

**Hard questions on RM2.6b from Johor MB's son**  
**MalaysiaKini.com**  
**Aug 4, 2015**

The son of Johor Menteri Besar Khaled Nordin is asking some hard questions about the RM2.6 billion 'donation' received by Prime Minister Najib Abdul Razak.

However, Akmal Saufi Khaled's inquisitiveness has ruffled the feathers of the pro-Najib blog MYKMU, which posted a rebuttal to his questions.

Among the questions Akmal Saufi, who is the Youth Parliament's security, law and integrity committee chairperson, asked on his Facebook page are:

- What is the proof that the RM2.6 billion was a donation and not from 1MDB or parties that have transactions related to 1MDB?
- The MACC said the money was a donation. Was it a donation for the government, Umno or to (Najib) himself?
- If it was for Umno, was the supreme council aware of the donation? When did Najib plan to tell them?
- If it was a private donation to Najib, did he receive it while he was a minister? Is a minister, deputy prime minister, or the prime minister allowed to receive donations while in office?

MYKMU did not rebut any of these questions. It instead focused on:

**Q:** Did Najib pay income tax on the money?

**A:** You have to pay tax for political or charitable donations? Can you show us which clause says this?

**Q:** Was the Inland Revenue Board aware that Najib had received the money?

**A:** With effect from the year of assessment 2004, income derived from outside Malaysia and received in Malaysia by a resident individual is exempted from tax.

and;

**Q:** Was the Bank Negara Governor aware of the inflow of RM2.6 billion into the country?

**A:** Bank Negara knows about all the capital inflow because Bank Negara is informed of each large transaction. In fact, Bank Negara is even notified (of transactions) from the prime minister's private accounts.

This last answer incriminates the central bank, which has yet to answer queries as to whether or not it was aware of the RM2.6 billion transaction and why it had not raised a red flag.

MYKMU also answered some questions not asked by Akmal Saufi such as:

**Q:** Isn't this a bribe?

**A:** It's a bribe if you own something illegitimately, hide it or receive it from someone with vested interests. In this case there were no vested interests and it was not hidden from the authorities. The MACC has said there is no element of graft.

**Q:** Where did the money go to?

**A:** None of your business. This is an issue between the donor, the receiver and the Umno supreme council.

**Q:** Who is the donor?

**A:** DAP did not agree to laws to disclose political funding sources as proposed by the prime minister. So it is impossible for BN to reveal their sources when other parties refuse to.

**Q:** Why the prime minister's account?

**A:** The donation was made for the election campaign and for charitable work. The donors knew they were donating into the Umno president's accounts. The authorities knew too. It wasn't an account that was secretly opened and used as a dummy account.

It should be noted that DAP has rebutted allegations that it rejected a law to disclose political funding sources. It claims that Najib never met with the party to propose the idea.

Members of Umno's supreme council have also denied being told that the RM2.6 billion was used to fund the 2013 general election.

Copyright © 1999-2012 Mkini Dotcom Sdn. Bhd

Source: <http://www.malaysiakini.com/news/307292>