

THE JOHORE CAUSEWAY / TAMBAK JOHOR

Chedet.co.cc

June 26, 2009

By Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad

(Versi Bahasa Malaysia di akhir rencana ini)

1. I put the document on the financing of the construction of the Johor Causeway because a Minister in Tun Abdullah's Government claimed that it is jointly owned by us and Singapore. Therefore Singapore must agree before anything (demolition) is done to the causeway.
2. There has never been any agreement or treaty to say that the causeway is jointly owned. If at all 2/3rd of the causeway belong to Johore. And the 2/3rd must be the part which ends in Johore Bahru because the border on the Tebrau Straits is the deep water line which runs midway between Singapore and Johore. This must also be the border on the causeway. The northern half of the causeway therefore belong to Malaysia, a sovereign independent nation.
3. The suggestion that we may not touch the causeway without Singapore's permission is not part of any agreement with Singapore. What we do to the part of the causeway which is ours is our sovereign right. Not to exercise our sovereign right is akin to not being independent.
4. Why should we build a bridge to replace the causeway? That part of Johore Bahru where the traffic to and from the causeway meets the traffic going east and west in JB is often jammed. In future as more vehicles go on the roads the jam would certainly get worse.
5. The bridge will join the elevated road to and from the CIQ (Customs, Immigration and Quarantine complex) leaving the traffic east and west to flow freely.
6. Besides the traffic problem, the water in the straits is stagnant. If the causeway is opened up there would be constant flow of water in both direction, thus flushing out the water in the strait.
7. Without the causeway boats and yachts can sail in either direction. Transport of goods and people between Pasir Gudang Port to Tanjung Pelepas Port would be facilitated. This would be good for the growth of both ports – something which perhaps Singapore would not like to see.
8. The free movements of boats along the strait would itself create business. The bridge which would be wider than the causeway would speed up the flow of traffic. The CIQ was designed to handle this increasingly heavier traffic.
9. As to why it was not built during my 22 years, the answer is that the need for a bridge became clear towards the end of my term. In any case I had approved it before I stepped down. The work was started during my time. Had Abdullah not stopped it, the bridge would have been almost complete by now.
10. Since Singapore would only agree to a straight bridge if one billion cubic metre of sand is sold to it; since the people of Johore are against selling sand and allowing Singapore

fighter planes to practice over Johore, the option for the Malaysian Government is to revert to building the scenic bridge in our territorial waters. This is the sovereign right of an independent nation.

11. Instead Tun Abdullah decided not only not to build the bridge but to stop negotiating over all the other issues including the refusal of Singapore to release CPF (Central Provident Fund) contribution of Peninsular Malaysians, the railway land, the 3 sen per 1,000 gallons which Singapore pays for raw water (Malacca pays 30 sen per 1,000 gallons).

12. All these issues are in Singapore's favour and not negotiating better terms because Johore people refuse to sell sand is like cutting one's nose to spite one's face. We are the losers. I think it is a very stupid way of punishing Singapore. Or is it the intention to punish Johore people for not agreeing to sell sand (somebody is bound to make a huge amount of money) and rejecting the honour of having Singapore warplanes practicing aerial combat and bombing over Johore.

1. Saya siarkan dokumen berkenaan pembiayaan pembinaan Tambak Johor kerana ada Menteri di dalam pentadbiran Tun Abdullah yang mendakwa Tambak Johor milik bersama antara kita dan Singapura. Sebab itu Singapura harus bersetuju sebelum apa-apa tindakan (meroboh) dapat dilakukan terhadap tambak tersebut.

2. Tidak terdapat apa-apa persetujuan mahupun perjanjian yang mengatakan Tambak Johor milik bersama. Jika ada pun 2/3 daripada tambak tersebut adalah milik Johor. Dan bahagian 2/3 tersebut sudah semestinya yang berakhir di Johor Bahru kerana sempadan di Selat Tebrau merupakan laluan air yang paling dalam, kira-kira separuh dari selat tersebut antara Singapura dan Johor. Ini mestilah juga sempadan tambak. Dengan itu separuh tambak di bahagian utara adalah hak Malaysia, satu negara yang berdaulat dan merdeka.

3. Cadangan yang mengatakan kita tidak boleh sentuh tambak tanpa kebenaran Singapura bukan merupakan sebahagian daripada apa-apa perjanjian dengan Singapura. Apa yang kita buat pada bahagian tambak di sebelah kita adalah hak kita sebagai satu negara yang berdaulat. Tidak melaksanakan hak kedaulatan kita samalah dengan menganggap diri kita dijajah.

4. Kenapa kita perlu bina jambatan untuk gantikan tambak? Jalanraya di Johor Baru di mana lalu lintas dari dan ke arah tambak bertemu dengan lalu lintas menghala ke arah timur dan barat seringkali sesak. Di masa hadapan apabila lebih banyak kenderaan menggunakan jalan tersebut, kesesakan tentu akan bertambah buruk.

5. Jambatan akan disambung ke jalan jejambat dari dan ke kompleks kastam, imigresen dan kuarantin (CIQ), dan ini akan benarkan lalulintas dari timur ke barat untuk bergerak lancar.

6. Selain masalah trafik, laluan air di selat juga tidak mengalir. Jika tambak dibuka, air akan bebas mengalir di kedua-dua arah, dan akan membersihkan perairan di selat.

7. Ketiadaan tambak juga akan benarkan bot dan kapal layar belayar pergi balik di kedua-dua arah. Pengangkutan barangan dan penumpang di antara pelabuhan Pasir Gudang dan pelabuhan Tanjung Pelepas juga akan dipermudahkan. Ini akan bantu pertumbuhan kedua-dua pelabuhan – sesuatu yang mungkin Singapura tidak suka lihat.

8. Laluan tanpa halangan bot-bot sepanjang selat juga akan mewujudkan peluang perniagaan. Jambatan akan lebih lebar daripada tambak dan akan mempercepatkan aliran lalulintas. Bangunan CIQ juga direkabentuk untuk menampung jumlah trafik yang lebih tinggi.

9. Saya ditanya kenapa ianya tidak dibina semasa 22 tahun pentadbiran saya, jawapannya ialah keperluan untuk jambatan hanya jadi lebih jelas di akhir jangka waktu pentadbiran saya. Walaubagaimanapun saya telah luluskan projek tersebut sebelum saya berundur. Kerja-kerja pembinaan juga bermula semasa saya masih lagi mentadbir. Jika Abdullah tidak berhentikan, jambatan sudah tentu akan hampir siap sekarang.

10. Oleh kerana Singapura hanya akan setuju untuk membina jambatan lurus jika satu bilion metre padu pasir dijual kepadanya; oleh kerana rakyat Johor membantah penjualan pasir dan kebenaran bagi pesawat pejuang Singapura berlatih di ruang udara Johor, pilihan yang ada bagi Kerajaan Malaysia hanyalah untuk kembali pada pembinaan jambatan bengkok (indah) di perairan wilayah kita. Ini adalah hak sebuah negara berdaulat yang merdeka.

11. Sebaliknya Abdullah putuskan untuk bukan sahaja tidak bina jambatan di perairan kita tetapi juga untuk berhenti berunding berkenaan semua perkara lain termasuk keengganan Singapura meluluskan dana CPF (Central Provident Fund) yang dicarum pekerja dari semenanjung Malaysia, tanah keretapi, harga air mentah 3 sen untuk 1,000 gelen yang dibayar Singapura (Melaka bayar 30 sen untuk 1,000 gelen).

12. Kesemua isu ini memberi keuntungan pada Singapura dan dengan menghentikan rundingan untuk mendapatkan hak yang lebih baik dalam masalah tersebut kononnya kerana orang Johor menolak penjualan pasir yang rugi ialah kita. Yang kalah adalah kita. Saya rasa ini satu cara bodoh untuk hukum Singapura. Ataupun adakah ianya satu hukuman bagi orang Johor kerana tidak setuju jual pasir (ada orang yang akan buat banyak duit) dan menolak cadangan supaya ruang udara Johor Baru dijadikan tempat pesawat pejuang Singapura berlatih berperang dan mengebom.

243 Comments

foradob8

May 19, 2010 at 7:18 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun,

In your opinion, has the Malaysian Current Government forgotten about this issue?

As well as the double-standard conditions of CPF withdrawal issue for West Malaysian returning to Malaysia. ie. east Malaysians can withdraw CPF when they return to East Malaysia, West Malaysians must leave West Malaysia permanently to withdraw their CPF before the age of 55.

Is this government in a mess?

Your inputs please.

adik adik's Gravatar adik adik

November 19, 2009 at 1:24 pm | Permalink

Pak Lah, memang tak layak, bukan saja menjadi Perdana Menteri, menjadi Menteri Biasa pun, Saya tak lihat ciri cirinya.

Tak dinafikan Pak Lah Orang yg baik.

Tak perlu layan rundingan Singapura,yg mana nak sesuatu mesti berkait hal yg lain.Ciri ciri seorang penipu.Lu kasi satu gua kasi satu yg lain cerita lainlah. Seolah kita pula yg menuduk pada dia. Nak taknak,tak nak sua. Tak perlu pergi Makamah Dunia,tak perlu lawan, kita sudah ada jawabannya.Lainlah Iran mengadili perselisihan kita sama Singapura,tak mungkin Singapura nak. Pembinaan Jabatan kita harus design untuk kepentingan kita, seperti juga mereka design undang undang yg mementingkan kehendak mereka daripada berlaku adil. Mungkin kita boleh pindahkan Tanjung Perlepas,dan Pasir Gudang ke bahagian Danga Bay,kalau locasinya boleh menampis perlabuhan dan memberi munafaat pada kita. wargabebas's Gravatar wargabebas
August 9, 2009 at 12:53 am | Permalink

Ah, perhaps I commented too soon on a previous blog entry.

1. Now that Tun have revealed that a passage would be open to transport goods from one port to the other, than this should be the primary reason for building the bridge.
2. Tun, one thing puzzles me. Why did you not suggest that a canal be build so that large sea vessels can pass. If the Panama and the Suez canal can be built why, not the Johor canal? That would be more reason to build a crooked bridge is it not? That will surely make Singapore strategically irrelevant would it not?
3. An agreement is an agreement. Once made, it can not be broken. Even China had to abide by the lease of Hong Kong for an excruciating 99 years. So, 3 cents per 1,000 gallons of water was agreed upon and 3 cents per 1,000 gallons it shall be until the end of the period stipulated in the agreement. But the thing is, is Johor still being paid 3 cents per 1,000 gallons? are the meters still reading gallons or are they now reading liters to keep up with the times? If the technical side have kept up with the times, how much is Johor being compensated for 1,000 liters? Considerably less because 1,000 liters or 1 cubic meter is only 220 UK gallons. That would work out to be only 0.66 cents per 1,000 liters or 1 cubic meter. No doubt, Singapore is happy to continue paying this price. But what is equally important is, if the measure of quantity have kept up with the times has, the commercial aspect of the agreement also kept up with the times? When was the agreement made? In what currency? How much is that today? Regardless whether such provision is mention in the agreement or not, inflation is inevitable and must be considered. Hence, price must also be adjusted from time to time to maintain value. If the quantity of water is to be maintained as per agreement so, must the value of the price. Is this being done? If not then, Johor have been played for a fool.
4. Johor is not interest in selling so much sand to Singapore. Perhaps Johorians are afraid that Singapore will expand its territory instead of building their portion of the bridge. Nevertheless, it is the right of Johor not to accede to Singapore's request. But why does it allow Singapore's war planes to exercise over its air space? Do Johor war planes have the same right to exercise over Singapore air space? If not, why not?

Tun, I think these are questions only Johor can answer themselves. In my humble opinion, they have not awaken. If they did, they would not have voted for BN in the last general elections. Salam.

dan17's Gravatar dan17

August 2, 2009 at 9:48 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,

As a PM you were a Nation Builder. I admire that.You are still relevant till today. You are also a great thinker. But in today context being a PM is to set a trend that is to cower to the public all the time. No doubt the public vote your team but you are given the mandate to carry sometimes not so popular policy which you deem good to the general public. Well that is today PM's trendsetter

han world's Gravatar han world

July 30, 2009 at 1:54 pm | Permalink

Dlam hal singapura ni,hrp2 Najib akan lebih tegas sikit..mcm di era Tun dlu..saya masih igt lagi dlu Tun pernah cakap mengenai hal air mentah ni n cdgn jmbatan bengkok dlu..smpai singapura pun bgegar ckit,hahaha...

itoaziz's Gravatar itoaziz

July 21, 2009 at 12:21 pm | Permalink

Tun, tambak johor should be replaced with a new one. S'pore may not be happy with the abolishment of the causeway but we, i personaly be very happy not to face bloody jam again & again. I hope you can 'advice' PM Najib to reconsider or revised the decision made by former PM Tun A. On Tuanku's side i think shouldnt be a

problem bcoz kebawah duli has always wanted a new bridge to be built (i think we can still remember his statement or speech when launching Iskandar M'sia) i heard former PM A had to cancel his PC just to avoid being asked to comment on tuanku's demand to the Federal Govt to bulit the bridge.

Tun, plz do more n more campaign to induce youngsters to join UMNO or BN Parties. Jatuhnya kerajaan BN di peringkat pusat bermakna jatuhlah orang melayu yg tak bersatu ini. Former MBJ Tan Sri Othman Saat once said " Di johore letak calon pokok pisang pun BN boleh menang". At this material time i think we can't be so confident as Tan Sri's anymore. i know this may go off the causeway topic but just to share with you my opinion.

itoaziz

Muar, Johor.

Zulkiflee Bin Arip's Gravatar Zulkiflee Bin Arip

July 18, 2009 at 9:23 pm | Permalink

Assalamu'alaikum Tun,

selepas mengikuti dgn teliti blog Tun dan komen-komen didlm nya,saya dapati menerusi ruang blog Tun lebih berjaya membuka mata umat islam dan bangsa melayu menjadi lebih patriotik,lebih berani mengeluarkan pendapat dan lebih faham akan tindak-tanduk dan tipu-helah orang-orang asing yg sejak kebelakangan ini semakin berani mengasak umat islam dan orang melayu dgn tuntutan dan logika yg amat biadap.

kita seharusnya lebih vokal menghadapi kebiadapan orang-orang asing ini.UMNO seharusnya mengambil-alih blog Tun atau sekurang-kurangnya mencipta alternatif lain didlm mendidik umat islam dan orang melayu,membuka ruang debat yg konstruktif untuk membina kefahaman orang melayu tentang betapa rapuh nya kedudukan kuasa politik kita,yg bila cuai,kita boleh hilang segala-galanya.

Tun pernah mengatakan gagal mengubah minda orang melayu selama pemerintahan Tun 22 tahun.tapi bagi saya blog Tun amat berjaya mengajak orang melayu berfikir dgn semangat patriotik.setinggi-tinggi tahniah saya ucapkan buat Tun.ini adalah kejayaan yg jauh lebih besar dari sekadar pembangunan fizikal malaysia.

UMNO dan orang melayu harus di ajar mengurangkan sambutan meraikan kejayaan,sebaliknya menumpukan perhatian untuk sentiasa menumpuk kejayaan sebanyak mungkin.kita berhenti merayakan seri tapi kita tekun memperhebat sari.seri hanyalah fizikal yg indah di luar tapi sari adalah kekuatan dari dlm.ini yg harus kita bina.kuatkan angkatan tentera m'sia untuk menentang musuh dari luar tapi per-lipat gandakan kefahaman dan kewaspadaan kita menghadapi musuh yg menumpang dlm selimut kita,yg berlagak kawan,mengaku sedara tapi sebenarnya menunggu peluang untuk menguasai kita.

kita tak mahu pemimpin emosi yg hanya pandai menghunus keris seperti DS Hishamuddin.yg kita mahu adalah tindakan dan tindakan susulan untuk memperbaiki mana-mana ruang rapuh kedudukan agama dan bangsa kita dlm tampuk kuasa malaysia.terimakasih Tun.

weesg's Gravatar weesg

July 17, 2009 at 11:07 pm | Permalink

Hopefully Malaysia will exercise its sovereign rights and cut the causeway into 2 and build the crooked bridge. Since Singapore has no say over the sovereign rights of Malaysia, its only fair that Singapore allow malaysia do all the these.

Once the new bridge is built, BTW — Dr M and his motley crew of followers — you may need to think twice before connecting your new crooked bridge to Singapore's end of the causeway. Because that, according to the brilliant analysis, is infringing Singapore's sovereign rights if no approval from Singapore is given.

Singapore probably would have no choice but to keep its half of the causeway. Maybe Singapore can use it as a carpark for the people staying in Woodlands. But at least Singapore did not spent hundreds of millions on a new carpark.

anthony's Gravatar anthony

July 17, 2009 at 11:18 am | Permalink

Dear Tun,

While we are on the subject of the bridge, Johore, etc, I wish to ask your opinion on the MIC elections...especially of that of the Deputy President of MIC...your once good friend seems to have dirtied his hands once again by supporting his 'lame duck' successor Palanivel...what do you think Tun...is there a future for the Indians if Palanivel is elected and be controlled by Samy and his very, very, very corrupt and criminal son

Sayang's Gravatar Sayang

July 16, 2009 at 12:10 am | Permalink

Salam Ayahnda Tun,

Sy terfikir-fikir apakah akan ada lagi insan yang sebijak tun di bumi malaysia yang bertuah ini? Bilalah kita nak sedar yang selama nie maruah kita dikotak katikkan oleh jiran kita tu.

Sy amat tidak bersetuju pembinaan jambatan bengkok diberhentikan semasa dlm tempuk pemerintahan TAAB. Ntah apa yang difikirkan oleh TAAB. Sy sbarnya sangat tidak sabar untuk melihat kehadiran sebuah jambatan yang telah diinspirasikan oleh Ayahnda Tun. Hai, kenapalah TAAB tidak nampak akan kepentingan jambatan tersebut. Akan betapa cantik dan indahnya Bandaraya Johor Bahru dan sekali gus akan menjadi salah satu kebanggaan rakyat Johor khasnya dan juga seluruh rakyat malaysia amnya.

Sekarang nie pun, bandaraya Johor Bahru dah jadi kelam kabut dan banyak yang terurus. Umpama pepatah MATI SEGAN, HIDUP TAK MAHU.....

Sy berharap sangat yang YAB DSN akan dapat meneruskan pembinaan Jambatan Bengkok tersebut demi kedaulatan Malaysia yang sering dipersendakan.

Semoga Ayahnda Tun dan isteri akan sentiasa diberikan kesihatan yang baik dan dirahmati oleh ALLAH SWT, Insyallah. Amin.....

han world's Gravatar han world

July 13, 2009 at 10:08 pm | Permalink

Jambatan bengkok (Malaysia-singapura) sepatutnya diteruskan supaya ia menjadi bukti/peringatan dan pengajaran pada generasi akan datang.. tentang siapakah singapura sebenarnya..

supaya tidak lupa dan mengulangi kesilapan yang sama seperti kehilangan hak kedaulatan Pulau Batu Putih, isu penjualan air, kemana hak raja & orang melayu di singapura..dll.

TEY KOK LEONG's Gravatar TEY KOK LEONG

July 11, 2009 at 9:06 pm | Permalink

BASED ON THE ASPECT OF

1. ECONOMIC ISSUE

2. TRANSPORTATION TRAFFIC CONDITION

AS LONG AS WITHIN MALAYSIA LEGALLY AUTHORITY.

THE "EARLIER PROJECT" IMPLEMENT BY TUN SHOULD BE STICK TO THE PLAN!!! THE PROJECT MUST RESUME!!!

BUT SADLY "NOWADAYS POLITICIAN" MAKING A DECISION BASED ON WRONG DIRECTION.

rivaldo's Gravatar rivaldo

July 11, 2009 at 10:01 am | Permalink

Teruskan jambatan bengkok.Sudahlah terpaksa membayar pampasan kepada pemaju,malah banyak pekerja tempatan tidak dapat bekerja kerana pembatalan projek ini.Ini sekaligus menterhadkan kuasa berbelanja golongan terbabit.Bila kuasa berbelanja berkurang,inflasi meningkat.Pertumbuhan ekonomi mengucup.Saya percaya pembinaan jambatan ini akan lebih berjaya dengan pembinaan jalan keretapi berkembar dari China ke Johor yang telah dipersetujui oleh semua negara yang terlibat.Ini semua menunjukkan Tun bukan sahaja membina jambatan untuk memajukan pelabuhan melalui kapal dagang,malah mungkin menjadi hub barangan import dari China yang dibawa menggunakan keretapi.Bayangkan keadaan Malaysia ketika itu.Singapura maju kerana dia menjadi hub kepada banyak negara.Kita tahu China negara paling pesat membangun untuk 20 tahun mendatang.Kita sepatutnya ambil peluang ini.Seorang perdana menteri mesti berfikir 20-100 ke hadapan bukan 5 tahun sahaja.Kesilapan yang amat merugikan negara.

tudia's Gravatar tudia

July 10, 2009 at 8:30 pm | Permalink

si bodoh tu memang terus bodoh,dia tipu kononnya orang johor setuju jambatan indah dibatalkan.PENIPU !

menantu dah ada darah yahudi singapore ! kenalah tlg singapore.SELURUH RAKYAT JOHOR SOKONG JAMBATAN INDAH ,banyak faedahnya dari segi trafik,ekonomi johor dan imej negara ! biarkan si bangang tu tidur kat rumah jgn kejut dia nanti dia 'jalu' sebab tak cukup tidur !

Misaikucing's Gravatar Misaikucing

July 9, 2009 at 7:10 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Apa kata kita selesaikan aliran air di Selat Tebrau dulu dengan membuat terowong bawah Causeway sebelah Johor.

(Difahamkan dulu ada pembetung kecil tapi dah tersumbat)Selanjutnya para juruperunding civil dan akitek kita boleh rekabentuk dan laksana satu projek bagi mengubah causeway jadi jambatan bengkok atau lurus. Tolong sama-sama kita fikirkan.

Wasalam

72hazard's Gravatar 72hazard

July 8, 2009 at 1:12 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Kalau cam ni la gayanya...kita melayu akan terus dipermainkan oleh bangsa lain...ini lah dia kalau pemimpin negara menjadi korup...

Bila la nak sedar...

Wasalam

72HAZARD

Seremban 080709 1:19pm

JB_FROM's Gravatar JB_FROM

July 7, 2009 at 1:35 pm | Permalink

TO pemerhati,

Jem kat mana? Singapore side ke Jb side?

I pernah cross jugak, yang jem kat Spore side. Kalau buat bridge baru pun jem jugak.

Yang jem pasal kerajaan spore nak cek sorang-sorang pekerja yang masuk. Mungkin kerajaan kita boleh bincang buat special pass/ touch n go untuk selesai masalah ini.

Kalau dulu sebelum buat CIQ lagi cepat, sekarang CIQ baru lagi lambat betul?

Kalau buat bridge bengkok, sanggup ke jalan dari CIQ Singapore ke CIQ JB?

Ada pernah ke selama ni tengok jambatan yang bengkok dalam dunia, separuh tambak, separuh jambatan macamana rupanya?

pemerhati's Gravatar pemerhati

July 7, 2009 at 12:42 pm | Permalink

Saya adalah salah seorang dari beribu-ribu rakyat Malaysia yang travel dan menggunakan causeway untuk masuk ke singapura setiap hari, sejak bertahun-tahun lalu. Sebagai pengguna, saya berpendapat kami memang memerlukan jambatan yang baru. Ini bagi mengelakkan kesesakan lalu lintas yang berlaku di johor bahru dan untuk masuk ke singapura. Dan saya berharap, pemimpin2 dan pak menteri2 yang di Putrajaya sana, selalulah turun ke JB, jalan kaki sendiri di pedestrian walk way di Johor bahru sepanjang jalan wong ah fook...dan lihat sendiri bagaimana susahnya orang hendak pergi kerja dan bagaimana sesaknya keadaan di johor bahru. saya masih ingat dahulu, kata2 PakLah mengatakan orang Johor bahru tidak memerlukan jambatan baru? Siapa kata? dia ada turun sendiri dan lihat ke? dia ada experient the traffic jams ke? dia ada lihat bagaimana orang ramai terpaksa berjalan kaki hampir 2km merentasi sempadan, semata2 untuk mengejar masa, akibat traffic jammed yang teruk ke? For me & friends, and for daily travelers between Singapore & Malaysia, we really need the bridge.

JB_FROM's Gravatar JB_FROM

July 6, 2009 at 11:37 pm | Permalink

To JJJ,

Your comment,

"I think I know your gameplan.It is similar to the opposition whenever our nation try to acquire new weapons.This has also been highlighted by bro Kamal Ahmad earlier."

Since you say that you are a local JB resident then you should know that not all Malay resident in JB work for the government. They do own businesses (apart from the chinese majority). A large majority own restaurants and other businesses or interests.

They are also a high numbers of Johorean work for Hypermarkets all around JB.

Do you think tension with Singapore is good?

The Singapore politician have said negative things to us, what about our media? Do you think the politician in the Federal government really put a lot of thought in the welfare of Johorean when they make statements?

There is a famous American gangster movie line (The Godfather), One of the gangster role says "It's not personal, its business".

This blog by Tun is very personal, not business.

HBT's Gravatar HBT
July 6, 2009 at 8:07 pm | Permalink

Ayahanda Tun,
Izinkan...

//By S..Tan on July 5, 2009 12:53 AM

Dear Tun, salam sejahtera harap sihat sentiasa. Minta izin menjawab seorang penyokong tegar Tun serta memberi pandangan pada ulasan yg tersiar (bukan timbulkan kecoh)

Sdr HBT on June 29, 2009 4:55 PM (Be grateful for this headline treatment)//

Dear Mr Tan,

I need 7 words in Dwi Bahasa to make my conclusion on your comment:-

1. Racist Who Cries Racism
2. Cina Mudah Lupa

I need to "buat suntingan" jugak, I terlepas the perkataan "...Tak Senang Tidur, ini hak saya, bukan hak istimewa saya. Komen saya disiarkan atau tidak itu hak istimewa Blog chedet.cc, k!

Good night Ayahanda Tun.

tunnusantara's Gravatar tunnusantara

July 5, 2009 at 8:35 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun Dr. Mahathir,

Singapore is nothing but a small island with 1 city, thus, the name "city-state".

So, that's why it is very easy to control.... But the ONE-person "Assembly" really shows their kiasuness-level!! HA HA HA HA!!!

* Please excuse my big laugh to their over-kiasuness, Tun the Great! hehe..

&, lee kuan yew is also nothing but a humongous sore loser!... He wasn't respected by his peers back in the '50s when we were all working together to achieve independence. Even the British have no high regards of that kiasu fella tho' he won in the Singapore election!

On the eve of Merdeka, reporters were asking Tunku if he'd invite Singapore to join Malaya & Tunku replied: If leeky is anything like Leong Yew Koh, he would have already invited him yesterday!

(btw, Leong Yew Koh was later elected as Melaka's 1st Governor. According to his daughter Agnes Leong Kok Loon, her father Leong Yew Koh spurned the knighthood offered to him before Merdeka, saying: "I will only kowtow to the sovereign King of an Independent Malaya, not a foreign Queen." & that's how patriotic a Malaysian he was!!)

Yes, the British awarded "Sir" to many Malaysians but not to leeky! How pathetic (& sore) can he be?! :-D

Now, DAP (leeky's PAP's spin-off after they were kicked out of Malaysia) are busy "rewriting" history (Like chin peng – who merely fought the British for his "OWN power struggle", & NOT AT ALL to free Malaya!!); where, besides DAP condemning the Malays, they're also CONDEMNING their fellow Chinese who are MODERATE & Patriotic Malaysians who simply wanted those Chinese coolies/labourers who was given "citizenship" by the Malays, to UNITE with the rest of the citizens of this Country that had given them HOME!...

They (DAP) are SO DESPERATE and BUSY Trying to REWRITE History to promote their VERY SELFISH & RACIST ideology to all Sincere & Patriotic Moderate Chinese Malaysians such as, by one ronnie liu in his blog where he said:

"It was people like Leong Yew Koh who instigated Tunku to strip the citizenship and teaching license of the foremost Chinese education pioneer Lim Lian Geok."

... As If it is like a "sin" for the English-educated Malaysian Chinese who are Sopan, Moderate, & Sincerely LOVE Malaysia (IE. as any sincere citizens would); to agree for a UNITED Malaysia!?!.. So.... Bodoh, Sombong, or BODOH-SOMBONG si ronnie liu tu??

Bottomline, lee kuan yew is very sore with Malaysians — to the Malays (dah memang), to the Indians (where Tun Sambanthan even called him RACIST in Parliament!), & esp. to the moderate Chinese (sopan, humble, english-educated) who were majority back then & were very patriotic Malaysians — where, we were all 100% united & voted 126-0 to just kick him out of Malaysia!...

So "PM" Najib:

- Please bring on the crooked scenic bridge!!
- This is what Malaysians (esp. Johoreans) WANT!
- You HAVE A DUTY TO YOUR OWN COUNTRY to Fulfill!!!

Thank you very much, Tun Dr. Mahathir..... for getting the world recognized, appreciated, & respected our country Malaysia;
and made us Citizens UNITED and VERY PROUD To Be Malaysians!!!
God Bless you, Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad.

S..Tan's Gravatar S..Tan
July 5, 2009 at 12:53 am | [Permalink](#)

Dear Tun, salam sejahtera harap sihat sentiasa. Minta izin menjawab seorang penyokong tegar Tun serta memberi pandangan pada ulasan yg tersiar (bukan timbulkan kecoh)

Sdr HBT on June 29, 2009 4:55 PM (Be grateful for this headline treatment)

.....TAK SENANG TIDUR

HBT ini suka main tikam. Selalu buat anggapan tentang kerjaya, bangsa dan parti orang (well I do read your comments, if they don

JJJ's Gravatar JJJ

July 4, 2009 at 12:36 pm | [Permalink](#)

salam Tun,

para,

Singapore was not a good friend during the'97 economic crisis to us and Indonesia. Jangan mudah lupa. Luckily Tun scrapped the RM500 dollar note then to stem the outflow of funds from this country.

I followed their media news during that time and I will never forget some of their condemnations of supposed Tun's limited economic knowledge. Well, we proved them wrong! Are you not proud of our achievements?

Of course we are neighbours and we should complement each other. But we have the right to compete economically and also cut out the middleman.

We have the potential and ability to compete and we should take full advantage of it. Agree?

Jeng3

fairuzstone's Gravatar fairuzstone

July 3, 2009 at 10:09 pm | [Permalink](#)

Assalamualaikum YABhg Tun,

Dear Thintok,

hWaahh, you are very clever ha to divert the story, somemore create some story... hehe very funny lah...

Terima kasih.

Fairuz bin Kamarulzaman

para's Gravatar para

July 3, 2009 at 4:28 pm | [Permalink](#)

This whole blog is getting sickening and out of hand. A catalyst for many problems to come in the future if not mitigated. I hope Tun knows what his doing with this tactics of fear, hatred, racism mongering inciting onto his followers.

Luckily all not lost when there are still sane minds here with profound comments, people like JB_From. Kundos to you. Your strong analytical strength, mindful observations prevent your emotions to take over the better side of you.

On the topic of the bridge, if need be and for a good cause especially environmental ones, why not? Please do so. I

do not believe building a new bridge will affect Singapore's economy especially when Singapore already agree to proceed. Let set the record straight, Singapore DID NOT reject the new bridge proposal. It was the turmoil within

that foil it (pun intended). Food for thoughts; the devil is in the details.

For the ignorant, fyi, Singapore is Malaysia top biggest trading partner. Not forgetting the contribution of Malaysia, it's Singapore top trading partner too. Both contribute substantially to each others' GDP. Curse and swear at each

other all we want. Like it or not, both countries need each other, period!

Are we all getting complacent or even bored with the sovereign, national security or border issues? Trying to stir up some shit to perk up ourselves? Do we not realise that we have the most peaceful and stable border in the entire

ASEAN (except Philippines), if not, the WORLD!

Both country has it's own beauty and uniqueness, complimenting each other one way or another. What else can we ask for.

HBT's Gravatar HBT

July 3, 2009 at 11:18 am | Permalink

Selamat pagi Ayahanda,
Izinkan....

//By rarunasalam on June 30, 2009 4:47 PM

Tun M boleh menyalahkan Tun Abdullah untuk apa juga dia dirinya tidak dapat menyelesaikan semasa Tun M. PM - akhirnya Tun M adalah PM selama 22 tahun, dan Tun Abdullah adalah hanya selama 5 tahun.

Tun M juga boleh membuat kenyataan-kenyataan yang tidak lengkap dan kurangnya rujukan nyata, dan kebanyakan penyokong Tun M. tak berminat untuk memahami penglibatan,tujuan atau motivasi Tun M. sendiri dalam isu tertentu.

Mereka yang berhujah pendapat-pendapat Tun atau tidak bersetuju akan dipanggil tidak mengenang budi dan pembelot-pembelot dan sebagainya.

Saya hanya ini untuk mereka yang tidak peduli mengkaji sesuatu isu dan menimbang mereka yang menentang pendapat Tun M. sebagai orang dungu

“Boleh jadi kamu membenci sesuatu padahal ia amat baik bagimu,
dan boleh jadi pula kamu menyukai sesuatu, padahal ia amat buruk bagimu,
Tuhan mengetahui sedang kamu tidak mengetahui.”

As-salam-u-alaikum!

(Ravi)//

Saudara Ravi,

“Wanakam” (Bahasa Tamil) – Salam Sejahtera

Buat apa nak memanjangkan kesimpulan saudara?????

Bagi saya, saya hanya guna 6 perkataan saja dah dapat buat kesimpulan saudara iaitu :-

1. KAKI BUTA-TULI KAPITALISM
2. INDIA MUDAH LUPA

Good day Ayahnda Tun. Mereka ini (NST) sekarang betul2 takut, very takut!!!!

rayho's Gravatar rayho

July 3, 2009 at 11:17 am | Permalink

I agree with S..Tan on the bridge. It is purely economics assuming no juicy kick-backs & commissions to the politicians involved including Tun himself. The way he goes on telling half truths like the 3 sen water ... one gets doubtful of his integrity.

We should just build our half of the SCENIC bridge whether it is crooked or not. If the Singapore Govt. can frustrate us joining it to their half or 1/3 through the international court or whatever, we can still complete the bridge by looping back into JB! Charge MR5 per entry & it can still keep its name – SCENIC BRIDGE. Let them laugh, it may become a tourist attraction to even tourists to Singapore. We can even annoy them more by charging Singapore registered vehicles S\$5 per entry! It may become world famous and we are all happy, the politicians, the many bloggers & the Malaysia boleh-ans.

JJJ's Gravatar JJJ

July 3, 2009 at 9:19 am | Permalink

salam Tun,

JB_FROM,

Even for that one sole reason of clearing the polluted waters in Selat Tebrau,the bridge MUST be built.Why you compare a river with a straits is beyond me!

As for ‘detrimental to businesses in JB’ you should know all along as you are presumably a local,like me,that JB has always been portrayed in bad light by Singapore’s media.What’s wrong with Tun giving his thoughts and we help to clear the air about some Singapore myths.Why even some of their citizens had a rude awakening to the reality that they will never ever hear in their own media.

I think I know your gameplan.It is similar to the opposition whenever our nation try to acquire new weapons.This has also been highlighted by bro Kamal Ahmad earlier.

Once the bridge is built,it will have an impact on Singapore’s economy.Probably that’s why they are against the idea citing ridiculous sentimental reasons defending the causeway.Some of their supplicants here are defending them indirectly by giving many filmsy excuses as they are dead afraid of us gaining the upperhand.

Vision 2020 has double meanings.It also implies that I have a clear vision which is similar to our former PM.I couldn’t care less if I am called a ‘Pembodek’ or whatever.

IntelDuoCore's Gravatar IntelDuoCore
July 2, 2009 at 11:34 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun,

I, as a Johorean for the past 28 years have felt the heartache seeing the bridge project being abandoned, and Singaporeans are describing our access road to the new CIQ as a Formula One Circuit. There is a number of these drivers who would speed even faster to prove their driving skills, which at the same time shows disrespect to our police traffic law.

I would fully support Tun to revive the project, advice / negotiate talks again with PM Najib. I fully agree with your statement, what we do in our own territory is our sole rights, why are we scared of Singapore? Ini macam sungguh "ridiculous".

T's Gravatar T

July 2, 2009 at 3:33 pm | Permalink

/// By Azlan on July 2, 2009 10:50 AM

If Malaysia is serious in correcting the unfairness in the sale of water to Singapore whereby Singaporeans get our water cheaper than our own citizens, then the Government should announce NOW that the water agreement expiring in 2011 SHALL NOT BE RENEWED !! ///

Azlan, where have you been hiding all these years? Singapore has already announced that it will not renew or extend the 2011 agreement.

Wake up.

afag's Gravatar afag

July 2, 2009 at 11:36 am | Permalink

SALAM SEJAHTERA SEMUA...

KEPADA CEK...

BUKAN MEMPERTAHANKAN TAPI UNTUK MENCERITAKAN SEJARAH ASAL USUL TAMBAK TU DAN FUNGSI SEBENARNYA PADA MASA DULU...

TAMBAK JOHOR DULU PADA MASA AWAL PEMBINAANNYA TELAH DILENGKAPI DENGAN PINTU AIR@LOK YANG BERFUNGSI UNTUK LALUAN AIR SELAT TEBRAU MENGALIR BERTERUSAN DAN UNTUK KEMUDAHAN LALUAN KAPAL DAN PENGANGKUTAN AIR YANG LAIN...

TAPI PADA MASA PERANG DUNIA KE-2...TENTERA BRITISH YANG DAH KALUT MALUT MASA TU PI LETUP SEBAHAGIAN DARI TAMBAK TU...SEBAB NAK SEKAT KEMARAAN TENTERA JEPUN DARI "CROSS" KE SINGAPORE...HABIS JAHANAM TAMBAK TU DIBUATNYA...

HANYA 2 BULAN SELEPAS DILETUPKAN, TENTERA JEPUN PULA PI "REPAIR" IKUT SUKA HATI DEPA TANPA RUJUK BALIK PADA PELAN ASAL TAMBAK...HABIS SEMUA PINTU AIR DIBUANG DAN DITAMBAK JADI JALANRAYA...

SEBAB TULAH AIR SELAT TEBRAU JADI MATI DAN TERSEKAT...KAPAL LAGILAH...TAK BOLEH LALU LANGSUNG...

CUBA BUAT RUJUKAN PADA ARKIB NEGARA CAWANGAN NEGERI JOHOR...SEMUA ADA CERITA...

MEMANG PATUT TAMBAK TU DIGANTI DENGAN JAMBATAN...TAPI IA TERTANGGUH SEBAB SIKAP-SIKAP SERTA PEMIKIRAN SEMPIT SESEORANG YANG MENYEBABKAN IA

TERGENDALA...BOLEH DITERUSKAN CUMA KENA "PUSH" TIAP-TIAP HARI SUPAYA

SEDAQ...LETIH TAPI HARUS DIBUAT BEGINI AGAR IA TERUS MENJADI KENYATAAN...

DAN JANGAN FIKIR SAJA NAVY, MISC DAN YANG LAIN-LAIN PUN NAK LALU IKUT SITU JUGA...

CEK KENA BANYAK SABAQ...SABAQ TU SEBAHAGIAN DARI IMAN...OK.

TERIMA KASIH.

thinktok's Gravatar thinktok

July 2, 2009 at 10:54 am | Permalink

Don't forget that there are two interested parties here. Party one: Someone going to make a lot of money supplying Singapore sand. So Singapore is not going to get the sand for free.

Party two: Tun's cronies were promised huge profits from building of the bridge to buying up land around the bridge. Of course Tun already receive upfront his commission but he cannot deliver.

If I were him having got so many friends involved, the CIQ built, and commission received, I would stop quibbling and honour my words to my friends never mind someone else make the money selling sand. Honour must come

first.

All these problems are his own doings. AAB is smiling. Mugabe is smiling because Tun gave him timber to build his house.

Please don't drag Singapore in.

Azlan's Gravatar Azlan

July 2, 2009 at 10:50 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum w.b.t.,

Dear Tun,

I have written to your blog before on the issue of replacing the Causeway. I still think that the Causeway should be closed down permanently and demolished instead of being upgraded to a bridge; because it will simply add to the already-severe traffic congestion in Johor Bahru town.

From the point of view of traffic engineering, it would be best to designate the 2nd bridge as the official gateway into Malaysia so as to disperse the traffic outside of JB town since a major portion of the traffic want to go other destinations further north, such as Melaka instead of JB town. Also the 2nd bridge is currently under-utilized and can easily cater for the traffic diverted from the Causeway. There is no need to build a 3rd bridge to Singapore because that would simply be a waste of money.

In connection with this, if I'm not mistaken, the sale of Johor raw water to Singapore is governed by 2 agreements; 1 agreement expiring in 2011 and the other expiring in 2061. If Malaysia is serious in correcting the unfairness in the sale of water to Singapore whereby Singaporeans get our water cheaper than our own citizens, then the Government should announce NOW that the water agreement expiring in 2011 SHALL NOT BE RENEWED !!

At the very least, this will bring Singapore to the negotiating table and hopefully we can resolve our many differences regarding Malaysian workers' CPF money, KTM land in Singapore, overflight rights and many other heretofore unresolved issues.

Yours faithfully,

MOHAMMAD AZLAN BIN MON JAMALUDDIN

KUALA LUMPUR

2nd JULY 2009

cek's Gravatar cek

July 2, 2009 at 10:21 am | Permalink

Assalamu;alaikum Tun & Family,

CEK NAK ULANG SEKALI LAGI BAGI YANG TAK FAHAM-FAHAM...

TAMBAK JOHOR TUU KENA ROBOH DAN DUBUAT JAMBATAN AGAR KAPAI KAPAI NAVY KITA BOLEH LALU KOT BAWAH DIA DARI PERAK KE PAHANG KE, KE KELANTAN KE, BIAQ CEPAT SIKIT.. DEMI KESELAMATAN KITA SEMUA...

TAK PAYAH DOK ROUND SATU DUNIA KOT SINGAPURA.. NAK CARI PENYAKIT.. LEBIH LEBIH LAGI KALAU CRISIS SITUATION .. MINTA JAUH..

HANGPA YANG DOK PERTAHANKAN TAMBAK JOHOR TAK PAHAM-PAHAM LAGI KAAAA...

Tun please take good care of urself & Tun Hasmah.

HBT's Gravatar HBT

July 2, 2009 at 7:58 am | Permalink

Ayahanda Tun,

Izinkan saya membetulkan my comment as follow due to not knowing that our broadband service provider has to follow the policy of unlimited access to data (data means words, k). However due to my children has too much you-tubing, create blog and download movies and songs from International internets, we have over used the policy of 3 gigabyte of unlimited DATA access to 7 gigabytes (7 gig) and that is why my broadband line interrupted due over usage without me knowing it. Now I know how to make sure it is not interrupted.

//By HBT on June 30, 2009 8:50 AM

I think Mr Anwar risik2 (spy spy) in chedet.cc.Ayahanda Tun.

Mr Anwar,

Wait.... wait first, Mr Anwar, why PPSMI needs to bring back into BM after 7 years now and the MOE already given the statistics that majority of our students prefer to answer the question in "Bahasa Melayu" (salah perkataan, k.)//

****The right words should be in Bahasa Inggeris, kan MOE allows students to answer Science and Mathematics in

DWI-Bahasa, National (Bahasa Malaysia) and International (Bahasa Inggeris) languages.****

Good day Ayahanada and I think DAP's Mr Lim Guan Eng is also risik2 chedet.cc. Hm... majority of the chinese DAP's supporters are ANTI-CORRUPTIONS, I want to see whether Mr Lim Guan Eng walks his talk or not by making sure KERANDA for ALL Corrupted People (Ahli2 Politik kita?), and SATU KERANDA for himself because he is the great fan (not penyokong yet, because I am keliru on his KATA DAP – MALAYSIAN MALAYSIA) of China's Mr ZhuRonJi. CAT system BOLEH ke, dia pun hokkien, takut ma.... Malaysia modern history told me that because kaum hokkien "Kah Ki Lang" theory, they may like to corrupt people too. Play safe first because he may be assimilating blind Capitalism without knowing Mr Obama's strategies. I am not going to tell him what are the strategies of Mr Obama because I want him to learn, not COPY, ciplak LAH!!!
S..Tan's Gravatar S..Tan

July 2, 2009 at 12:19 am | Permalink

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat dan gembira. Kiriman saya pada pandangan yg tersiar di blog ini....

.....EMPATHY FOR MINORITY

I know the Malay minority in S'pore will face neglect, natural discrimination (not state sanctioned) and sense of alienation. These are exactly the feelings of minorities anywhere including M'sia. To the accusers of the minorities in M'sia, take note their grievances are similar to the S'pore Malays.

One suffers silent but blatant discrimination (disguise of meritocracy) another suffers sanctioned and flagrant discrimination (disguised as affirmative action). You legitimise it (like one commenter 'hisham76') by amazingly claiming it is an upfront notice.

So if you want to steal, declare and shout and your actions will be accepted as honourable. A declared and open rapist commits a lesser crime than the silent one. Turning it into policy does not give it legitimacy. Legitimacy is earned.

.....FEELINGS OF S'PORE MALAYS

The feeling of the S'pore Malays from a contributor (M'sian scenario in brackets) :-

>> Fatality of race – Your fate is not determined by your actions but the writing on your ID/IC under 'race'. (NEP)

>> Feel a lesser citizen – Constantly being embarrassed with humiliating prejudices lazy, weak etc (Pendatang, penumpang, pig)

>> Being sidelined – Not in the mainstream and excluded from some activities (Unwelcome in top Govt, GLC posts)

>> Neglected – Policies cater to majority (Ketuanan Melayu, Tanah Melayu)

>> Must work twice as hard to prove worth – Due to race stereotype (Chinese are rich, born cheats, ungrateful)

>> Unwanted child – Curse the day born a S'porean (Boleh keluar negara, balik tempat asal, tarik kerakyatan)

>> Distrusted – Barred from critical posts in military and police (Barred from even PKNS, don't hope police, military)

>> Prejudice due to religious practices – Wearing head scarf, halal food, alcohol etc (Pork consumption, temples)

>> Patriotism – Hard to be patriotic to country that distrust own citizens (Non Malays untrustworthy)

>> Prejudices – S'pore Malays get to enjoy privileges still not happy (Chinese already rich, still greedy)

.....MUTUAL FEELINGS

If you feel for them (I do, in fact of all people, minorities should receive affirmative action not struggle with meritocracy), then why you call M'sian minorities ingrates for feeling like S'pore Malays? Their reason is exactly the reasons given by S'pore Malays above!!

JB_FROM's Gravatar JB_FROM

July 1, 2009 at 10:31 pm | Permalink

To JJJ,

You know according to Tun on his speech he make 3 days ago. He said we the Malaysian people must have a critical mind to our leaders.....

This applies to him and everybody else.

However we should be rude to him as he has contributed to our nation.

In this instance, I think it is justifiable to make a comment against Tun as currently Tun has created a hatred frenzy in this blog to our neighbouring country. This is detrimental to businesses in JB.

Your comment,

"Many ignorant commentators here just cannot get it into their thick skulls that the scenic bridge MUST be built to alleviate the huge environmental problems in the Johore Straits. It's practically an open sewer!"

Well you should compare really, which is an open sewer, sungai gombak or Johor Straits". You should not exaggerate so much.

About vision 2020, we should thank Tun for his foresight to our future. Do you think we can achieve it? If you go to Muzium Negara, there is actually a new Vision call Vision 2057.

Muhammad Nabil's Gravatar Muhammad Nabil

July 1, 2009 at 10:25 pm | Permalink

Malaysia asyik jadi bumi malang di tangan orang asing yang tidak akan sedikitpun mengenang pengorbanan orang tempatan..dalam pada itu sila lawati laman blog saya yang sedikit senbanyak ketengahkan isu pembinaan "bullet-train" oleh YTL Corporation yang mendatangkan mudarat dari baiknya.

Terima Kasih.

samuraimelayu's Gravatar samuraimelayu

July 1, 2009 at 8:06 pm | Permalink

SALAM AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN

IZINKAN,

By zaq25Author Profile Page on June 26, 2009 4:38 PM

...

HISTORY-TWO WAYS

Scenario 1

... WE KNEW THIS PERSON FOR HIS ARROGANT, ABHORRENT AND BONEHEADED ATTITUTES AND THIS 'KOJENG' TRIED TO 'TOPPLE' AYAHANDA TUN IN 1996..WHAT SO GREAT OF A MAN WHO PLOTTED TO 'KILL' HIS MENTOR?

.. SO JUST GO AND ASK HIS '6 JAHANAM'

Scenario 2

..., whereas Mahathir will be remembered as the lesser Asian/Malaysian strongman who defamed Anwar and threw this great man into jail, true to all his authoritarian ways.

WE HAVE TO DISPUTE YOUR ABOVE VIEWS...OUR DAUGHTER ARRIVED LATE LAST NITE FROM LONDON VIA DUBAI WHILE THE FATHER WAS BROWSING THROUGH CHEDET.CC. AFTER THE FATHER/DAUGHTER HUGS AND KISSES, SHE SAID..AYAH, PEOPLE IN DUBAI STILL THINK OF TUN DR. MAHATHIR AS OUR PM AND THEY STILL ADORE HIM!.. THAT'S GREATNESS!

..AND HE WUD NOT HAVE SHED TEARS AND FEELING DEPRESSED UPON BEING SHOWN THE PROOFS BY THE AUTHORITIES ON THE HIS DEPUTY'S MISBEHAVIOURS... PERIOD!

NOW IS THE BEST TIME – you are free! You are no longer PM therefore whatever you say will have no implication whatsoever for Malaysia and its foreign relations be it economic or diplomatic-wise. So...just go for it! Curse and lambast the west and whoever as much as you want. And the attention in return will be good for you and M'sia.

CURSE IS THE WRONG CHOICE OF WORD... PERHAPS CHAMPION WUD BE MORE APPROPRIATE.. AND NOT TO ALL THE WEST BUT THE ADMIN. OF THE TWO 'BULLIES'(BUSH AND BLAIR) AND THE ZIONIST HOGS IN PARTICULAR.

AS WE HAD MENTIONED(IN BM) MANY A TIME BEFORE,

'PENGETAHUAN YANG TERSURAT NILAIAN NASFU MANAKALA ILMU YANG TERSIRAT NILAIAN IMAN'

SEMOGA YANG MAHA PENGASIH DAN PENYAYANG MELIMPahkan ZAT KASIH DAN SAYANG NYA KEATAS SDR..

ALFATIHAH, AMIN.

‘

afag's Gravatar afag

July 1, 2009 at 6:43 pm | Permalink

SELAMAT PETANG SEMUA...

thank you to all of you for reading my statement and article in this matter...

All your views and comments is much-much appreciated and from here we all can share the best part of blogging and positive mindset about this matter.THANK YOU AGAIN!

But i just want to highlight one part of my articles about this Tambak Johor that has been publish on the June 30, 2009 12:42 PM;

3. Pengunduran segera dalam suasana kelim kabut terpaksa dilakukan apabila kawasan Johor Bahru telah mula dibom secara berterusan oleh tentera Imperial Jepun pada 29hb Januari 1942. Untuk melambat-lambatkan kemaraan

tentera Jepun, PIHAK tentera British telah MELETUPKAN sebahagian daripada Tambak Johor pada jam 8.15 pagi pada 31hb Januari 1942.

4. Dua bulan selepas ia diletupkan, barulah Tambak Johor mula diperbaiki semula oleh tentera Jepun bagi memudahkan perhubungan antara Johor dan Singapura. Tambak Johor telah dibaiki sehingga ia berubah wajah SEKELIP MATA seperti mana yang terdapat pada hari ini iaitu ia TIDAK LAGI MEMPUNYAI LOK atau pun PINTU AIR(WATER GATE) SEPERTI YANG TERDAPAT PADA REKABENTUK ASAL SEMASA PEMBINAAN TAMBAK PADA TAHUN 1919.

FROM THIS EVENT OR ACT, CAN ANYONE OUT THERE SHARED SOME POSITIVE VIEWS OR EMBELORATE THE LAW RIGHTS WHAT OR HOW WE ALL(BOTH COUNTRY) CAN DO TO CLAIM THE DAMAGE THAT HAS BEEN DONE HERE. PERHAPS THE VIEWS FROM CITIZEN BOTH COUNTRY SIDE? THAT MUCH BETTER....

ONCE AGAIN, THANK YOU TO YOU ALL...

JJJ's Gravatar JJJ

July 1, 2009 at 3:29 pm | Permalink

salam Tun,

congratulations to Mr. Ravi for his attempt at Bahasa Malaysia. May I say that it's a 'blind-ing' effort!

Good try but please elaborate further as some parts are quite confusing and incoherent.

Jeng3

JJJ's Gravatar JJJ

July 1, 2009 at 12:59 pm | Permalink

salam Tun,

Thanks to afag for your article on PTP. Nobody can deny that it is our rights and we must do all we can to compete economically. Then we can proudly wipe the smiles off many LKY supplicants who boasted of Malaysia's supposed impending doom!

Many ignorant commentators here just cannot get it into their thick skulls that the scenic bridge MUST be built to alleviate the huge environmental problems in the Johore Straits. It's practically an open sewer!

I assure all who accuse us here, we who support Tun are not blind, in fact as I have stated earlier I HAVE PERFECT 2020 VISION!

Pity these poor souls (you know who you are!) who keep on heaping scorns and abuses on a genius. To all these people I say;

'A SMALL MIND IS LIKE A MICROSCOPE! KAIL PANJANG SEJENGKAL JANGAN DIDUGA LAUTAN DALAM!'

Wassallam

Jeng3

p/s - Pakpandir08 is hilarious. Tetap nak menegakkan benang yang basah. Otak sepesyen dengan 'software'

Nasha. And I think T stands for Too Stupid, maybe? LOL!

izham's Gravatar izham

July 1, 2009 at 12:45 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun yg Disayangi...

Walaupun saya org kedah,,saya pun bersetuju sgt2 ngn Jambatan Bangkok tu..Awat ntah budak penang yg dok klapa batas tu tak tau kelebihan Jambatan ni..Hehehehe

Semoga Tun sihat dan panjang umur, badan sihat dan otak yg cergas, Amin..

zul81's Gravatar zul81

July 1, 2009 at 12:07 pm | Permalink

Ada baiknya kita gunakan pasir untuk menambak laut kita yang bersepadanan dengan Singapura supaya sempadan laut kita semakin besar dan dekat dengan Singapura.

Hapuskan Tambak Johor supaya kapal perang kita dari Kem TLDM Lumut mudah nak lalu tanpa melalui perairan Singapura.

Ahli Parlimen Singapura makan gaji buta dan pemalas untuk meminda undang-undang EPF mereka, masih menganggap Semenanjung, Sarawak dan Sabah entiti yang berlainan.

Wilayah Pembangunan Iskandar boleh maju tanpa pelaburan Singapura. Kita mesti buka Airport antarabangsa baru disana dan wilayah itu diberi keistimewaan seperti yang dilakukan oleh Kerajaan UEA kepada Dubai World.

Book Man's Gravatar Book Man
July 1, 2009 at 10:22 am | [Permalink](#)

Dearest Tun,

As a resident of Johor and daily user of the causeway, can I humbly beg you to please put some pressure on the current government and PM to revive this idea rather than trying to divert everyone's attention to a new 3rd bridge instead?

Why have a 3rd bridge when the problem of the old is not even solved?

afag's Gravatar afag

July 1, 2009 at 10:13 am | [Permalink](#)

GOOD MORNING TUN...

AND TO SINGAPOREAN86...

WELL DONE! AND TERIMA KASIH...

DEAR SINGAPOREAN86, U HAVE SHOWN A BRAVERY AND POSITIVE MIND IN THIS BLOG...I'M SURE THAT OUT THERE A LARGE NUMBER OF SINGAPOREAN HAVE THE SAME POSITIVE THINKING & VIEWS LIKE U...BUT UNFORTUNETLY THERE NEVER SHOWS BECAUSE BEEN AFRAID OF SINGAPORE I.S.A & LAWS.

IN PEPATAH MELAYU SAYS; BERANI KERANA BENAR! SO YOUR WORDS AND VIEWS IN THIS MATTER HAD SHOWN THE TRUTH! NEVER MIND...KEEP ON WRITING IN THIS BLOG AND WE ALL CAN SHARED TOGETHER THE POSITIVE VIEWS, COMMENTS AND HOPES. MAYBE IN OTHER TIME, OUR VOICES AND WORDS WILL BEEN LISTEN BY THE LEADER OF BOTH COUNTRY...

NOW WE ALL ONLY CAN PRAY TO THE ALMIGHTY GOD AND KEEP ON WRITING...

WELL DONE AGAIN!

JB_FROM's Gravatar JB_FROM

July 1, 2009 at 12:53 am | [Permalink](#)

To Afag,

Interesting piece of history you got there.

Just want to comment a bit on your chronological of events.

"11. But the bridge would become a STRAIGHT bridge IF the Singaporean government ACCEPTED the project."

If you refer to the article by Tun, they did not reject either, it is AAB who cancel it. According to Tun, this is because of the sand issue. The Johor people did not agree on the sand deal not because of they dislike Singapore but objected because "(somebody is bound to make a huge amount of money)".

I would like to point to you, that there is something wrong with our system and we just cannot blatantly blaming our neighboring country when some of the faults is ours.

Since you gave an elaborate account of the causeway history, let me give you a brief history about JB.

JB town is about the same as Kangar or Alor Star in the 60 to 70's.

In the 80's however, the economy in the area accelerated, the town expanded, new businesses growing and the infrastructure improve significantly. In the 90's it became the third biggest city in the Peninsula and obtained the title "Bandaraya". Currently, Jb is a very vibrant city, it have more then 10 hypermarkets at the town itself and new huge township have been built in Tebrau City.

Like it or not, there is a significant link between the progress of Johor Bahru and Singapore. In details, JB have received huge numbers of Singaporean Tourist(by far the highest proportion of tourist visiting this country) every year. JB have thousands who worked in Singapore from all over Malaysia, bringing their income to stay and spend in JB and JB have investment made from Singapore base companies.

Now, we have Tun here who is building up hatred among us with Singaporean with his remarked like "Littlest Middle Kingdom" and "Tiny Island". What kind of Tourist Marketing Strategy is this? Is he really doing JB any good?

Now, where is the hate come from?

Singapore is a small country, Is it a threat to our National security? We got a real problem at the Northern border which have potential to escalate to tension.

I am all too familiar with the stories that says Singaporean has cause the rising of food and cost of living in JB. Well, if you use this logic, we can close our border, ask all foreign investor to leave, then there will be a reduction in demand followed by reduction of food pricing nationwide. But there will be no money in our pocket to spend on the cheaper food.

I think we have to raise our intellectual capacity rather than believing dumb stories.

About the bridge, the causeway is about 1km long. If you break it in half, we will have about 500m length at our side. What kind of vessel that can go through 500m water from the beach with multiple poles in between?. Currently we already have a highway linking Tanjung Pelepas to Pasir Gudang. I doubt that PTP port survival will depend on crooked bridge.

I am fully support the replacing of causeway of the bridge on “Environmental reason” but we have do it properly with Singapore and the government must be transparent with the people when negotiating with Singapore so that we have a true picture on what have transpired unlike what have happen as according to this blog.

For the record, I am not on Singapore side, I am also not on Tun side, I am on JB side. My hometown.

thinktok's Gravatar thinktok

June 30, 2009 at 6:46 pm | Permalink

afag 30 June

“The 1995 agreement also states that the border will be final and, therefore, not be influenced by any variation of the depth or alignment of the deepest channel of the Straits of Johor. This is important considering frequent reclamation activities by both Malaysia and Republic of Singapore in the Straits of Johor which could alter the depth of the waterway.”

Thank you for the useful details of the boundary treaties etc. I believe it is only through this way of posting that we can gain a better understanding of the issues. Blind support for MM and MM is not helpful.

Since the boundaries are fixed and cannot be altered by reclamation, the allegation that Singapore will extend its boundary by reclamation cannot hold water.

So we should stop frightening ourselves.

rarunasalam's Gravatar rarunasalam

June 30, 2009 at 4:47 pm | Permalink

Tun M boleh menyalahkan Tun Abdullah untuk apa juga dia dirinya tidak dapat menyelesaikan semasa Tun M. PM - akhirnya Tun M adalah PM selama 22 tahun, dan Tun Abdullah adalah hanya selama 5 tahun.

Tun M juga boleh membuat kenyataan-kenyataan yang tidak lengkap dan kurangnya rujukan nyata, dan kebanyakan penyokong Tun M. tak berminat untuk memahami penglibatan,tujuan atau motivasi Tun M. sendiri dalam isu tertentu.

Mereka yang berhujah pendapat-pendapat Tun atau tidak bersetuju akan dipanggil tidak mengenang budi dan pembelot-pembelot dan sebagainya.

Saya hanya ini untuk mereka yang tidak peduli mengkaji sesuatu isu dan menimbangkan mereka yang menentang pendapat Tun M. sebagai orang dungu

“Boleh jadi kamu membenci sesuatu padahal ia amat baik bagimu,

dan boleh jadi pula kamu menyukai sesuatu, padahal ia amat buruk bagimu,

Tuhan mengetahui sedang kamu tidak mengetahui.”

As-salam-u-alaikum!

(Ravi)

afag's Gravatar afag

June 30, 2009 at 1:58 pm | Permalink

THIS IS ABOUT MALAYSIA-SINGAPORE BORDER AND IT’S TRUE HISTORY AND FACTS OF IT. HISTORY

1. The border between Malaysia and Singapore ONLY came into existence in the 19th century with the establishment and subsequent of cession of the island to the British East India Company by the Sultanate of Johor in 1824. Prior to that, Singapore was an integral part of the Johor Sultanate and subsequently, the Johor-Riau Sultanate. The border changed from being an international border to a sub-national boundary (boundary of a division within a country) and vice-versa several times. It became an international border after the cession of Singapore to the East India Company by Johor in 1824 as Johor was de jure a sovereign state. In 1914, the border became that of between two British-ruled territories when Johor became a British protectorate while Singapore remained a British crown colony.

On 31 August 1957, the Federation of Malaya (which consisted of only Peninsular Malaysia), which included Johor as a component state, became independent and the Johor-Singapore border again became an international boundary between the sovereign state of Malaya and the self-governing British territory of Singapore. On 16 September 1963, Singapore merged with and become a component state of the Federation of Malaysia, rendering the border one

between two component states of Malaysia. The border again became an international border when Singapore separated from Malaysia on 9 August 1965 to become an independent, sovereign republic nation.

THE BORDER

1. The Malaysia-Singapore border is an international maritime border between the Southeast Asian countries of Malaysia, which lies to the north of the border, and Singapore to the south. The border is to a large extent formed by straight lines between geographical coordinates running along or near the DEEPEST channel of the Straits of Johor (Malay: Selat Tebrau).
2. The western portion of the border beyond that delimited by the 1995 agreement goes into the western section of the Singapore Straits while the eastern portion of the border beyond the eastern terminus of the defined border continues into the eastern section of the Singapore Straits. Outside the border defined by the 1995 agreement, there is still no formal agreement between the two countries to delimit their common borders and this has resulted in several overlapping claims. Singapore claims a three nautical mile (6 km) territorial sea limit, while Malaysia claims a 12-nautical-mile (22 km) territorial sea limit.
3. There is also a dispute involving the alleged incursion into Malaysian territorial waters by land reclamation works by Singapore at the western entrance to the Straits of Johor.
4. There are two structural crossings along the border. They are the Johor-Singapore Causeway@TAMBAK JOHOR and the Malaysia-Singapore Second Link (as known in Malaysia), or the Tuas Second Link (as known in Singapore). There is also an international ferry service between Pengarang at the southeastern tip of Johor and Changi Village at the eastern of the island.

DELIMITED BOUNDARY

1. A large extent of the Malaysia-Singapore border is defined by the Agreement between the Government of Malaysia and the Government of the Republic of Singapore to delimit precisely the territorial waters boundary in accordance with the Straits Settlement and Johore Territorial Waters Agreement 1927 as being straight lines joining a series of 72 geographical coordinates roughly running about 50 nautical miles (93 km) along the deepest channel (thalweg) between the western and eastern entrances of the Straits of Johor. This delineation was arrived at and agreed to jointly by the two governments and resulted in the agreement being signed on 7 August 1995.
2. The Straits Settlement and Johore Territorial Waters Agreement of 1927 signed between the Britain and the Sultanate of Johor on 19 October 1927, defines the territorial sea border between Malaysia and Singapore as: "... an imaginary line following the centre of the deep-water channel in Johore Strait, between the mainland of the State and Territory of Johore on the one side, and the northern shores of the islands of Singapore, Pulau Ubin, Pulau Tekong Kechil, and Pulau Tekong Besar on the other side. Where, if at all, the channel divides into two portions of equal depth running side by side, the boundary shall run midway between these two portions. At the western entrance of Johore Strait, the boundary, after passing through the centre of the deep-water channel eastward of Pulau Merambong, shall proceed seaward, in the general direction of the axis of this channel produced, until it intersects the 3-mile (4.8 km) limit drawn from the low water mark of the south coast of Pulau Merambong. At the Eastern entrance of Johore Strait, the boundary shall be held to pass through the centre of the deep-water channel between the mainland of Johore, westward of Johore Hill, and Pulau Tekong Besar, next through the centre of the deep-water channel between Johore Shoal and the mainland of Johore, southward of Johore Hill, and finally turning southward, to intersect the 3-mile (4.8 km) limit drawn from the low water mark of the mainland of Johore in a position bearing 192 degrees from Tanjong Sitapa."
3. The boundary drawn by the 1995 agreement follows closely but, by virtue of being straight lines between points, does not exactly correspond with the deepest channel of the Straits of Johor as described in the 1927 agreement. As the 1995 agreement supersedes the 1927 agreement as far as any inconsistency goes, the thalweg method of determining the precise borderline is therefore replaced with the use of geographical coordinates.
4. The 1995 agreement also states that the border will be final and, therefore, not be influenced by any variation of the depth or alignment of the deepest channel of the Straits of Johor. This is important considering frequent reclamation activities by both Malaysia and Republic of Singapore in the Straits of Johor which could alter the depth of the waterway.

afag's Gravatar afag

June 30, 2009 at 1:21 pm | Permalink

LAGI MENGENAI SEJARAH TAMBAK JOHOR...

KALI INI KOS PEMBINAANNYA...SILA LIHAT NILAINYA DAN KETAHUILAH SIAPA@PIHAK YANG SEBENARNYA YANG LEBIH BERHAK DAN LEBIH BANYAK MENANGGUNGNYA...INI ADALAH DARIPADA SUMBER YANG SAHIF MELALUI DATA ARKIB NEGARA, CAWANGAN NEGERI JOHOR.

1. KOS PEMBINAAN TAMBAK JOHOR

Dari segi kos perbelanjaan telah timbul berbagai-bagai masalah pembayaran sehingga ia terpaksa dibawa ke England untuk memutuskan tanggungan kos pembayaran pembinaan itu. Kerajaan Johor TELAH membayar sebanyak RM 12,346,233.84 (nilai wang pada waktu itu) manakala KOS BAGI JALAN pula telah dibahagikan seperti tercatit di bawah:

Kerajaan Johor RM 3,178,945.53

Kerajaan Singapura RM 1,362,404.92

Municipal Singapura RM 214,371.43

JUMLAH RM 4,755,721.83

Pembayaran yang ditanggung oleh pihak Bandaran Singapura pula adalah kerana mereka harus menampung kos saluran paip air yang dibina khas dari Gunung Pulai, Johor ke Singapura yang menggunakan dan melalui jalan di tambak.

Kerja-kerja pembinaan Tambak Johor telah berjaya disiapkan dalam masa empat tahun. Pada 24hb Julai 1924, Tambak Johor telah dirasmikan dalam suasana penuh meriah oleh DYMM Sultan Ibrahim bersama-sama Pesuruhjaya Tinggi British dan juga Raja-raja Tanah Melayu.

afag's Gravatar afag

June 30, 2009 at 12:42 pm | Permalink

SALAM TENGAHARI...SEJARAH TAMBAK JOHOR DITERUSKAN...SUDILAH MEMBACA DAN KOMEN ANDA DIALU-ALUKAN. TERIMA KASIH!

1. Selepas perang Dunia I, pihak berkuasa keretapi mencadangkan bahawa lebih elok perkhidmatan keretapi Singapura disambung dengan keretapi Johor melalui Selat Tebrau. Cadangan ini dibuat disebabkan perbelanjaan menggunakan sampan dan tongkang semasa urusan perdagangan terlalu tinggi.
2. Pada mulanya dicadangkan supaya dibina sebuah jambatan kemudian disambungkan dengan tambak menuju ke Singapura. Akhirnya, dipersetujui dibina sebuah tambak dan dibuat sebuah pintu air pada tambak itu supaya boleh dilalui oleh kapal-kapal dagang pada waktu itu.
3. Cadangan ini mendapat perkenan dari Duli Yang Maha Mulia Sultan Ibrahim. LOK atau pun PINTU AIR ini dibina sebelah menyebelah ialah untuk mengepung air supaya menjadi samarata ketika air pasang. Selain itu, Sultan Ibrahim juga berkehendakkan supaya tambak itu diperbesarkan dan dibina jalan raya supaya boleh dilalui oleh kenderaan dan orang-orang yang berjalan kaki.
4. Tambak ini berukuran enam puluh kaki lebar. Terdapat dua landasan keretapi dan jalan raya selebar dua puluh enam kaki. Panjang tambak ini ialah 3,465 kaki dan dalamnya empat puluh tujuh kaki paras air surut.
5. Pembetung air juga telah dibina dibahagian hujung tambak ini untuk menyekat sampah sarap yang memasuki ke pintu air di tambak itu. PINTU AIR ini berukuran seratus tujuh puluh kaki panjang, tiga puluh dua kaki lebar yang mana ia memberi kemudahan kepada kapal-kapal dan sampan-sampan untuk lalu lalang di situ pada setiap masa.
6. Di bahagian bawah pintu air ini juga telah dibina satu saluran untuk membawa air bagi kegunaan harian ke pusat-pusat pembersih air di Singapura.

TAMBAK JOHOR SEMASA PERANG DUNIA KE – 2.

1. Pihak British yang bertahan di Muar terpaksa berundur ke selatan lagi akibat serangan tentera Jepun yang bertubi-tubi. Ini membolehkan tentera Jepun mara ke Bakri, Segamat, Yong Peng dan juga Batu Pahat.
2. Apabila kawasan-kawasan tersebut telah jatuh ke tangan tentera Jepun, pihak tentera bersekutu di bawah pimpinan British merasakan tiada gunanya lagi meyekat kemaraan Jepun di Semenanjung. Oleh itu mereka bercadang untuk mula bertahan di Singapura sahaja. Pada hemat mereka, Jepun akan menyerang dari belakang iaitu Johor Bahru jika mereka masih lagi bertahan di negeri Johor.
3. Pengunduran segera dalam suasana kelam kabut terpaksa dilakukan apabila kawasan Johor Bahru telah mula dibom secara berterusan oleh tentera Imperial Jepun pada 29hb Januari 1942. Untuk melambat-lambatkan kemaraan tentera Jepun, PIHAK tentera British telah MELETUPKAN sebahagian daripada Tambak Johor pada jam 8.15 pagi pada 31hb Januari 1942.
4. Dua bulan selepas ia diletupkan, barulah Tambak Johor mula diperbaiki semula oleh tentera Jepun bagi memudahkan perhubungan antara Johor dan Singapura. Tambak Johor telah dibaiki sehingga ia berubah wajah SEKELIP MATA seperti mana yang terdapat pada hari ini iaitu ia tidak lagi mempunyai LOK atau pun PINTU AIR SEPERTI YANG TERDAPAT PADA REKABENTUK ASAL SEMASA PEMBINAAN TAMBAK PADA TAHUN 1919.

INI ADALAH MERUPAKAN SUMBER YANG SAHIIH DARI ARKIB NEGARA, CAWANGAN NEGERI JOHOR.

KESIMPULANNYA...

AKIBAT DARIPADA TINDAKAN TERGESA-GESA DAN KELAM KABUT DARI PIHAK TENTERA

BRITISH DAN TENTERA IMPERIAL JEPUN PADA WAKTU ITU, MAKA KEMUDAHAN YANG EFISEN SERTA REKA BENTUK ASAL TAMBAK JOHOR PADA WAKTU ITU TELAH BERUBAH SEKELIP MATA. MAKA AIR DI SELAT TEBRAU TIDAK LAGI DAPAT MENGALIR SECARA BERTERUSAN KERANA IA TELAH DISEKAT MATI SEPENUHNYA. KAPAL DAN PENGANGKUTAN AIR YANG LAIN JUGA TERSEKAT LALUANNYA. TERBUKTI PEPERANGAN TELAH MEROSAKKAN & MENGUBAH SEGALA-GALANYA. YANG JADI MANGSA, GENERASI DUA NEGARA SERTA SITUASI KETIKA INI... MUNGKIN KERAJAAN KITA DAN SINGAPURA BOLEH HANTAR MEMORANDUM BANTAHAN SERTA MOHON PAMPASAN YANG SEWAJARNYA DARIPADA PIHAK SURUHANJAYA BRITISH SERTA KERAJAAN JEPUN KE ATAS KEROSAKKAN YANG TELAH DILAKUKAN OLEH TENTERA-TENTERA MEREKA KEPADA TAMBAK JOHOR SERTA KEMUDAHANNYA SEMASA ERA PERANG DUNIA KE-2. INI ADALAH SATU CADANGAN DAN BUKANLAH SATU BENTUK PROVOKASI. DIPLOMASI SERTA KEWAJARAN SEJARAH PERANG YANG SAHIF PERLU DIAMBIL KIRA DALAM HAL INI.

TERIMA KASIH.

afag's Gravatar afag

June 30, 2009 at 11:24 am | Permalink

GOOD MORNING SIR...

SOME OF HISTORY ABOUT TAMBAK JOHOR. DO PLEASE READ...THANK YOU!

1. The Johor-Singapore Causeway was completed in 1923 after four years of construction. Approximately \$17 million (in 1924 terms) of the construction cost WAS BORNE by the Johor government. It was partially severed in 1942 during the Second World War, to prevent the Japanese army from invading Singapore.
2. However, it was rebuilt once the Japanese had captured Singapore.
3. During the 1964 Race Riots, the causeway was closed from 22 to 26 July, 1964.
4. The Johor-Singapore Causeway is the first land link between Peninsular Malaysia and Singapore. The second, called the Malaysia-Singapore Second Link, was completed in 1998.

wajaperak's Gravatar wajaperak

June 30, 2009 at 9:47 am | Permalink

Dengan Izin Tun..Terima kasih..

Singaporean86

Thank you for your kind comments but your feedback is differrent from onlooker..onlooker claimed that Espionage is dead in Singapore..you states otherwise..

Nevertheless my money on you..Keep writing feedbacks for us...)

Thank you

Juno's Gravatar Juno

June 30, 2009 at 8:55 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun,

1. Terima kasih kerana membentangkan fakta2 berkaitan Tambak Johor yang sangat menarik dan penuh dengan informasi yang jelas. Secara rasionalnya saya dan juga bloggers yang lain faham kenapa Tun selalu bersungut dan berasa kesal atas tindak-tanduk yang telah dilakukan oleh SiDol itu. Sudah tentu Tun merasa sangat sedih, pilu dan marah melihat sesuatu yang telah dipupuk dan dijaga bertahun2, kemudian diserahkan kepada orang yang sangat dipercayai, tetapi SiBahulul yang sangat di harapkan itu cuma bolih menjahanamkan segala2nya.
2. Ternyata sangat kapada rakyat apa yang telah berlaku adalah kelemahan, kebodohan. Bukan sahaja bodoh dan lemah tapi juga takut "macan takde *****(tinggal kulitnya saje)".
3. Era SiDol itu betul2 era yang sangat merugikan. Tiada pembangunan yang bolih dibanggakan, ekonomi merudum, rakyat jadi susah. Yang ada ketika itu hanya "Modal Insan yang tak Cemerlang dan kesusahan rakyat yang tak Terbilang dan Islam Hadari yang kian Hilang (sekarang ni dah tak dengar apa2 cerita pun pasal Islam Hadari)"
4. Kesihan dengan Pak Ajib, bukan senang nak memulihkan ini semula. Sama2lah kita berdoa supaya beliau mendapat petunjuk dari Allah untuk memperbaiki keadaan yang buruk ini.

Maaf Tun, kalau SiNizar mohon sembah derhaka daripada Sultan saya minta kebenaran untuk berkelakuan kurang sopan sedikit daripada Tun.

Juno.

HBT's Gravatar HBT

June 30, 2009 at 8:50 am | Permalink

Selamat pagi Ayahanda Tun,

I think Mr Anwar risik2 (spy spy) in chedet.cc.Ayahanda Tun.

I have to copy and paste from The Star Online because it is Malaysian NEWS on our political front:-

/Anwar: Scrap use of English for Science and Maths

PETALING JAYA: Scrap the policy of teaching Science and Mathe-matics in English and bring back Bahasa Malaysia as the medium of instruction in the education system, said Opposition Leader Datuk Seri Anwar Ibrahim.//
Mr Anwar,

Wait.... wait first, Mr Anwar, why PPSMI needs to bring back into BM after 7 years now and the MOE already given the statistics that majority of our students prefer to answer the question in Bahasa Melayu. Are you trying to fool us by not knowing the meaning of kaum and bangsa, especially our kampung folks (semua kaum pun terlibat)? Are you Anti-Mahathir just because he FIRED you in '97 crisis. I am not Anti-Anwar, I am Anti-Lalang, k!
Tun Dr M fired you, I think it was because Malaysia was under attacked by the tiny little kingdom due to their habit of "copycat" by blindly Copying the West Policy and Tun Dr M has stopped The Look East Policy when he smelled something bad would happened to Malaysia if he insited the Look East Policy due to CORRUPTIONS!!!

Hm... from chedet blog, not chedet.cc, I came across a comment from a Malay (it could be a "she") blogger saying that she heard Khabar Angin that Mr Anwar may have plenty of money in Singapore Banks, and these money could be in RM500 and RM1,000 notes. Was it because of this reason why our BNM Gabenor TS Zeti has to demolish these notes because we were Under Attacked, and I think TS Zet is patriotic. After the incident, Malaysia is no longer using these notes. Huh.... it may be true, Mr Anwar, is it????

//Anwar also called for the return of Bahasa Melayu

T's Gravatar T

June 30, 2009 at 8:13 am | Permalink

/// 3. The suggestion that we may not touch the causeway without Singapore's permission is not part of any agreement with Singapore. What we do to the part of the causeway which is ours is our sovereign right. Not to exercise our sovereign right is akin to not being independent. ///

As usual, Tun, you are a purveyor of half-truths. Yes, Malaysia can do what it wants with the Malaysian part of the causeway. You can cut it off and build the crooked bridge. Likewise, Singapore can also do what it want with the Singapore part of the causeway. They can choose to let it just sit there – severed – after Malaysia has cut off its half of the causeway. Singapore can refuse to let Malaysia connect the crooked bridge to its territory – which is their sovereign right.

Or, you mean only Malaysia has sovereign rights?

isadarus's Gravatar isadarus

June 30, 2009 at 5:52 am | Permalink

Singapores not allowing to build a bridge over causeway is purely economic , I wonder why Malaysians have no brains to think like what Tun Dr Mahathir think.

donnaopi's Gravatar donnaopi

June 30, 2009 at 4:04 am | Permalink

@para

Nice one Para. But i still want that Tambak Johor to be replaced!

Singaporean86's Gravatar Singaporean86

June 30, 2009 at 1:28 am | Permalink

Dear Tun Dr. Mahathir,

I am a 20 plus year old Singaporean man right now. Growing up through the 90s with you as the Prime Minister of Malaysia, I have to admit that I did not like you very much. I'll be honest with you, I thought that you were a fool for always creating havoc and controversy when it comes to relationship issues with Singapore. "Lee Kuan Yew and PAP is the greatest" I thought. This is unfortunately the product of the Singapore media AND education.

Now as a grown up and being more exposed to the internet, I found out the truth that we Singaporeans have all been products of PAP propoganda. The media never fails to Sing praises of how great Singapore is, which in turn leads us to think how great the PAP government is. After all the readings and due diligence I've done, I found out that Lee

Kuan Yew and his PAP are the ones who are the fools. I feel we are not very much better off than the people of North Korea. I think there is good reason why Singapore bans Malaysian newspaper and why Malaysia does likewise.

Recently I made a trip to Kuala Lumpur and I admit that Singapore is more orderly and there is less jam but I thought to myself what is wrong with having a little chaos in our lives? Why do the Singapore government want things to be so perfect that all its citizens become nothing more than squares?

You have rightly pointed out how rigid we are, that even one man can be considered an assembly. I would have thought that this would be for the good of Singapore but now that our minds have opened up and I find this law ridiculous and stupid. Maybe the Singapore government should open their dictionaries to find out the meaning of assembly.

I don't know how many more lies we've been fed with but I'm sure you have a damn good reason to why you insist on building a crooked bridge (which is so often mocked by the Singapore media by the way, in case you want to know) and the reasons you have given seem reasonable.

But yes, if the crooked bridge comes to fruition, Singapore will be bypassed as a port as it will save many ships hours of sailing time and that is not something that Singapore wants. We need this harbor business for our economic growth.

But Singaporeans have failed to see, that for the sake of economic growth (which benefits who, really? Not me or my neighbors but the pencil-pushing beurecrats and directors of government-linked agencies) many other aspects of our lives have been compromised. We Singaporeans have evolved to become selfish people whose main concern is money and material assets.

When we drive a car and knock down someone, we don't care about the person being knocked down. We care more about the damage that is done to our cars. This is not the type of character I see in Malaysians. I have many great experience with Malaysian motorists and I feel this is just the tip of the iceberg when it comes to Malaysian's graciousness.

In Singapore when I make a transaction, like buy a drink for example, it can be a silent one when I pay the money, the cashier gives me a change and all that without exchanging a word or a smile. In Malaysia, when I hand a cashier money, he accepts it with 2 hands. This is something Singapore really can learn from Malaysians. Don't tell me this attitude has nothing to do with the government?

No lies can be hidden for too long. The Singapore media can lie to us but the truth will eventually surface. Which is why Tun, I no longer think of you as a fool as I did back during my teenager days. In fact I have developed a newfound respect for you and Malaysians.

Dear Tun, I really look forward to the next time you come visit Singapore to give a talk. I remember many years ago after you have stepped down, you came to the University of Singapore to give a light hearted talk. I was still in Secondary school then and very politically apathetic. Now I am in university and I would really love to hear your views of Singapore and its leadership. I would also kindly request Tun not to divulge my identity or IP address in case the Singapore's ISA asks for it. I am afraid they will find me and put me to jail for saying all this.

Best Regards

Singaporean

S..Tan's Gravatar S..Tan

June 30, 2009 at 12:53 am | Permalink

Dear Tun, salam sejahtera harap sihat. Kiriman saya untuk pandangan yg disiarkan di sini....

.....S'PORE BOGEYMAN

This blog is filled with race slanted comments to demonize certain M'sians using S'pore example. We are two different nations in character, composition, history, issues and experience. Some people here carry out a proxy hate campaign.

The M'sian chinese outnumber S'porean chinese, they don't need S'pores 'patronage' and can stand on their own feet. Unlike S'pore Malays who are far outnumbered by M'sian bumi.

.....RACE OR REASON

Some S'pore Malays may find solace in your numbers, some may find it more overwhelming than the 3mil S'pore chinese. Minorities on both sides are trapped in history (or God's will). Stop the ghost stories! What to expect of an Umno blog?

Also, don't misread the above as being pro S'pore.

pakpandir08's Gravatar pakpandir08

June 30, 2009 at 12:37 am | Permalink

rasanya ramai yg tak faham apa yg dipersoalkan

(1) Dokumen yg dipaparkan sekadar kos pembiayaan tambak Johor, lebih-lebih lagi ia adalah data yg begitu lama sekali. Dan paling penting sekali ia tidak mengemukakan kandungan perjanjian yg amat kritikal sekali ... bolehkah saya menggunakan slip gaji 5 tahun lepas untuk melapor hasil pendapatan? sudah pastinya tidak kerana ia tidak menunjukkan keadaan yg terkini ...

(2) Singapura pada masa itu amat bergantung kepada kegiatan pelabuhan. Apabila Singapura terkeluar dari Persekutuan, mustahil sekali Singapura tidak sedar bahawa ancaman yg bakal dihadapi sekiranya terdapat perubahan pada tambak Johor (penghapusan tambak Johor akan membawa bencana kepada kegiatan pelabuhan mereka) ... oleh yg demikian, persoalannya adakah terdapat apa-apa perjanjian yg dibuat atas hak-milik tambak Johor terutamanya pada masa Singapura terkeluar dari Persekutuan?

(3) Dengan "character" Tun yg suka mencabar Singapura, mengapakah tiada tindakan drastik yg diambil semasa pentadbiran Tun atas isu hak milik ini? Adakah wujudnya faktor-faktor yg perlu diatasi?

(4) Sekiranya isu ini dibawa ke mahkamah, fakta yg kukuh perlu diperbentangkan, dan bukan sekadar menggunakan kos pembiayaan untuk menentukan siapa yg mempunyai hak milik ... INGAT ... kita kan termasyhur dengan pandai membuat perjanjian yg amat mencurigakan? ... seperti kos air yg disalurkan ke Singapura ... oleh yg demikian, sekadar kos pembiayaan sahaja bukan bukti kukuh atas hak milik

dahulunya Tun katakan Abdullah banyak baik, banyak bersih ... pembodek-pembodek ada yg sokong melompat-lompat, bertepuk tangan ... tak sampai 3 tahun, Tun katakan Abdullah tak baik, pembodek juga lompat-lompat katakan tak baik ... nampaknya sekiranya Tun katakan Gajah itu sejenis tumbuhan ... rasanya pembodek akan katakan itu memang benar ... hehe

wan roselan's Gravatar wan roselan

June 29, 2009 at 10:57 pm | Permalink

AYAHANDA TUN,

YOU ARE BRILLIANT AND REALLY ARTICULATE WHEN YOU ARE EXPLAINING ISSUES OF WHICH NOT MANY HAVE THE SAME QUALITY AS YOU. NOT MANY COULD LEAD MALAYSIA THE MULTI=RACIAL AS YOU WERE. AT TIMES YOU MADE MISTAKE BUT ALSO OTHERS AS HUMAN. TO ME SINGAPORE IS NOTHING COMPARED TO MALAYSIA, THEY BUILT BY IMMIGRANTS WHO GOT NOTHING TO LOSE BUT DUE TO THEIR NATURAL SURVIVOR OF ALL IMMIGRANTS THAT WOULD DO ANYTHING TO LIVE EVEN STEPPING ON OTHERS. THEIR MERITOCRACY POLICIES FOR SURE WOULD HELP THE IMMIGRANTS SINCE THEY WORK HARDER COMPARED TO LOCAL COMPLACENT INDIGENOUS PEOPLE. THEY ARE HELPED BY AMERICANS ESPECIALLY JEWS, SEE MANY OF THEIR ADVISERS ARE JEWS. THEIR ECONOMY RELIES ON THE WEST AND USA ECONOMIES.

TEMASEK MADE LOSSES USD 8 BILLIONS LAST YEAR, BUT NOT EVEN A WORD OF CRITICS FROM MALAYSIAN OPPOSITION. THEY WOULD NOT FLARE UP SINCE SINGAPORE GOVERNS BY CHINESE BUT IF MAS OR OTHER MALAYSIAN GLCS MAKE LOSSES, THERE WILL BE NO CHANCE FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO EXPLAIN OTHER THAN ACCUSED OF CORRUPT PRACTICES ESPECIALLY IF ITS LED BY MALAYS CEO.

I'M NOT RACIST HERE BUT THE WAY I SEE THEY ARE NEVER APPRECIATE OF OUR SACRIFICES, THEY FIGHT FOR EVERYTHING AND WON'T GIVE ANYTHING. THEY ARE VERY GREEDY, THEY TAKE 90% OR OUR ECONOMY BUT STILL WANT THE REMAINING 10% BY PUTTING PRESSURE TO ABOLISH THE NEP. THEY WANT 100% MERITOCRACY, WHILE THEY KNOW ALREADY THE BUMIPUTERAS AT LUAR BANDAR COULD NOT COMPETE WITH THEM LIVING IN BANDAR IN TERM OF EDUCATION. LET ALONE TO FIGHT WITH THEIR BUSINESSES NETWORK, HOW ELSE TO EXPLAIN WHY MANY BUMIPUTERAS GOT TO SELL THEIR CONTRACT DUE TO THEIR CONTROL OF THE MARKET.

TUN PLEASE CONTINUE WITH THE CROOKED BRIDGE AND NEP, LETS WE HAVE PRIDE WITH OUR SOVEREIGNTY. NAJIB MUST DO MORE TO WIN BACK MALAYS NOT TO GIVE IN BY TRYING TO WOO OTHERS WHO WOULD BACK STABBING WHEN YOU ARE WEAK.

TQ

SALAM

ghaz's Gravatar ghaz

June 29, 2009 at 10:20 pm | Permalink

Ass'kum Tun,

Sebagai rakyat Johor saya memang sokong sangat Tambak Johor diganti kan dgn segera. Sebenar nya bukan rakyat Malaysia saja yang mahu kan jambatan baru bahkan rakyat Singapura pun sependapat dengan kita. Saya tahu sangat sebab Ayah saya rakyat Singapura dan Emak pula rakyat Malaysia. Dibesarkan di Singapura tapi menggunakan Tambak Johor hari-hari untuk ke sekolah di Johor

Apabila kerajaan bercadang menggantikan Tambak Johor, kedua dua pihak rakyat Singapura dan Malaysia menyambut nya dengan gembira tetapi mainan politik di peringkat atasan yang membuat usaha tersebut terbantut. Kita tidak bolih salahkan Singapura semata mata apatah lagi menganggap mereka sebagai musuh. Isu-isu seperti CPF, ruang udara, air, pasir sebenar nya bolih dirundingkan dgn telus bukan dengan pihak tertentu yang ada kepentingan dari segi kewangan mahu pun 'political millage gain'. Contoh nya kenapa ada Rakyat Semenajung dapat keluarkan CPF mereka dan kenapa penjualan pasir masih diteruskan secara senyap-senyap. Ini menunjukkan kita bolih bekerja sama jika kena gayanya dan menguntungkan kedua-dua pihak malah menguatkan lagi tali persahabatan antara kedua buah negara.

Terima kasih

para

June 29, 2009 at 9:03 pm | Permalink

Dear Dr. Mahathir Mohamad,

I am a 35 years old Singaporean and have been following your blog for quite a while.

In the past 22 years of your reign, I do see Malaysia excel and Malaysian unite as one, well, at least during my growing up years. Indeed, an admirable leader to your people and I do somewhat respect the things you had done for your country and people.

Normally, I would choose to hold back my comments and just read your blog from the sidelines. BUT today, I simply can't stand it anymore! I can sense from your writings you are full of rage and unfinished business. Stirring up old issues to increase your followers and making your voice heard or even to be remembered, now that's downright cheap.

Your previous comments of Mr. Lee visiting Malaysia have a lot of underlying messages. Some are even outright racist remarks creating unnecessary tension between all races on both sides of the country. Your blend of economic front and race control are extreme toxic and uncalled for. For all I care, I only hope ASEAN will improve somewhat like the EU Zone and excel further on the economic front. When China or even India in Asia becomes the world super power, I really wonder what else we can bicker on.

As for your latest "The Johore Causeway", once again, your classic style of writing in extreme suggestions, bringing politics with sovereignty in the brew. I felt sorry for you. To make your voice heard, you even had to stab your own people.

Reading further, some of your follower's comments talks about Singapore being Israel, introducing sanctions, or worst, WAR! Doesn't it rings a bell to the North Korea situation where Kim Jong Il thinks the whole world going to attack them. If you think that is ridiculous, so is your issues!

I sacrificed my 2 years of youth to National Service, be a soldier, hold a rifle, defend my country not because you have pending issues with Mr. Lee Kuan Yew. You two can go sort it out personally. Please DO NOT involve the people of Malaysia and Singapore into your personal petty fight. For all I care, I wish for peace and stability; be good neighbours and work hand in hand together.

Let's us remember you as a great leader to Malaysia and not a great leader in peevish arguments. Enough of crying over spilled milk, moved on. We have more important things at hand.

I do wish you all the best and good health.

Best regards.

azoo's Gravatar azoo

June 29, 2009 at 7:56 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,

I have read in one shipping news paper 'Tradewinds' 19th June 2009 -

"Vessels trading to Venezuela excluded from annual cover"

"Venezuela has become the first South American country to be excluded from annual cover under war-risks insurance policies for 20 years following Hugo Chavez's confiscation of offshore vessels and other oil assets."

"London underwriters have added Venezuela and an economic zone out to Isla Aves, some 563 kilometres(350 miles) off the coast, to the list of at-risk areas. The at-risk area includes Lake Maraicabo..."

Tun ada chance ke Malaysian Insurance company or consortium Insurance company masuk this area?

Nampak sangat America nak punish Venezuela for nationalising their sovereign oil and gas industry.

Dibawah adalah link buat tatapan Tun.Mungkin Tun pernah mengalami ini sendiri.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yTbdnNgqfs8>

Regards,

Azuwar Adib

azuan ajunidi's Gravatar azuan ajunidi

June 29, 2009 at 7:31 pm | Permalink

ASSALAMUALAIKUM AYAHANDA TUN

APA KHABAR AYAHANDA TUN DAN SEMUA WARGA CHEDET. AGAK LAMA SAYA TIDAK BERSAMA RAKAN-RAKAN SEMUA DALAM RUANGAN INI KERANA TERLALU SIBUK DENGAN AKTIVITI SEHARIAN. DI HARAP SEMUA WARGA CHEDET SIHAT HENDAKNYA.

AYAHANDA TUN... DI SINI SAYA INGIN BERTANYA KEPADA AYAHANDA TUN BERKENAAN DENGAN ISU 'PETRONAS'. BENARKAH AKAN BERLAKU SATU PERUBAHAN DI DALAM PENTADBIRAN PETRONAS, JIKA BENAR APA YANG KAMI RAKYAT DENGAR TENTANG KEMASUKAN ORANG-ORANG POLITIK KE DALAM PENTADBIRAN PETRONAS, MAKA SATU LAGI KESILAPAN BESAR TELAH DAN AKAN BERLAKU TERHADAP PENTADBIRAN KITA PADA MASA INI. JIKA BENAR KATA ANGIN YANG MENIUP POKOK, BAHAWA KHAIRI JAMALUDIN, SYED HAMID ALBAR DAN SEORANG BEKAS ORANG KANAN DATUK NAJIB YANG AKAN MENTADBIR PETRONAS. MAKA SATU KESILAPAN BESAR TELAH BERLAKU DI DALAM PENTADBIRAN KERAJAAN PADA HARI INI.

AYAHANDA TUN... ZAMAN INI ZAMAN SERBA CANGGIH, DAN SEMUA MAKLUMAT MUDAH DAN CEPAT TERSEBAR. JIKA BENAR SEPERTI APA YANG KAMI DENGAR, MAKA JELAS DAN TERANG PEMIMPIN YANG ADA PADA HARI INI, LEBIH PENTINGKAN KUASA DAN WANG, BERBANDING KHIDMAT KEPADA RAKYAT. DAN SATU LAGI MODAL POLITIK PEMBANGKANG AKAN MENJADI PENGHENTAM KEPADA KEPIMPINAN KERAJAAN BN PADA HARI INI.

SEBAGAI RAKYAT BIASA YANG NAMPAK KETIDAK JUJURAN DALAM PENTADBIRAN YANG ADA PADA HARI INI, TIDAK SALAH RASANYA SAYA MENYUARAKAN KETIDAK PUASAN HATI SAYA. TERHADAP KEPIMPINAN YANG ADA PADA HARI INI.

APA RASIONALNYA ORANG POLITIK DI MASUKKAN MENJADI PENTADBIR PETRONAS. SEBELUM INI PETRONAS AMAT BERJAYA DI TADBIR OLEH MEREKA YANG TELAH DI AMANAHKAN.

KENAPA PERLUNYA MASUK CAMPUR ORANG POLITIK UNTUK MENTADBIR PETRONAS PADA MASA DAN HARI INI. ADAKAH KERANA PETRONAS LUBOK EMAS UNTUK CEPAT KAYA.

JIKA BENAR PETRONAS AKAN DI TADBIR OLEH ORANG POLITIK. KITA AKAN LIHAT KESANNYA PADA PILIHANRAYA AKAN DATANG.

FIKIR-FIKIRLAH WAHAI MANUSIA YANG TAMAK.

W A S S A L A M

Zulfadzli's Gravatar Zulfadzli

June 29, 2009 at 6:26 pm | Permalink

Terima kasih kepada Tun kerana mempamerkan sebahagian daripada perjanjian Tambak Johor. Mungkin ramai yang tidak memahami isi kandungan perjanjian tersebut sehingga lah Tun membuat ulasannya.

Terima kasih kepada Tun kerana mendedahkan hal sebegini. Diharapkan Tun dapat memikirkan strategi terbaik bagaimana untuk mengukuhkan perhubungan bangsa di negara ini. Terlalu banyak pihak yang kini cuba menanggung di air keruh, diatas kejumudan pengikut, mengambil kesempatan diatas keterbukaan sistem komunikasi. Sesiapa sahaja berhak untuk menyuarakan pandangan.

Jika kita obses terhadap seseorang, maka kita akan menutup mata dan memekakkan telinga di atas apa yang pihak lain utarakan. Apakah kaedah yang terbaik untuk membetulkan situasi sebegini. Saya percaya, Tun bersuara lantang sehingga hari ini bukan mengingini populariti lagi tetapi Tun ikhlas untuk membetulkan kepincangan negara.

Sebagai seorang yang masih berpengaruh, saya amat berharap Tun mampu menukilkan ilham untuk membina jambatan baru dari segi perhubungan kaum dalam negara kita, serta merapatkan ukhwah bangsa kita, yang sebenarnya lebih jauh bermakna dari jambatan bengkok tersebut. Kita serahkan kepada kebijaksanaan Najib untuk memperbetulkan kerosakan yang dilakukan oleh Pak Lah.

Hukum Alam tidak pernah silap dari dahulu hingga kini. Ada hikmahnya mengapa Pak Lah gagal mengekalkan legasi kegagahan negara sewaktu beliau memimpin. Yang berlalu biarlah berlalu. Tidak guna kita menangisi dan menyesali perkara tersebut. Kerosakan yang dihadapi sekarang perlulah diperbetulkan. Diharapkan Tun dapat

mencetus satu lagi idea mega berbentuk insaniah yang diperlukan dan dicari-cari selama ini oleh setiap golongan masyarakat.

Inilah jambatan yang perlu Tun sedekahkan kepada kami rakyat Malaysia serta generasi yang akan datang sementara diizinkan oleh Tuhan.

Mohon maaf jika permintaan saya ini terlalu besar tetapi saya yakin ada sebabnya mengapa Allah melahirkan Tun Mahathir di negara ini dan bukannya di negara lain.

Percayalah, setiap pengorbanan yang Tun lakukan dari dahulu hingga ke akhir hayat Tun akan dikenang sehingga beribu tahun. Maka kami akan sering berdoa agar Tun dirahmati setiap kali nama Tun disebut walaupun Tun telah tiada di bumi ini lagi.

Semoga Tun dipanjangkan umur dan dipelihara oleh Allah s.w.t.

Terima kasih, Tun kerana bakal merealisasikan jambatan bangsa yang dinanti-nantikan oleh kami semua, khususnya bangsa melayu.

ikhlas,

<http://reformasimindaguru.blogspot.com>

Hua's Gravatar Hua

June 29, 2009 at 5:56 pm | Permalink

Komen-komen saya bagi mengekalkan kepimpinan Malaysia demi mengekalkan kemajuan positif negara
Saya sangat suka membaca sejarah yang bertentangan kebangkitan dan kemerosotan dinasti Negara China , mungkin sebab adanya unsur-unsur peperangan dalam cerita tersebut .Saya juga fikir, dengan adanya peperangan ,barulah adanya negara (ataupun puak mereka) yang bangkit dan negara yang memerosot. Tetapi, point saya bukan ini. Adakah Tun berfikir, apa faktor- faktor yang mendalam menyebabkan ini (k bangkitan dan kemerosotan sesuatu negara) menjadi sejarah???

Sebagai contoh, sejarah tiga dinasti ,daripada tiga negara(Wei, Wu, Shu),Shu adalah negara yang paling adalat(rightness,integrity). Tetapi, akhirnya Shu megalami keruntuhan. Jika Tun ada membaca sejarahnya,Tun mesti tahu faktor keruntuhan utama ialah kebodohan raja muda(anak raja pertama) dan kegagalan raja pertama memilih raja yang berkemampuan. Maksud saya, kebodohan raja muda ialah merintang perjalanan peperangan perdana menteri(nya)(Zhu Ge Liang){masa peperangannya hampir menang tetapi ditolak balik} dan {masa peperangan Jiang Wei(strategist kedua) hampir mengalami kemenangan tetapi juga ditolak balik} Sebab ditolak balik ialah raja muda mempercayai penfitnahan orang lain. Betapa kesiannya Jiang Wei dan Zhu Ge Liang yang mempunyai kemampuan dan keadilan untuk menbangkit negaranya tetapi gagal.

Saya tidak mampu memberi contoh lain(kerana kebahasaan saya tidak bagus), dan juga sebab Tun tahu contoh-contohnya. Paling penting, cara- cara untuk memilih pemimpin atau pewaris, komen saya ialah menguji calon tersebut secara tanpa diketahui oleh calon tersebut. Mungkin, Tun pun tahu cara itu ,tetapi soalan ialah bagaimana menguji calon tersebut secara tanpa diketahui? Maksud saya ujian ialah melalui pembualan menukar fikiran.

Dan,menguji seseorang bukan menguji pengetahuannya tetapi cara-caranya memikir sesuatu benda,memanipulasi sesuatu benda, memang, syarat-syarat ialah pemikiran yang hebat ialah mempunyai pengetahuan. Sebagai contoh, orang yang bijak bukannya mempunyai pengetahuan yang banyak tetapi cara-cara memikir terhadap pengetahuannya.Satu contoh lagi, walaupun seseorang itu tahu apa yang hendak melaku untuk meyelesai masalah itu tetapi tidak tahu apa yang mungkin berlaku pada masa melakukan cara penyelesaiannya(itulah kuasa berfikir).Contoh kedua, walaupun seseorang itu mempunyai langkah penyelesaiannya tetapi dia tak buat.Konklusi ini saya dapat melalui kehidupan saya. Harap-harap Tun memahami pemikiran saya.

E-mail: zhunghua@gmail.com

afag's Gravatar afag

June 29, 2009 at 5:25 pm | Permalink

salam sejahtera Tun and to all chedet members and also to..

TO ALL MALAYSIAN AND SINGAPOREAN...

Today on this beautiful day i want to share some of the history about Johore – Singapore Causeway @ TAMBAK JOHOR.

1. The increased demand for black pepper and gambier in the nineteenth century lead to the opening up of farmlands to the influx of Chinese immigrants, creating Johor's initial economic base. The Kangchu system was put in place with the first settlement of Kangkar Tebrau established in 1844.
2. The decline of the Kangchu economy at the end of the 19th century coincided with the opening of the railway line

connecting Johor Bahru and the Federated Malay States in 1909 and the emergence of rubber plantations throughout the state.

3. Under the British Resident system, Sultan Ibrahim, Sultan Abu Bakar's successor, was FORCED to accept a British adviser in 1904. D.G. Campbell was dispatched as the first British adviser to Johore. From the 1910s to the 1940s, Johore emerged as Malaya's TOP rubber producing state, a position it has held until recently. Johore was also until recently the LARGEST oil palm producer in Malaysia.

4. The Johor-Singapore Causeway@TAMBAK JOHOR(length: 1038 m) was designed by Messrs Coode, Fizmaurice, Wilson and Mitchell of Westminster, while the construction contract was awarded to Topham, Jones & Railton Ltd of London. It started in 1909 AS A RAILWAY LINK by Johor State Railway to connect Johor Bahru to Singapore, then the administrative headquarters of British interests in South-East Asia.

5. Construction of the ROAD SECTION started in 1919 and was completed in 1923.

6. The Causeway also carries a railway line, which is now part of the main rail route linking Singapore with Thailand via Kuala Lumpur, Ipoh and Butterworth.

7. The causeway has been a source of contention ever SINCE Singapore seceded from Malaysia in 1965. Stagnating water caused by the Causeway has raised health concerns in Johore.

8. Malaysia proposed to replace the causeway with a bridge, allowing water, tide movement and ship movement from Pasir Gudang, the older port in Johor to the new port in Gelang Patah through the Straits of Johor.

9. Singapore REJECTED this proposal, after which Malaysia came up with the idea of what became known as "the crooked half-bridge", 25m above water level, and descending halfway to link up with the low-level causeway. The railway WAS to have also a swing bridge.

10. The scheme was part of the Gerbang Selatan Bersepadu project. It had been previously announced that the bridge project would go ahead, even WITHOUT the agreement of the Singaporean government.

11. But the bridge would become a STRAIGHT bridge IF the Singaporean government ACCEPTED the project.

12. By replacing the causeway with a bridge design would allow a creation of a very comprehensive PORT SYSTEM linking Johor Port and Tanjung Pelepas Port(PTP) in Johor and this also positively helps booming the Malaysia economy and trades activity.

13. Thus explaining the initial reluctance of Singapore to agree and rejected this bridge proposal. The TRULY and LOGICAL fact is that this proposal presents a biggest threat to Singapore's port activity and this is not very good for the Singapore economy. It is not just because of sand, airspace or fresh water...but because of the ECONOMY FEAR...thats why..

It is simple to understood by everyone..;no vessel@cargo, no more best port, no best port, no more factory@industry, no more of this, no more jobs, no more jobs = people will feel "susah hati" and the government will start to "pening kepala"...and just to flash back, will LKY remember to his once sharp word, "Singapore Will Survive!" for just because rejection of his cabinet members to this bridge project...

"Semangat Perpaduan dan Kemajuan Bersama Negara-negara Asean" must carried together and ALWAYS to be remembered into this matter...

HBT's Gravatar HBT

June 29, 2009 at 4:55 pm | Permalink

Ayahanda Tun,

Do you realize that our Mr S..Tan cannot sleep last night LAH, why???

One comment at 12:15 am, and the other one at 1:37am.

My mum used to tell me that if you want to sleep peacefully, never never do bad things, if not 'ponti' will knock at my room door in the middle of the night!!!

alphamain's Gravatar alphamain

June 29, 2009 at 4:27 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,

You are right sir on this issue. How do we fight to win?

We must use NGOs – local and overseas to talk about the ecological problem created by the Causeway. We must spin it to the max. I'm sure our media guys know how to convince the masses including Singaporeans on the ecological disaster created by the Causeway.

Karam Singh Walia can have his own TV Show just talking of the various eco-damages caused by the Causeway.

Dato' Jean Todt can also talk about it whenever he gives speeches about Malaysia.

Locals and overseas academicians and environmental groups talk openly against the Causeway.

In summary, if we do this like we bombard Malaysians with the One Malaysia daily...we are on the right track!

We must drum up this issue to the point that everyone in the west joke about it when they talk about Singapore and Malaysia.

Start a psychological war and win it...while waiting for our Politicians to suck their guts in and march towards Malaysian Independence from the tiny tyrant Singapore.

kit siang 69's Gravatar kit siang 69

June 29, 2009 at 3:16 pm | Permalink

Tun,

This flip flop is confusing us. Can we demolish this bridge or not?

<http://limkitsiang.com/archive/2006/april06/lks3870.htm>

Firstly, Foreign Minister Datuk Seri Syed Hamid Albar insisted that international law is one the side of Malaysia and that if the half-bridge issue had gone to the international court, the government is confident that it would have won the case. Yet on the other hand, an unnamed government source is telling Malaysians:

ahmad muhamad's Gravatar ahmad muhamad

June 29, 2009 at 2:45 pm | Permalink

Sallam TUN,

Kepada DS Najib, tak payah buat jambatan ketiga.

Buat apa yang telah di rancangan , develop again Tambak Johor tu jadikan jambatan. Kalau tak kerana "Pak Mentua dan Menantu" punya hal dah siap pun jambatan baru. Suruh "Pak Mentua dan menantu" bawa meja dan payung duduk satu hari kat Tambak Johor sekarang dan SAKSIKAN macam mana huru hara nya trafik , kastam dam Imigresen.

Alhamdulillah

DS Najib dah jadi PM. Oh ya lagi satu beritahu "menantu" jangan turun Manik Urai. Kalau dia turun BN akan kalah lagi. Tak payah lah masuk paper dan TV. Cukup2 lah.

kami tunggu pengumuman dari DS najib untuk buat jambatan. Panggilah pakar pakar dari Putra Jaya lagi sekali untuk buat reasearh yang menyeluruh untuk membina jambatan baru di tambak Johor.

Semoga ALLAH membuka hati DS Najib dan pakar2 ini dan semoga usaha ini akan memberi menafaat kepada rakyat Malaysia dan penduduk Johor am nya untuk masa akan datang.

Kepada ALLAH jua lah kita kembalikan semua urusan.

Terima Kaseh TUN kerana membawa isu ini kembali ke laman blog TUN.

thinktok's Gravatar thinktok

June 29, 2009 at 2:11 pm | Permalink

There are indeed some good postings. So Tun is not only against the sale of sand but also against someone reaping the money. I thought the proceeds should go to the State or Federal government. I heard a rumour that Khairy is going to benefit from it and that is why Tun is very upset and sabotage the deal by linking the sale of sand to selling malaysian sovereignty.

So it is a case of you want it, you sabotage it and you still want it.

The POA on the KTM railway assist in the Bridge construction in that under the POA the railway line will go under the Johore Straits and ends at Kranji MRT. This legally binding POA had superceded the Railway Agreement. Tun is not respecting this POA a signed document between Singapore and Malaysia. Maybe Tun can give us more detail on this.

Airspace I believe is overfly by RSAF aircrafts only. I don't know much.

antikmalaya's Gravatar antikmalaya

June 29, 2009 at 2:01 pm | Permalink

YESSSS TUN,

I fully agree when you said "I think it is a very stupid way of punishing Singapore".

And that brings us to the next issue:

"Why is our current Administration continue giving face to Singapore?"

Something is very strange here! Guys, we better wake-up atau ada yang nak kena lagi kot.....!

1) Malaysia does not owe Singapore a living. Singapore on the other hand owe us a living not only to survive but to live.

2) To continue giving them the lifeline will backfire because they have demonstrated well that "thank-you" is not part of their vocabulary when dealing with its neighbors. They only say thank-you to their protectors i.e. Enemies of

Muslim. I'm very sorry that Nik Aziz gave a thumb-up on LKY visit. Has this guy read beyond the scope of agama to understand the reality of life?

3) There must be a strategic reason behind Tambak Johor as to why Singapore is refusing a new bridge. If the new bridge is strategically advantage to us, we should then proceed to build. We are suppose to serve our Country and not to any foreign nation!

4) If we continue to act like Mr. Nice Guy like how the Malay Singaporean used to, the day will come when our livelihood is at their mercy..... By then nasi dah jadi bubuq!

Singapore got its land for free. The Malays sacrificed everything including their dignity. How much more should we sacrificed...?

TUNGGU APA LAGI..... build the crooked bridge now. This is all about sovereignty and dignity!

twocents02's Gravatar twocents02

June 29, 2009 at 1:11 pm | Permalink

Dearest Tun

Perhaps the policies governing singapore was to treat it as the capital of the whole of Malaysia and they still believe that their malaysian malaysia concept is still relevant and doable

some opposition politicians are voicing the proposal of joining back Malaysia

SteelMD's Gravatar SteelMD

June 29, 2009 at 1:09 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,

Thanks for being myPM tapi Tun tak perlu lah kritik Tun Abdullah lagi.Cukup2 lah!Ingatkah Tun siapa yang memegang & mengangkat tangan Tun masa Perhimpunan Umno baru2 ni?

icucu tok buyung's Gravatar icucu tok buyung

June 29, 2009 at 11:53 am | Permalink

TUN.

Perdebatan antara Nik Aziz dan PM DSNTR memang tak wajar diadakan.

Bukan nya apa, tak nampak relevan nya. Berbincang untuk mencari persefahaman tak mahu. Debat mahu pula. Dah nyanyok sungguh Tok Guru ni.

Saya setuju dengan alasan TUN dalam hal ini dan sesuatu yang masuk akal jugak. Petikan ulasan TUN di Alor Setar.

“Saya ingat tak ada faedah.. Nanti Nik Aziz cakap Arab, Najib cakap Inggeris.. dua-dua tak faham..”

Saya pun tak akan faham bila Tok Guru ghoyak Kelate...Rakyat pun tak faham. Nanti macam tonton drama jenaka pulak jadi nya.

ezani's Gravatar ezani

June 29, 2009 at 10:56 am | Permalink

Salam Tun

Amidst the choas and the mudslinging back and forth regarding Singapore-Malaysia relations, I think the saviour that really stands out in all this mess is the Sultan of Johor. He is a true patriot, a lover of sovereignty of his nation (despite his temperament and what he has done in the past), and he walks-the-talk and puts action where his mouth his, albeit crudely. As long as this man stands, there is no one (not Singapore and not even the politicians of the Federal Govt) who can do any harm to Johor.

What you say about the traffic congestions at the Johor Causeway is true. But I must give credit to the Sultanate of Johor for being such a strong “castle” because if Johor was ruled by political capitalists who only have ringgit in their head, I think a good portion of Johor (probably the state itself) would have been in Singaporean hands by now. The Singaporean Chinese especially LKY and his family are very shrewd businessmen and they do not have the other party's interests at heart in business dealings so the best way is to just stay away from Singapore. I shudder to think what will happen when his sons take over ruling of Johor but I hope they maintain what their father has fought for for so long since even our money minded Federal politicals are just itching to sell Johor to Singapore at any price in whatever guise be it the Iskandariah Project or whatever name.

I feel let down by UMNO politicians actually even the Sultan who let go of Penang and the politician who let go off Singapore. I also do not have that much confidence in Najib. But ho hum....it appears to be a continuation of Pak Lah's legacy. There doesn't seem to be any able political leader after you, Tun so we will wait and see what happens!

solo's Gravatar solo

June 29, 2009 at 10:06 am | Permalink

Salam Tun

seronok dapat kembali surf blog Tun..

Ura-ura pembinaan jambatan telah saya dengar sejak saya berkhidmat di Batu Pahat sekitar hujung tahun 1990an. Ketika itu begitu hangat di perkatakan tentang cadangan kerajaan hendak merobohkan Tambak Kesayangan yang telah ujud sekian lama... Saya bukan rakyat Johor tapi saya di fahamkan ada yang begitu emotional dengan cadangan hendak merobohkan Tambak Johor ... katanya itu adalah tinggalan nenek moyang dan itu adalah sebagai monumen hasil daya dan usaha Tuanku sebagai Raja Johor sejak zaman dulu-dulu demi untuk pembangunan rakyat negeri Johor...

Maafkan saya andai ini tidak benar... orang yang berkata-kata itu juga telah tiada untuk mempertahankan dirinya lagi... walaubagaimanapun saya cuma ingin mengetahui setakat manakah sentimentalnya Tambak itu kepada rakyat Johor khususnya..

Saya kira pembinaan Jambatan Bengkok (?) adalah satu lagi tinggalan bersejarah generasi sekarang ini kepada generasi yang akan datang. Saya percaya Jambatan baru yang menggantikan Tambak akan menjadi pemangkin untuk menjana satu kehidupan yang lebih makmur di masa akan datang... Berdoalah.....

Sekiranya saya adalah Rakyat Johor saya akan bersuara dengan kuat untuk mendapatkan Jambatan itu...

Kita lihatlah samada Johor boleh atau tidak menandingi Singapura dengan terbinanya Jambatan itu...

Singapura telah melihatnya terlebih dahulu sebab itu mereka berdolak dalih...

Fikir-fikirkan laaaa....

anak Malaysia's Gravatar anak Malaysia

June 29, 2009 at 9:28 am | Permalink

Salam Tun,

Spore dah pegang telur pemimpin Msia tu...sebab tu tabley jalan Jambatan bengkok.

HBT's Gravatar HBT

June 29, 2009 at 8:30 am | Permalink

Ayahanda Tun,

I respect our honest and patriotic "anticorruptionmalaysia".

//By anticorruptionmalaysia on June 27, 2009 9:51 AM//

Dear anticorruptionmalaysia,

I agreed with you if Najib and his Cabinet must demolish our side of the causeway and replace it with a bridge, crooked or elevated, to allow small ships, barges and sailing boats to go through. This will be done at whatever costs....FULLSTOP.

I will give my vote to BN (not my life because we have only ONE CHANCE to live) too to support Najib and his Cabinet in the above decision. But if he does not, I will have to support DAP because if I don't go against the anticorrupted politicians, Singaporean Chinese will rampas our hak cina in Malaysia through corrupting our MCA and Gerakan too ("kah ki lang" in Hokkien) to makan the Malaysia Cina, tulang pun sekaligus ditelankan!!!! and has Nik Aziz also been bought? It may be, who knows? After watching the Angels and Demons directed by Ron Howard, there is a very realistic and interesting scene in the movie, all the Pope(s) waiting in line to be the next Pope (their leader, The Pope was suspected to be assassinated, in the movie, not the real one) of the most powerful Catholic Church in Rome smoke cigarettes and use handphones while waiting for the assassinated Pope to be carried into the coffin in front of the Catholic Church!! Don't forget, cigarettes and handphones are the products of Capitalism and Science.

In movie and entertainment industries, you have to be DRAMATIC if not how to sell your movies and make money le... that is DEMOCRACY and CAPITALISM (Tom Hanks said he is not Anti-Catholic, but Anti-Vandalism), a good movie that we Malaysians should watch!!!! No wonder our blogger who claimed that he scored A in sejarah cannot remember what he has studied in sejarah. He does not know that if our sejarah is Dramatic like this Demons And Angels, our Malaysia will be in chaos. But I am sure he knows the May 13 event and the communists' invasion, that is the most dramatic misfortune happened in Malaysia.

Take care anticorruptionmalaysia, you have gained my attention in reading your comments.

And good day to Ayahanda Tun.

T's Gravatar T

June 29, 2009 at 8:07 am | Permalink

/// By milshah on June 26, 2009 12:27 PM

If we look at Tun's decision when he was still PM, his policies also received a fair share of opposition. Be it the creation of national car, the Look East Policy, the new administrative Putrajaya, the Petronas Twin Towers, Multimedia Super Corridor, even the capital controls during the 1997 Financial Crisis. But in the end, his decision was later found to be correct. ///

milshah, I wonder which planet you are from? His decisions were correct? What do you mean by correct? Half full or half empty? Very subjective, isn't it? Let's go through them one by one.

Proton – a huge failure. After decades of protection, and after pouring untold billions, the national car is still uncompetitive. The only way it can sell at all is to impose punitive taxes on all foreign cars.

Look East Policy. The Look East policy and Buy British Last was introduced because the Malaysian government was stung by the British for accusing Malaysian politicians of corruption. And what have we learned from looking east? Have we learned Japanese efficiency and innovation? Have Malaysian politicians learned from the Japanese politicians to take responsibility for any wrong and crime by committing seppuku (hara kiri)?

Putrajaya – this must be the biggest joke. It has become Putridjaya. Dead town. White elephant.

Petronas Twin Tower – another white elephant project. Some short guy needed a huge soapbox to stand on and shout to the world (don't accuse me of inventing this – the man said this himself).

Multimedia Super Corridor – what happened to it? How many world class companies has it attracted? What earth-shattering killer app has it produced? What happened to Bill Gates' involvement?

Capital control – Malaysia is still suffering from this ill-advised action after all these years. FDI has shrunk – who dares to invest in a country where policy making is at the whim and fancy of one man?

The decisions were correct? Yes – in wasting hundreds of billions of ringgit and driving foreign investors away...

lampu basikal's Gravatar lampu basikal

June 29, 2009 at 4:00 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun.

Semoga Allah sentiasa memberkati usaha Tun,

Telah sekian lama nya kita rakyat Malaysia am nya dan Johore khasnya memberi singapura kekayaan yang mereka kecapai, saya rasa sudah sampai masa nya kita pula merasai nikmat hasil titik peluh kita sekarang ini. Ini di sebabkan sudah berkurun hasil tanaman dan produk kita di eksport melalui singapura walaupun singapura tidak ada sekangkang kera pun tanah yang disediakan untuk pertanian. Olih yang demikian saya juga bersetuju jikalau tambak Johor di gantikan dengan jambatan bengkok supaya kedua dua pelabuhan kita mendapat manfaat, air yang bersih pun dapat mengalir dan memperkayakan hasil tangkapan nelayan kita. Selain dari itu kita dapat juga mengurangkan kesesakan lalu lintas keluar dan masuk antara Johor dan republik singapura.

Saya amat setuju dengan saranan Tun dan sudah sampai masa nya kita bertindak sebelum nasi menjadi bubur, tambak Johor juga mungkin menjadi ancaman kepada kita sekiranya apa apa berlaku di masa akan datang. Biar lah ianya menjadi serampang bemat mata dan pelajaran untuk generasi akan datang supaya mengenali sejarah dan membuat keputusan tepat hari ini untuk masa depan anak cucu cicit kita.

Semoga segala usaha Tun saya doakan dapat membuahkan hasil yang kita kehendaki dan di berkati Allah, Amin....

Akhir kata “Hidup Tun Dr Mahathir dan diberkati segala usaha murni untuk menyedarkan anak bangsa”. Amin.....

Wasalam dari lampu basikal anak kelahiran Johor.

krish's Gravatar krish

June 29, 2009 at 3:23 am | Permalink

Salam Tun...

nanti kalau jabatan bengkok takjadi mesti BN kalah di johor pada pru13...

sunandragon's Gravatar sunandragon

June 29, 2009 at 2:41 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun. Hope that you are in good health. I have only one thing to say about the crooked bridge and Tambak Johor issue and it is for those who are against it. Some of the comments they make are purely base on logical thinking and not hard facts. For these guys I would like to ask them to read more intellectual books and try to think outside of the box. Think carefully, because the reason behind building the scenic bridge is important for Malaysia to sustain its growth and maintain its economic edge overtime. That means the economic survival of your children and grand children my dear friends. It is for Malaysian benefit and not Singapore. Singapore's government will not think twice to built anything and I STRESS, ANYTHING if it brings benefit to them. Even to the extent

angering its neighbouring countries. Why? because they are always thinking of their economic survival. Why should we consider their feeling when it comes to our own survival. Case in point, do you guys know why the bridge is crooked where it should have been straight? Why Singapore is asking for sand in exchange for a straight bridge? And the comment from Ravi. Is it wrong for Tun to realize that a third bridge should be build in his 22 year tenure rather earlier? Don't you think it is better to notice than not notice it at all. If you think carefully my dear Ravi, the idea did not pop up out of thin air. There was an issue at hand for Malaysia prior to the idea of building the bridge. Seek the issue and you will understand what I am talking about. If you are unable to comprehend the reason for building the bridge, I bid you farewell and hopefully you will have a good and prosperous years ahead of you because you will forever feel that the bridge is not worthwhile. And for Ravi and all those other commenter who feels that the bridge is a waste of time, I hope that 20 years down the line, in your twilight years, none of your grandchildren will stand in front of you and give a comment vilifying those who are against the building of the bridge without knowing that their grandfather is one of them. Think my dear friend, Think. It is not for me , it is not for Tun, It is for us.

Tun, Best regards and you are still the best.

That's Reality's Gravatar That's Reality

June 29, 2009 at 1:55 am | Permalink

Salam Tun

Terimakasih Tun. Nobody can deny, Tun explanation are as good as what we have read, I supposed in the Crooked Bridge article. Many believes what TAAB claiming during his administration. We should judge what is he doing all the time during his tenure.

Thanks but no thanks to TAAB, we have had enough of your picture like a sleeping cat when you was in the parliament meeting.

Thank you very much Tun Mahathir

S..Tan's Gravatar S..Tan

June 29, 2009 at 1:37 am | Permalink

Dear Tun, salam mesra harap sihat sejahtera. Kiriman saya untuk tajuk ini...

.....MONGERING BLOG TO UNBLOCK THE CAUSEWAY

This blog is drifting far from the decorum of a statesman blog. There is crude and blatant race mongering (different from championing), hate mongering (different from defending), fear mongering (different from inspiring) and now war mongering (different from sovereignty). All these to unblock a causeway that is blocked by our leaders not S'pore (who is only uncooperative, no power to block)

.....WHO'S IN THE WAY?

I dont think S'pore has challenged M'sian sovereignty on the causeway and the crooked bridge. If our own leaders fail to see this, its our own stupidity dont blame S'pore's uncooperativeness. S'pore will not spend billions on a project that will cause it more economic losses (probably why it seeks sand as 'compensation?')

This is the legitimate stand of any decent govt, a project that disadvantages the country should not be funded by the nation. For M'sia it is a different story. If we cant hold hands just go our separate ways, no need to drag each other. So will the comments shift from S'pore bashing! Bark up the right tree!

.....JOHOR CAUSEWAY THE COARSE WAY

Its stupid to call for violence over this economic issue (sovereignty is not in dispute). This violent cry is for a cause which I doubt any God would bless. It is haughty, over-confident, mindless and senseless. What if Indon adopt the same stance over Ambalat, citing precedence?

kedarah's Gravatar kedarah

June 29, 2009 at 12:46 am | Permalink

Salam sejahtera Tun,

Mengenai Topik Jambatan ini saya sudah semak 139 respon pembaca dan saya juga sudah semak respon 122 respon pembaca Topik sebelum ini iaitu imej kos pembinaan Tambak Johor.

Daripada semakan keatas 261 respon pembaca, tidak seorang pun yang mengetahui atau menyebut mengenai beberapa perjanjian yang ada sangkut-paut antara Malaysia dengan Singapura.

Tun sendiri dalam topik ini juga tidak menyentuh langsung perjanjian yang masih berkuat-kuat kuasa antara Malaysia dengan Singapura yang ada kaitan dengan Tambak Johor. Ulasan Tun seolah-olah tidak ada apa-apa perjanjian antara kedua-dua negara yang menyentuh dengan Tambak Johor.

Disini saya ingin dapatkan pandang Tun mengenai perjanjian-perjanjian dibawah ini samada ianya ada kaitan

dengan Tambak Johor atau tidak:

1. Constitution and Malaysia (Singapore Amendment) Act 1065 – Malaysia Act Of arliament No 53 OF 1965.
2. Guarantee Agreement Between The Government Of Malaysia And The Government Of Republic Of Singapore dated 24 November 1990.
3. Johore Water Agreement dated 29 September 1962
4. Perjanjian antara DYMM Sultan Ibrahim Johor dengan The Municipal Comissioners Of The Town Of Singapore bertarikh 5 Disember 1927
5. Proclamation Of Singapore oleh Almarhum Tunku bertarikh 9 Ogos 1965
6. Perjanjian Air Sungai Scudai Dan Teberau bertarikh 1 September 1961
7. Perjanjian Antara Kerajaan Johor dengan PUB Singapore pada 24 November 1990.

Mengikut pengamatan saya yang tidak seberapa ini, beberapa daripada perjanjian diatas ada menyebut dengan jelas mengenai saluran paip air dan pertanggungjawaban di pihak Malaysia kepada Singapura keatas prasarana bekalan air ke Singapura.

Prasarana bekalan air khususnya paip air ada kaitan pula dengan Tambak Johor.

Harap dapat komen Tun yang lebih lanjut mengenai isu perjanjian-perjanjian ini khususnya kaitan perasarana bekalan air dengan Tambak Johor.

Terima kasih

Hookamania's Gravatar Hookamania

June 29, 2009 at 12:31 am | Permalink

Salam sejahtera YAB Tun,

Banyak pandangan kali ini lebih mengutarakan bahawa perlunya pembinaan Tambak Johor yang lebih ideal dan realistik berbanding jambatan ketiga yang mana saya rasakan bukan cadangan daripada YAB Dato' Seri Najib itu sendiri tetapi idea dari Singapura. Yang mana ia bukan bertujuan, bagi pandangan Singapura, untuk memajukan sebelah kawasan timur sepertimana yang diwar-warkannya tetapi lebih menjurus kepada pembesaran sempadan memandangkan kawasan yang dimiliki olehnya semakin mengecil kerana pertambahan penduduk. Bersama-sama itu juga, Pulau Batu Putih yang menjadi milik Singapura sekarang dapat berdekatan dengan sempadannya.

Memang benar dan jelas rakyat Singapura memerlukan Tambak Johor yang baru dan tidak dapat disangkal lagi kerana trafik yang melalui kawasan tersebut terlalu banyak dan padat pada setiap hari. Sama ada ke Singapura dan sebaliknya.

Sekiranya isu sejarah yang disandarkan berhubung pembinaan Tambak Johor semasa itu adalah benar bahawa kependudukan orang Melayu adalah termasuk Singapura. Tetapi, jika diulas lebih mendalam semasa itu yang memerintah Singapura adalah Orang British dan bukannya Raja Melayu dan Johor pada ketika itu telah dimulakan pemerintahan oleh Maharaja Abu Bakar. Oleh kerana itu, ia menjadikan Singapura dan Tanah Melayu adalah satu entiti yang berbeza semasa pembinaan tambak Johor. Singapura hanya masuk sebentar bagi menuntun kemerdekaan dan selepas itu keluar semula setelah mendapat kemerdekaan tersebut pada tahun 1965 dari Malaysia.

Lee Kuan Yew dan juga YAB Tun yang pada ketika itu bersaing terlalu cepat sehinggakan saya merasakan 2 gergasi tersebut berjaya memajukan Asia Tenggara secara keseluruhannya. Dan, ramai mengakui bahawa YAB Tun dan Lee Kuan Yew adalah gergasi ekonomi. Maka boleh dikatakan sandaran setiap daripada manusia adalah pencabarnya.

Saya selalu dimomokan dengan isu yang tidak kurang panasnya apabila Singapura hendak menyerang Malaysia. Mungkin kerana teknologi yang terkini tetapi perlu maklum, rakyat dan askar mereka tidak pernah melebihi dari kalangan rakyat Malaysia dan Johor itu sendiri. Jika dikatakan mereka maju dari segi ketenteraan apa kurangnya kita. Sebabnya, cerita-cerita begini lebih ditumpu oleh golongan kelas bawahan berbanding mengejar Singapura dari segi ekonomi. Kenapa kita tidak pernah menyentuh Thailand yang langsung tidak mempunyai sempadan selat dan lebih senang diceroboh hanya dengan berenang sahaja ke Malaysia. Ia sama seperti ketika Jepun menyerang Malaysia melalui basikal. Tidak sama seperti Palestin yang sempadannya dibezakan melalui tembok.

Sebagai anak jati Johor, saya merasakan kita patut lebih toleransi dengan keadaan sekeliling dan adalah mustahil Tambak Johor yang ada sekarang mampu untuk menempatkan seberapa banyak kenderaan pada setiap hari dan oleh kerana itu juga ramai pelabur dari Singapura telah berpindah ke Malaysia kerana bentuk industri yang diperkenalkan antara Malaysia dan Singapura juga telah berbeza. Singapura sekarang lebih mengamalkan industri perkhidmatan berbanding Malaysia menjurus kepada perkilangan dan makanan atau pertanian. Sekiranya Tambak Johor dapat diperbaharui maka pendapatan Rakyat Negeri Johor akan bertambah termasuk segi pendidikan dan rancangan keselamatan Malaysia akan lebih terjamin kerana kita sememangnya tidak memerlukan Jambatan Ke-3.

S..Tan's Gravatar S..Tan

June 29, 2009 at 12:15 am | Permalink

Dear Tun, salam sejahtera harap sihat. Kiriman saya untuk tajuk ini...

.....TAMBAK JOHOR ATAU TAMBAH KEROH

Is the bridge about development and economic benefits or asserting sovereignty. If it is about asserting yourself then no discussion permission or patience necessary, damn the outcome (anomalies of use and incongruity), cost benefits (of joint venture), international relations and image, further bilateral friction (construction attrition) War of will or building a bridge?

Jambatan hubungan ada persamaan dgn membina tembok baru antara dua jiran. Satu cara, kita bincang dan setuju dgn reka, cara dan kos. Satu cara kita bina di tapak kita, tak perlu peduli apa kehendak orang sebelah! Lihat rupa tembok itu? Kebanyakan orang dirikan tembok dgn cara mana satu?

Also, don't misread the above as being pro S'pore. Cuma cermin diri??

anakbudu3's Gravatar anakbudu3

June 28, 2009 at 11:10 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Ayahnda Tun n Bonda,

Izinkan anaknda,

Nampaknya negara kita mempunyai masalah yg tak sudah2 di selatan dan utara...nak bina jambatan dan pagar pun susah...semuanya kerana terlalu ingin menjaga hati jiran2 sedangkan jiran2 tak pernah pedulikan kebajikan kita...cuba tengok bila rakyat negara jiran datang ke negara kita. yg di utara masuk dgn kenderaan mereka yg bercermin gelap segelap2nya sedangkan undang2 negara kita melarang penggunaan cermin gelap. mereka tak pernah gentar untuk memandu di negara kita sedangkan kita tak mungkin berani untuk masuk memandu begitu bersahaja di negara jiran di utara nun. mereka juga senang datang mencari rezeki di tempat kita. cuba tengok berapa banyak restoran, kedai pakaian, kraftangan kepunyaan mereka di negara kita? saya berani bertaruh, tak banyak restoran makan melayu, pakaian melayu dan kraftangan kita di sebelah negara jiran terutama nun ke utara negara mereka seperti restoran & kedai kepunyaan mereka di sini dari utara negara kita hingga ke selatan, dari timur ke barat, berseparah2 hingga tak terbilang.

di selatan kita boleh lihat rakyat negara jiran selalu masuk dengan senanghati membeli belah di sebelah sini dan peniaga2 di sini pulak melayan rakyat negara jiran dengan tangan terbuka kerana banyak rezeki masuk. sebaliknya buat acuh tak acuh dengan pelanggan tempatan kerana peniaga2 ni tahu kuasa membeli rakyat tempatan tak setanding dengan rakyat negara jiran. jadilah kita seperti kera di hutan disusukan, anak di rumah mati kelaparan. ada juga orang kita yang ingin mencari rezeki lebih pergi ke seberang tambak untuk bekerja di sana walaupun tahu hakikat bayaran gaji yang berbeza bagi kerja yang sama untuk pekerja mereka dan pekerja dari negara kita walaupun pekerja kita ada yang di layan seperti hamba tebusan...

pasal rakyat negara jiran membeli minyak masak, gula, tepung dan lain-lain barang subsidi kita barangkali dah tak seriuh dulu...rakyat kita pula masih giat menyeludup beras dan barang2 keperluan lain yang dilarang. hakikatnya perkara2 ni masih berleluasa. semua ini amat merugikan negara sendiri. di mana silapnya? SIKAP KITA yg membiarkan ini semua berterusan berlaku. SIKAP TAK APA, SIKAP TERLALU MUDAH TERIMA, SIKAP TIDAK SUKA BERSAING, SIKAP TAKUT MENCUBA & SIKAP TAK MAHU BERUBAH....

semangat kental yg di ajar masa sekolah kita ketepikan bila berada di gelanggang. segala nilai2 murni hanya tinggal jadi catatan dalam buku2 nota masing2...kalau ada yang BERANI BERUBAH hanya segelintir saja yg sanggup mengharung onak duri manakala lebih ramai yg lain lebih suka mengikut atau di cucuk hidung mungkin kerana habuan yg amat lumayan atau kedudukan yg lebih tinggi atau mungkin kerana merasakan walau siapapun yg memerintah kita tetap macam tu jugak tanpa memikirkan masa depan anakcucu kita nanti...

inilah senario yg ada hari ini & minda kita akan sentiasa dijajah oleh sikap2 yg jumud tadi kerana kita tidak bersedia berubah dlm kemajuan dunia hari ini. terasa bimbang kiranya anakcucu kita juga tidak mahu berubah kerana kata orang tua2 tabiat buruk ni TAK HABIS TUJUH KETURUNAN... mintak simpang.

Semoga Allah SWT memberkati Ayahnda Tun & Bonda dan semua yg berkunjung ke sini...

Wassalam.

just my brain wave...

tunnusantara's Gravatar tunnusantara

June 28, 2009 at 10:37 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,

Yes, I WANT OUR TAMBAK JOHOR BE DEMOLISHED A.S.A.P.!

THE BUILDING OF THE SCENIC BRIDGE THAT HAS TO BE BUILT CROOKED TO LINK TO s'PORE SIDE MUST BE CONTINUED NOW!!

All justifications, due diligence, design work, ETC. had LONG BEEN COMPLETED!!!
So Najib, please JUST DO IT! – like any “RESPONSIBLE Leader” towards his OWN Country would!!
Thank you Tun. Wassalam.

Syuwari Azhar's Gravatar Syuwari Azhar
June 28, 2009 at 10:10 pm | Permalink

(By pakpandir08 Author Profile Page on June 26, 2009 1:53 PM
sekiranya memerhatikan dokumen yg dipaparkan ... ia menunjukkan data mengenai kos perbelanjaan pada tahun 1919-1924 ... ini bermakna Singapura masih dianggap sebahagian daripada Tanah Melayu pada masa itu
Pada tahun 2009 ... Singapura pun telah terkeluar dari Tanah Melayu, dan kini wujud Malaysia dan Singapura yg merupakan dua negara yg berasingan ...
adakah data ini sah untuk dipergunakan sebagai satu faktor untuk mempertimbangkan samaada Singapura berhak menuntut kebenaran samaada mereka menerima atau menolak apa-apa permintaan pada tambak Johor? kerana perjanjian dan data itu diperkenalkan semasa Singapura sebahagian dari Tanah Melayu
Data yg Tun paparkan berbau untuk mengkaburkan penglihatan para pembaca ...
ramai juga yg kuat membodek sehingga tak baca dengan teliti dokumen ... mengamuk, membising dan ada juga yg nak berperang dengan Singapura ... aduh hai ... fikir-fikirlah sendiri ...)
Assalamualaikum dan selamat sejahtera.
Apa khabar iman kita pada hari ini?(“)

Kepada pakpandir, ulasan yang anda berikan ini tidak membantu malah inilah yang org katakan musuh didalam selimut. Dengan jelas, terang dan nyata singapura itu negara yang sudah lupa asal-usulnya. Apa kata pakpandir baca semula pendapat negarawan kita ini dan seterusnya fikir dahulu sebelum berkata. Jangan kerana mulut, badan binasa.
samurai's Gravatar samurai
June 28, 2009 at 10:08 pm | Permalink

Tun,
Terima kasih kerana memberi bukti yang kukuh.
Harapan saya Tun dapat usahakan supaya jambatan bengkok ini menjadi kenyataan.
Saya percaya rakyat yang cerdik menyokong Tun.
HBT's Gravatar HBT
June 28, 2009 at 9:40 pm | Permalink

Ayahanda Tun,
We will see whether this crooked bridge will be built or not? If Datuk Mukhriz Mahathir and Mr Lee Hsien Loong are not allowed to have heart to heart negotiations by their bosses, I think the bridge will not be built. These are the 2 best persons whom should be allowed to negotiate, if not, the Johoreans' and Singaporeans' traffic woes will never solved.

From here I want to see whether DS Najib walks his talk or not. If he doesn't bye2 lah UMNO!!!!

//By imahadi on June 28, 2009 7:16 PM //

Dear Imahadi,

You are right, the GANG NASHA(NASHA, PAK PANDIR, ZAQ25, RAVI RARUNASALAM DAN BEBERAPA KERAT SAJA LAGI YG SEANGKATAN DGN MEREKA....). TAK HABIS2 BANTAI TUN DAN GELAR YG LAIN2 SBG “BLIND SUPPORTER”....MEREKA SAJA YG BETUL...MEREKA SAYA YG BYK SUNGGOH JASA....

HAKIKATNYA MEREKA DAH KETAGIH DGN BLOG TUN..... Kerana mereka ini lah dalam kategori SLOW dan BLURR, and the best part is they are good in critising others, and they never heard of “LOOK BEFORE YOU LEAP!!! ha.... ha.... Take care and May “Allah” be with you always.

Good night Ayahanda Tun and don't feel sad, there are plenty of people out there need your help. Melayu not only mudah lupa, they are lembap and favour skim cepat kaya!!! God will punish them one day and I strongly believe in that!!!

Hajar's Gravatar Hajar
June 28, 2009 at 9:05 pm | Permalink

Salam YAB dan Di Kasih Tun,

1. Saya SETUJU dan SOKONG PENUH hujah-hujah Tun yang bernas dan berdasarkan fakta sebenar. Jambatan Bangkok mesti dibina untuk gantikan Tambak Johor.
2. Kepada PENYOKONG-PENYOKONG negara Singapura yang kononnya MAJU dan jauh lebih berjaya dari Malaysia, agak-agaknya APA LAH SEBAB MUSABAB nya Singapura masih lagi bermati-matian mempertahankan TAMBAK USANG yang sudah berusia hampir 90 tahun tersebut?. Negara saja yang maju, tetapi pemikiran masih kuno...
3. PM kelima memang menghukum rakyat Johor. Tun Pak Lah memang hipokrit. Benjanji dengan Tun M untuk teruskan semua projek, tetapi MUNGKIR. Sebab itu segala sentuhan dia GAGAL.
Teruskan perjuangan murni Tun. Cuma Tun seorang saja NEGARAWAN yang benar-benar berjuang untuk agama, bangsa, dan negara, walaupun setelah tidak menjadi PM.
** Semoga Tun sekeluarga dirahmati Allah SWT **
Anak kampung's Gravatar Anak kampung
June 28, 2009 at 8:56 pm | Permalink

Yang dihormati Tun,
Saya amat sedih kerana, walaupun Negara ini sudah merdeka,nampak kerajaan sekarang masih membenarkan Negara jiran di selatan membuli dan merampas hak kepunyaan kita.Apakah para pemimpin tertinggi Negara tidak sedar akan perkara ini?
Selepas Tun berundur,nampak pemimpin baru sekarang tiada kesungguhan dan tiada strategi untuk mempertahankan hak kepentingan Negara.
Merobohkan Tambak Johor dan digantikan dengan jambatan adalah idea yang matang.Apakah pemimpin tidak nampak keuntungan yang bakal diperolehi.Sebenarnya perkara yang baik patut dipercepatkan,bukan dibatalkan. Adalah amat tidak wajar, Negara asing menghalang Kerajaan Negara ini daripada membuat sesuatu untuk kepentingan Negara sendiri, tanpa menjejaskan hak Negara mereka.Sebaliknya Merekalah yang mencuri dan merampas kepunyaan kita selama ini.
Harap Kerajaan yang ada sekarang, mulakan pembinaan jambatan bagi mengantikan tambak johor.Jangan tangguh atau jangan batalkan sebelum 'malapetaka' lain yang datang.
CATITAN TAMBAHAN:
Kepada rakan-rakan saya di Johor Bahru sila ambil perhatian:
Yazid,Redhuan,Samidi,Panjang da lain-lain.
Collin Ng's Gravatar Collin Ng
June 28, 2009 at 8:09 pm | Permalink

Tun
Again you have re-ignited your blatant blasting of Singapore. The crooked bridge, the sand ban, water agreement...everything seems to paint a bad picture of Singapore. I can understand why Singapore government is not responding to you anymore, aptly said, 'it's empty kettle that makes the most noise now'. Had the empty kettle been effective, we would have seen the worst of relationship from the two sides now but, fortunately the opposite is true now. I wonder who cancelled the P.O.A listing a host of issues, grossly not abiding to the legally signed P.O.A by Tun Diam and LKY, leaving everthing in limbo. Who is the unreasonable party, perhaps let the world be the judge for it. Be reasonable, Tun.
Kwong Seng's Gravatar Kwong Seng
June 28, 2009 at 7:59 pm | Permalink

Sand ban since 1997, but everyday tonnes of sand are being exported to Singapore via sea and land. So there is no problem at all for singapore on the sand issue at all. Please check and see who is making all the monies and who is sleeping and talking at the same time?
jomo's Gravatar jomo
June 28, 2009 at 7:51 pm | Permalink

salam,
roboh saja tambak johor tu, jangan tunduk dengan singapura tu.bina jambatan baru yang lebih mesra alam dan menguntungkan rakyat.
akls's Gravatar akls
June 28, 2009 at 7:42 pm | Permalink

Singapura adalah konco israel di asia tenggara.

pppz's Gravatar pppz

June 28, 2009 at 7:36 pm | Permalink

Salam YAB Tun,

Saya ingin mencadangkan agar kita dapat membina sebuah jambatan yang paling indah di dunia yang di bina sendiri oleh rakyat kita tanpa peruntukan 1 sen pun peruntukan kewangan daripada pihak kerajaan Malaysia.

Yang ingin menyumbang tenaga akan bekerja tanpa bayaran, pembekal akan membekal bahan-bahan yang diperlukan, pakar-pakar menyumbang kepakaran mereka secara percuma dan seterusnya. Segala sumbangan dalam apa bentuk sekalipun akan diterima untuk menrealisasikan projek tersebut.

Apabila sudah siap kelak, kita namakan jambatan tersebut, "Jambatan 1Malaysia". Anak-cucu kita semua pasti akan berasa bangga atas sumbangan bakti dan keringat kita bersama dan juga dapat membuktikan kepada mereka dibawah sana sesuatu yang tidak pernah mereka miliki dan terfikir iaitu rakyat Malaysia memang hebat dan terbukti boleh bersatu.

Lakukanlah apa sahaja cara walaupun yang mungkin dianggap impossible untuk kita lakukan oleh dunia. Bukti dan tunjuk kepada dunia bahawa kita tidak perlu meniru sesiapa untuk melakukan sesuatu perkara dengan mengikut cara dan idea rakyat kita sendiri.

Kalau boleh tiada bayaran tol akan dikenakan kepada rakyat Malaysia yang akan menggunakan jambatan tersebut dan segala pengurusan kelak bolehlah diberikan kepada mana-mana kontraktor yang sanggup dan bangga mengurus secara profesional dan yang penting secara percuma.

Banyak masaalah dapat kita atasi bersama sekiranya kita sanggup melakukan sesuatu secara gotong-royong, secara ikhlas dan tanpa menghiraukan atau mengharapkan sangat kepada balasan dalam bentuk wang-ringgit.

Jika cadangan saya ini akan dianggap gila dan tidak masuk akal, maka kita bukanlah orang yang pertama pernah digelar dengan sesuatu gelaran-gelaran seumpama itu.

Wassalam.

imahadi's Gravatar imahadi

June 28, 2009 at 7:16 pm | Permalink

SALAM TUN DAN MALAYSIA....

GANG NASHA(NASHA, PAK PANDIR, ZAQ25, RAVI RARUNASALAM DAN BEBERAPA KERAT SAJA LAGI YG SEANGKATAN DGN MEREKA....)

TAK HABIS2 BANTAI TUN DAN GELAR YG LAIN2 SBG "BLIND SUPPORTER"MEREKA SAJA YG BETUL...MEREKA SAYA YG BYK SUNGGOH JASA....

HAKIKATNYA MEREKA DAH KETAGIH DGN BLOG TUN.....

mickey's Gravatar mickey

June 28, 2009 at 5:06 pm | Permalink

A very simple comparison is up north.

There is a tangible line of boundary between Malaysia and Thailand defined by a fence.

If we were to erect something on our side of the fence, why should Thailand object?

nswamy's Gravatar nswamy

June 28, 2009 at 4:24 pm | Permalink

tun

this is definately driving you outof your sleep isn't it.Crooked bridge or not so crooked bridge – a bit like to be or not to be of Macbeth

Now lets see the options

1) you build the crooked bridge to cover malaysia legitimately owned parts, and since Spore did not agree to it in the first place,they as sovereign country themselves get a injunction to prevent you from joining their side of the cause way – let me say here is we were familiar with the first building contractor delay clause would penalty malaysian govt for everyday for all cost delays that is not their fault, and this would mean millions waiting for Spore to agree

i) via negotiations

2) go to hauge to determine the right over the causeway

2) negotiate with spore , agree on a great deal for them on sand and they might even foot a scenic nice bridge bill a small token,but sand deal will be lopsided if the water aggrement is anything to go by

3) sit and do nothing – traffic mounts – not really long term

best option negotiate only on bridge , otherwise if we do what tun say we will be locked into a long term court battle in hauge with spore

Spore will negotiate the best deal for themselves, team malaysia needs to better for himself, that is the negotiating rule

otherwise just turn the tap and stop sending produce and vegetable to spore and ask them to sue Malaysia at Hague ... let them do their worst and we defend the right not to fullfill lopsided agreements if these agreements are indeed that

we have Tun saying they are bad agreements and he did not do anything about them be it water etc..

if tun is not so sure, then why did he not drive the deal for 22 years of his term. do not put it to tun abd. not the water deal , not the bridge deal, not the english problem with science and maths .tun mahathir had the best bet amongst all malaysian pm to deal with these issues himself as he had 22 years longest amongst tenures and he got hard nosed, jailed those who disagreed with him and what ever else to get his way. seriously he now needs najib to get on with his job...and at 84 he needs to do more ibadat and spend time with his grandkids something he never did since 1969..otherwise his grandkids would not know him

nase2's Gravatar nase2

June 28, 2009 at 3:55 pm | Permalink

YBhg Tun,

1. Pak Lah dah tinggalkan jasa yang besar – satu lagi PURA akan terhasil di tanah tumpahnya. Jadi apa masalah kalau yang kat bawah nun naik tocang.

2. Jambatan bengkok atau lurus tetap tak jadi, sebabnya masa bengkok yang pertama dibatalkan, orang kuat Pak Lah pun ramai dari parlimen yang paling terkebawah. Dia kata, orang JB tak nak, kita kat tengah dan utara ni apa mlagi boleh buat.

3. Selesai masalah, jual je JB tu kat Singapura.

saifulddin's Gravatar saifulddin

June 28, 2009 at 3:01 pm | Permalink

assalamualaikum kpd Tun...

Sy berpendapat sudah tiba masanya kita mula meletakkan sedikit bisa ke dalam “taring” Malaysia ini. Taringnya sudah ada sewaktu era Tun memerintah namun hilang begitu sahaja apabila kroni “mertua-menantu” mula melobi kekayaan untuk keluarga tetapi berselindung dibalik ISLAM HADHRI...

Saya mengharapkan adalah kiranya para pemimpin kita ini kiranya yang lantang untuk menegakkan keadilan untuk negara yang kian pudar jati diri keberaniannya di mata jiran tertangga kita sendiri yang dulunya pernah bernaung dibawah bendera yang sama. Janganlah sekadar lantang di dlm dewan atau ketika menhemtam pembangkang sahaja tetapi apabila melibatkan kuasa luar yang tidaklah sebesar mana ini masing-masing buat tidak endah hinggakan mantan PM ini pula yang perlu mengorak langkah awal untuk menyedarkan mereka.

Saya berpendapat kita sudah patut memulakan rundingan kembali mengenai tarif air [3 sen per 1000 gelen] itu kerana pada fikiran saya yang bukanlah seorang pelajar jurusan akauntan sekalipun telah jelas bahawa harga berkenaan lansung tidak menggambarkan berlakunya urusan jual beli yang adil antara dua negara. Pihak singapura pun janganlah terlalu “kejam” untuk menutup mata tentang fakta berkenaan dan sedarlah bahawa Melaka yang merupakan negeri di dalam Malaysia sendiripun terpaksa membayar 10 kali ganda untuk kuantiti air yang sama. Berkenaan isu jambatan ini pun hal yang sama, andai singapura tetap berkeras untuk tidak mahu berkerjasama kita sepatutnya telah mengambil langkah yang pernah Tun sarankan dahulu untuk membina jambatan disebelah perairan kita sahaja. Apakah membina sesuatu di dalam “rumah” sendiri pun kita takut.

Fikir-fikirkanlah.....

pakbelalang's Gravatar pakbelalang

June 28, 2009 at 1:22 pm | Permalink

PAS spiritual leader Datuk Nik Aziz Nik Mat has invited Prime Minister Datuk Seri Najib Tun Razak to an open debate to find a solution to the prolonged political standoff between PAS and Umno.

comment:

I don't think it will achieve anything at all. Lately, I feel all statements made by Nik Aziz were rather shallow in his thinking. He was rather emotional and unable to grasp the real issue in hand confronting his own party.

A confused Tok Guru and I think he is already senile. Personally, I am not comfortable to acknowledge him as a “spiritual” leader. I think there is no such term in Islam. To call him as a Muslim scholar is alright but I also doubt whether he is really a Muslim scholar !!

Liew's Gravatar Liew
June 28, 2009 at 1:14 pm | Permalink

Stupid Pak Lahhhh!!!

We should not let Singapore do things their way as they will surely kill us off. Beware of our tiny neighbor they are a replica of Israel and they will do what is necessary to bring down Malaysia. I hope the new PM can see what Tun see

pakbelalang's Gravatar pakbelalang
June 28, 2009 at 12:51 pm | Permalink

PAS spiritual leader Datuk Nik Aziz Nik Mat has invited Prime Minister Datuk Seri Najib Tun Razak to an open debate to find a solution to the prolonged political standoff between PAS and Umno.

comment:

I don't think it will achieve anything at all. Lately, I feel all statements made by Nik Aziz were rather shallow in his thinking. He was rather emotional and unable to grasp the real issue in hand confronting his own party.

A confused Tok Guru and I think he is already senile. Personally, I am not comfortable to acknowledge him as a "spiritual" leader. I think there is no such term in Islam. To call him as a Muslim scholar is alright but I also doubt whether he is really a Muslim scholar !!

pakbelalang's Gravatar pakbelalang
June 28, 2009 at 12:14 pm | Permalink

Tenaga Nasional Berhad had announced earlier in the week that it would start its nuclear power plant by 2025, once it got the green light from the government.

comment:

WE DON'T NEED NUCLEAR POWER PLANT. Tak paham ke? It is NOT safe. I don't think TNB is ready to run a nuclear plant. It is better to utilise its scarce resources to make TNB more efficient in areas where there are still weaknesses within TNB operations.

If ever the government were to agree to have a nuclear plant I would suggest the government establishes a totally new company (not a subsidiary of TNB) to focus solely on the development of nuclear plant.

Roslanz's Gravatar Roslanz
June 28, 2009 at 11:36 am | Permalink

Salam Tun,

Terasa kini betapa bodohnya kita terutama pak menteri yang mendakwa perlunya persetujuan daripada Singapura untuk kita membina Jambatan Indah. Saya tidak mengikuti urutan kejadian ini namun saya berharap pak menteri tersebut bukannya datang dari era pentadbiran Tun. Kalau ya, bermakna Tun juga turut terlibat melantik pak menteri yang bodoh.

Salam adanya..
mgpunya's Gravatar mgpunya
June 28, 2009 at 10:39 am | Permalink

YABhg Tun,

Sorry Sir, an entirely different story but..a close topic to you since you are also a medical doctor.

I would just like to know whether the government had activated the " Jawatankuasa Bencana " at the State or District level regarding the outbreak of A(H1N1). The UN had already declared it to be at Phase 6. I would think the authorities at the state and district level would have already discuss these matter and are at the top of the agenda.

For the frontliners..maybe the authorities had already executed safety measures for them...like maybe giving them immunization vaccines. But, what about ordinary people like us....

I've heard stories that most hospitals staff all over the country especially those at the frontline and their children had been given these vaccines.

It's okay for them to tell us to be calm and not to worry since they've already been vaccinated. But, people like us had everything to be worry about since the AH1N1 influenza is already at our own backyard and with little or no signs that the spreading will stop..

Abdullah Ismail's Gravatar Abdullah Ismail
June 28, 2009 at 10:20 am | Permalink

Saya memang kecewa apabila Kerajaan yang Y A Bhg Tun serahkan untuk meneruskan pemerintahan, telah membatalkan projek 'Jambatan Bengkok' bagi menggantikan "Causeway". Saya rasa mereka tidak ikhlas ketika mengakui akan melaksanakannya, dua hari sebelum Tun berundur. Ternyata, bermain selamat tak mesti menjamin populariti.

yeop zarul's Gravatar yeop zarul
June 28, 2009 at 8:21 am | Permalink

Askm Tun,

1. Dapat info dari sedara di johor, bila nak rasmikan icq tambak johor yang baru, Sultan Johor begitu murka sampaikan halau Tun P lah mb johor ghani, terpaksa Dato' shahril iringi baginda sultan.... jika ade orang Johor yang tahu boleh sahkan....

2. Dari awal2 dulu lagi saya pernah cakap..Tun P lah ni memang org Anwar pun, (ibarat mcm cerita harry Potter-kumpulan death eater diketuai oleh waldemort) jadi memang dia memang akan ikut membuta tuli je ape Anwar nak, jadi apa2 perancangan Tun mahathir akan disabotajkan....(KJ?????...urmm lol) 1st step bila dia dapat jadi pm pun adalah membebaskan si setan waldemort anwar ni....kekekekeke

jadi kumpulan BN order of the phoenix skrg kene bersatu kerana kumpulan death eater akan mencuba bermacam2 cara supaya kumpulan dumbledore berpecah....

jadi siapa plak jadi Dumbledore dan Harry potter??...urmmm

KJ jadi snipe ke, yang akan bunuh dumbledore bila ade peluang....urmm...kene hati2 tu...

OrangLama's Gravatar OrangLama
June 28, 2009 at 8:12 am | Permalink

Salam,

Tun kata:

7. Without the causeway boats and yachts can sail in either direction. Transport of goods and people between Pasir Gudang Port to Tanjung Pelepas Port would be facilitated. This would be good for the growth of both ports – something which perhaps Singapore would not like to see.

Pandangan saya:

Sebab ini lah saya sangat setuju Jambatan ini, tambahan pula jika Jambatan ini bengkok. Cuba fikirkan kenapa?

Pemimpin Melayu UMNO susah nak fokus kerja mereka dengan baik kerana terlalu tumpu perhatian kepada perkara lain. Sifat orang Melayu ialah depa tengok satu masalah hanya pada sudut yang kecil. Nak majukan sesuatu projek depa tidak memikir secara mendalam kesan yang lain. Depa tolak PPSMI kerana depa dapati ramai anak Melayu sukar kerana BInggeris. Sentiasa pilih jalan mudah. Pada hal Al-Quran mengajar kita, setiap kesukaran ada kesenangan nya.

Cerita2 PAS: (Mohon Izin Tun)

PAS nak tegak negara Islam, ajak UMNO susah, terus tolak UMNO . Sekarang Tok Guru PAS lebih yakin menegak negara Islam dengan sokongan DAP dan PKR.

Kepada Tok Guru PAS: Saya memohon jika saudara betul2 ikhlas minta tandatangan DAP dan PKR buat sokongan pengisytiharan negara Islam bila Pakatan Rakyat memerintah negara. Minta depa sign perjanjian setju menubuhkan negara Islam dan undang2 Islam sebagaimana Presiden PAS sign perjanjian sokongan bersama dengan Pakatan Rakyat.

Kalau tidak dapat persetujuan negara Islam, PAS amat jelas SESAT bersama dengan PKR dan DAP. UMNO tidak bercakap pasal Islam seperti PAS, tetapi UMNO banyak buat kerja arah tersebut. PAS banyak bercakap tetapi kurang bekerja ke arah negara Islam. Asyik perasan diri sendiri lebih baik dari orang lain.

PAS kata kerajaan Persekutuan zalim terhadap Kelantan , tak bagi royalti tetapi PAS Kelantan buat2 tak sedar bahawa rakyat Kelantan adalah antara paling ramai mendapat faedah dari kerajaan Persekutuan. Ramai tak sokong kerajaan tetapi ramai yang jawatan tinggi di luar Kelantan, anak2 Kelantan senang masuk Universiti. Dah berjaya bina kelompok masyarakat Kelantan merata2 di seluruh Malaysia dan ramai yang menentang UMNO yang membantu mereka . Sedarlah wahai PAS Kelantan(PAS negeri lain baik sikit!). Belajarlah hidup bersyukur. Cuba bayangkan penyokong2 PAS dan anak2 depa jika tidak diberi kemudahan sebagai orang Melayu untuk ke Universiti atau jawatan dan lain2 kemudahan kerana depa Melayu. Insafilah.UMNO boleh menghukum penyokong2 Pakatan Rakyat bila2 masa saja jika mahu tetapi pemimpin UMNO bukan zalim. PAS Kelantan kononnya perjuang agama tetapi budak2 Kelantan banyak yang nakal. Jangan PAS asyik kata orang tak betul.

Tok Guru: Sedarlah jika Pakatan Rakyat memerintah Malaysia tidak semestinya mendapat sokongan sepenuhnya orang Melayu. Apa yang PAS dapat adalah pepecahan orang Melayu yang beragama Islam. Tok Guru fikir masak2 adakah Islam lebih baik dibawah BNasional atau Pakatan Rakyat yang mempunyai DAP yang terang2 menentang

negara Islam!

Marilah kita sama2 kembali ke ajaran Islam sebenarnya.

Mohon Maaf jika tersinggung dengan kata2 saya ini.

skywalker's Gravatar skywalker

June 28, 2009 at 8:04 am | Permalink

Dear Tun,

We have identified series of problems in relation to Singapore issues. I really wonder if our current political masters have not only being able to understand, but most importantly capable in precondition a starting point for some decisive action in handling this situation...I mean.."you can take your times, but dont take mine!"

Skywalker

amin tan's Gravatar amin tan

June 28, 2009 at 6:26 am | Permalink

Dear Tun,

I read your thoughts on Tambak Johor and the crooked bridge with sadness and alarm. You are instigating we initiate negative activities towards Singapore. Johor causeway was built by the British without any negative thoughts of Chinese vs Malay or Singapore as an independent country. It was built purely for economic purpose of communication and transport. If we dismantle the Johor causeway and substitute with a crooked bridge, it may bring about many economic advantages to us and equally the same advantages become the disadvantages to Singapore. Our gain is Singapore's loss. If we build the crooked bridge, we may have to sever the railway track. How can a railway track navigate through a crooked and elevated bridge? It would be technically very daunting. We have only road transport left. By removing the causeway, economic activity at the tip of Singapore island may be affected, assuming port activities is shifted to the present causeway.

You are suggesting we exercise our sovereign right over Johor causeway by dismantling the causeway unilaterally.

This may be legal but it may be viewed as hostile and unfriendly by our neighbour. It is tantamount to challenging Singapore for a hostile confrontation. We may be macho but the implication would be a long and hostile relationship between us and Singapore.

The best option would be we could develop Port Klang and make it more efficient than the port in Singapore. Make sure cargo clearing time is faster than that of Singapore and the charges are cheaper. Healthy competition, not economic sabotage and jealousy. Make it a win-win situation. Let us have peace and criminalise conflict and war.

Let us not instigate and provoke Singapore. Let Johor causeway be a historical existence.

Amin Tan

Khairul-Dean's Gravatar Khairul-Dean

June 28, 2009 at 2:06 am | Permalink

YABhg Che Det Tun,

A Flicker Of Moot Blue

(almost a memo wonderful tun ayahanda)

in the most wonderful of words

and purity of thought

gladens the heart

saa baa haa

to glide to swim

do you think these photos cacophony76's wonderful or these

christopher li's whose mom was from the small town of glugor in the fifties gone too sone – micheal .

I hope this is just as wonderful – Skukor52's Qutb Minar(shukor and che yon).

Salam hormat dan terima kasih

sunandragon's Gravatar sunandragon

June 28, 2009 at 1:07 am | Permalink

Asalamualaikum Tun. Sebelum apa-apa saya nak mengucapkan setinggi-tinggi terima kasih pada Tun for what you have done for our country and god bless you for that. I have been following your thinking and actions for the last 25 years (Since I'm in form 3) But don't worry, I'm not an expert on Mahathirism..ha..ha..Sometimes I think that you are an Ultra genius (A person who thinks 20 years ahead of his time). I never miss reading your blog but this is the first time I felt compelled to comment. This is all due to the comment by Pakpandir08. Saya tengok komen dia

macam nak tunjuk bijak but unfortunately tak bijak. Kos yang ditunjukkan oleh Tun itu sebenarnya Annual Report negeri Johor dan bukan Tanah Melayu or Malaya. Dan kos yang ditunjukkan adalah kos yang ditanggung oleh negeri Johor bukan Tanah Melayu. Oleh itu kos tersebut memberi hak pada negeri Johor diatas Tambak Johor. Dan seperti yang termaktub Johor masih lagi dalam Malaysia. Oleh itu hak tambak Johor masih boleh ditentukan oleh Malaysia kerana Singapura adalah sebahagian dari Tanah Melayu ketika itu tetapi bukan sebahagian dari negeri Johor. So Pakpandir08 dokumen yang diberi must be interpreted more closely than what you assumed you have noticed. For Tun n family, may Allah gives you good health and wisdom to guide us if we go astray. For all Malaysians, ponder this thought for a while. The achievement that our country has experience should not be taken for granted for it did not happen due to a natural process but from the good policies thought and implemented by our prime ministers especially Tun. (Bear in mind DSAAB is not included in my listings of good prime ministers) Than you

samar's Gravatar samar

June 28, 2009 at 12:23 am | Permalink

Y.Bhg Tun,

The bridge should have been build. So too the double rail track. That could have save us so many billion ringgit. Tun Abdullah administration should just continue the so call Mahathirism ie all policies of Mahathir. John Major the British PM continued Theacherism through out his administration. But just to be different, new policies were formulated as though we had a new non BN government. The next time forget about Singapore. They are not sensitive to us anyway. With Danga Bay and other development along Lido beach, we should open up the causeway and let ships pass through. As you mentioned, it would benefit both ports in Pasir Gudang and Tanjung Pelapas. Singapore is afraid that dia akan melepas peluang jadi free port yang strategik.

sadderstar's Gravatar sadderstar

June 27, 2009 at 11:40 pm | Permalink

sy sebagai anak jati johor mmg bersetuju sgt dgn pembinaan jambatan utk kepentingan negara. ini bukan soal ego dgn singapore ttp keperluan. KEPERLUAN!.

m razi's Gravatar m razi

June 27, 2009 at 11:17 pm | Permalink

TOK NAJIB...Teruskan lah MISI TUN MAHATHIR ini.Kapal2 bot2 TLDM,polis marine,APMM n kastam pun senang nak melintas timur n barat... TLDM SUBMARINE SCORPENE yang TOK NAJIB beli tu takkan nak main kat Laut CHINA SELATAN n parking kat SABAH ja kot..Anak kapal pun boring nanti.Bila kerap sangat guna perairan belah SINGAPORE utk ulang alik dr pengkalan LUMUT ke SABAH nanti diaorang kata kita dok mengintip plak...

Bila TAMBAK JOHOR dh buka kapal2 n bot2 pun dah boleh SHORT CUT.MINYAK PUN JIMAT,KITA PUN TAK KACAU PERAIRAN ORANG.

Alangkah indahnya bila dapat lihat SUBMARINE kita melintasi JAMBATAN INDAH...

IKAN KEMBONG kat PANTAI LIDO pun menDOakan agar PROJEK JAMBATAN INDAH NI menjadi.IKAN TODAK kalau boleh dia nak TODAK PAKLAH N KHAIRY...meraka pun dah lama hidup sensara di dalam laut amat kotor ini.

“SELAMATLAH.. MALYSIAI JAYA.. NEGARAKU YANG KU CINTAI.....”

kokdin1's Gravatar kokdin1

June 27, 2009 at 10:28 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun,

It was a terrible mistake for your successor not to continue what you have planned vis a vis the crooked bridge I sincerely hope Tun, DSNajib would follow your footsteps and not making a suggestion for the 3rd bridge. Apa nak takut dengan negeri sebesar semut tu.

Satu bm pun dah bolih hancur.

DSNajib gather your courage, you were pemuda chief before and move forward, continue what Tun had done. it is in the interest of the nation. forget about the 3rd bridge and do not spend any money on any study about this 3rd bridge. The sultan of johor is already against it.

Sekian ,Tun. Take care.

DK

JB_FROM's Gravatar JB_FROM

June 27, 2009 at 10:24 pm | Permalink

Tun,

We are very grateful to you for providing the explanation behind the reason of replacing the causeway with a bridge. Before this I have heard various versions about it.

Ideally, a straight bridge would be preferable than a crooked bridge. (Johor Causeway is quite a short distance, about 1km, some time people walk through it rather than taking a bus during peak time, I have walked a couple of times)

Based on your explanation, actually Singapore did agree for a straight bridge provided we agree to sell the sand and allow them to practice aerial flights at Johor Airspace.

From what I read, according to Singapore, they gain nothing from the new bridge (Their CIQ is connected directly to their expressway, hence it do not remedy any traffic problems like us). Due to this, they would want something in return for the bridge. (sand and airspace).

I think we could ask them to go to Kingdom Come for the airspace. However for the sand part, based on your explanation, the Johor people do not agree because this will make certain individual rich. Therefore, If this is true, then there is an element of greed in the whole picture. So, the flaw actually is shared on our side because due to some greedy or perhaps crooked people, the sand deal does not go through and the bridge cannot be built.

In Malay "Pi mai pi mai tang tu", it still goes back to money and greed. Singapore is a small country. They need the sand for land expansion and Lee Kuan Yew have echoed this during his recent visit. We could actually use sand to leverage a deal for the bridge and the water issue. But, like other problems in this country, everything is complicated.

Sallam

apogee's Gravatar apogee

June 27, 2009 at 10:07 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun,

I agree Abdullah Badawi did no one a favour by not building the crooked bridge. Malaysia had so many crooked politicians and big shots. Given this fact, the crooked bridge indeed be a national symbol to that fact. Further, the crooked bridge would have boosted Singapore's tourism trade. It will definitely provide unlimited mirth for Singaporeans and their visitors to view that bridge. Malaysia indeed boleh!

lokman Md. Don's Gravatar lokman Md. Don

June 27, 2009 at 9:59 pm | Permalink

Asalamualaikum Tun,

Saya lagi awal memang menyokong penuh pembinaan jambatan cantik cadangan Tun itu dua tiga kali saya hadir ke majlis lawatan Tun dan Tun Abdullah ke tapak pembinaan semangat sungguh tetapi akhirnya saya kecewa dengan tindakan Tun Abdullah membatalkan pembinaan jambatan tersebut. Malu yang tidak tertebus, tunggu dan harap juga DS Najib meneruskan projek tersebut tetapi hampa sehingga sekarang tiada berita. Terperanjat pula saya apabila terkeluar berita jambatan ke tiga.

Saya harap DS Najib nampak Putrajaya, nampak Klia, nampak Klc, nampak PLUS, nampak kereta nasional dan akhirnya beliau juga akan nampak jambatan indah di johor. Sesekali nasihat orang tua kena pakai nanti tahu lah kebaikannya.

Saya berdoa DS Najib lebih terima nasihat Tun daripada menerima nasihan Lee Kuan Yew. Saya doakan Tun sihat sejahtera dan terus menyumbang bakti untuk agama bangsa dan tanahair. Amin.

mieze81's Gravatar mieze81

June 27, 2009 at 9:43 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Dengan izin Tun, saya cukup meluat dengan orng-orng macam pakpandir08 yang bercakap macam pandir. Bila orang nak menegakkan hak negara sendiri pun dia bising, tapi tak habis-habis cakap yang Tun ni tak pernah buat apa-apa untuk Malaysia. Saya sedikit tidak faham dengan mentaliti pandir ini. Ramia penyokong PKR dan PAS yang saya temui ada mentaliti Pandir begini, kata orang cakap gah tapi tak serupa bikin, cakap sekadar nak bercakap tapi cakupnya cakap kosong. Tolong lah berfikir sebelum menulis ye Pak Pandir yang pandir.

Onlooker's Gravatar Onlooker

June 27, 2009 at 8:57 pm | Permalink

"Had Abdullah not stopped it, the bridge would have been almost complete by now." (Tun Dr. Mahathir)

Tun,

Since the crooked bridge means so much of emotional value to you, perhaps you should take Tun Abdullah to court by filing a lawsuit against Abdullah accusing him for breach of promise which had been made to you at the time when Abdullah took over the post of Prime Minister from you. Did you remember that Tun Abdullah promised you that all the policies that had been formulated and implemented during your tenure of premiership would be continued by him and would not be overturned by him? Now is the best time for you to take Tun Abdullah to court because he is no longer the Prime Minister. You should claim Abdullah for liquidated damages due to his breach of promise which he pledged to you by aborting the crooked bridge construction project. Do you have the gut to file a lawsuit against Abdullah?

mirej's Gravatar mirej

June 27, 2009 at 7:55 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,

sejak dulu kami sedar dah Tun. cume ada orng bijak pandai yg nak ambik untung lebih sambil pijak kepala rakyat sendiri. tuka jambatan dgn pasir? hehe.. not so fast.. tukar dgn robohkan tambak johor, buat laluan baru bawah selat..make it deep enough. ok jugakkan? LKY claim they expert to make deeper river.. then do that, make sure the strait is deep enough and clear from any obstruction, and they can get sand from their dredging part..more then they expect they can get..free somemore. no need to come to Malaysia,offer to dredge our river and rob our sand. p/s It's hearsay but sounds terrible..what the hell is static vessel doing at our seaside with the hose continuesly in the water? somebody, please check, is that 'Kitul' from our side? don't try to make new border with that bloody cheating technics. what happen to the border that have been marked with surface marker?

delima's Gravatar delima

June 27, 2009 at 7:44 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun... I'm humbly request.. Let bygones be bygones ..let's rectify it and move forward... thanks

Khushairi's Gravatar Khushairi

June 27, 2009 at 7:39 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum,

I assume that within 2-3 years from now is the best appropriate time to have mega projects such as the bridge since they price of raw materials should go down due to global recession. It would be a good way to stimulate the economy anyway. The fact that if we able to spend out our money during the recession without carrying too much debt, should it be brave and brilliant move. But if we cannot afford to spend our money, i think it would be better to just to stay guard.

Tun,aren't you going to comment about learning math and science in english. Don't you think it is extremely important? Our country is considered by US as impressive developing country (this is what Obama imply in his speech at Cairo) with oil as catalyst. But if we won't do anything to become better, I believe we won't go any further than this. Implementing teaching math and science would make our students competitively comparable with students in UK or US. Such that a 'party' school in US is considered as top 100 universities in the world is bordering me. I know that our universities in Malaysia is a lot better but english is the biggest obstacles for our students. Learning them since at very young age would be huge leap forward even though we going to have set backs for a few years but our youngster will eventually get us to it. If you have a comment about this, i would be very happy to read it and other visitors too.

crazy diamond's Gravatar crazy diamond

June 27, 2009 at 7:39 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun,

What can I say, your point always full of facts and strong evidents to prove your argument.

amirlola's Gravatar amirlola

June 27, 2009 at 7:07 pm | Permalink

Tun,

Saya bersyukur atas nikmat kurniaan Allah kepada saya.Kalau saya dapat 1% daripada otak Tun pun jadilah...Amin.

xulism's Gravatar xulism

June 27, 2009 at 7:00 pm | Permalink

Agree with you Tun !
Praxis's Gravatar Praxis
June 27, 2009 at 6:41 pm | Permalink

Science and ecology will continue to be hampered by little minds, little emperors and their legalism.
We are touched by your perseverance in this matter, in this cause of science, wisdom and the peoples of both countries.
We hope you succeed.
miorfaris's Gravatar miorfaris
June 27, 2009 at 5:59 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun...
I have been reading all this issues about the scenic bridge for quite a long time and not even for a second, i'm against your brilliant idea...
I had been to Singapore quite a few time to visit my relatives, and the traffic was really an eye-sore.
And, if Singapore is the one who is going to build the bridge and Malaysia is the one who is against, I'm 100% sure that Singapore is going to proceed with the plan without even considering to share any details with Malaysia, whats more asking for permission.
Come on Malaysia, be a man!
SgOpposition's Gravatar SgOpposition
June 27, 2009 at 5:32 pm | Permalink

Dear Dr M,
The Opposition in S'pore is too weak to change PAP's policies to develop the Straits of Johore as mentioned in (7) and (8) which would benefit the residents and businesses of both sides.
The PAP will do everything to slow the development of Johore's ports and even restrict the movement of vessals within its Pedra Branca to redirect business to its own ports.
nizams's Gravatar nizams
June 27, 2009 at 5:18 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,
Malangnya Malaysiaku kerana telah berPerdana Menteri orang2 yg bacul dan penakut. Bermula dgn Abdullah Ahmad Badawi sehinggalah kini Najib Razak. Apakah yg ditakuti sangat dgn Singapura itu? Sehingga apa sahaja yg ingin kita lakukan mesti mendapat keabernaran MAHARAJ LEE KUAN YEW? Adakah pernah Singapura meminta kebenaran kita untuk MENAMBAK TANAHNYA? I am really sick with our leaders. Tunjuk berani dgn ISA tetapi tidak berani berhadapan dgn Singa gila.
zaq25's Gravatar zaq25
June 27, 2009 at 2:18 pm | Permalink

IS MUHYIDDIN FOR REAL?
Before this in Bloomberg DR.M said there is no choice but Najib. After moving up (and everyone starts panicking as to who is going to be deputy) then DR.M says that Muhyiddin is the only one who has any change whatsoever of being DPM, and attend Muhyiddin's Raya open house (for the first time in history) just to make that point.
Just in case you didn
Rahman's Gravatar Rahman
June 27, 2009 at 1:58 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,
Titik permulaan saya mula hilang kepercayaan terhadap kepimpinan BN adalah apabila Pak Lah membatalkan projek jambatan bengkok yg begitu jelas mempunyai kebaikan yg begitu banyak terhadap rakyat Johor dan Malaysia berbanding dgn projek2 koridor yg dijanjikannya.
Sekurang2nya projek jambatan bengkok adalah projek yang nyata dan keuntungannya sangat jelas dimata rakyat. Apabila siap kelak, Johor dan Malaysia akan bangkit maju dlm tempoh yg singkat. Ia sebuah projek yg memberi impak yg amat besar kepada rakyat Malaysia. Noktah!
Sebab itulah, walaupun Pk Lah dah janjikan projek bernilai trillion ringgit kepada rakyat Malaysia, dia tetap kalah dalam PRU2008. Sepatutnya dia menjanjikan untuk meneruskan projek jambatan bengkok. Tapi mana mungkin Pak

Lah mahu menjilat kembali ludahnya, bukan? Maka di situlah kelebihan Najib jika dia meneruskan projek jambatan bengkok itu.

Sebenarnya begitu ramai rakyat Malaysia begitu menantikan pembukaan selat Tebrau agar air dan kapal boleh lalu. Kalau tidak kapal besar pun sekurang-kurangnya kapal kecil, kapal peronda marin kita dan nelayan tempatan kita boleh lalu. Kalau setuju dengan jambatan ketiga sekalipun, jika selat Tebrau masih jugak 'koma', maka tiada gunanya jambatan ketiga itu kepada rakyat Malaysia, selain hanya menyumbangkan kepada pembangunan Singapura sahaja.

Saya anggap projek jambatan bengkok ini adalah ujian muktahir kepada pemimpin Malaysia. Kegagalan Pak Lah melaksanakannya membuktikan kegagalan muktahir Pak Lah. Kesannya sudah kita lihat pada PRU2008.

Sekiranya Najib pun gagal melaksanakannya dalam masa terdekat ini, atau sekurang-kurangnya sebelum PRU yg akan datang, maka tiada beza lemahnya Najib berbanding Pak Lah. Saya yakin, BN akan kalah lagi.

Punca utama kegagalan BN adalah kerana BN seolah hilang idea dan arah tuju utk mejalankan agenda memajukan Malaysia. Banyak cakap2 sahaja. Kalau betul mau buat, maka laksanakan semula projek jambatan bengkok tersebut, baru rakyat percaya kembali kepada BN.

Buktikan kemampuan BN memajukan Malaysia dengan siapnya projek jambatan bengkok tersebut. Saya yakin, pembangkang kita sekarang tidak mampu melaksanakan projek seumpama itu jika memerintah nanti. Harapan rakyat adalah terhadap BN, tapi jika BN gagal, rakyat tidak ada pilihan selain 'mengeksprimenkan' pembangkang.

abgmus56's Gravatar abgmus56

June 27, 2009 at 1:55 pm | Permalink

Aslm Tun & fmyl,

I remember reading some years ago whereby LKY was quoted in the media saying that Spore may reunify with Msia in the future.

Actually this may happen when Spore is no longer the port of call for ships plying the east – west sea lanes.

Well just think for a second, if Thailand were to build the canal across the Isthmus of Kra (provinces of Songkhla, Pattani & Narathivat in southern Thailand and she being an independent state can do it whether Spore agrees and consents to the project) – would the port of Spore continue to thrive economically!!? For eg. ships enroute to HK/Japan from Europe no longer need to stop over in Spore (Vice versa).

Where would the major economic activities and prosperity be? Surely it will be in Southern Thailand and the northern states of the Malaysian Peninsula. (That is why BN needs Perlis, Kedah, Perak and Kelantan to be under their control. New ports on the eastern and western sides to be built – projects, projects and more projects for everyone with the right connections!!!)

LKY would have envisioned this senario thus his reported statement.

Once the project takes off, their future and livelihood is being threatened – soon the city state will be wiped out of the world map!!!

So now you know why they are 'kiasu' ..!!!

muskristal

June 27, 2009 at 1:43 pm | Permalink

Kembalilah Tun membantu , untuk menyelesaikan tugas yang masih tak sempurna akibat dihentikan oleh orang yg minda masih merangkak dan terkapai2menjangkau kematangan. Walhal jiran yg sentiasa menanti untuk menanggung hasil dari kekeruhan minda orang kita sendiri ciptakan.

Memandai2 menyatakan Tambak Johor hak bersama dgn Kerajaan pulau itu sememangnya dari mulut orang yg tak berpengetahuan , sombong , tak sedar diuntung dan tak patriotik langsung. Tak tahu ...jangan bercakap.

Jika sistem penyaluran air ke pulau itu tak dapat disentuh , jgn di sentuh. Kita sentuhlah apa yang boleh disentuh disempadan kita. Yang kita mahukan , kebaikan untuk kita sendiri. Andai kita mahu air Selat Johor bebas mengalir dari pasir gudang ke tanjung pelepas , pastikan ia mengalir. Itu kehendak sebenar negara ini. Kepakaran dalam semua bidang sudah ada pada kita , mengapa masih teragak2 lagi untuk melaksanakan. Cari CARA , bukan Cari ALASAN.

lanina's Gravatar lanina

June 27, 2009 at 1:30 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,

Saya masih tak faham dari segi pungutan suara mana yang mengatakan rakyat Johor tidak mahu tambak Johor diganti?

Atau nada soalan saya sepatutnya berbunyi”suara siapa”?

thinktok's Gravatar thinktok

June 27, 2009 at 1:24 pm | Permalink

The problem is foresight, Tun. You admitted that it was only at the end of your 22 years that you realised the importance of a bridge. So in the meantime you were prepared to burn down the bridge of friendship and understanding with Singapore.

In doing so, you have created a generation of Malaysian that view Singapore as unreasonable, etc You have sown the seeds of mutual dislikes.

Your expose of the sand and airspace deal is very welcomed and I believe they are true. But the the 3 sen water is still not the whole picture. May we request at your power, show us the whole water agreement so that citizens on both sides can have a fair and true picture rather than one that you keep painting.

Have a nice day Tun.

thinktok's Gravatar thinktok

June 27, 2009 at 12:31 pm | Permalink

Blackbanner

Screw Singapore how? Tun already admitted that it cannot rise to the occasion. The problem is people like you do not understand, refuse to understand and engrossed with wanting to screw people.

We have to go for a win win situation. As stated co-operation must be across the board not selectively. We also must be consistent, not co-operation one year and quarrel the next year. These are very wise words but it seem that is so hard to accept.

Like AAB said let us pluck the low fruits first. The low fruits in this case is to understand the problems clearly and not to involve in promoting and circulating false information. There is no necessity to ‘screw’ each other.

Nothingbuttruth's Gravatar Nothingbuttruth

June 27, 2009 at 12:20 pm | Permalink

dear sir,

Dear sir

We singaporeans love to have bridge as soon as possible, it is the government that does not want it. we want the caseway to be demolished and replaced by crooked or straight bridge. If singapore does not agree, I urge Malaysia to go ahead and build their part, for that you would not have to ask singapore’s permission. It is your right.

Whatever the singapore’s stance, singaporeans still like to visit JB and Malaysia on weekends and we are freindly with malaysians and I feel the same from them.

I wish Dr.Mahathir long live and best of health.

Once a Malaysian's Gravatar Once a Malaysian

June 27, 2009 at 11:55 am | Permalink

Tun,

Now it become clearer bit by bit, thanks to your bit by bit informations and thoughts.

But I was thinking, from the administration before you & after you,

Malaysian Government had not a firm stand on this issue of whether the causeway was jointly own or not. I must said, all of you are sleeping on your job.Its a joke,when at this time ,who own the causeway is still an issue. No wonder , Malaysian ministers are individually making statement based on their own understanding and agenda as there are no official stand and sending wrong and inaccurate signal to the rest of the world.

Come on, Malaysians, be more united or the world will leave you behind..

americk sidhu's Gravatar americk sidhu

June 27, 2009 at 11:39 am | Permalink

Dear Tun,

I have for many years felt rather disturbed about the ecological state of the Tebrau Straits and the open sewer it has become.

I agree with you that water needs to flow freely from one end of the Straits to the other to ensure replenishment of nutrients and dissipation of toxic material so that we can start seeing some fish swimming around again.

I also agree with your concerns about the traffic situation in and around the customs and immigration complex in Johor Bahru.

Therefore the suggestion of an improvement to this whole situation appears to be long overdue and a bridge, as proposed by yourself, seems to be the most logical solution with which I agree whole heartedly. It does however appear Singapore are playing hardball. They don't want this bridge unless we promise to sell them some sand so that they can increase their boundaries and construct more buildings. They need to do this as they are running out of space, being a small island with an increasing population. The impression I get is that you don't want Singapore to increase their boundaries or build more buildings and neither do you want them flying their air force jets over Johore air space, which they have to do as they don't have very much airspace of their own. I am just wondering whether we have ever considered being nice to our closest neighbour and offer to sell them some sand and allow them to fly their jets over our air space and for good measure give them the water they need because they require all these things to survive. Besides, we have more than we need and this is all God given. Perhaps if we extend a helping hand to our neighbour, which is what anyones bible teaches, then we may expect a certain amount of cooperation in return. I may be completely naive about the situation but the answer seems too obvious to me.

Americk Sidhu
kt64's Gravatar kt64
June 27, 2009 at 11:07 am | Permalink

Ha!ha! I'm a Malaysian, Funny to read some of the comments here and its show how stupid the idea of Malaysian in Malaysia today...keep blaming others than ourself....

Rahman's Gravatar Rahman
June 27, 2009 at 10:41 am | Permalink

Dear Tun,
Thank you for vindicating my comment in your prior article. Actually I did not see any relevancy in who paid for the counstruction of the causeway in 1924 to the current state of an independent Malaysia unless there is a clear agreement indicating joint-ownership. Current construction technology will allow us to build a bridge while still maintaining water supply to Singapore. Surely Malaysia will not breakdown the causeway whilst the construction of the bridge is ongoing. Singapore should consider themselves fortunate to have a neighbour like Malaysia. Look at Russia-Georgia gas dispute as an example where Russia claimed that Georgia is paying too low a price and use gas meant for rest of Europe. In any case as I had pointed earlier, it seems that more vehicles are using the causeway instead of Tuas. This may be due to cheaper cost. However this also indicated that the causeway is really in need of an upgrading in order to improve the condition for movement of people and trade.

Regards.
afag's Gravatar afag
June 27, 2009 at 10:19 am | Permalink

selamat pagi to Tun and family...
wajaperak and others...thank you..

Today i want to share to all out there the true facts about PTP;(and it's most relevan facts to this bridge project)

1. The Port of Tanjung Pelepas(PTP) is a port for container ships located on the eastern mouth of the Pulai River in south-western Johor.
2. The port has a naturally deep harbour with a draft of 15 – 19 metres, and its turning basin of 600 metres ALLOWS for ships or vessel of any size to turn easily and smoothly.
3. PTP receiving its maiden vessel on 10 October 1999 on a three-month trial operation, it set a world record as the fastest growing port with 1 million twenty-foot equivalent units (TEU) of containers handled after 571 days of operations. The good performance sealed the port's fate, and it was officially launched by then our beloved Prime Minister of Malaysia, Tun Dr. Mahathir Mohamad, on 13 March 2000.
4. The port continues to register spectacular growth. At the end of 1999, the terminal handled 20,696 twenty-foot equivalent units (TEU), which rose to 418,218 TEU in 2000, 2.05 million TEU in 2001, and 2.66 million TEU in 2002, and 3.87 million TEU in 2003 outstripping Port Klang and thereby establishing itself as Malaysia's largest port.
5. This accelerated growth hinges on the port's proximity to the busy sea lanes on which the Port of Singapore derived its growth and sustainability for nearly two centuries. Positioning itself as a choice alternative to Singapore's

terminals, it highlights its proximity to the Tuas Second Link which leads to Singapore.

6. Sustained growth after 2000 was also largely possible as Maersk Sealand, the world's largest container ship operator, took a 30% equity stake in the port's holding company, Seaport Terminal in a deal concluded on 17 August 2000, effectively shifting ALL of Maersk's operations to the new port from the Singaporean port by the end of that year. Maersk was once the largest operator in Singapore, and the shift represented a 10% drop in business there.

7. In 2002, Evergreen Marine Corporation, then the world's second largest shipping company after Maersk, ALSO shifted its operations to PTP from Singapore. Other lines have also started direct services at PTP.

8. This event RAISED panic alarm bells in the Singaporean port.

9. The length of the PTP quay will be increase by 1.44km to the existing 3.6 km. It is expected that the first two berths will be operational in 2009. The expansion project will increase the handling capacity to 12 million TEUs. The entire master plan of the PTP port envisages over 75 berths making it the ONLY PORT IN SOUTH EAST ASIA with long term potential to handle increasingly growing container traffic. The berths is expected to extend from the mouth of Pulau River to the Malaysia-Singapore Second Link.

10. The current port offers 10 berths totalling 3.6 km of linear wharf length, and a 1.2 million square meters container yard which have over 156,000 TEU in storage space. The berths are serviced by 27 Super Post-Panamax quay cranes, 13 of which have a 22 box outreach and twin lift. The total capacity of the port today is over 8 million TEU per year. 77 rubber tyred gantry cranes operate around the container facility.

11. ONCE AGAIN it thus explaining to the initial reluctance of Singapore to agree and rejected this bridge proposal. The TRULY and LOGICAL fact is that this BRIDGE proposal presents a biggest threat to Singapore's port activity and this is not very good for the Singapore economy. The bridge would allow a creation of a very comprehensive PORT SYSTEM linking Johor Port and Tanjung Pelepas Port(PTP)and this will positively helps bounce back the Malaysia economy and trades activity.

TO ALL...ALWAYS REMEMBER...'MALAYSIA BOLEH!'

mnabil75's Gravatar mnabil75

June 27, 2009 at 10:07 am | Permalink

There are times when I wonder if the government of present and the one before this, really cares what Malaysians think or are they more concerned on how the Singaporeans think about them.

I was angry when the bridge was cancelled and still am.

I couldn't do anything about it then but I showed my displeasure on the GE 2008.

Many people ask why during Tun's time, many Malaysians still voted for BN even though certain things they might not agree.

To me it's simple, because Tun's goverment still brought progress and know how to handle this kind of things. We didn't have any headless chicken and we had a leader during that time.

Get rid of the headless chicken and bring in a leader.

Without a leader, we will go nowhere.

SyahrilSaid's Gravatar SyahrilSaid

June 27, 2009 at 9:55 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Ayahanda Tun,

Saya amat bersetuju dengan penjelasan Tun tentang isu ini, saya fikir sudah berpuluh kali Tun ulas isu ini, tapi ada masih ramai orang yang tak faham, terutamanya mereka yang ada kuasa (tak buat apa-apa pun mereka ini. Saya harap Tun terus usaha dan jangan putus asa untuk terus mendesak dan mengingatkan pemimpin yang berkuasa sekarang dalam melihat isu ini secara serius. Seperti komen saya dalam entry Tun sebelum ini, saya sangat sokong pembinaan jambatan Indah itu diteruskan semula. Kita ada bukti dan peluang yang cukup untuk membina jambatan ini di sebelah negara kita, tak perlupun akan persetujuan Singapura. Saya sangat kesal akan keputusan perdana menteri Malaysia ke-5 berkaitan isu ini, diharapkan perdana menteri sekarang lebih bijak akan isu ini.

Sekian. Semoga Ayahanda Tun dan isteri sihat selalu

Regards,

SyahrilSaid

Alor Setar

musato's Gravatar musato

June 27, 2009 at 9:52 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun.

Entah nak kata apa pasal jambatan ni. Kalau ada yang agresif tu boleh la buat jambatan tu kembali. Tapi kos mesti dah makin meningkat. Kalau kos meningkat pun, tapi tak rugi melabur kembali pembinaan jambatan bengkok. Samada berani cari masalah dengan Singapura atau tidak je. Jaga hati jiran la katakan. Bukan semua orang agresif macam Tun.

Saya ada pergi lihat gudang marjerin tempoh hari kat Johor. Ada sorang dua jugak la yang bertanya "niaga roti ke?" "Kecik je", saya kata.

"Okey la tu kata diorang. Hidup orang Melayu kat Johor ni tak berapa nak betul. Hidup yang dihala tuju oleh orang perniagaan." Jadi saya pikir kalau saya pergi bukak kelas ajar buat roti yang sembarangan pun mesti ramai yang nak belajar kat sana kan.

Saya harap kawan-kawan yang suka niaga dan mula nak belajar niaga, belajarlah buat roti dan jual. Kos yang murah dan mudah untuk dijalankan di rumah. Janji masa uli tu timbang dan sukat dengan betul dan jujur. Saya pernah keluar kerja 6pg balik 1pg dulu masa kat sana. Begitulah rutin harian hidup famili 'abang Johor' saya.

Jadi disebabkan sehari dua ni ada perkembangan yang positif dalam TV1 dan akhbar, maka di sini saya perturunkan resepi roti hamburger untuk pembaca sekalian (lepas ni bule la jual burger/hotdog). Ingat, timbang dan sukat dengan betul-betul :

Tepung roti 500g

yis 8 g

air sejuk 305g

milk powder 10g

garam 10g

shortening (lemak sayur) 50g

gula kastor 50g

improver 2g

gaul tepung dengan yis, kedian masukkan garam, gula, improver dan air sejuk. Uli sampai air sehati dengan tepung kedian masukkan shortening. Uli sampai selembut yang mungkin. Kedian timbang dan bulatkan doh seberat 50g. Tunggu sampai kembang 3x ganda baru boleh bakar. Kedian boleh jual burger.

Sekian. Terima kasih Tun.

anticorruptionmalaysia's Gravatar anticorruptionmalaysia

June 27, 2009 at 9:51 am | Permalink

Lee Kuan Yew, LHL and Singapore government will go all out to stop Malaysia from getting rid of the causeway and replacing it with a bridge. They know and we know the reasons. It is their interest against ours.

Singapore knows our leaders' weaknesses, especially the Malay leaders. They have ridden on these weaknesses and they will continue doing it for their national interest which is above everything else.

Our leaders also know Singapore leaders' weaknesses but we never optimise them. We are always a step behind.

The end results... Tun Pak Lah and his Cabinet failed miserably when dealing with Singapore. Singapore lost smaller battles such as losing few balls when playing golf with their Malaysian counterparts

but they have won the war!

Najib and his Cabinet will end up with similar result at the rate they are accepting Singapore's "artificial, strategic hospitality" and "extra-kindness" or their readiness to say "sorry"!

What was "Old Man LKW" trying to do by "humbly" going round shaking hands with targeted Malaysian leaders including Najib, Rosmah and Nik Aziz?

The answer is very simple... to show friendship and kindness to Malaysians. Nik Aziz's was seen smiling and grinning on TV and in newspapers shaking hands with LKW, accepting a "true long lost friend"! Many have been "softened" by LKW's "friendship tour" and has Nik Aziz also been bought?

Najib and his Cabinet must demolish our side of the causeway and replace it with a bridge, crooked or elevated, to allow small ships, barges and sailing boats to go through. This will be done at whatever costs... FULLSTOP.

I will give my life to support Najib and his Cabinet in the above decision. I hope ALL Malaysians will do the same.

squall_shinoda's Gravatar squall_shinoda

June 27, 2009 at 9:25 am | Permalink

Salam Ayahanda Tun,

Saya sendiri tidak faham rasional mengapa Tambak Johor tidak boleh digantikan dengan jambatan yang lebih besar, lebih kapasiti trafik serta lebih mesra Selat Johor yang sekarang ini tercemar teruk dan tidak dapat mengalir secara bebas. Pada hemat saya, sebenarnya sebuah jambatan yang sempurna patut boleh dibina. Kalau bimbang tentang paip air sepanjang laluan Tambak Johor, apa susah... kita bina sahaja jambatan baru itu di sebelah Tambak Johor,

alihkan aliran air, trafik jalan raya serta kereta api, lepas itu kita boleh robohkan terus Tambak Johor itu. Senang cerita. Kesannya sama sahaja seperti membina jambatan Lebuhraya Linkedua. Malangnya, pihak yang mendakwa kononnya akan berlaku implikasi undang-undang itu hanya tahu mencari alasan daripada mengemukakan idea kreatif bagi menyelesaikan isu penggantian Tambak Johor ini.

Alang Sepang's Gravatar Alang Sepang

June 27, 2009 at 9:04 am | Permalink

Aslkm Tun,

Sebenarnya org Melayu mcm Pak Lah tu terlalu amat sangat nak menjaga hati singapura dan kononnya sayangkan rakyat. Takut berperang nanti rakyat ramai mati dan menderita. Itu nama pemimpin tak de ball.

Saya ingat Tun pernah kata "apa nak takut pada singapura, WHAT HAVE EVER WE GIVEN CAN TTO SINGAPORE WE AKE BACK". ini baru betul kata2 Pejuang /Peminpin.Bermakna kalau patut perang kita perang.....Ini tak, dia gertak sikit aje ball dah kecut....

Mintak maafah, saya kena cakap begitu. Saya SOKONG Tun dari dulu lagi....

kamal ahmad's Gravatar kamal ahmad

June 27, 2009 at 7:31 am | Permalink

Salam kasih dan hormat Ayahanda Tun. Well Pak Belalang use to do this department (copy & paste interesting news articles here). I

Mohd Nazri Mansor's Gravatar Mohd Nazri Mansor

June 27, 2009 at 3:00 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum,

Below are the history of Johor-Singapore Causeway taken from Wikipedia (the free encyclopedia), which clearly stated that the construction cost (\$17million) was borne by the Johor government in 1923.

Saya amat berharap agar kerajaan Malaysia sekarang dibawah pentadbiran Datuk Seri Najib memandang berat & serius atas saranan Tun Mahathir, agar Tambak Johor digantikan dengan jambatan yang secara jelas dan nyata akan menguntungkan Malaysia dari banyak segi seperti yang telah dijelaskan oleh Tun Dr Mahathir dalam blog ini.

Sesungguhnya, apa yang kita buat pada bahagian tambak di sebelah kita adalah hak kita sebagai satu negara yang berdaulat & bermaruah!! Saya amat-amat berharap agar Datuk Seri Najib tidak akan menjadi Tun Abdullah Ahmad Badawi yang kedua, yang secara jelas dan nyata amat lemah mentadbir negara!.

Johor-Singapore Causeway

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The Johor-Singapore Causeway, as viewed from the Woodlands Checkpoint in Singapore, facing towards Johor Bahru, Malaysia.The Johor-Singapore Causeway (Malay: Tambak Johor, Chinese:

Mohd Nazri Mansor's Gravatar Mohd Nazri Mansor

June 27, 2009 at 2:54 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum,

Below are the history of Johor-Singapore Causeway taken from Wikipedia (the free encyclopedia), which clearly stated that the construction cost (\$17million) was borne by the Johor government in 1923.

Saya amat berharap agar kerajaan Malaysia sekarang dibawah pentadbiran Datuk Seri Najib memandang berat & serius atas saranan Tun Mahathir, agar Tambak Johor digantikan dengan jambatan yang secara jelas dan nyata akan menguntungkan Malaysia dari banyak segi seperti yang telah dijelaskan oleh Tun Dr Mahathir dalam blog ini.

Sesungguhnya, apa yang kita buat pada bahagian tambak di sebelah kita adalah hak kita sebagai satu negara yang berdaulat & bermaruah!! Saya amat-amat berharap agar Datuk Seri Najib tidak akan menjadi Tun Abdullah Ahmad Badawi yang kedua, yang secara jelas dan nyata amat lemah mentadbir negara!.

Johor-Singapore Causeway

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The Johor-Singapore Causeway, as viewed from the Woodlands Checkpoint in Singapore, facing towards Johor Bahru, Malaysia.The Johor-Singapore Causeway (Malay: Tambak Johor, Chinese:

m razi's Gravatar m razi

June 27, 2009 at 2:46 am | Permalink

WAHAI... PAKLAH,WAHAI... KHAIRY JAMALUDIN...kamu masih lg berkhidmat utk negara dan di bayar gaji...jangan la membuta tuli n buat pakak je.. KAMU telah didapati bersalah merosak n mengkhianati

NEGARA,KAMU PENGKHIANAT (Kalau dikarang kesemua kesalahan boleh buat buku dpt satu jilid)
Ini lah masanya kamu menebus kembali dosa2 kamu pada sekalian rakyat2 MALAYSIA...Serulah pada
KERAJAAN ajar teruskan kembali PROJEK JAMBATAN INDAH...KAMI SEDIA MEMAAF.
PAKLAH,KHAIRY rakyat masih marah kpd kamu
(ibarat racun di dalam UMNO)
shafinaz's Gravatar shafinaz
June 27, 2009 at 2:08 am | Permalink

Tun,

Izinkan saya menjawab tulisan oleh pakpandir08 26 Jun 2009. Wahai Pak Pandir, (memang padan anda memilih nama pakpandir), isu yang dipertikaikan di sini adalah mengenai Tambak Johor yang telah sesak dan perlu diganti dengan jambatan baru.

Data yang Tun gunakan hanya sebagai menyokong bahawa Tambak Johor sebenarnya bukan milik Singapura (saya tidak mahu terlibat dalam isu perundangan mengenai Tambak Johor milik siapa) dan pembinaannya ditanggung oleh Kerajaan Johor ketika itu.

Oleh itu, tidak timbul soal data yang digunakan Tun merupakan perjanjian dan data yang diperkenalkan semasa Singapura menjadi sebahagian daripada negeri Tanah Melayu.

Kalau kita hendak membahaskan isu mengenai perjanjian dan data digunakan dari segi perundangan, sampai bila pun tidak akan ada kesudahannya.

Isu Tambak Johor bukan soal membodek atau berperang dengan Singapura tetapi soal maruah bangsa dan negara. Hanya orang yang tidak patriotik seperti pakpandir (mungkin rakyat Singapura kot? atau barua republik itu) sahaja yang tidak sensitif berhubung isu ini. Orang seperti pakpandir dan kaum lain (warganegara Malaysia) yang mengagung-agungkan Singapura inilah yang maharaja LKY suka.

Sudahlah negara kita diperlekehkan oleh Singapura yang lebih kecil daripada negara kita, malah ada pula penyokong-penyokong setia republik itu di kalangan warga Malaysia sendiri.

Saya sebenarnya berasa bosan dan meluat dengan peminat-peminat setia republik itu daripada kalangan rakyat negara ini yang suka membuat perbandingan berhubung kejayaan republik itu berbanding Malaysia. Kepada peminat-peminat setia republik itu, sedarlah bahawa Singapura hanyalah sebuah pulau kecil, pembangunan hanya tertumpu di kawasan pulau yang kecil berbanding Malaysia. Sesiapa sahaja kalau jadi pemerintah, boleh membangunkan sebuah pulau kecil.

Kepada mereka yang kagum sungguh dengan Singapura berhijrahlah ke republik itu termasuk pakpandir kerana rakyat Singapura sendiri berpusu-pusu (kalau ada peluang) berhijrah ke negara lain terutama ke Australia.

Tun, izinkan juga saya menjawab tulisan JW Marriot 24 Jun 2009, quote "However, Tun, you know very well of the right of the Singapore government to use its military might in the event, Malaysia unilaterally tries to render the causeway not useable."

Dear JW Marriot, i have confidence the Malaysian armed forces are capable in handling the so called military might of the Singaporean government. If war is what the Singaporean government want with its neighbour, then so be it.

Salam Tun.

Faiz Al-Kelantani's Gravatar Faiz Al-Kelantani

June 27, 2009 at 2:00 am | Permalink

The main point here is for the benefit of Johoreans (Malaysians). And the collapse of the Singapore's port and harbor. And then... we can inflict economical disaster to the TINY LITTLE CHAUVINISTIC ISLAND. No threat anymore to our beloved nation. No NEW WORLD ORDER agenda in South East Asia. No US imperialism in our region.

atanmatsaman's Gravatar atanmatsaman

June 27, 2009 at 1:44 am | Permalink

Wahai CHEDET,

Apakah kiranya tuan hamba ini jelmaan MAMAK BENDAHARA TUN PERAK? Jika benar....ayuh wahai tuan hamba kita TODAKKAN Pulau Singa itu...bukan dengan SENJATA memusnah, namun hanya secuma sekuntum pena yang menyiat-nyiat ulu jantung anak MELAYU yang MERDEKA segala-galanya..

Jika benar dunia ini tidak nyata dengan mata kepala...mari kita lihat dunia ini dengan mata hati, nescaya berteraburan la...jiwa-jiwa bangsa MELAYU meronta-ronta kebebasan di tanah air tercinta ini.

PASTI...kembali ke gengaman kita PULAU SINGA yang tiada di mata kepala..

salam dari atan mat saman

Pembela's Gravatar Pembela
June 27, 2009 at 1:36 am | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tok Det.

TAMBAK JOHOR MESTI DIROBOHKAN!!!

TAMBAK INI NAMANYA TAMBAK JOHOR!!!

BUKAN TAMBAK SINGAPURA... FAHAM TAK NAJIB!!!

1. Baginda Sultan Johor sendiri sudah bertitah robohkan tambak dan ganti dengan jambatan. Tunggu apa lagi? Nak tunggu Maharaja Lee Kuan Yew bertitah baru tambak boleh dirobohkan?

2. Kegagalan merobohkan tambak adalah "KEKALAHAN" yang amat memalukan kepada Malaysia. Saya juga merasakan baginda Sultan Johor mesti amat terasa kerana titah baginda tidak disahut oleh rakyat jelata! Bertambah parah Pulau Batu Putih pula terlepas ke Singapura!

3. Malaysia seolah-olah dilihat amat bergantung kepada Singapura dari segi ekonomi. Teramat sangat menjaga hati jiran seperti takut kesan buruk keatas ekonomi jika Singapura tersinggung. Hakikatnya Singapura yang bergantung kepada Malaysia.

4. Sampai bila ekonomi kita mesti bergantung kepada negara lain? Benarkah ekonomi kita amat bergantung kepada Singapura? Atau sebenarnya ada orang yang nak cepat kaya jual pasir?

5. Kenapa kita terlampau takut dengan this tiny red dot in the world map? Coz even the "red dot" is bigger than Singapore on the map!

6. Kenapa pemimpin kita menjadi TERLAMPAU BANGANG perlu memohon restu dan izin dari jiran untuk membuat sesuatu di tanah kita sendiri?

7. Apakah ekonomi Malaysia akan merudum jika pelabur-pelabur Singapura menarik pelaburan mereka beramai-ramai? Banyak sangatkah pelaburan Singapura di Malaysia?

8. Kenapa pemimpin Malaysia macam takdak telok dan macam pondan tua terhegeh-hegeh dengan Singapore? Kenapa pemimpin negara lebih besar Malaysia kena tunduk kepada negara sekangkang kera Singapura?

9. Kalau esok kita bawa JCB dan mula mengorek tambak disebelah bahagian kita Singapore boleh buat apa? Nak berperang? Apakah kita perlu takut berperang mempertahankan hak dan kedaulatan kita di tanah kita sendiri? Apa alasan Singapore nak berperang dengan kita? Sebab mengorek tanah kita sendiri? Masuk akal kah?

10. Perang adalah jenayah. Tetapi perang mempertahankan hak, tanahair dan maruah negara adalah kemuliaan yang tertinggi bagi setiap warganegara! Mereka yang gugur adalah PAHLAWAN NEGARA!!! Dalam Islam sendiri mati mempertahankan negara MATI SYAHID!

ROBOHKAN TAMBAK JOHOR!!!

TANAH KITA HAK KITA!!!

KITA BERHAK BUAT APA SAJA DI ATAS TANAH KITA!!!

PERTAHANKAN MARUAH MALAYSIA!!!

Demi maruah negara, biar putih tulang jangan putih mata!!!

PEMBELA

<http://pembelamelayu2009.wordpress.com/>

add's Gravatar add

June 27, 2009 at 1:08 am | Permalink

Assalamualikum TUN,

Tun, pls pls pls lah masuk balik kedalam kabinet Najib dan musnahkanlah Anwar Ibrahim dan keluarga mereka dari Malaysia ni.

Dan tolonglah Tun nasihatkan kepada kepala-kepala Cina (bisnes).jangan cuba nak lagakan melayu dan ambil alih pemerintahan dari orang melayu nanti tak pasal-pasal dengan singapura kena ambush nanti..

Kadok's Gravatar Kadok

June 27, 2009 at 12:53 am | Permalink

Salam Sejahtera Ybhg Tun,

Kerajaan Perikatan dan BN telah berjaya memakmurkan negara Malaysia dgn baik dan cepat sekali. Banyak negara didunia yang telah merdeka lama lebih awal dari Malaysia masih lagi berada ditakuk lama didalam hal2 tertentu.

Saya berpendapat terdapat usaha2 atau agenda yang secara jelas atau samar2 yang hanya membawa kepada kemelesetan kerajaan BN. Kerajaan BN (tunjangnya UMNO) pernah dilebelkan antara pertubuhan yang termasuk didalam senarai Al-Qaeda.

Kerajaan BN telah termasuk (atau sengaja masuk) dalam perangkap apabila membatalkan projek jambatan tersebut

dan beberapa projek besar yang lain. Tiada alternatif diberikan. Tiada arus pembangunan dan kejayaan. Malaysia sentiasa kalah dalam segala segi. Akibatnya rakyat kehilangan keyakinan kepada pimpinan BN dan seterusnya kehilangan 5 buah negeri dlm pilihanraya. Inilah yang dimahukan oleh musuh2 BN.

Sebilangan rakyat dan ahli politik telah berusaha dan berjaya supaya pucuk pimpinan ditukar. Setelah ditukar, rata2 rakyat dan Raja-Raja menunjukkan harapan yang tersangat besar kepada pimpinan baru supaya memiliki sifat pejuang rakyat dan negara dan meneruskan semula projek2 antaranya projek jambatan bengkok Johor dengan segera.

Saya yakin bahawa dengan meneruskan semula projek tersebut, pimpinan BN akan diyakini semula oleh majoriti rakyat dan ini akan antaranya menjayakan konsep 1Malaysia.

Sangat sedih apabila idea jambatan ketiga pula yang muncul. Kehendak majoriti rakyat untuk melihat air laut Selat Tebrau dapat mengalir bebas diketepikan. Yang ujud pula adalah Singapore Extension Phase 3 di timur Johor. Besar implikasinya sekiranya kehendak rakyat ini tidak ditunaikan. Antaranya adalah kehilangan keyakinan yg lebih mendalam terhadap kerajaan BN. Terbayang akan pertama kalinya Malaysia ditadbir oleh kerajaan yg tunjangnya bukan UMNO. Majoriti Melayu akan hilang tempat berpijak dan bernaung. Kemungkinan coretan Api Merah Menyala Malam akan laris semula dengan edisi yg lebih dahsyat.

zaman's Gravatar zaman

June 27, 2009 at 12:26 am | Permalink

Assalaamualaikum Tun dan rakan bloggers.

Tun about the crooked bridge just do it. Orang Johor tidak bantah

Lihat sahaja apa dah jadi pada bakun, laluan keretapi berkembar.

Pak Lah oh Pak Lah. Di harap DSN tak begitu.

Tun teruskan perjuangan Mu kami tetap menyokong.

May Allah Bless You Insha Allah.

truthseeker's Gravatar truthseeker

June 27, 2009 at 12:22 am | Permalink

Salam Tun and fellow bloggers,

1 Some may say that you should let go of Dollah since he's no more there, but I am with you...that nincompoop Dollah was the culprit who sold us to singapore.

2. I remember a saying.. 'it takes the chinese to handle the chinese..' and I likened this to.. 'it takes Tun to handle singapore..' Becos you have studied their psychology. They're anxious and worried when you're around.

3. So apek lee kuan yew said he was happy with dollah's moderate attitude (my god, dollah bought this cheap psychology) and now he's using the same cheap tascvtic on Najib. Cos Najib is showing an apologetic attitude towards singapore's leaders.

4. Singapore had again and again showed us that it really means business...to 'kill' us using any available opportunities; discrediting us, allowing sand encouraging smuggling, encouraged flow of monies from our country to spore, etc. Tun said prosper thy neighbors but spore wanna make its neighbor poorer.

5. dollah should be charged for corruption..using his veto power regarding the bridge and MACC should look into this 'mystery' idea about agreeing to sell our sand and allowing our space to be violated...MACC, go dig the evidents....

6. Our kedaulatan is the only thing we have and it seems at the highest level only(unofficially) Tun is interested in protecting it. The rest of the idiots have no balls. Look at how they were fumbling when the apek longsi LKY was around. Their faces were beaming with excitement when meeting the apek. Saya sangatlah malu dengan bangsa saya.

7. Najib, please show your balls to singapore...

Tun, thank you for all your efforts to make us look and feel proud.

RadziSi's Gravatar RadziSi

June 27, 2009 at 12:20 am | Permalink

SALAM SEJAHTERA BUAT TUN! Dari anakanda yang hina ini...

mer deka!mer deka!mer deka!mer deka!mer deka!mer deka!mer deka!jerit Tuanku Abd Rahman di stadium merdeka...meremang bulu roma kita melihat Tuanku Abd Rahman melaungkan perkataan keramat tersebut...saya hanya melihat semua itu dikaca tv hitam putih semasa saya mengenal kehidupan, itu pun diawal-awal tahun 1966 (UMUR SAYA 6 TAHUN)di sebuah bangsal atap nipah untuk menuntun tv yang dibena oleh orang yang agak berada dengan bayaran ..dewasa sebanyak 20 sen kanak-kanak 10 sen... menuntun disitu hanya pada waktu malam

sahaja ...bekalan di perolehi melalui janakuasa diesel untuk menampung 2 lampu kalimantang dan TV 20 inci...jalan boleh dilalui basikal dan motosikal sahaja...sekarang semua kemudahan asas dah ada lengkap disitu...tahniah perikatan(kapal layar)sekarang BN(Barisan Nasional)kerana sedikit demi sedikit negara dibina supaya berjaya mengikut landasan....Tetapi sampai PM kelima janakuasa yang membantu kita melihat TV hitam putih itu tersangkut-sangkut pulak...lampu dah berkelip-kelip..TV hitam putih dah mula nak terpadam....penuntut mulai gelisah....tiba-tiba ada pulak pengusaha baru buka panggung...pakai TV warna pulak tu....akibatnya pengusaha pertama kena lah tukar TV plasma ke..projektor ke....kalau lambat bertindak...panggung itu akan jadi sejarah...Jambatan baru...kita tak perlu.(sultan pun setuju dengan saya)..tambak johor pun tak perlu...bagi mereka betul-betul merdeka..kau pusinglah kat pulau yang tak sampai seluas wilayah persekutuan itu...nak pergi lebuh raya Malaysia naikan kereta mereka melalui Feri...kena saman senang Tangkap...sombong sangat mereka...Malaysia ini sangat pemurah...kerana pemerintahnya pemurah...sepatutnya kita berhenti seketika membina banglo..membina kilang..membina kedai-kedai...sebab apa..kita tak nampak langsung masbun siduk mengutip mata...malainkan memberikan mata 0-15(dahulu) sekarang 1-21...habis semua tanah...tanah kerajaan dimana ditempatkan pejabat-pejabat kerajaan di robohkan digantikan dengan kedai-kedai...kilang-kilang..contoh sila lihat peta malaysia..kalau canggih sikit lihat GOOGLE..tegak dari langit...kawasan di negeri selangor, Bandar Rawang (tengah pesat membangun)...apa dah jadi...kita hanya dapat melihat sahaja..rumah-rumah mewah..kedai-kedai besar...kilang-kilang dimiliki oleh orang lain...yang nafsu tamak hendak memiliki malaysia pada suatu hari nanti....kalau berjaya biarkan lah mereka sambungkan lah semula...kita sememangnya tak akan mampu membeli Rumah (RM 200k)kedai RM380K...tetapi habis juga dibeli...siapa yang beli....diharap Johor tak perlu Takut jika tak maju...sebab kita belum mampu lagi...kita perlu baca buku MALAY DELIMA berkali-kali...baca Quran dengan Tafsirnya...BANGUNNNNN...TERMASUK AKU JUGA...mereka semua sudah lama bangun...mereka semua padai guna Vitamin...padai senaman...kita makan makanan yang banyak kelestrol...sendi-sendai semua sakit...sebab banyak asid dalam badan...bangun tak sampai lima jam dah tertidur..kalau yang memandu tertidur habis lah kita semua nanti....masuk Selat Tebrau...sekali lagi saya meminta maaf kalau ada yang tak betul..sebab saya budak kampung yang masih mencuba nak mencapai kejayaan...perjuangan memang belum selesai...tetapi saya tidak mudah lupa...orang lain saya tidak tahu....
Nothing but wind's Gravatar Nothing but wind
June 26, 2009 at 11:53 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun Dr M,

I agree with you that the water on both side of the causeway remain stagnant and would not be clean. I wonder why "cleanliness" conscious Singapore is quiet on the causeway being replaced with an elevated bridge?
As for the biased agreements with Singapore, I would blame it on the party who represented the Malaysian/Johore in those deals. How long are we going to cry over the spilled milk. Let us ensure that in future such agreements are carefully deliberated and decided upon.
Anak kampung's Gravatar Anak kampung
June 26, 2009 at 11:53 pm | Permalink

Tun yang Mulia,

Orang yang membatalkan Projek Jambatan Bengkok adalah pengkhianat Negara, secara halusnya.Orang ini sebenarnya tidak layak menjadi pemimpin peringkat tinggi kerana dia tidak bijak membuat keputusan dan takut dengan ugutan Singapura.Orang seperti ini sanggup menurut arahan pemimpin Negara luar(Singapura) daripada mematuhi perjanjian dengan pemimpin Negara sendiri(Tun M).Saya hairan kenapa.
Jambatan Bengkok bukan sahaja menceriaikan pemandangan Johor Bahru tetapi yang penting ialah keuntungan rakyat jelata dari segi menjana pertumbuhan ekonomi Negara.Butakah.
Ingatlah kepada Tuhan seolah-olah kita akan mati esok, dan ingatlah juga bahawa kita berusaha hari ini untuk hidup 1000 tahun lagi!untuk anak cucu.bolehkah generasi kita hidup 1000 tahun lagi?
Negara kita ,acuan kita.Mengapa kita telah membiarkan orang lain 'merampas' hak kita secara tidak sah?
Muhammad Nabil's Gravatar Muhammad Nabil
June 26, 2009 at 11:47 pm | Permalink

tak lama lagi kita bagi pulak jawatan tertinggi negara,kedaulatan,tanah,pasir serta tukar lagu negaraku,bendera,kawasan hartanah yang amat strategik,pulau bersaiz seinci,parti politik,serta lain-lain aset negara serta kesemua milik kita kepada negara yang amat amat opportunistik lagi tamak haloba.we are in very very dangerous position!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!bila 'dia' susah kita susah payah tolong..tapi kalau kita susah jangan haraplah setengah sen pun..malah rakyat kita mungkin jadi hamba tebusan di negeri yang dahulunya milik kita..adakah pulau

pinang akan jadi 'singapura kedua'??

-fikir-fikirlah

entahlah apa nak jadi kalau keadaan ini semua berterusan..asyik kita kena mengalah..angkat senjata sajalah lepas ni..pasai diplomatik ni kita jadi loser senantiasanya kecuali dibawah pimpinan Tun Dr Mahathir yang memelihara kepentingan keseluruhan kedaulatan dan kepentingan nasional..

<http://issuesrealview.blogspot.com>

Muhammad Nabil Bin Nor Azman

Multimedia University (Melaka Campus)

Penang Origin

azrin's Gravatar azrin

June 26, 2009 at 11:34 pm | [Permalink](#)

Tun...we don't need a 3rd bridge because Iskandariah Nusajaya will be dead.

what we should just do is just remove the causeway..and just use 2nd Link. See that the Singapore Border ends directly where there CIQ is..and the rest... JOHOR.

So what is a Billion Cubic Meters of sand? we dig our Bukit Antarabangsa and cause collapses ..is far more than that. They just want the sand...well for Genting's Sentosa Resort.

We are richer. Smarter. Yet... we get bullied by a (forty)3 yr old. (excuse the pun)

Best regards

Dr R Azrin

Oumono's Gravatar Oumono

June 26, 2009 at 11:30 pm | [Permalink](#)

baru paham pasaipa Tun letak kira-kira tambak johor. Pak Lah punya masa is plain and simple. Semua nak untung masuk poket. itu saja. tapi ada lagikah pemimpin sekarang yang seberani Tun. Najib macam gurauan. LKY pon dia bagi mai melawat orang2 MCA. Apa cerita.

Hazman Abu Bakar

Pulut's Gravatar Pulut

June 26, 2009 at 11:14 pm | [Permalink](#)

Re: Pakpandir08

"sekiranya memerhatikan dokumen yg dipaparkan ... ia menunjukkan data mengenai kos perbelanjaan pada tahun 1919-1924 ... ini bermakna Singapura masih dianggap sebahagian daripada Tanah Melayu pada masa itu"

"Pada tahun 2009 ... Singapura pun telah terkeluar dari Tanah Melayu, dan kini wujud Malaysia dan Singapura yg merupakan dua negara yg berasingan ..."

Pak Pandir yg sememangnya pandir,

Teliti dahulu sebelum berbicara, ia tercatat Kerajaan Negeri Johor BUKAN Tanah Melayu (tidak termasuk Singapura)

Cuba renungkan....

-Pulut-

hisham1's Gravatar hisham1

June 26, 2009 at 11:10 pm | [Permalink](#)

Well said Tun, Malaysian leaders need to think several steps ahead. That is the way to win over Singgahporah!

Free Printable Fake Money for Kids

cikgu ngah's Gravatar cikgu ngah

June 26, 2009 at 11:07 pm | [Permalink](#)

Assalamualaikum Saudara Pak Pandir,

Kalau anda tak tahu sejarah, janganlah cuba nak memandai-mandai pula.

Singapura memang pernah jadi sebahagian daripada Johor, tapi semasa zaman Kesultanan Johor-Riau dahulu.

Semasa Tambak Johor dibina, Singapura adalah sebuah 'Crown Colony' British, yang ditadbir secara terus oleh

pihak British dari London. Johor pula pada masa itu adalah sebuah negeri Melayu yang merdeka dan berdaulat, dari zaman pemerintahan Sultan Abu Bakar hinggalah apabila Sultan Ibrahim menerima British advisor dalam tahun 1909.

Jadi Singapura bukan sebahagian daripada Johor. Kalau Johor yang menanggung keseluruhan kos pembinaan

tambak itu, maka bukankah sepatutnya tambak itu milik kita? Kalau tambak itu milik bersama Malaysia-Singapura, maka sepatutnya Singapura juga menanggung kos pembinaan dan penjagaannya.

Dalam menyokong hujah-hujahnya, Tun Mahathir kemukakan bukti dan fakta. Tapi anda menentang hujah-hujahnya dengan apa? Kalau tak tahu lebih baik diam.

groo's Gravatar groo

June 26, 2009 at 10:35 pm | Permalink

Salam ,

Janganlah marah Perdana Menteri Najib.Dia masih baru lagi..biarlah dia belajar sedikit demi sedikit..Pastinya penilaian perdana menteri kita akan meliputi segala aspek..marilah sama sama kita beri sokongan padu kepada perdana menteri dan jelaskan pendirian rakyat malaysia.

Terima kasih TUN,junjung kasih tak terhingga kepada TUN...

saya amat berterima kasih kepada Tun kerana memberi dokumen yg pasti mengejutkan ramai pihak khususnya Tun ahmad badawi yg banyak menipu rakyat...

harap rakyat mulai sedar agar negara malaysia yg berdaulat ni tidak lagi dijajah seperti tun badawi...

musnahkan jambatan/tambak bererti musnahlah ekonomi singapura...

harap pihak ketenteraan Malaysia akan membuat pengawasan yg ketat khususnya ruang udara,bawah laut,dan bawah tanah serta kegiatan singapura dalam bidang nuklear nya.

Harap rakyat bangun dan bersatupadu menyokong PM Najib dan menyatakan hasrat merobohkan tambak johor..itu hak kita rakyat malaysia bukan hak singapura.

PULANGKAN HAK ORANG MALAYSIA>.

HIDUP TUN MAHATHIR..

SOKONGAN PADU UNTUK PM NAJIB.

PAK LAH perlu dihukum ...

jackjb's Gravatar jackjb

June 26, 2009 at 10:12 pm | Permalink

perkara-perkara berikut adalah sesuatu yang paklah tak paham mengenai bangsa johor

1)jambatan itu adalah jambatan propaganda. (tak kisahlah apapun alasan pembinaannya-trafiklah/aliran air lautlah/air paiplah)

orang johor paham jambatan itu adalah jambatan propaganda!!

2)bangsa johor adalah terdiri dari bangsa/orang melayu,cina, dan india. selain orang melayu, mereka juga benci singapura.

orang johor paham singapura besar kepala.(kita lembut sangat)

3)sultan johor adalah sultan bangsa johor. sultan yg dekat dengan rakyatnya.-kami sanggup mati untuknya.

orang johor paham suara sultan adalah suara bangsa johornya-bangsa yg menyokong padu BN-dan berjanji untuk merampas kembali kerusi yang hilang di negerinya.

kalau sebab kerusi kami sanggup mati, apatah lagi kalau sultan kami.

jangan buat pekak ye... nanti kene lempang.

Sentra's Gravatar Sentra

June 26, 2009 at 10:09 pm | Permalink

SALAM, TUN

1. INI ADALAH MASA YANG SESUAI UNTUK PELAWAT HENTAM PAKLAH KERANA TUN BANGKITKAN ISU JAMBATAN BENGKOK. RASANYA DAH LAMA JUGA PELAWAT TIDAK HENTAM PAK LAH SELEPAS DIGULINGKAN OLEH UMNO DAN KUNCU-KUNCUNYA.

2. BAGI SAYA TAK GUNA CAKAP TENTANG PERKARA YANG LEPAS. KALAU NAK CAKAP TENTANG KEHEBATAN KITA, MUNGKIN ADA ORANG YANG LEBIH HEBAT DARI KITA. SEBALIKNYA KALAU NAK CAKAP KELEMAHAN ORANG LAIN, MAKA KITA BOLEH SENARAIKAN KELEMAHAN KITA JUGA. ISU INI TAK AKAN HABIS. OLEH ITU SEMUA ORANG INSAF DAN BERSYUKUR. ...

3. APA YANG PATUT DITUMPUKAN ADALAH MASA DEPAN NEGARA KHUSUSNYA UMNO DAN ORANG MELAYU. ORANG LAIN SIBUK UNTUK BERSIAP KE PUTRA JAYA DAN MENUMBANGKAN UMNO DAN BN. JANGANLAH GUNAKAN BLOG INI UNTUK HENTAM KERAJAAN YANG ADA DAN PM YANG LEPAS. CUKUPLAH....BERIKAN PENDAPAT YANG MEMBINA. BERIKAN CADANGAN UNTUK BAGAIMANA MENYATUPADUKAN ORANG MELAYU, MASALAH SOSIAL, PERPADUAN

KAUM, KEGAWATAN EKONOMI, DAN BANYAK LAGI ISU YANG PERLU PERHATIAN MASYARAKAT
.....

4. JANGANLAH JADIKAN BLOG SEBAGAI MEDAN MENGHINA ORANGMENJATUHKAN ORANG, MENGULINGKAN ORANG, MEMALUKAN ORANG MENGHINA ORANG. NAMPAK JELAS BAHAWA KEBEBASAN TELAH DISALAHGUNAKAN. NAMPAK JELAS JUGA BAHAWA BLOG INI MENJADI PARTI PEMBANGKANG DAN MEMBERI SUMBANGAN MODAL TIDAK LANGSUNG KEPADA PEMBANGKANG.

5. KITA MUNGKIN MERASA SERONOK DAN GEMBIRA SETIAP TULISAN KITA MENDAPAT PUJIAN DAN SAMBUTAN DARI PELAWAT KITA, TETAPI KITA KENA INGAT BAHAWA DALAM MASA YANG SAMA ORANG MENGAMBIL MODAL ITU UNTUK MEMBANTAI KERAJAAN NAJIB YANG AMAT DISAYANGI OLEH PM-PM YANG LEPAS.

6. KITA JUGA BOLEH MENULIS BERKAITAN DENGAN AGAMA ISLAM, SUPAYA SELURUH DUNIA FAHAM TENTANG ISLAM DAN TIDAK TAKUT PADA ISLAM. JANGALAH KITA MENULIS SESUATU YANG BAIK TETAPI ADA JUGA UNSUR-UNSUR MENGHENTAM ORANG LAIN. TULISLAH DENGAN JUJUR DAN ELAKKAN DARI FITNAH ATAU MENGATA ORANG LAIN. BILA KITA TUNJUK SATU KESALAJAN ORANG, ADA EMPAT JARI MENGHALA KEPADA KITA.....

7. KEPADA PENULIS DAN PELAWAT/PENGULAS JANGANLAH LEPAS KAN GERAM, EMOSI YANG MELAMPAU DAN JANGAN JADIKAN BLOG INI UNTUK BERPERANG.....

8. SAYA MENYARANKAN AGAR PADA MASA AKAN DATANG AKAN RAMAI ORANG MENULIS PERKARA YANG BAIK-BAIK DAN JUJUR.
SEKIAN,

Rimba Emas's Gravatar Rimba Emas
June 26, 2009 at 9:43 pm | Permalink

Salam buat Tun berdua moga dirahmati Allah s.w.t

1)Cerita penlipurlara Singapura dilanggar Ikan Todak mengesahkan wira anak melayu Hang Nadim.....walau pun kemungkinan kejadian dongeng tapi Melayu juga cerdik....

2)Singa dan Ikan todak akal nya kurang dari manusia yang diberi kelebihan oleh Allah s.w.t.Kata yang terfikir oleh kebaikan yang dilakukan sijahat lawannya ikutkan saja kebaikan itu..contohnya seperti CUKAI DUTI EKSPORT PERCUMA (pelabuhan).

3)Benar kata Allah s.w.t benda yang percuma selalunya murahkan rezeki.Agaknya kalau tiada tol tiadalah jalan-jalan kesesakkan sama sekali.Namun manusia telah menciptakan wang untuk melebihi nilai sesuatu benda yang akan dimiliki...akhirnya bermulalah permainan nafsu..

4)Nafsu yang terikut pula menyebabkan kesalahan meletakkan cara yang betul menyelesaikan sata-satu masaalah.Contohnya denda wang bukanlah boleh menyelesaikan 'kemalangan'dan banyak lagi.

5)Membesarkan dan melebarkan jalan..membanyakkan kios pembayaran hanya dapat mencepat sedikit masa.. itu pun bergantung kepada jumlah kenderaan.

6)Konsep sedekah..hadiah...perlu di fikirkan sedikit bila merencanakan sesuatu projek kerana telah terbukti ia dapat meramaikan kawan dan lawan..

7)Yang mana lebih baik untung besar sebab mahalkan harga..tapi kurang pelanggan..sebaliknya untung besar sebab harga rendah..tapi ramai pelanggan. ??

8)Ciri-ciri Abdul Mutalib bapa saudara Nabi Muhammad s.a.w rugi dalam agama tapi perbuatannya disanjung rakan dan lawannya....tidak mustahil ada pada zaman ini...al-Quran kisah dulu dan zaman sekarang...

9)Wallahua'lam.

Ibrahim laden's Gravatar Ibrahim laden
June 26, 2009 at 9:36 pm | Permalink

Salam tun yg dihormati,apabila cadangan jambatan ketiga dicadangkan itulah satu cadangan yg dibantah oleh majorty rakyat Johor.Pemimpin yg dihormati oleh rakyat apabila kedaulatan negeri dan negara didahulukan dgn membina jambatan bengkok tanpa tekanan dari mana2 pihak.TPM adalah orang JoHOR harus memahami kehendak rakyat JOHOR dan orang luar jangan campur urusan ini.kerana mereka tak tahu kepayahan warga JOHOR.MB JOHOR kita lembik macam Paklah buat2 tak tahu sahaja kesusahan rakyat Johor,sebagai anak negeri johor harus ada sifat keberanian yg tinggi utk melawan arus utk pertahankan setiap inci kedaulatan negara..Jangan jadi dayus kalau jadi pemimpin kerana pemimpin datang dari rakyat.

shalom's Gravatar shalom
June 26, 2009 at 8:45 pm | Permalink

Shalom writes:

As someone suggested somewhere before, instead of building a bridge, straight or crooked, wouldn't it be a good idea to consider carry out land reclamation of the straits in between the two Nations. Singapore, with shortage of land, may welcome the idea. Malaysia, can construct an East-West Expressway along the boundary to ease the traffic congestion within Johor Baru and build up a new southern CBD next to Woodland. Of course, need to fence up the common boundary. Why not?

joehenry's Gravatar joehenry

June 26, 2009 at 8:14 pm | Permalink

Tun, any person with a little bit of patriotism and common sense will agree to the building of the Scenic Bridge for the benefit of Malaysia. I don't know about our government's priority. Probably it feels that Singapore, being a small country, must be accorded with a bit of pempering or that we have to kow tow to them because it has a deep pocket. Whatever it is I feel ashamed of the short-sightedness of our Government and I don't know which smart Alex proposes the third bridge and how the third bridge can benefit Malaysia and provides the same tactical benefits to Malaysia as the Scenic Bridge will do. My guess is that the present Government does not know the current issue at all or that it is too blind to appreciate the issues and just proposed something stupid to divert Malaysians' attention and hope that those Malaysians who have no idea of the importance of the Scenic Bridge will believe in the Government's smoke screen in the form of a third bridge to replace the Scenic Bridge and thus make us to believe that the same resolves all the issues with Singapore Government and at the same time replacing the lost project to create jobs for the Johoreans. Frankly speaking, this course of action is not different from the ostrich burying its head.

shahimah's Gravatar shahimah

June 26, 2009 at 7:52 pm | Permalink

If there is one mistake that Tun have committed during your 22 years of leadership is the appointment of Pak Lah as your successor. A grave mistake indeed... and Singapore capitalised on it.

AzMa's Gravatar AzMa

June 26, 2009 at 7:34 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun,

1.Malaysia bukan sahaja MESTI meneruskan pembinaan JAMBATAN BENGKOK tetapi MESTI menuntut balik SINGAPURA sebagai HAK KITA.Kerana Singapura itu sendiri pada asalnya adalah milik Malaysia (TANAH MELAYU).

2.SINGAPURA bukan hak BAPAK LKW punya.

Tahniah diatas keberanian Tun.

ahh's Gravatar ahh

June 26, 2009 at 7:15 pm | Permalink

ayahanda,

Dah berkali-kali ayahanda menerangkan perkara ini tetapi masih ramai lagi yang masih tak faham...saya dah tau tujuannya semasa pemerintahan TDM lagi waktu itu saya baru berumur 19thn ini termasuk landasan keretapi dari Malaysia ke china tuh...tak taula mungkin ada yang buat-buat tak faham kot. ayahanda dah tgk ker laman web yang ceritakan tentang TDM dulu di <http://www.syedhamidalbar.net>.

tokapi's Gravatar tokapi

June 26, 2009 at 7:12 pm | Permalink

Salam Tok Det,

I'm glad to see that my response to your post earlier somehow reflects to what have you been thinking over the documents. I bet we have the same sentiments towards the responsibility of having the new bridge over the causeway. For me, why we should continue the project and not just abandon it is as follows :

1. The last time when we stopped the project, the then gov. claimed that the Johore people doesn't want it. This has been said by Tun Abdullah himself, but as we all know, the people of Johore really need that causeway to be put away and a new bridge is most preferable. As I said earlier, The Sultan himself want this to happen.

2. By the time, we consequently came to know that the project were stopped because there were some disagreement during the dealing with the Singapore gov. So, as a 'punishment' over the act of the Singapore, all of the deals will

be no longer discussed and this has put a stop to the project also. No sands talk, no water talk, no bridge talk. The government can actually build the bridge on our side, crooked by design. Yet, the then PM said to stop the project.

3. If we stopped because of our own interest, i.e. the protest from the people of Johore, or some financial crisis, it would be ok. But we stopped because we were not brave enough (at that time) to give Singapore our ultimatum. We don't even dare to touch our own side of the cause way to build the crooked bridge. This is really an insult to our sovereignty and dignity. I felt really ashamed at that time when they announced that the project will be stopped.

4. The project must be re-established, so that we could show that we have the power, we have the pride and we have our sovereignty and dignity over our own territories. This is important to young generations like me. We need an example and spirit as encouragement. If the nation can, so does the people.

5. Its now time to hear from the people. "People First", please, do hear the voices of the people from Johor. They want a new bridge, yes but not at new place. Please build a new bridge over the old causeway. And of course, that should come without any dealings that will make us looser, again.

6. Tok Det, maybe you can start a campaign for this? I mean, since you have so many fans that reads your blog, and some of them are also bloggers, we can put our support by showing a badge or a button on our website that links to your posting or petition site so that the leaders in power right now could hear us. A new bridge over the old causeway, that is.

Wassalam..

p/s : Sorry if there were any language mistake in my response. I'am learning to write proper English after leaving school for so long. Working in some sectors sometimes makes you don't write or speak often in English. When that happen, you tend to loose your language skills. I wish I had my PPSMI during my primary and secondary education.

yemm's Gravatar yemm

June 26, 2009 at 7:08 pm | Permalink

Salam TDM and all;

This the knock out punch. Clear cut case. No more question. Lets "asah canggul" now and start the work of replacing the "titi kayu" built in 1920s. Those who do not agree with the idea of replacing our "titi kayu" are really "kayu" and do not deserve to be called Malaysian.

Wassalam.

mfarid's Gravatar mfarid

June 26, 2009 at 6:57 pm | Permalink

10. Adalah imperatif untuk kerajaan Malaysia memikirkan ini semua bukan sahaja untuk mendapatkan keuntungan pelaburan yg cepat dari luar..tapi memikirkan impak dalam masa 100 ke 200 tahun akan datang. Pada hemat saya, seperti apa yang direncanakan oleh Tun M tentang jambatan bengkok itu patut diusahakan atas a) faktor geo-politik dan keselamatan negara b) perlindungan keselamatan atas aktiviti ekonomi seterusnya memajukan ekonomi melayu yang tersendiri supaya lebih memahami apa itu maksud competition c) mengekang kemasukan pengaruh anasir ekonomi luar yang mempunyai niat-niat yang jahat d) penyebaran pengaruh bahasa dan idea politik Melayu di asia tenggara dan di dunia

11. Ingatlah sewaktu Singapura dipisahkan dari Malaysia, Lee Kuan Yew menangis dan jangan ingat mereka percaya kepada kita seperti mana kita bersangka baik sesama jiran. Tidak ada orang Melayu pun diletakkan dalam jawatan sensitif pasukan bersenjata Singapura..betapa tidak percayanya mereka terhadap orang Melayu. Dan dalam soal ekonomi, sepertimana firman Allah, jika sesuatu urusan kewangan di antara umat Islam dan orang Kafir (Yahudi) perlulah kita berhati-hati dan pijak di ataskepala mereka jika mereka tidak menepati janji supaya mereka tidak boleh melampui batas. Sekian.

m razi's Gravatar m razi

June 26, 2009 at 6:56 pm | Permalink

SALAM kpd semua anak MALAYSIA.

SYUKUR ALHAMDULILLAH...karana TUANKU SULTAN ISKANDAR SULTAN JOHOR telah tidak

bersetuju dgn projek JAMBATAN KE3 yg merugikan nagara kita.

Diharap waktu terdekat ini TUANKU bersuara agar diteruskan kembali PROJEK JAMBATAN INDAH dimi kedaulatan NEGARA kita serta NEGERI JOHOR BERTAMBAH MAJU.

DAULAT TUANKU.

WASSALAM.

mfarid's Gravatar mfarid

June 26, 2009 at 6:55 pm | Permalink

8 Bapa kepada Dato' Onn iaitu Dato Jaafar Muhammad adalah Menteri Besar Johor dikurniakan lady in waiting daripada Maharaja Sir Abu Bakar iaitu gadis dari Turki Uthmaniyyah adalah anugerah daripada Khalifah Islam Uthmaniyyah ketika itu. Maka lahirlah Dato' Onn yang menjadi anak angkat istana Johor dan atas nasihat atau teguran kepada Raja-raja Melayulah yg menyebabkan rancangan Malayan Union dibatalkan. Maka perlulah kita mengetahui semua ini, asal usul group feeling kita supaya tidak hilang kemuliaan dan kekeuasaan umat Islam Melayu di Malaysia ini.

9. Apabila kita hilang semua itu termasuk menjadi pusat perdagangan di selat Melaka yang jatuh ke tangan Singapura, kita sudah hilang political leverage untuk menguasai politik dan ekonomi dan group feeling yang baru dari Singapura telah terhasil dan akan mengambil alih group feeling yang lama dari kaum Melayu. Setiap kerajaan mempunyai tempoh hayat yang tertentu seperti dijanjikan oleh Allah dalam surah Yaasin dan saya takut tempoh hayat kepimpinan Melayu akan dipercepatkan kematiannya digantikan dengan group feeling yang baru. Dengan karenah korupsi dan birokrasi di dalam kerajaan proses ini akan bertambah dipercepatkan. Kita akan dikelirukan oleh mereka , kawan disangka lawan, lawan disangka kawan. Kita akan hilang kuasa melalui percaturan ekonomi mereka yang bijak. Lihatlah di Thailand ...siapa yang beli syarikat telekomunikasi mereka..bukankah Temasek? Bukankah Temasek pernah diketuai oleh menantu Lee Kuan Yew. Raja Thai memang terkenal dengan kebijakan beliau menentang anasir – anasir luar sejak daripada zaman Raja Mongkut dan Chulalongkorn lagi sehingga Perancis dapat dilagakan dengan Inggeris. Jadi tidak hairanlah kerajaan Thaksin dijatuhkan atas perencanaan sulit oleh pihak tentera yg mendapatkan perkenan baginda.

mfarid's Gravatar mfarid

June 26, 2009 at 6:53 pm | Permalink

3. Tidakkah orang terfikir yang pentadbiran pada masa itu dibawah Pak Lah ada kemungkinan ditekan atau diintip secara halus (oleh ejen Amerika / Singapura) untuk membuatkan semua perkara atas itu berlaku melalui blackmail atau tekanan? Hal ini kita kena tanya dekat Kuda Kepang..dia mungkin ada maklumat tambahan.

4. Dalam sesebuah kerajaan, Allah mengurniakan mandat kepada sesiapa yang dikehendakiNya utk memerintah dan dicabut kuasanya atau kemuliaan daripada sesiapa yang dikehendakiNya.

5. Tahulah bahawa UMNO yang berkuasa pada masa ini datangnya dari sebuah group feeling yang kuat dan dari situlah kepimpinan Melayu dihasilkan sesudah merdeka yang bermula dari Dato' Onn yang amat dekat dengan kemuliaan kerajaan atau empayar Melayu Johor. Dari situ kita dapat faham asal usul kekuasaan melayu di alam nusantara.

6. Maksud saya dari kedudukan ekonomi Johor lama termasuk Riau Lingga yang strategik itulah yang memberikan keupayaan perdagangan, politik dan ekonomi kepada umat islam Melayu sehingga bahasa Melayu dituturkan ke pelusuk alam Nusantara hasil daripada kegiatan ekonomi di Johor. Seheinggakan pemimpin Indonesia Soekarno memilih bahasa Melayu sebagai bahasa kebangsaan Indonesia sedangkan 60 juta orang jiwa di Indonesia adalah orang Jawa yang bertutur dalam bahasa Jawa yang berasal usulkan kerajaan Singasar dan seterusnya Majapahit (Indonesia). Dari empayar Johorlah bahasa melayu berkembang dan sekarang ini peranan untuk menguasai ekonomi nusantara berada di dalam tangan Singapura atas faktor-faktor geo-strategik

7. Tidak hairanlah mengapa UMNO yang lahir dari istana Johor diterima oleh semua orang Melayu kerana kemuliaan dan pusat kuasa berasal dari situ. Maharaja Sir Abu Bakar amat dihormati dan seheinggakan jika beliau mahu berjumpa dengan Queen beliau tidak perlu membuat appointment kerana baginda adalah setaraf dan rakan kepada Queen atau King yang memerintah England sewaktu itu.

mfarid's Gravatar mfarid

June 26, 2009 at 6:52 pm | Permalink

1. Kita kena berhati-hati dgn Lee Kuan Yew pasal motif lawatan dia..seingat saya zaman Tun M PAP sudah ada deal sama ada secara rasmi atau tidak dengan UMNO yg PAP tak boleh ada apa-apa hubungan rasmi dgn DAP di Malaysia dan UMNO jugak tak boleh masuk campur dgn UMNO atau Melayu di Singapura. Jika masih ingat bekas Presiden Singapura Mr Devan Nair antara pengasas DAP dipanggil balik ke Singapura supaya hubungan Malaysia dan Singapura tidak keruh. Dan pada waktu sekarang, Lee Kuan Yew telah datang dan berjumpa dengan para pemimpin di Malaysia, itu maknanya beliau sudah ada sesuatu yang dirancangan berdasarkan malumat yang beliau ada. Amat malang jika kita kalah dalam diplomasi dgn Singapura atas sebab sebab yang tidak diketahui.

2. Singapura sedang mewujudkan segi-tiga emas ekonominya dengan Iskandar Johor sebagai kawasan di utara dan kawasan Batam / Riau Lingga sebagai tapak di selatan. Malah mereka mencadangkan jambatan lurus kalis peluru terus ke Nusajaya supaya mereka boleh beroperasi di Johor dgn senang. Saya tidak hairan mengapa Tun M marah dengan perkara itu. Tidakkah sesiapa yg terfikir pada masa itu rancangan jambatan bengkok tambak Johor

dibatalkan disaat-saat terakhir dan digantikan pula dengan Wilayah Iskandar Malaysia. Tidakkah itu ironik? Adakah tindakan subversive atau blackmail yang kita tidak sedar dalam pemerintahan Perdana Menteri yang dahulu?

mr k's Gravatar mr k

June 26, 2009 at 6:44 pm | Permalink

singapore sucks...just built the bridge :-)

Wan Nizam Hakim's Gravatar Wan Nizam Hakim

June 26, 2009 at 6:37 pm | Permalink

Salam sejahtera Tun

nak seribu daya, tak nak seribu dalih

saya secara peribadi sebagai rakyat malaysia ingin tambak lama itu ditukar kepada jambatan baru. kebaikannya terhadap rakyat kita adalah jauh lebih besar berbanding keburukan / kesusahan. perubahan kearah yang lebih baik tak usah dilengah- lengahkan. Sudah pasti ada yang akan menentang tetapi itu bukan masalah besar bagi kerajaan. Kebajikan Malaysia perlu lebih diutamakan disamping memakmurkan jiran tetangga kita

terima kasih

rarunasalam's Gravatar rarunasalam

June 26, 2009 at 6:37 pm | Permalink

Tun

you didnt publish my last 2 comments – not upset at all...just goes to show that you're selective with what you publish especially where you'd rather publish seditious comments but not arguments to your views.

Anyhow, your comment no. 9 intrigues me – let me recap 9/

As to why it was not built during my 22 years, the answer is that the need for a bridge became clear towards the end of my term. In any case I had approved it before I stepped down. The work was started during my time. Had Abdullah not stopped it, the bridge would have been almost complete by now.

So, you were clear that Malaysia needed an Administrative capital, the worlds tallest building, an IT hub, needed to scale the tallest mountain etc etc, but by your statement, your realisation that we needed a more “cost and structurally” effective bridge with a country that is linked by not one but 2 bridges, only came closer to your retirement highlights your inconsistencies and how you can mislead your blind supporters...

Sir...you made this your agenda post retirement because you knew you would not be able to carry it out, and when Tun Abdullah did some due dilligence to your proposal, you got upset and raised hell when he wouldn't see to your agenda.

Well, you know what, your blind supporters might be gullible and swallow your words without questioning the integrity of your statements...but the world and most sensible Malaysians will see that you're once again barking up the wrong tree..

Keep up the rantings sir...it's amusing!

(Ravi)

cek's Gravatar cek

June 26, 2009 at 6:29 pm | Permalink

Assalamu'alaikum Tun & Family,

PERIHAL TAMBAK JOHOR NI, JELAS DAN NYATA SEKALI IANYA AMAT DIPERLUKAN. SAMADA SINGAPURA TERIMA ATAU TIDAK, IANYA ADALAH HAK MUTLAK NEGARA BERDAULAT MALAYSIA UNTUK TENTUKANNYA, NO QUESTIONS ASKED.

TUN HAVE BEEN VERY CONSISTENT IN THIS MATTER. TO CONCLUDE WHAT PAK PANDIR SAID, KENAPA DIPERSOALKAN HAK NEGARA UNTUK MENGGANTIKAN TAMBAK JOHOR SEDANGKAN IANYA DILAKSANAKAN SEMASA MALAYSIA DIPANGGIL SEMENANJUNG TANAH MELAYU (Ingat tuu..)DAN SINGAPURA HANYALAH SEBAHAGIAN DARIPADA TANAH MELAYU SEMASA ITU.

ADAKAH WUJUD APA2 PERJANJIAN YANG JELAS MENYATAKAN MALAYSIA MENYERAHKAN SEBAHAGIAN TAMBAK KEPADA SINGAPURA SEMASA IANYA HENDAK DIBEBASKANAN DARIPADA TANAH MELAYU?

AND, BY SUPPORTING THE IDEA OF THE SCENIC BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION, WE HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO REASON AT ALL TO “BODEK” TUN AS WE KNOW THAT TUN IS VERY SINCERE AND CONSTRUCTIVE IN HIS WRITINGS.

Tun, please take good care of yourself and Tun Hasmah.

Anak kampung's Gravatar Anak kampung
June 26, 2009 at 6:26 pm | Permalink

Tun yang Benar,

Saya amat sedih, kerana walaupun kita sudah merdeka tapi nampak kita masih membenarkan negara asing membuli dan menindas negara kita. Apakah para Pemimpin Negara tidak sedar akan perkara ini? selepas Tun berundur, nampak pemimpin baru tidak ada kesungguhan untuk mempertahankan hak kepentingan Negara. Apakah Pemimpin begitu bodoh membuat keputusan?

Lihatlah, apa sudah jadi? Tanah dan laut sedikit demi sedikit dirampas Negara jiran. Bagaimana pula dengan Pulau Pisang, Johor? Masih menunggu untuk 'diserah' kepada Singapura? Tidak mustahil sekiranya pantai-pantai Negeri Johor menjadi sempadan antara Singapura dan Johor.

Wahai Para Pemimpin Negara, 'pisang sudah berbuah' berkali-kali.

Silver Tun's Gravatar Silver Tun

June 26, 2009 at 6:17 pm | Permalink

Apabila tambak Johor diruntuhkan dan digantikan dengan sebuah jambatan yang mana dibawah jambatan tersebut boleh dilalui oleh kapal-kapal dan dengan demikian kapal-kapal tersebut tidak akan melalui pelabuhan Singapura, merupakan duri dalam daging kepada Singapura untuk meruntuhkan tambak tersebut. Sampai bila pun Singapura akan menentang cadangan tersebut. Yang peliknya disini mengapa dipihak Malaysia sama ada daripada Tun Dr. Mahathir, Tun Pak Lah dan DS Najib berbeza-beza pendapat dalam isu ini. Ada yang tidak mahu binalah, ada yang hendak binalah, jambatan bengkoklah dan muktahir hendak buat jambatan ketiga pula. Mengapa ini terjadi? Tun Dr Mahathir sendiri selalu cakap Melayu mudah lupalah, sejarah telah terbukti kerana kesilapan kita dahululah penjajah British melakukan sesuka hati dekat Tanah Melayu ini. Dibinanya Tambak Johor, dipisahkan Singapura dengan negeri Johor dan macam-macam lagi. Mujurlah atas dasar kemerdekaan dan ingin menubuhkan sebuah negara kita gabungkan negeri-negeri Melayu yang dijajah ini untuk dijadikan satu negara kalau tidak mungkin negeri-negeri Melayu ini berpecah belah. Jika tiada semangat kemerdekaan dahulu tidak mungkin tertubuhnya Malaysia ini dan mungkin juga ada negeri-negeri ini bernaung dalam negara lain. Sepatutnya ada sepakatan atau satu cadangan sama ada hendak buat atau sebaliknya. Cadangan tersebut mestilah kekal sebagai cadangan Malaysia. Sama ada jadi atau tidak ataupun ditangguhkan terpulanglah mengikut masa dan keadaan. Mengikut makluman yang diterima ramai/majoriti rakyat Malaysia bersetuju untuk meruntuhkan tambak Johor dan digantikan dengan sebuah jambatan. Apakah salahnya jika cadangan ini dikekalkan sama ada setuju atau tidak oleh Singapura ia tetap cadangan kita (hak Malaysia untuk mencadang). Hubungan Malaysia dengan Singapura yang berkaitan dengan pelbagai isu seperti penjualan pasir, air, tanah KTM dan sebagainya tidaklah perlu diselesaikan secara total. Sebab hubung kita dengan Singapura mesti ada timbang dan cara sesuatu isu mesti berstatus quo. Tengok pulau Batu Putih kerana hendak selesai cepat Singapura yang dapat. Biar lambat, sesuatu perkara atau isu dengan Singapura mesti diteliti. Lagi pun Singapura yang digagaskan oleh LKY ini bukannya negara bodoh malah lebih bijak dari kita ini yang selalu cakap secara emosi, paksa, memberontak dan lain yang tidak bijak. – Hanya pandangan.

pokok sena's Gravatar pokok sena

June 26, 2009 at 6:12 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum dan Salam Sejahtera.

Tun is the BEST..

Saya berbangga menjadi rakyat dibawah pemerintahan Tun dulu.

Dengan lapang dada saya mengaku adalah produk Mahathirism. Saya di lahirkan pada tahun 1981 iaitu pada tahun pertama Tun menjadi perdana menteri. Saya amat rindukan zaman itu.

Kepada sesiapa yang lantang menfitnah Tun, hentikanlah..tanya diri anda, "APAKAH SUMBANGAN ANDA, PEMIMPIN PEMBANGKANG DAN LKY" pada negara? Jawapannya ialah >>.

Bersyukurlah kerana kita dikurniakan Allah pemimpin seperti Tun.

Diharap dengan penjelasan Tun ini dapat menerangkan gambaran sebenar.

Buat DS Najib : >>

hana's Gravatar hana

June 26, 2009 at 6:09 pm | Permalink

Salam,

untuk menyelesaikan isu-isu dengan singapura ni memerlukan iltizam politik / political will dari kedua pihak.

nampaknya, singapura hendak keadaan berterusan seperti sekarang ini, kerana ia memberi lebih keuntungan kepada

mereka.

jadi tiada jalan lain, selain malaysia mencari jalan penyelesaian sendiri. untuk itu, malaysia memerlukan seorang pemimpin yg berani dan tegas memperjuangkan perkara ini.

malaysia sepatutnya sudah mempunyai jawatankuasa khas yg mengkaji dan mengesyorkan kepada kabinet tentang langkah-langkah proaktif yg perlu diambil untuk menyelesaikan isu ini. semakin lama dibiarkan, semakin rugilah malaysia, mengizinkan kekayaan negara dikaut oleh orang lain.

ahli politik atau ngo dari johor sepatutnya telah lama mengetuai gerakan yang menuntut supaya perjanjian yg lebih adil dan saksama dimenterai. saya mengesyorkan Tun sebagai patron kepada "jawatankuasa pendesak untuk menyelesaikan isu-isu tertangguh johor-singapura". saya yakin, sekurang-kurangnya seluruh rakyat johor akan memberikan sokongan padu terhadap usaha tersebut. say juga bersedia utk memberikan khidmat bakti kepada jawatankuasa pendesak tersebut.

kita kluatir, dengan melambat-lambatkan penyelesaian isu tersebut, singapura akan terus meluluskan satu demi satu usul di parlimen mereka untuk terus menikmati kekayaan hasil bumi malaysia secara percuma.

kepada rakyat johor terutamanya, tunjukkan sokongan anda.

salam hormat.

daniel noor's Gravatar daniel noor

June 26, 2009 at 5:26 pm | Permalink

Att: Fairuzstone....Yg ngaku dia keturunan Bugis Makssar..Najib sendiri. So give benefit of doubt aja la.

Secara fisik aja Najib does not look like a warrior nor a brave man. So what to expect from him?

Kalo liat Tun secara fisik..beside being a nice man he has the look of a Man who stands firm with his decision or administrations.

Rather than all of us debate on this crooked bridge, maybe about time the Rakyat especially the Johoreans shud demonstatre in front of najib's office in Putrajaya. Even the Sultan has already showed hs unhappiness with this issue.

Mau tunggu apa lg?

Ataturk's Gravatar Ataturk

June 26, 2009 at 5:08 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun,

It does not take a rocket scientist to figure out why the previous administration and the current one are fearful of proceeding with the bridge.

Unlike you, who kow-tows to no one, I can't say the same with the previous and current crop.

Kow-tow, lose sovereignty. And if am I Harry Lee, I will do everything in the book that will make me the lord of Malaysia (I call the shots).

Obviously, Harry Lee could not pull this stunt on you because he was not match to you.

Might as well be flying the Singapore flag in the country now.

Best Regards,

Ataturk

fazfazy's Gravatar fazfazy

June 26, 2009 at 4:49 pm | Permalink

Dear Readers,

I'm a Singaporean who frequent JB via the Causeway and agree it should be replaced. The Causeway may be 2/3 "owned" by Malaysian. However, the missing equation of 1/3 may or may not be owned by Singapore nor Malaysia. Hence, this need to be rectified and negotiated politically as it involve two Governments.

If I recalled correctly, related negotiations were almost through but somewhere down the line, it was shelved and end up with Singapore having the air space.

Now Malaysia has a new PM and Government. If the issue of Causeway need to be discussed, Malaysian current Government can initiate such discussion with Singapore Government. What really puzzled me is that, instead of reinstating the discussion of demolishing and replacing the current Causeway, Malaysia Government introduce a 3rd bridge!!!. As far as I can see, the second link is still under-utilize due to lack of developments heading that direction. Now a 3rd bridge???

I don't think the cost of building a bridge is the main issue for Singapore. The issue is with Malaysia Government that is fickle minded and unsure of what they want. Lacking of ground work is very obvious. Instead, Malaysia expect Singapore to follow what is been suggested just as it is. It is like a Big brother telling the Younger one what

to do though The Big brother is not completely correct.

Singapore may have historical footstep with Malaysia and I'm very sure all of us are aware about it. But we have been separated and operates independently for almost 50 years.

My conclusion is that both Government should restart discussion with the objective of replacing the existing causeway rather than introducing something new which is unsure.

zaq25's Gravatar zaq25

June 26, 2009 at 4:38 pm | Permalink

CREDIBILITY

Now the DAP and PAS have zero credibility. The minute Anwar was dumped immediately they opened their arms in welcome. Then when Mahathir fought Abdullah there stood this picture Nasharuddin Isa of PAS shaking hand with Mahathir and the rest of the PAS gang attending his speeches.

Policy-wise PAS wants an Islamic state whereas DAP wants a Malaysian Malaysia. However as opposition and particularly after the Anwar incident they join NGOs in fighting for justice and human rights which is way out of their respective lines.

By right the only party which has any credibility whatsoever in fight such causes is Keadilan/Justice Party for obvious reasons; justice spells justice. However even Keadilan is questionable, as they are made up mainly of Anwar supporters and was formed with the original and obvious reason of freeing Anwar and sending him back into mainstream politics, hopefully all the way up to Putrajaya.

So this leaves the NGOs and activists as the only true blue advocates of justices, human rights and the likes.

HISTORY-TWO WAYS

History can go two ways. I am just seeing how others interpreteit; these are not my views.

Scenario 1

Anwar will be remembered as a truly international icon and beacon of freedom, democracy and human right (as well as this great bridge between the East and West), whereas Mahathir will be remembered as the lesser Asian/Malaysian strongman who defamed Anwar and threw this great man into jail, true to all his authoritarian ways.

Scenario 2

Mahathir will be remembered as this great Statesman and national hero of Malaysia, as well as a champion of the Islam and the 3rd world, whereas Anwar will be the infamous power-crazy deputy who tried to topple this great man for his own selfish ends.

Which scenario will it be? How will history play out and play back these two figures? Who will prevail?

LEGACY AND LEGENDS: SELF-PROMOTION

Even singers, actors and other artists have their managers and promoters do their promotion. They conduct surveys and market studies to counter competition and find the right music genre or market niche for their artists. Life is a constant battle. There is nothing wrong planning and charting one

ZINNZAIN's Gravatar ZINNZAIN

June 26, 2009 at 4:22 pm | Permalink

YAB Tun,

Assalamualaikum, Selamat Sejahtera and a very good afternoon.

Its been a while since I last posted my comment in your blog but I rasa terpenggil to share my view with fellow readers when the topic touches the strategic nature of my beloved country, Malaysia, as a whole and my home state, Johore, specifically.

Firstly Tun, thanks for sharing that piece of document with us and thanks for your views.

For your critics, no matter how crystal clear your opinion, views or explanation that you put forward, they will shut their eyes and ears. To them, you are always wrong no matter how much fact and figures are exhibited infront of them.

Imagine a bridge replacing 'Causeway' . Imagine sitting by the esplanade and watching the water of Selat Tebrau flowing and watching Sealiners, Ships, Boats and Yachts passing through under the bridge (straight or crooked).

Gosh, the sight must be breathtaking, especially during sunset....

Let's put the scenery aside, it seems that even Malaysia's strategic decisions need Singapore's nod before it could be implemented. Are we not a sovereign state?

I agree with you Tun, if Singapore does not agree to demolish the whole Causeway, then we should demolish what is ours and build a bridge to replace it, linking it to the undemolished part on the Singapore side.

The bridge should ease the present traffic congestion.

I am sure most Johorean like me and many Malaysian as well will support the revival of having a new bridge replacing 'Causeway'.

themalaypress's Gravatar themalaypress

June 26, 2009 at 4:18 pm | Permalink

Tun.

Singapura is the Motherland...Malaya is the hinterland...segala hasil bumi dan kekayaan kita telah disedut keluar oleh Inggeris melalui Singapura. Singapura apa yang ada??

Potong sahaja tambak johor tu...itu sahaja caranya...

anonymous's Gravatar anonymous

June 26, 2009 at 4:08 pm | Permalink

Salam Dr. M...

i found this comment from pakpandir08 is rather amusing :D ...

"By pakpandir08 on June 26, 2009 1:53 PM

sekiranya memerhatikan dokumen yg dipaparkan ... ia menunjukkan data mengenai kos perbelanjaan pada tahun 1919-1924 ... ini bermakna Singapura masih dianggap sebahagian daripada Tanah Melayu pada masa itu Pada tahun 2009 ... Singapura pun telah terkeluar dari Tanah Melayu, dan kini wujud Malaysia dan Singapura yg merupakan dua negara yg berasingan ...

adakah data ini sah untuk dipergunakan sebagai satu faktor untuk mempertimbangkan samaada Singapura berhak menuntut kebenaran samaada mereka menerima atau menolak apa-apa permintaan pada tambak Johor? kerana perjanjian dan data itu diperkenalkan semasa Singapura sebahagian dari Tanah Melayu

Data yg Tun paparkan berbau untuk mengkaburkan penglihatan para pembaca ..."

you surprised me with your logic :D ...

Singapore was one of the strait settlements...it was not under the Federation of Malaya until they knocked on our door to merge with Malaya to form the new Malaysia in 1963...the rest i guess is history...don't you see the flaw in your logic?...furthermore, as Dr.M had stated, there's no any agreement of which party own the courseway...if you still insist, does the water agreement(3 cents per 1000 gallons) took place somewhere within 1927 which by your own logic, should be void?...

anyway, now Pak Lah had stepped down, i'm wondering why this project had not yet been taken up where it'd been left off.they lamented for the 3rd bridge instead, which is wasteful if not silly IMO(same as the new Labu LCCT)... don't wait until the people of Johore feel cheated by the government juts like the Terengganu folks now felt regarding ECER(one of the reasons we, 'Terengganese' still vote for BN at the last general election knowingly the Sultan will not go easy on the former MB, Pak Lah's apologist...and i guess Dr.M has the experience when Terengganu was fed up with counter-productive Mokhtar on 1999 [not largely because the blue-eyed case, like everyone was suggested] :D)...

-Wan Ahmad Nazif-

FAUDZI's Gravatar FAUDZI

June 26, 2009 at 3:55 pm | Permalink

Salam TUN dan semua

Saya memang 100% setuju dengan pandangan TUN. Tapi itu lah sikap singaporean yang amat kiasu dan suka mendesak dalam berurusan dengan mereka. Saya dan kawan2 mempunyai pengalaman damal berurusan dengan singaporean mereka biasanya suka mendesak jika ingin kan sesuatu daripada kita dan suka sentiasa ingin menang. JUsteru itu kami telah sepakat untuk tidak melayan karenah singaporean yang hanya menjadi agent kepada prinsipal mereka.

JOM KAWAN KAWAN YANG DI LUAR SANA YANG TERLIBAT BERURUSAN DENGAN SINGAPOREAN, GUNAKAN KUASA ANDA YANG ADA UNTUK TIDAK MEMBERI TEMPAT KEPADA SINGAPOREAN DI MALAYSIA. STRATEGI SINGAPOREAN BIASANYA MENGGUNAKAN MANA2 CINA UNTUK BUKA OFIS DI MALAYSIA DAN MENGCINA BABAKAN CINA MALAYSIA SEBAGAI PROXY. SEBAB ITU BANYAK SYARIKAT DIMALAYSIA SEBENARNYA MILIK SINGAPOREAN DAN CINA DI MALAYSIA SEBAGAI BABANYA DAN MELAYU SEBAGAI 'SLEEPING CHAIRMAN'.

Sebenarnya keadaan yang sama berlaku di Indonesia.

Pak Din's Gravatar Pak Din

June 26, 2009 at 3:54 pm | Permalink

TUN,
I HAVE BEEN WAITING FOR THIS ARTICLE/TOPIC TO SURFACE.
BRAVO!
LOVE IT!
NOW THE MASSES CAN READ AND UNDERSTAND BETTER.
SALAM TUN
HOT ZOOM's Gravatar HOT ZOOM
June 26, 2009 at 3:53 pm | Permalink

Salam,
Apa sebabnya sampai sekarang isu ni diperdebatkan dan tiada jalan penyelesaiannya.
Yang ada hanya tuduh menuduh dan kata mengata.
Masing-masing kata dia betul.
Tapi siapa yang betul sebetul betulnya.
Kera di hutan disusukan tapi anak dirumah mati kelaparan.
<http://www.zoompanas2.com>
major (r)hj.anuar abd hamid's Gravatar major (r)hj.anuar abd hamid
June 26, 2009 at 3:49 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,
My request to Tun is to compile all this datas,articles,and comments from bloggers in your blog and HAND OVER to Dato Seri Najib,let him have a look at the wishes of the RAKYAT.
The Rakyat wanted a Bridge linking Selat Tebrau to Singapore,not a Causeway or a Third Bridge linking Eastern Johor and Singapore.
If D S Najib fails to read and understand the wishes of the majority of the Rakyat in general and Johorean in particular than he is not fit to be the PRIME MINISTER of Malaysia.
zaki_77's Gravatar zaki_77
June 26, 2009 at 3:49 pm | Permalink

Malaysia needs a leader with strong iron will and a sense of deep patriotism towards Malaysia. Unfortunately neither Badawi nor Najib have that will. The former was a very weak leader and the latter is beginning to show signs of kowtowing to Singapore!
Only the Almighty can save Malaysian integrity and independence from eroding further.
Zaki.
ParpuKari's Gravatar ParpuKari
June 26, 2009 at 3:45 pm | Permalink

WAHAI DS NAJIB BANGUNLAH DAN BUATLAH JAMBATAN BENGKOK TU!
wongcilik's Gravatar wongcilik
June 26, 2009 at 3:41 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun,
Lee Kuan Yew is a lawyer and believe he is always right. There is no give and take by him/Singapore
I suggest we force the issue using the KTM's land in Singapore—make something there that irritates Singapore-plant our flags along the route etc.Since they pay us 3 sens,50 years ago prices,we can use 50+ years old train steam polluting engines etc.Dont get mad,get even!
dengan hormat,
amril's Gravatar amril
June 26, 2009 at 3:33 pm | Permalink

dear Tun,
i totally agree with u.sampai bila msia harus mengalah dgn singapork?sepatutnya kerajaan perlu lebih tegas bila berurusan dgn singapork.bkn nya memberi layanan super special terhadap pemimpin mereka bila dtg ke negara bertuah ini serta bersangka baik dgn mereka.
ibubie's Gravatar ibubie
June 26, 2009 at 3:11 pm | Permalink

salam TUN

KENAPA KITA YANG PERLU TUNDUK?

bukankah mereka memerlukan kita.saya yakin,semua perjanjian yg di capai dgn singapore dulu adalah atas dasar toleransi,baik hati,berhati mulia=mahu keamanan.tapi kalau mereka anggap kita lemah kerana dek kemuliaan sifat kita,biar diorang cari sumber sendiri dptkan bekalan air.

Saya setuju dengan cadangan TUN membina jambatan bengkok..pak 'DOL' hanya menyukarkan keadaan org JB.mungkin dia hanya nak tun bagi syer kat menantu dia dalam projek tu,baru dia nak teruskan kot..HEHE

samuraimelayu's Gravatar samuraimelayu

June 26, 2009 at 2:58 pm | Permalink

SALAM AYAHANDA RAKYAT TUN

IZINKAN,

WHEN NAJIB (THEN DPM) STATED THAT THE CROOKED BRIDGE PROJECT HAD TO BE CANCELLED(OR RATHER ABORTED) BECAUSE IT IS AN ACT OF INTIMIDATION TOWARDS A FRIENDLY NEIGHBOUR(NATION) IF THE HALF WAY BRIDGE WAS TO BE CONTINUED, WE SHOOK OUR HEAD BUT DEEP INSIDE WE HAVE THIS SUSPICION OF AN ACT OF 'CONSPIRACY' AND A BIG 'SPLASH' WILL BE FORTHCOMING FROM THE SOUTHERN END OF THE CAUSEWAY.

LATER, WHEN WE HEARD OF A MALAYSIAN CO. BEING AWARDED THE 2ND CASINO ON THE SENTOSA ISLAND.. BANG! WE HIT THE JACKPOT.

MONTHS EARLIER BEFORE THE ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE CANCELLATION OF THE SCENIC BRIDGE, THE SINGAPORE PM HAD MADE IT PUBLIC AND FIRMLY STATED THAT SINGAPORE WILL ONLY..ONLY HAVE ONE GAMBLING HOUSE (CASINO) ON THE SENTOSA ISLAND AND A VEGAS 'MOB' HAD BEATEN THIS MALAYSIAN OPERATOR FOR THE BID.

ALL OF A SUDDEN, THIS 'CASINO IN CLOUD 9' WAS AWARDED THE SAME WITHOUT HAVING TO BID!..WHY THIS SUDDEN CHANGED OF POLICY?

YOU DARE INTIMIDATE THE VEGAS 'MOB' BY CANCELLING THE ONE AND ONLY ONE ALLOCATION?

GOD HAVE MERCY ON LEE HSIEH LOONG AND HIS BELOVED 'AAB' DADDY..IF HE DARES TAKE BACK THE LICENCE FROM BIG DADDY OF LAS VEGAS.

DID SOME PARTIES CONSPIRED?... ONLY PM NAJIB, TUN 'AAB' AND HIS SIL ON THE NORTHERN END OF THE CAUSEWAY KNOWS BEST.

AS A WISEMAN SAID ' WE'RE MERELY PASSANGERS...

AN THIS OLD ANGLER IS STILL SITTING ON THE DOCK OF THE BAY (WATCHING THE TRAFFIC GO BY THE CAUSEWAY)

ALFATIHAH, AMIN.

Rosbidar's Gravatar Rosbidar

June 26, 2009 at 2:49 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum YABhg.Tun,

ISU TAMBAK JOHOR.

Saya mencadangkan rakyat Malaysia khusus nya orang Melayu membaca blog Sangtawal.blogspot.com berkaitan artikel :ALTER TERAHSIA BANGSA MELAYU IV. Sedang membaca blog Sangtawal akan terdapat arahan click ke blog: mistisfiles.blogspot.com. Banyak maklumat boleh kita perolih dari blog tersebut. Antara nya seperti berikut:

...pangkalan Israel hanya satu iaitu SINGAPORE

...telah lengkap ramalan dan pengiraan hari yang di janjikan hampir tiba

...di mana kah sasaran mereka selepas ini . Tidak perlu bertanya lagi jika semua muncung meriam Zionis di Singapore telah menghala ke sini (maksud nya Malaysia).

Saya juga ingin berkongsi pengalaman dengan Tun dan rakyat Malaysia semasa saya menghadiri satu majlis anjuran PDRM di sebuah hotel di Subang Jaya. Majlis tersebut berlaku telah lama dahulu. Semasa Ketua Polis Negara berucap malam itu beliau telah memberi peringatan kepada semua pegawai dan anggota polis yang hadir pada majlis itu supaya berkhidmat dengan penuh tanggung jawab dan dedikasi terutama untuk berhadapan dengan musuh

nombor satu negara kita ketika ini iaitu SINGAPORE.

Saya tersangat risau memikirkan masa depan negara kita. Oleh itu saya menyeru agar Pemimpin negara kita berbuat sesuatu sebelum terlambat.

BlackBanner's Gravatar BlackBanner

June 26, 2009 at 2:46 pm | Permalink

Dearest Tun,

I dont know what is wrong with us. We need to do what is right and good for us. Removing the causeway and buidling the bridge is good for us, then we should do it.

So what if Singapore dont agree. The dont agree cause its not good for them.

What we should do is agree to a 3rd bridge. Build it nicely, so that ships can pass under it and all.

Once its ready, blow up our part of the causeway. Screw Singapore.

We can even put a monument at the causeway as a reminder of our need to do what is good for us.

This is like, we are bitten by a dog and instead of whacking the dog over the head. We dont do anything coz the dog doesn't agree.

DUH!

ina's Gravatar ina

June 26, 2009 at 2:44 pm | Permalink

Tun, Orang Johor bukan tak tahu. Sultan Johor pun dah mewakili suara kami. Cuma masa tu Pak Lah saja buat tak tahu dan menipu dirinya sendiri. Kami orang Johor tak tertipu pun.

Sedih lihat Johor Bahru sekarang ini macam bandar tak siap.

aconite's Gravatar aconite

June 26, 2009 at 2:34 pm | Permalink

A'kum Ybhg Tun dan famili semoga sihatx selalu.

1. Masalah tambak johor semerdu lagunya juga.

2. Kalau saya rakyat Singapura saya rasa amat berbangga mempunyai mantan PM yg bijak seperti LKW masih aktif memantau dan sentiasa memberi bisikan kepada LHL bagaimana untuk berurusan dengan Malaysia.

3. Oleh kerana saya rakyat Malaysia, saya juga amat berbangga dengan mantan PM ke 4. Saya berharap sangatlah Ybhg Tun memberi bisikan yang sama kpd DSN supaya bertindak demi maruah negara.

4. Saya setuju dan menyokong saranan Ybhg Tun dan saya rasa semua rakyat Malaysia sependapat. Kalau ada yg tak sokong, mereka ini jelas pihak pembangkang yg suka membangkang.

5. Semua bergantung kepada regim DSN, walau bagaimanapun Ybhg Tun perlu memainkan peranan sedikit sebanyak mempengaruhi samada lurus atau bengkoknya jambatan itu nanti.

6. Rakyat Maaysia inginkan yang terbaik. Semoga kita tidak menang sorak tetapi kampung tergedai.

Shah's Gravatar Shah

June 26, 2009 at 2:30 pm | Permalink

A'kum Tun,hrp2 Tun sihat sekeluarga..Amin..

Dlam hal singapura ni,hrp2 Najib akan lebih tegas sikit..mcm d era Tun dlu..saya masih igt lagi dlu Tun prnah ckp mngenai hal air mentah ni n cdgn jmbatan bengkok dlu..smpai singapura pun bgegar ckit,hahaha..sbnrnya singapura ni mcm israel,negara yg kecil tetapi berlagak..dlu2 nasihat lagi pasal hal2 pntadbiran Malaysia n pmimpin Malaysia,mcm samseng singapura ni..patut untuk dilanggar todak yg besar,hehehe..di sinila Najib mau mmplihatkan kepimpinannya..tegas,berani,petah berckp mcm Tun..InsyaAllah..Tun,tolong komen pasal anwar ibrahim tu..dia pun smakin samseng n x btul,huhuhu..

,Melayu merantau's Gravatar ,Melayu merantau

June 26, 2009 at 2:14 pm | Permalink

Salam Ayahnda Tun....

This is the topic that interest me always.."Tambak Johor and Crooked Bridge".

Tambak Johor should be demolished for the good of Johor and Johorian...Johor sovereign should be protected by each individual of Johorean.. Flip-Flop Tun Abdullah has made blunder to Johorean by cancelling the crooked bridged.,

Hope PM DS Najib will continued with the crooked bridged and dont sad the johorean again.

Rosbidar's Gravatar Rosbidar

June 26, 2009 at 2:11 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum YABhg. Tun,

Terima kaseh kerana memberi penjelasan panjang lebar berkaitan TAMBAK JOHOR. Saya harap semua pembaca blog Tun sudah faham kedudukan yang sebenarnya. Setelah membaca penjelasan Tun, begitu jelas sekarang TAAB membuat kesalahan membatalkan projek jambatan tersebut. Orang yang bersalah pada NEGARA mestilah di hukum mengikut peruntukan undang undang sediaada. Kami rakyat Malaysia menyeru agar mana mana pehak yang ariff fasal undang undang memulakan tindakan terhadap pehak yang membatalkan projek tersebut. Pembatalan projek tersebut banyak membawa kerugian kepada negara dan rakyat. Sifat orang begini adalah PENDERHAKA KAPADA NEGARA. mesti di HUKUM...HUKUM...

fairuzstone's Gravatar fairuzstone

June 26, 2009 at 2:11 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum WBT YABhg. Tun dan sekalian,

Saya sangkakan sebagai seorang berketurunan Bugis-Makasar, DS Najib sepatutnya adalah seorang yang Pemberani dan seorang yang sanggup bersing-sing lengan untuk menegakkan hak negara. Rupa-rupanya sayang tinggal sayang. Saya masih teringat lagi kisah-kisah raja2 Bugis seperti Raja Haji yang sanggup hinnga ke baginda sendiri tumbang di medan perang demi menegakkan kan haknya menentang Belanda. Begitu juga Raja Gowa Makasar yg berperang bermati-matian menentang monopoli perdagangan rempah Belanda satu ketika dahulu, walaupun kalah, tetapi mereka tetap dihormati musuh kerana keberaniannya.

Terima kasih

Fairuz Kamarulzaman

Rosbidar's Gravatar Rosbidar

June 26, 2009 at 2:10 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum YABhg. Tun,

Terima kaseh kerana memberi penjelasan panjang lebar berkaitan TAMBAK JOHOR. Saya harap semua pembaca blog Tun sudah faham kedudukan yang sebenarnya. Setelah membaca penjelasan Tun, begitu jelas sekarang TAAB membuat kesalahan membatalkan projek jambatan tersebut. Orang yang bersalah pada NEGARA mestilah di hukum mengikut peruntukan undang undang sediaada. Kami rakyat Malaysia menyeru agar mana mana pehak yang ariff fasal undang undang memulakan tindakan terhadap pehak yang membatalkan projek tersebut. Pembatalan projek tersebut banyak membawa kerugian kepada negara dan rakyat. Sifat orang begini adalah PENDERHAKA KAPADA NEGARA. mesti di HUKUM...HUKUM...

JJJ's Gravatar JJJ

June 26, 2009 at 2:07 pm | Permalink

salam Tun,

Thanks for the in-depth explanation. Many of us supported your ideas all along precisely for the reasons that you cited above.

I urge the DSNR administration to revive the scenic bridge project ASAP!

Wassallam

Jeng3

Muhammad Halim

June 26, 2009 at 1:59 pm | Permalink

Singapore problems again.

If Singapore is our friend than we should treat them like our friend. If not, lets prepare for the worst.

Our Hak is ours.

Our forefathers sold cheap water to them. Dont know why but its the past. Even if we have contract on price.

Quantity delivered can always be adjusted.

Sue that minister.

Improve our port. Bunkering tech.

Wondering if we dont sell the sand, will indonesia sell sand to singapore?

Put sanctions on Singapore if they continue increase military capabilities well above neighbouring countries. I grew up assuming no war for this region. Why the need for a strike? Increase in military spending, buying weapons n not

using them is a waste, unless they have that intention. We should limit Singapore's weapon and secure our children's future.

Build bridge to Indonesia. Promote better economic ties with Indonesia.

Build canal and port bordering Thailand and Malaysia.

The purpose of the bridge is to promote better ties for Singaporeans and Malaysians. Thus the need of a bridge. If they don't want it, there must be a reason behind it.

I suggest build half of the new bridge and crush half the current bridge. By then, they sure are worried of less economic ties and will surely agree to the new bridge. Make them build the other half and if not straight enough... build 2 bridge.

Malaysians should step up or be step on.

If they suggest war I believe they want to gain something. The talk of war between Malaysia and Singapore citizens will increase if Singapore-Malaysia dispute not settled. As war brings no good. Maybe unification of Singapore-Malaysia is what Singapore is trying to achieve.

If asked any Malaysians or Singaporeans, they want new bridge to avoid bad traffic. On that part, we already have the people's support. Should focus on that.

We know that we don't need Singapore that bad to sustain our economic growth. It's just a matter of time till we improve ourselves. Singaporeans have much more to lose if they don't play nice with Malaysia. Not that Malaysians treat them badly. We just want to see growth in our region and share the wealth. Singaporean authorities are playing with their citizens' mind that Malaysians are full with jealousy. I believe, Singaporeans should step up against their current govt that have bad foreign policy, specially towards Malaysia and Indonesia. Malaysian govt should start focusing on delivering message to Singapore's citizen to achieve what is best for our nation or both nation. After all, a lot of Singaporeans originate from Malaysia.

apex's Gravatar apex

June 26, 2009 at 1:58 pm | Permalink

A bridge too far..

purpose of a bridge = creating a physical link between one point to another to enable and facilitate movement between it.

Historically, the overall function of a bridge goes beyond the mobility between areas..it's the fostering, bringing closer of societies, group of people, individuals that is hindered by the non existence of a bridge..it is the missing link towards creating a closer society.

From a military strategic point of view, one of the first infrastructure to be attacked will be the bridge. It is because the bridge is the link..connection and most importantly part of an intertwined relationship to create an efficient flow of mobility. U break one and u will interrupt the flow of others..

The term burning bridges is coined..when u want to break all ties with the party that u feel not in good terms forever..but bridges can be built again over time..

While sometimes smaller pictures over ride the bigger picture..it is the hope of any peace loving Malaysian..that this issue can be resolved amicably to ensure that whatever it is..the true spirit of love thy neighbour..prosper thy neighbour can be achieved..as we all lived in a symbiotic relationship structure..where no one is an island..

the bridge is never too far..it is us human that makes it far and crooked

pakpandir08's Gravatar pakpandir08

June 26, 2009 at 1:53 pm | Permalink

sekiranya memerhatikan dokumen yg dipaparkan ... ia menunjukkan data mengenai kos perbelanjaan pada tahun 1919-1924 ... ini bermakna Singapura masih dianggap sebahagian daripada Tanah Melayu pada masa itu Pada tahun 2009 ... Singapura pun telah terkeluar dari Tanah Melayu, dan kini wujud Malaysia dan Singapura yg merupakan dua negara yg berasingan ...

adakah data ini sah untuk dipergunakan sebagai satu faktor untuk mempertimbangkan samaada Singapura berhak menuntut kebenaran samaada mereka menerima atau menolak apa-apa permintaan pada tambak Johor? kerana perjanjian dan data itu diperkenalkan semasa Singapura sebahagian dari Tanah Melayu

Data yg Tun paparkan berbau untuk mengkaburkan penglihatan para pembaca ...

ramai juga yg kuat membodek sehingga tak baca dengan teliti dokumen ... mengamuk, membising dan ada juga yg nak berperang dengan Singapura ... aduh hai ... fikir-fikirlah sendiri ...

fawz's Gravatar fawz

June 26, 2009 at 1:08 pm | Permalink

For as long as leaders don't unite, you will keep getting this sort of situation on and on and on..... You don't need outside enemies, you have got enemies within your ranks and file... this has been the case through-out history. Good Luck.

wajaperak's Gravatar wajaperak
June 26, 2009 at 12:56 pm | Permalink

Dengan Izin Tun..Terima kasih..
Tun..

Sepatutnya saya tidak membongkar rahsia Tun tetapi menjadi Genius tu bukan permintaan Tun..Jadi bila Allah kurniakan kepada Tun, Tun mesti sedar seperti biasa it's comes with it's drawback..

Berapa banyak kali Tun menitis air mata kerana kebodohan dan kejahilan orang kita...Berapa banyak rahsia yang terpendam di dalam dada Tun..

Dan Insiden seperti ini amat mendukacitakan Tun..

Nasihat saya biarlah mereka yang ignorant tu dan terus memberi sumbangan kepada kami tanpa jemu..

Tun..my heart bleeds with you..

sel's Gravatar sel
June 26, 2009 at 12:45 pm | Permalink

ASWT YAB TUN,

Dear Fello Bloggers,

Tho the earlier article was short & sweet but very evidence and meaningfull. Khas nya untuk anak bangsa yang tak tahu sejarah.

Telah di duga apa yang tersurat & tersirat.

Yab Tun hanya mereka yang buta mata hati yang tidak dapat melihat.

” SUDAH TERANG LAGI BERSULUH “.

Moga TUN berdua di rahmati allah selalu.

Salam

ANAK BANGSA YANG MENGHARGAI PENGORBANAN & JASA MU

(Sel)

SkiLL's Gravatar SkiLL

June 26, 2009 at 12:37 pm | Permalink

Dear Tun,

I dismay everytime I hear our leaders sing praises towards our 'best friend' Singapore.

History showed Singapore had never been friendly to us nor was Singapore there for us in our hour of need.

I likened Singapore a mini-Israel.

Why our leaders is swooning over such a country is beyond me.

Are our leaders infiltrated or compromised so easily?

budin's Gravatar budin

June 26, 2009 at 12:30 pm | Permalink

Kita tak perlu tunduk kepada tekanan Singapura. Kita perlu buat apa yang terbaik untuk rakyat Malaysia. Sekiranya projek ini memberi kebaikan kepada rakyat kita mesti berjuang bermati-matian untuk mendapatkannya. Projek jambatan bengkok untuk mengganti tambak Johor mesti diteruskan. Jambatan bengkok adalah bukti kekuatan kerajaan Malaysia dalam hubungan antara negara dan tidak tunduk kepada mana-mana negara lain

maya's Gravatar maya

June 26, 2009 at 12:29 pm | Permalink

Salam Tun,

2/3 kos ditanggung oleh Johor?

fakta yang menarik.

Singapura tahu bila mana jambatan itu dibina kelak, banyaklah kapal dagang akan melalui bawah jambatan itu (atau tongkang jika jambatan tersebut tidak cukup tinggi)

Pelabuhan Singapura akan tinggal nama.

Lalu isu air pula dibangkitkan.

Mati keringkah warga Singapura jika bekalan air dipotong 24 jam hanya untuk menyambung paip sedia ada dengan

yang baru? (atau sanggup minum air dari paip yang telah berkarat?)

Terlalu bodohkah pengurusan air jika tidak boleh buat simpanan air buat rakyat Singapura untuk tempoh seminggu? Kita berikan yang terbaik buat rakyat Singapura, Air yang bersih dari sumber yang bersih, paip air yang baru...

Atau bergantung kepada air yang dikitar semula?

Buat jek jambatan tuh.... Singapura boleh tambah tanah semakin kecil aliran menyusahkan nelayan kita, apasal kita tak boleh buat jambatan KAT TANAH KITA SENDIRI!!!!

milshah's Gravatar milshah

June 26, 2009 at 12:27 pm | Permalink

Assalamualaikum Tun,

Your explanation on Tambak Johor and the need of a scenic bridge is well explained in this article. In my opinion, there is nothing wrong if a leader want's to follow Tun's advice. It does not make the leader less of a leader by doing so.

One thing I respect about Tun, is that whenever he makes a decision 1) he has think thoroughly about the issue before he makes a decision and 2) he is firm in his decision. This a trait that all leaders should follow.

If we look at Tun's decision when he was still PM, his policies also received a fair share of opposition. Be it the creation of national car, the Look East Policy, the new administrative Putrajaya, the Petronas Twin Towers, Multimedia Super Corridor, even the capital controls during the 1997 Financial Crisis. But in the end, his decision was later found to be correct. His actions later prove to be correct even when most people are against it. This is the uniqueness of Tun Dr. Mahathir. That is why he has always received the support of Malaysians for more than 22 years.

It is alright not to follow Tun's advice, but then the action taken must not simply for the sake of not following Tun's advice. The decision must be the correct one and for the people. Further, when compared between justification from Tun's advice and those against his advice, I and many others, find the argument against Tun's advice weak.

Of course Tun's always makes good decisions because he has the experience of being Prime Minister for 22 years.

Why not tap into his expertise? Tun has repeatedly said that he is willing to give advice when asked. Don't oppose simply for the sake of opposing.

Look at Singapore. Even when leader's change, Lee Kuan Yew is still there, making decisions.

<http://chedet.cc/blog/?p=279>