

Pulling 1MDB wool over the people's eyes
MalaysiaKini.com
June 10th, 2016
Yoursay

YOURSAY | 'You do not need WSJ to reveal their source before you can sue them.'

[WSJ's lies exposed again, says Najib's aide](#)

Anonymous 2415891461978791: *The Wall Street Journal* (WSJ) wrote: "At issue is US\$3 billion Goldman raised via a bond issue for Malaysian state investment fund 1Malaysia Development Bhd, or 1MDB.

"Days after Goldman sent the proceeds into a Swiss bank account controlled by the fund, half of the money disappeared offshore, with some later ending up in the prime minister's bank account, according to people familiar with the matter and bank-transfer information viewed by *The Wall Street Journal*."

If the WSJ is wrong and the US\$3 billion did not go into a Swiss bank account controlled by 1MDB, where did the US\$3 billion go?

Everything right down the line that the WSJ has published thus far has turned out to be correct. If the panicking PM Najib Razak gang has a case, let them bring it to court. Go on, tough guys. Sue WSJ.

Anonymous 1890491455255851: Why can't Najib just clarify and come out with all the necessary documents to clear his name if indeed he is not guilty.

Why let this massive issue continue to drag on and drag this country down? He continues to just pretend all this is just noise and does nothing concrete to make things right, and his aide keeps on repeating that the media is spreading lies.

Well, do something much more convincing to sort the truth from lies. You cannot blame Malaysians for believing that the truth does not lie with Najib and all these people who speak on his behalf.

Gaji Buta: Yes, if you can tell us where the money came and went instead, it will solve all the issues, but you have yet to do that despite the whole country asking for an explanation for over a year, and our name being dragged through the mud.

You have humiliated our nation by your lack of transparency and your silence, and you only know how to point fingers when others err in the bid to find out what you did.

Existential Turd: Their poor attempt to pull wool over the people's eyes does not stand up to scrutiny:

1. Monetary Authority of Singapore said no 1MDB fund went to Singapore's local banks. The keyword is "local". The bank in question, BSI, is a Swiss bank.

2. It is not up to the WSJ to reveal the sources of its exposé. It is a simple matter for Najib/1MDB to reveal its money trail to debunk WSJ allegations. If WSJ has maliciously defamed Najib, just sue them. The fact that he/1MDB did neither shows they are guilty as charged.

You do not need for them to reveal their source before you can sue them. Their obfuscation may fool the rural folks, but they are increasingly revealed to be out of their depth when confronted with a savvy and cynical generation.

Kim Quek: Najib's aide Tengku Shariffuddin Tengku Ahmad, rather than repeatedly calling WSJ a liar, you will carry immensely more credibility if you would just tell us where the US\$3 billion went to, and how it was utilised.

And can you also categorically deny that some of the US\$3 billion was sent to Devonshire Funds, which in turn sent US\$210 million to Tanore Finance, which we all know allegedly sent that famous RM2.6 billion to Najib's AmBank account?

Incidentally, your claim that WSJ can't be sued due to it quoting anonymous sources is patently false. It is elementary legal knowledge that a person who lies to defame another is legally guilty of defamation – irrespective of his source of information.

In fact, it is your boss Najib's persistent failure to sue WSJ for any of the numerous serious allegations against him that he is widely perceived to be guilty as charged.

WSJ has since [issued a correction](#) to say that bond-sale proceeds were transferred to 1MDB's account at BSI Bank in Switzerland, not BSI Bank in Singapore.

But that correction has brought no material difference to its report that the US\$3 billion cash was hurriedly raised for improper purpose and that part of that cash has gone to Najib's personal bank account within days via intermediaries Devonshire Funds and Tanore Finance.

Unafraid: The WSJ made an honest mistake and corrected it. It's only a different bank but still US\$3 billion has been transferred out.

1MDB has made so many ridiculous unsustainable statements. When their half-truths and lies were exposed, they did not make any corrections.

Tell me, which of the two, WSJ or 1MDB, is more credible?

Kuasa Rakyat: Thank you WSJ for letting Malaysians know what our government tries so very, very hard to hide from us, namely 1MDB has allegedly defrauded the country of billions of ringgit, of which RM2.6 billion finally surfaced in Najib's private and personal account.

RKR: Tengku Shariffuddin, all of you can go on assuming that Malaysians are fools with your silly and many bizarre explanations.

Speak to any Malaysian on the street and they will tell you exactly what they think of this allegedly corrupt government.

Oscar Kilo: "He (Tengku Shariffuddin) argued that Malaysians had no way of knowing the truth since the WSJ refused to name a single source."

Well, on the other hand, we are still waiting for the actual Arab donor to be identified.