

So did Bank Negara give approval to RM2.6b transaction?

MalaysiaKini.com

May 25th, 2016

Yoursay

YOURSAY | 'If yes, on what grounds did Zeti approve the transfer of dubious funds?'

[Kit Siang tells PM to show Bank Negara documents for RM2.6b](#)

Pemerhati: PM Najib Razak's underlings and sycophants are taking turns to tell idiotic lies to protect him when there already is so much evidence from various reliable sources to indicate that Najib had allegedly siphoned billions through the 1MDB scam.

They probably think that if they make Najib happy by protecting him, he would reward them in some way.

Umno supreme council member Mohd Puad Zarkashi is stupidly repeating Najib's lie that the money was donated to fight the Islamic State, when it had been pointed out when the lie was first told that the Islamic State did not exist when Najib received the RM2.6 billion.

Some months ago Najib's deputy, Ahmad Zahid Hamidi, had also allegedly lied when he said that he had met the person who donated RM2.6 billion to Najib.

Apparently, to try and make that 'donor' lie more convincing, he said he had even seen a cheque for a huge sum of money.

But since such huge sums are transacted through electronic transfers that cheque story strongly indicated that the whole 'donor' story was a lie.

Hermit: Had not *The Wall Street Journal* (WSJ) exposed the billions of offshore joint-venture money gone missing, Bank Negara would not be forced to show its muscles.

But what is the point of imposing a token fine when the billions had been robbed?

Cmfoo: It's truly unbelievable, [this tall story](#) by the Department of Special Affairs (Jasa) director-general Mohd Puad Zarkashi.

Then Bank Negara governor Zeti Akthar Aziz was part of the special task force set up to investigate the RM2.6 billion scandal a year ago and to think she said nothing at that time about having given approval to Najib?

The rakyat are not stupid. So are those university students.

Roger 5201: "The only problem, he said, was that perhaps an officer from Bank Negara or AmBank had leaked the matter to *Sarawak Report* and it was then passed to *The Wall Street Journal*," Puad had said.

Perhaps? Perhaps he can leak the "truth" to counter the "lies".

Anonymous 613191433928966: If you notice one thing, no one is talking about the illegal transfer of money. No one is talking about money smuggled into Malaysia.

The legality of how the money was brought into Malaysia is not in question. What is being questioned is where the money came from and whether it was stolen either directly or indirectly from 1MDB.

RM2.6 Billion Turkey Haram: DAP supremo Lim Kit Siang, if a man is innocent of all the allegations of scandals, the first thing he would do is to deny them with documentary evidence.

He would not wait for light years before producing the evidence. In the case of Najib, even after light years of waiting, he will not be able to produce one tangible proof. So what conclusions can one make?

So far, the people I have met, not even one believed all the cock and bull stories cooked up by his foolish ministers, such as Abdul Rahman Dahlan, Salleh Said Keruak and Ahmad Zahid Hamidi.

Anonymous 2415891461978791: Let's put aside for the moment the fact that any payment from a foreign government of hundreds of millions of dollars into a prime minister's personal bank account would be a crime in any country in the world except Malaysia.

So if the '[681 American pies](#)' is an 'innocent' payment from our good pals in Saudi Arabia to buy elections or jewellery or whatever, what does an 'innocent' Najib do when this is first questioned?

Why, he holds a press conference with the friendly donor himself, and shows his Bank Negara documents, and says, "Prince Camel tent here gave me these millions to rig elections and buy Rosmah underwear, and I told that old auntie at Bank Negara, so no problem lah!"

Vijay47: I think that apart from sighting the miraculous papers of approval from Bank Negara, there is another question that needs to be answered - on what grounds did Zeti approve the transfer of dubious funds into Najib's account?

Did she not have the fiduciary duty to ensure that the money was not blemished through being earned by questionable means?

That the applicant was the prime minister was no assurance of compliance with legality; on the contrary, funds supposedly for national interests were being channelled not into the state treasury, but into the personal account of a politician.

Wasn't this by itself suspicious and warrant closer scrutiny, especially by the head of Bank Negara who was reputedly one of the best in Asia?

The other obvious question is, of course, why both Zeti and Najib chose to keep mum about this all this while.

What a shame, Zeti, that at the very last lap you stained your reputation so mortally.