, Regency Wigs etc failed. But others like Diaward Industries, Far East Levingston, Singapore Textiles etc survived. The failures could not change the Singaporean consumers mind-set hooked on brand names in a small market. In the National Interest, the Singaporean Government had to step in with Government backed funds like the Neptune Orient Line, Keppel Shipyard, Sembawang Shipyard, etc. The GLCs were tightly controlled by the Ruler and managed by civil servants with accountability but no ownership. This could be achieved in a small place with a central command type of economic planning, a sort of micro-communism in practice. In this manner, the difference between Malaysia and Singapore is like chalk and cheese. It is the enforcement of performance and the punishment for misdemeanour with transparency and meritocracy.

But the icing in the cake of the Singaporean GLCs must be the BIG IDEA from the Ruler which entails the use of the CPF Trust funds owned by its citizens. Money is loaned legally to the Government at rates of between 2+%-3+% per annum for decades. A 21 year old contributor would receive this sum of dividend until 65. He would have bought a leasehold HDB flat built

with his CPF money but the costs are not stated. And we must assume that the inflation rate at 2+%-3+% throughout his working life. A portion of his life-long contribution remains after 65 years old.

With the application of income tax onto the above-mentioned usage of a citizen's life-long savings, the Government takes all. And the citizen's Singapore dollar in the CPF at 21 years old would still be a Singapore dollar when he reaches the age of 65 ! The only plausible reason I could think of is that it is done in the NATIONAL INTEREST. This is the purpose for Singapore. In the case of our beloved Malaysia, WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THE GLCS ? WE HAVE MANY MALAYS/BUMIPUTRAS WAITING TO BE BIG CAPITALISTS TO PROVIDE A BALANCE TO OUR ECONOMY !

Therefore, the Singaporean GLCs even though these cannot be called Sovereign Funds, are funded entirely by FREE MONEY invested by the Singaporean Government which obtained it legally from different sources. ITS RULER FOLLOWS THE SOUND DICTUM OF THE CREATION OF MONEY WITHOUT MONEY – a BIG IDEA and is not a failure of Policy !

The Malaysian GLCs are owned by the Government of Malaysia and the RAKYAT, and are funded by borrowed funds to the tune of billions and billions of ringgit AT COMMERCIAL INTEREST RATES (Singaporean GLCs get their money free from the Government). THE BORROWED MONEY IS THEN INVESTED INTO BUSINESSES AND PROPERTIES ON A LONG TERM BASIS – to borrow short and invest long is dangerous and speculative.

All the Malaysian GLCs are managed by Civil Servants with NO ACCOUNTABILITY AND OWNERSHIP and the BOSS is a DEMOCRATICALLY ELECTED LEADER OF A NATION OF 30 MILLIONS which are vocal and literate but polite and courteous.

IT IS AN ESTABLISHED FACT THAT THE NEP WOULD REDUCE POVERTY AND RAISE UP MALAY/BUMIPUTRA BUSINESSES TO THE HIGHEST LEVELS. But the Federal Government is doing EXACTLY WHAT THE PNB FLAGSHIP SIME DARBY IS DOING BUT ONLY ON UNLIMITED TERMS AND CONDITIONS. But then, Sime Darby being so large should have invested in light engineering industries like automobiles, etc overseas to garner technology and markets for the Nation. What remains are a group of lop-sided and small Malaysian companies mainly non-Malay owned struggling against the GIANT COMPANIES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND SIME DARBY ! Where are the Malay/Bumiputra privately owned companies today in keeping with the NEP ?

Communist countries like Russia and China divested their State owned companies to the private sector. But at the moment, our Federal Government through its GLCs which are not HOLISTICALLY AND TRANSPARENTLY MONITORED AND CONTROLLED LIKE BY THE RULER OF SINGAPORE is operating in a minefield of an ocean with a great unknown as regards the true direction of the World's political and socio-economic destiny. NO ONE HAS

AN ANSWER. One result has made the world price of petroleum a sudden casualty. Is it of POLITICS ? or ECONOMICS ?

IS IT TOO LATE TO REDUCE THE UNOFFICIAL AND UNKNOWN DEBTS OF THE GLCs ? IS IT TOO LATE TO RESTORE THE MALAY/BUMIPUTRA OWNERSHIP OF BIG BUSINESSES ? IS IT TOO LATE TO SAVE OUR ICONIC NATIONAL INDUSTRIES ? Tun, your wise and succinct comment that it is better for the PRIVATE SECTOR TO TAKE THE RISKS is SOUND ADVICE.

Howeve I still believe in and think it is still not too late to trim the sails before the coming TSUNAMI OF ALL TSUNAMIS !

MALAYSIA BOLEH ! MALAYS BOLEH ! MALAYSIANS BOLEH !



4. [Abu Alya](#)

October 29, 2014 at 11:18 AM | [Permalink](#)

Salam Tun.

Najib, 1MDB and nowhere to run ...

poin #15 – Saya fikir Najib sebagai ketua 1MDB akan menjadi masyur tidak lama lagi jika dia gagal buktikan yang [Goldman Sachs telah disogok dengan komisen](#) yg tidak munasabah tinggi untuk perolehan pinjaman. Dilaporkan bahawa pihak Goldman Sachs pun tidak mampu memberi jawapan yang boleh meyakinkan bahawa tiada unsur gelap atau rasuah di dalam urusan tersebut! Mungkin Goldman Sachs juga akan bungkus sama, seperti yg berlaku kpd firma perakaunan [Arthur Andersen dalam skandal Enron pd tahun 2002](#).

Sudah pastinya, tiada kekangan jika jurucakap dari PMO sendiri mahu engage dan menghantar mesej panjang berjela ke dalam blog Tun ini untuk menafikan setiap ‘tuduhan liar’ yang dilemparkan kepada boss mereka.

Apa-apapun kita harap Najib akan cepat pulang ke tanah air dan dapat menjawab sendiri dengan tuntas semua soalan dan teguran yang telah Tun kemukakan.



5. [shahrulnizam](#)

October 28, 2014 at 11:13 PM | [Permalink](#)

macam pernah dengan kisah dongeng Goldman Sach dan buat duit atas hutang...  
di mana yekk?  
Negara-negara yang terlibat jatuh muflis.. tapi bak kata pepatah inggeris..  
too big to fall...  
bak kata Mr. T, am pity the fools...



6. **ahmad**

October 28, 2014 at 11:10 PM | [Permalink](#)

Tun.  
Saya membaca komen Tun dan coment yang menarek perhatian saya ialah 1MDB membeli power plant dengan harga yang tinggi,  
  
Samasa 1MDB membeli power plant milek Ananda Krisnan sabanyak \$8.5 billion,Rosmah meminta Ananda membayar kepada Yayasan 1MDB sabanyak \$650 juta.  
Chek itu perlu di tanda tangan oleh Raja Arshad dan Tun Hanif Omar.Mereka takut untok menanda tangan chek itu dna mereka meminta nasehan Tun.Tun setuju dan memberi kebenaran meraka membayar wang itu kepada 1 MDB.  
Jika ini betul maka Tun juga bersubahat dalam kes 1MDB membeli IPP dengan harga yang tinggi.  
  
Apa komen Tun.



7. **grkumar**

October 28, 2014 at 9:35 PM | [Permalink](#)

Sam you are very kind. I do not have any more knowledge in my little head than anyone else of my age, education and work experience. I was once an investment banker, possessed of a law degree and an accounting and finance post graduate.

I have worked in one place or the other but never remaining in one job for too long. If you wish to acquire knowledge of how the world of finance, government, commerce and politics functions in reality, look up books by the late Anthony Sampson (one time advisor to the late Chancellor Willy Brandt and his successor). Sampson wrote the Arms Bazaar, the seven sisters and many many more factual thrillers in his time.

There is also the records of parliamentary debates in Hansard form commonwealth countries, records of public inquiries, documentaries on the Viet Nam War (if you are not given to reading) and other seminal events of the last 60 years and before.

8.



*yuzi*

October 28, 2014 at 4:30 PM | [Permalink](#)

salam Tun..  
may God bless you..

Dear Grkumar...am astonish reading your's point of view...  
i would like to have kind of knowledge that u have..  
shall i know which book to be recommend for my reading..  
u can email me the answer through my email [samjuggernaut@yahoo.com](mailto:samjuggernaut@yahoo.com) if possible..  
sorry to engage you in this manner.  
people read a secret/mystery but i need it. TQ  
terima kasih Tun.

9.



*ma*

October 28, 2014 at 2:04 PM | [Permalink](#)

Seluruh kehidupan dan segala isinya bergerak di atas sistem kebebasan  
kepada yang benar  
dan juga kepada yang salah

dan untuk mencapai satu mekanime, iaitu keseimbangan  
Itulah sunnatuallah.. itulah Islam

Maka itu berilah kebebasan kepada sesiapa sahaja  
biar pun benar  
mahupun kepada yang salah atau zalim  
Hasilkan keseimbangan, itulah keindahan hidup

**Patutkah sesudah itu, mereka mencari lain dari sistem hidup Allah?  
Padahal kepadaNya lah Islam (أَسْلَمَ) sekalian makhluk yang ada di langit dan di bumi  
sama ada dengan sukarela  
ataupun terpaksa  
dan kepadaNya lah mereka dikembalikan.  
[3.83]**

“Patutkah sesudah itu, mereka mencari lain dari sistem hidup Allah?”

Patutkah kita menghalang kebebasan?



10. *grkumar*

October 28, 2014 at 8:40 AM | [Permalink](#)

Errors

Third last paragraph reads “The orang putih and Yehudi for all their faults are careful with their own mooney”. It should read instead:

“The orang putih and Yehudi for all their faults are careful with their own money.

‘banning’ sector should read banking sector (2nd last paragraph).



11. *grkumar*

October 28, 2014 at 8:26 AM | [Permalink](#)

I always take great pleasure in responding to your many postings of public interest on your blog. This one is no exception and perhaps even more so interesting for me as it is right up my alley and a subject of great personal interest to me.

Commercial borrowings by government from any sector is or should be subject to approval by parliament and as such has to be debated with full disclosures by parliament. There are of course exceptions to this rule but they are far and few in between. Full oversight is required.

Where there is a significant level of debt being incurred with state funds, there are few exceptions to this rule of oversight and disclosure. In fact all parliamentary and state expenditure is required to be scrutinised independently, forensically and with the greatest degree of care and oversight having regard to all disclosures and information that has to be made in the process.

Failure can and should lead to criminal sanction with parliamentary consent first had for any prosecution. There is a process for it.

In 2012 and 2013, the Selangor state parliament, it was disclosed, paid state monies to Ambiga Sreenivasan and her Bersih movement in what was in fact an attempt at the overthrow of the state. (Malaysia Kini interview admission by Ambiga Sreenivasan on outside funding for Bersih published by You Tube). That was an act of criminality and treason but it went unpunished and perhaps uninvestigated and prosecuted as it should have been. Selangor state parliament did nothing about it and neither did the state opposition which leads me to believe they are both incompetent with respect to these issues.

With respect to the government's indulgences of banks in its high interest rate of borrowing you do have a point and a very valid one at that. A government is sovereign. A sovereign debt never dies which makes it more attractive to any lender than a private debt. Interest rates payable by government on their borrowings attracts a lower rate of interest (the lowest possible above the prevailing reserve bank rate on the strike date) because of its gilt edge status. Especially for a state like Malaysia.

The issue of Goldman Sach's involvement in managing the sovereign wealth fund of Malaysia is questionable given its recent history and its nexus with the US government and its various agencies and its complicity in massive breaches of laws in the US and elsewhere prior to and even after the GFC.

The Japanese, the Brazilians, Chinese, Indians and Australians have some of the best funds managers in the world. They are compliant and aggressive and are not beholden to the government of the US. But then again managing the sovereign wealth fund of a country is that country's business and its choice where fund managers are concerned. BUT that privilege of sovereignty cannot be without responsibility or impunity. They must be held accountable.

Your reference to the wasteful and not at arms length (my words) expenditure and ‘investments’ incurred by the Malaysian Sovereign Wealth Fund is the result of there being no proper regulation or compliance standards in place. There are no proper safeguards at all in Malaysia.

At the first sign of a bad decision involving such large sums of money heads ought to roll and questions answered. Again the issue of economic groups, law firms and lobbying investment bankers needs to be brought into the spotlight. Who valued the power station, what methodology was used and was there an independent audit of the process? were the seller and purchaser independent?

None of the large 4 accounting firms are independent in the true sense of the word and thats a huge red flag to be concerned about. Following the GFC it was revealed that all of the top accounting firms had glaring conflicts of interest which they did not care for in pursuit of the dollar. So too with the major money managers in Wall Street, the City of London and Singapore. Yes Singapore too.

Who are the people on the board of the bank that made these loans? it is from such small inquiries that we will unravel the mysteries of the dysfunction and mismatch of capital commitments by the state. There are two types of financial analysis that are often useful to employ when deciding the viability of an investment.

Technical analysis refers to analysing the data involving price movements over a period of time. Twin heads and shoulders to be able to forecast a future movement pattern in prices of assets and investments.

Fundamental analysis takes into account all those other things like who is on the board what is their track record, what is the company’s management like, is there a connection between external managers, suppliers and the board? This is a form of risk evaluation. 90% of the failed institutions and government agencies over the past 3 decades conducted no fundamental analysis which if they did could have weeded out the problems before it occurred.

Whats perhaps easiest a path to discovering anything and everything that occurs between government and private enterprise is the use of ‘market intelligence’. It is available discreetly to government agencies and to investigators. it is a widely used tool to uncover government fraud and impropriety. A Swiss company that goes by the name of ‘Reconnoiter’ but does not advertise is a case in point.

The undoing of VP Singh a former Indian ‘clean skin’ prime minister by one such agency is an example in point of this issue. The bringing down of Ferdinand Marcos is another. There are many more.

Think. If Petronas has the ability to identify the origins of a shipment of oil (which I am sure it has) the origins of payments and bargaining position of parties can be deduced from not just documents and conversations but also from transactions. The same science applies to all government transactions with non governmental parties especially in developing countries like Malaysia. And the intelligence and information is already there. It is a question of knowing where to look.

The US government as is the case with the British government and of course Israel and Singapore would have all of the information at their fingertips as to how when and why such transactions occurred and who benefitted from it. Malaysia for all its wealth and first world aspirations appears not to have the capacity or will to engage in such inquiry.

Goldman Sachs managed the sovereign wealth fund of Libya a nation embargoed by their government and the UN. That would tell you how powerful an entity Goldman Sachs is. Till 1988 no non Jew from the upper classes of Jewish New York families moved passed the 10th floor of Goldman's building into higher management. It was in 1989 that a graduate of the Indian Institute of Technology broke that barrier and the rest is history.

Goldman is like a Sovereign State. So too are many of the US Hedge Funds. Lets not kid ourselves and be delusional about this. If a nation state like Malaysia needs to engage in future investment, it needs accountability, responsible people at the helm and skilled talent from within.

As long as that element of meritocracy is absent we will have many Marcos's in the wings willing to put their hands in the till when government wilfully ignores the red flags and turns a blind eye to such simple acts of negligence and mismanagement.

The orang putih and Yehudi for all their faults are careful with their own mooney. They make much of it from exploiting loopholes in our compliance and regulation where they exist if they exist.

Tamasek, Singapore's sovereign wealth fund manager lost over \$65 billion in the GFC when it had the PM's wife at the helm. Although a brilliant scholar she failed in foreseeing the disaster in the banking sector that was to come and invested heavily in that sector that lost the most in the GFC. They brought in Chip Goodyear the Harvard former BHP CEO who after 3 months on the job quit from what he was about to discover. Clever move by Singapore and justifiable at that.

A nations sovereign wealth fund is part of its overall security. Think of the damage that can be reacked on a nation if its funds are depleted. It is a security issue.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.



12. **Butikui Nang**

October 27, 2014 at 11:42 PM | [Permalink](#)

Salam sejahtera pada Tun M dan rakan blogger

1. Tujuannya mungkin murni tetapi pengurusannya yang meragukan. Kalau ikutkan congak orang yang tak sekolah ekonomi pun maklum, nilai aset RM 40b dan hutang jumlah RM38b kalau meminjam dengan kadar faedah 4.4 hingga 5.99 peratus jumlah yang perlu dilunaskan boleh meningkat sekali ganda dan bergantung pula tempoh pinjaman sekira lebih panjang maka bayaran pelunasan akan lebih tinggi.

2. Siapakah yang memberi kata putus pembelian aset-aset lebih tinggi dari nilai sebenar, kenapa perlu membayar komisyen yang tinggi kepada perunding antarabangsa sedangkan sudah ada PEMANDU, EPU dan lain-lain think tank yang sedia ada yang dibayar gaji yang amat lumayan setiap bulan.

3. Apabila kerajaan menjadi penjamin kepada 1MDB bermaksud mendedahkan kerajaan kepada risiko perniagaan. Sekiranya 1MDB gagal dan diistiharkan mufliis maka kerajaan akan menanggung segala liabiliti. Kebankrapan boleh membawa kepada pengambilalihan oleh entiti yang lebih bermodal besar dan dalam konteks ini negara terdedah kepada kawalan negara asing.

4. Pencerahan dari PM Najib amat perlu atas apa yang dibangkitkan oleh Tun M dan rakyat yang bimbang dengan isu ini kerana ia melibatkan jumlah wang yang boleh membuat empat puluh ribu orang rakyat Malaysia menjadi jutawan dan isu kedaulatan negara.

5. Berkaitan saranan Azharuddin Helmi perkara ini boleh beliau rujuk dalam entri terdahulu Chedet iaitu "Menegur" dan "Kerajaan Terima Dengan Terbuka Teguran Tun M" dan pandangan No 18 Tun M dengan sendirinya menjawab bahasan Adriandan88



13. **mubarakchan**

October 27, 2014 at 10:36 PM | [Permalink](#)

Tun

I have always said that as long as the BN Government follows the Rule of the Laws, have policies which are fair and transparent, maintains a normal and functioning bureacracy and eschews making money through aping Singapore's GLCs but only to rule over us, there is nothing much the Opposition can do until the next 14th General Elections.

**MALAYSIA BOLEH ! MALAYS BOLEH ! MALAYSIANS BOLEH !**



14. **adriandan88**

October 27, 2014 at 9:57 PM | [Permalink](#)

Sememangnya laporan daripada THE EDGE hanya fitnah semata-mata. Bukan pertama kali portal tersebut berbuat demikian, inilah hasil daripada kebebasan menulis yang dipraktikkan di negara lain. Saya tidak dapat bayangkan apakah yang akan terjadi kepada negara kita apabila kerajaan memansuhkan akta hasutan yang sememangnya digunakan untuk mengekang hasutan daripada para pembangkang terutamanya daripada menghuru-harakan negara.

1MDB merupakan projek negara yang diasaskan oleh DSNR. Mungkin ia merupakan satu strategi daripada Datuk Seri sendiri untuk mendapatkan modal yang lebih besar. Kita tidak dapat mengetahui kebenarannya. Jangan kita terus kepada sesuatu keputusan yang boleh memburukkan nama negara kita. Ia merupakan satu pelaburan yang masih panjang. Untuk masa depan negara.



15. **Azharuddin Helmi**

October 27, 2014 at 9:36 PM | [Permalink](#)

Tun Dr. Mahathir,

Saya menghargai pandangan dan cadangan daripada Tun. Seperti biasa Tun pasti akan memberikan komen yang kritis dan membina sepertimana Tun memerintah negara sebelum ini. Bagaimanapun, adalah lebih baik Tun berbincang terlebih dahulu dengan pihak kerajaan terutamanya berkenaan terma-terma yang dipersetujui dalam 1MDB dalam suasana ekonomi masa kini. Diharapkan Tun dapat memberi sumbangan pemikiran ke arah memajukan 1MDB

dan seterusnya mendatangkan faedah kepada negara dan rakyat. Buah fikiran daripada Tun juga diharapkan agar dapat disalurkan secara profesional kepada kerajaan.



16. *sibotak*

October 27, 2014 at 6:09 PM | [Permalink](#)

Kerajaan Malaysia gitu tunduk pada Pembangkang  
Seperti di lucut ISA sedangkan Abang tiri DAP , PAP  
Masih memeluk agama ISD  
Sekarang mereka suruh lucutkan Sediton act sedangkan Abang Tiri  
mereka masih menganut Defamation Act  
Baru ini blogger Roy di Singapura disaman oleh PM mereka  
Kerana menyentuh tentang wang simpanan CPF warga Singapura  
Tambahkan lagi Roy tidak di benarkan lagi protest secara aman di Speakers Corner  
Kelihatan Speakers Corner hanyalah lebih pada showcase untuk menonjolkan  
yg kononnya S,pore Freedom of Speech  
Tidak bermakna di Speakers Corner tidak ada activity tidak ada orang yg membangkang  
Ia kelihatan lebih pada Rakyat mereka takut bersuara  
Disini Malaysia Pembangkang bermaharajalela mengutuk Kerajaan Tanpa Bukti  
dan Sewenangnyanya  
INI PERTANDA TIDAK SIHAT ...dan tujuan mereka bukanlah untuk freedom of speech  
Tujuan sebenar adalah Menjatuhkan & Memalukan Kerajaan  
Justeru Kerajaan Malaysia mesti menerangkan tujuan & niat pembangkang sebenarnya  
kepada Dunia Luar  
Ia TIDAK BOLIH DI BIARKAN

Di Penang Kelihatan DAP sudah membawa masuk abang tiri beliau  
Tanah Bumi ,Kampung Melayu di Singkir dengan alasan Pembangunan  
Tujuan sebenar adalah mengusir anak Bumi keluar  
dan Membawa abang tiri mereka masuk  
Ini sudah beralaku di Singapura..Tidakkah Sejarah mengajar kita ?  
Bermakna DAP lebih mengutamakan Orang Asing dari anak Bumi Malaysia  
Mereka Bangun untuk mereka bukan untuk anak Bumi  
Dengan mengadakan pekerjaan yg bergaji kecil tak cukup makan  
Hanya mengkayakan pendatang  
Ke mana arah sebenar anak Bumi kelak ?

Itulah tujuan mereka Pembanguna hanya Alasan  
KAN LEBIH BAIK LEPAK KAT TANAH SENDIRI  
DARI TANAH DIBERI ORANG ANAK SENDIRI AKAN di SINGKIR  
BERAPA GAJI YG MEREKA AKAN KASI ?  
DAP. dirgerharu cendana pura  
Dah tahu bertanya pula...ewah ...ewah



17. **Hajar**

October 27, 2014 at 5:45 PM | [Permalink](#)

Salam YAB & Yg diKasihi Tun,

'1MDB'

1. Saya pun ada baca tentang hutang 1MDB dan juga tentang pembelian aset-aset (khabarnya ada yang sudah hampir luput..) pada harga yang jauh lebih tinggi dari harga pasaran; setiap satu aset khabarnya dibeli beratus-ratus juta lebih tinggi dari harga pasaran. Baiknya hati PM Ah Jib Gor untuk sedekah duit yang di PINJAM kepada pihak yang menjual aset-aset tersebut; PM Ah Jib Gor bersedekah kepada orang kaya dengan duit yang 1MDB pinjam; bijak...bijak.

2. Jika membeli 'harta' di bawah harga pasaran (kurang dari 10 juta saja) boleh disabit kesalahan, adakah boleh pihak 1MDB (Pengurusan) juga didakwa (kerana kemungkinan ada unsur-unsur penyelewengan/rasuah) jika harga belian/jualan sudah tidak masuk akal kerana terlalu tinggi?

3. Saya harap pihak/syarikat yang mengurus hutang/pembelian aset-aset tersebut tiada apa-apa hubung-kait dengan PM Ah Jib Gor atau pun CON-sultants beliau. Seperti kata Tun, jika betul 'fitnah' & 'cerita dongeng' saja, kenalah PM Ah Jib Gor sebagai orang paling 'atas' memperbetulkan situasi ini dengan pantas; sikap beliau pun kenalah di transformasi.

4. Saya khuatir Malaysia nanti kena 'jual/gadai' jika 1MDB tidak mampu membayar hutang yang terlampau banyak.

5. Jangan nanti Malaysia jadi negara 'dewasa/matang' yang muflis sudahlah...

Terima kasih Tun.

\*\*\* Semoga Allah SWT sentiasa melindungi Tun sekeluarga. \*\*\*

18.



*Ibnu Mukmin*

October 27, 2014 at 4:53 PM | [Permalink](#)

Salam Tun,

Jika apa yg The Edge laporkan benar ia amat membimbangkan.

Jika ia nya Fitnah semata mata, ia juga membimbangkan.

Seperti Tun katakan, 1MDB perlu transparent dan accountable. Mungkin mereka boleh open the books to Tun and your appointed team is financial experts.

We will really want to know the truth. However, an assurance from Tun and your audt team will suffice to render the issue a non-issue. We are sure Tun will tell is as it is.

19.



*Tired*

October 27, 2014 at 4:15 PM | [Permalink](#)

Salam Tun,

“Full fuel subsidy only for those earning under RM5,000 monthly”

1. The above caption was screaming in the Star Online and most likelihood than not, it would become another iMDB, PR1MA, MRT-II, -III, -IV whatever, etc, etc, and NOTHING ANY OF US SILLY AND DUMB MALAYSIANS COULD DO ANYTHING ABOUT!

2. Malaysia Boleh, Malaysian Boleh in Bolehland!!!

Sekian, Tun, terima kasih. Wassalam.

20.



*fatahismi*

October 27, 2014 at 3:48 PM | [Permalink](#)

As Salam Tun Dr Mahathir,

1MDB buat duit dengan berhutang. Tak payah buat development lagi. Ini contoh kepimpinan yang lemah dan longgar. Macam mana nak diperkuatkan dan diketatkan prosedur dan polisi 1MDB ni hanyalah Dato Najib yang brkemampuan.

Namun begitu, ada pihak tertentu yang dah makan komisyen. Berhutang dapat komisyen dan membeli janakuasa pun mungkin dapat imbuhan tertentu. Wallahualam.

Ada kerja kosong tak kat 1MDB. Ada? Saya nak mohon jadi pegawai kredit 1MDB. mana tahu, saya pun nanti boleh kira kira komisyen juga.

Apa pun, perkara ini adalah jenayah kolar putih. Kerja dia orang sauk, sauk dan sauk sampai 1MDB tinggal tulang. Bila dah kaya, pindah ke Australia, Amerika Syarikat atau Britain.

Ooo..sebelum tu pergi buat Haji dulu. Minta Tuhan ampunkan dosa mereka. Emmm... yang haram akan jadi halal pada fikiran mereka. Allah tu Maha Pengampun.

Dato Najib jangan ler tidur macam Pak Lah. Hutang 1MDB ni besar. Mungkin 50 tahun lagi baru boleh bayar hutang.

Aduhai... Malaysia Negaraku yang tercinta...

Source: <http://chedet.cc/?p=1508>