

Here's proof why PAC is incompetent

MalaysiaKini.com

Jan 2nd, 2016

Yoursay

YOURSAY | 'WSJ sources include 1MDB's minutes of meetings.'

PAC deputy chief wants WSJ to submit evidence on 1MDB

Anonymous_1426565266: Dr Tan Seng Giaw, you already lost your credibility after you watch too much TV until you forgotten to vote in Parliament recently.

By saying "We don't know the source of the report, how are we going to ask them?", it simply show that you are just another 'cari makan' Public Accounts Committee (PAC) member who have no determination to seek for the truth.

The **Wall Street Journal (WSJ)** already mentioned the source from 1MDB board meeting minutes. The whole wide world knows about the allegation but we do not have the privilege to access the 1MDB documents as a PAC member like you.

Did you even bother to find out diligently from the minutes before you come out with a million excuses to ignore WSJ report? Have you scrutinise all the minutes of meetings for 1MDB? Did you summon the board members for clarification?

There are so many things you can do to acquire the fact as a PAC deputy chief, but sadly you are not doing anything. Do us a favour, if you cannot perform your duty, please save yourself some dignity and resign.

Slumdog: Tan, you don't need WSJ to submit you the evidence. The WSJ's latest report is based on the board minutes of the December 2014 meeting. Pick up the phone and ask 1MDB for the minutes.

Odin Tajué: Tan, I actually have no wish to comment on the so-called investigations into the alleged theft of billions from or through 1MDB and SRC International for the simple reason that the investigations are all a farce.

Tomorrow, and tomorrow, and tomorrow, the charade creeps in this petty pace from day to day to the last syllable of recorded time.

You investigators are but walking shadows, poor players that strut and fret your hour upon the stage, waste your time and public funds, and then are heard no more: your probes are tales meant for morons, full of sound and fury, but signifying nothing. Even your chief is only 'cari-ing makan'.

But just for the sake of conversation, in a manner of speaking, WSJ got most of its information from minutes of certain 1MDB's board meetings, has it not?

Therefore, all it takes is for you to order 1MDB to provide you with a copy each of the minutes. Indeed, there is no reason for you to get WSJ to provide you with anything.

As for summoning Jho Low for questioning, one seriously wonders if you are unable to or reluctant to.

Anonymous 560221439180274: If PAC starts investigating on allegations made by WSJ, then it is obliged to investigate every allegation that anyone makes against the government or parliamentarians.

Tan is right in not entertaining the latest or previous accusations made by WSJ. Allegations that 1MDB board is worried about probable criminal breach of trust and financial irregularity, insufficient cash flow with priority given to political funding and the rest amount to nothing without hard facts.

Why are people not asking WSJ to come before the PAC to present its case? That should help settle a lot of things.

Aries46: Yes, Tan has a point. Many of WSJ exposes are merely skirting around the 1MDB fiasco holding back on nailing the culprits.

For instance, they can accurately give details of Najib's personal AmIslamic bank account and the breakdown of the RM2.6 billion that was deposited but they are holding back on the initial source and money trail as if to play a cat-and-mouse game with Najib. This has enabled Umno to conveniently turn it around as a donation.

WSJ claims that US\$870 million was siphoned out of 1MDB to a fake offshore company but nothing more of where this money went. So much so even PAC chief Hasan Arifin has turned arrogant and chief tai-chi master Arul Kanda is having a field day merely stroking it away as recycled crap.

Pemerhati: Tan should realise that WSJ gets its information from sources and it only publishes it after it is convinced that the information is reliable and true.

It has to safeguard its reputation by making sure that what it says is correct because if it is found that its news is false then its reputation and business will be badly affected.

WSJ also has to protect and safeguard its sources because if it reveals them then they will get into serious trouble in a country.

Some of the information given by WSJ is in the minutes of the 1MDB board meeting which PAC can verify. Tan speaks like a Najib and Umno lackey when he says, "We cannot ask questions based on allegations".

The serious allegations are made by very reputable and reliable sources such as WSJ and

Sarawak Report. So why can't PAC call up Jho Low and others and try and determine the truth.

Res Ipsa: Tan, the saying goes that we can only bring the horse to the water, we can't make it drink. The expose by WSJ refers to certain documented evidence such as minutes of meetings which the PAC can easily call for.

Do you really need to be spoon fed with everything? For once, please show some initiative in addressing such matters. Your sincerity is already in doubt when you were conspicuously missing in Parliament when there was an attempt to vote down the Budget 2016.

Don't allow matters to get worse and start acting immediately unless of course you are no longer the same Dr Tan that we once knew.

Kim Quek: Tan, are you trying to get away from calling Jho Low? Otherwise, why should you give lame excuses like you are not sure whether he would come, or his name is not on official 1MDB records or he can only be summoned through the Treasury?

Jho Low is the alleged kingpin of the entire 1MDB fiasco, and documentary evidence to that effect has been floating around the world through the Internet for months, and you are suggesting not calling him to answer the PAC?

Then, what is PAC for – putting up a show for the powers-that-be?

If even the leading opposition member in the PAC starts to sing the same tune as those from the ruling coalition, PAC might as well close shop on the 1MDB issue.

Oh Ya?: This goes to show that the PAC is toothless and useless. It has also lost what little credibility it still has under the new team. The ruling elite cannot evade civil society's call for a RCI (royal commission of inquiry) on this mother of all scandals.

Joe Lee: "Hasan Arifin refused to respond to WSJ's latest report. 'It is not my duty to comment on this matter...'"

But it is his duty to get to the truth, so he has to seek the truth from the facts. It is definitely not his duty to protect the thief/thieves who has/have stolen the loot.