

Who believes Shahrol made 1MDB decisions on his own?

MalaysiaKini.com

Apr 16th, 2016

Yoursay

YOURSAY | 'If any plan I signed is erroneous, I can't say it is the typist's mistake.'

Nothing from 1MDB can be believed, says Mahathir

Kingfisher: The inspector-general of police (IGP) has requested reasonable time, as he claims to read the 106 pages of the Public Accounts Committee (PAC) report on 1MDB.

It is right and proper for him to be thorough as he obviously has an onerous task and responsibility.

It is to be recalled that some months ago the Attorney-General's Chambers requested time to scrutinise all the relevant Malaysian Anti-Corruption Commission (MACC) investigation papers on the 1MDB papers and the public indulged the AG. And he did make a considered decision of exoneration.

However, certain salient details that have been revealed and made public since, both internationally and nationally, concerning the facts of the matter have caused much speculation now regarding guilt and innocence.

The IGP apparently now has a more complex, perhaps also a more substantial basket of relevant information, content one would imagine to deliberate upon.

JMC: With each passing day, the issue of 1MDB is getting more and more complicated as more and more alleged lies are used to cover the loss of massive amounts of taxpayer's money.

It is sad, as former PM Dr Mahathir Mohamad says, nobody believes whatever is being said by 1MDB.

Hcleong: If former 1MDB CEO Shahrol Azral Ibrahim Halmi was the one solely responsible to have transferred billions of dollars overseas, he should be the one who received RM2.6 billion as a 'donation' in his personal account.

I run a professional firm. If any plan or document I signed is erroneous, I can't say it is the typist's or the draftsperson's mistake.

Cocomomo: Ernst & Young (EY) was kicked out because they allegedly asked too many questions. KPMG however signed off on the years EY did not want to sign off.

Did KPMG ask the same questions? Did they get the right answers and see the legitimate

supporting documents? In the following year, KPMG was kicked out like EY.

Why the change of stand on the part of KPMG? Were they the same issues that EY were not satisfied with in the first place and KPMG found the earlier explanations or documents given to them were allegedly cooked up or did KPMG pick up new issues?

Whatever the case, how could Deloitte sign off the audit when KPMG could not? Is it that Deloitte were prepared to take the risk of signing it off as 1MDB was after all a Finance Ministry-owned company?

Anonymous_3e93: Indeed, why did KPMG sign off the accounts for two straight years? Did they verify the transfers? Are they in collusion?

KPMG International must answer this. The alleged sheer negligence is mind-boggling, to say the least. The licence of KPMG Malaysia could be revoked.

Anonymous 189049145525585: Action must be taken against those from the government involved. Does anyone really believe that Shahrol made these decisions on his own?

He may be guilty of participating in and perpetrating the crime and he should be held responsible for that, but when a minister who has a duty to the people of this country to act responsibly and with integrity shows so little respect for the post and complete disrespect for the people, why should he be allowed to continue to hold such an esteemed position?

Odin Tajué: Mahathir, of course, nothing that has come from 1MDB is believable. You see, '1MDB' is the short form of 'One Massive Deposit of Bulls**t'.

And as you studied during the time when Malaysians (which means the people residing in Malaya and in Singapore) were taught by teachers of English competent in the language, you would know that 'one' used in this context means 'extraordinary/exceptional'.

So, we have an extraordinarily/exceptionally massive deposit of bull dung there.

Headhunter: Those genuine companies whose names had been used for the scam should get their lawyers to sue the wannabe companies and the scammers behind them for bringing them into disrepute.

They certainly have good grounds to do it as the whole world now thinks they are part of the scam.

Mosquitobrain: IPIC has confirmed Aabar Investments PJS has never received any money from Aabar Investments PJS Limited (Aabar BVI).

Aabar BVI is but a different entity, created to look and sound like Aabar Investment PJS to

'rig' money from 1MDB. Billions of ringgit were channelled to Aabar BVI.

All along PM Najib Abdul Razak has claimed innocent. But he should know billions of ringgit are going round the globe.

Spinning: 1MDB allegedly did not disclose all documents to PAC. The PAC report, which was compiled without these documents, is therefore incomplete.

It's like baking a cake, if one or two of the ingredients are missing, the result is usually unsatisfactory.

Copyright © 1999-2012 Mkini Dotcom Sdn. Bhd

Source: <https://www.malaysiakini.com/news/338032>